

Form 990

## Return of Organization Exempt From Income Tax

2018

Open to Public Inspection

Department of the Treasury  
Internal Revenue Service

Under section 501(c), 527, or 4947(a)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code (except private foundations)

► Do not enter social security numbers on this form as it may be made public

► Go to [www.irs.gov/Form990](http://www.irs.gov/Form990) for instructions and the latest information.

## A For the 2019 calendar year, or tax year beginning 01-01-2018, and ending 12-31-2018

B Check if applicable  
 Address change  
 Name change  
 Initial return  
 Final return/terminated  
 Amended return  
 Application pending

C Name of organization PRO PUBLICA INC		D Employer identification number 14-2007220	
Doing business as			
Number and street (or P O box if mail is not delivered to street address) 155 AVE OF THE AMERICAS NO 13 FL		E Telephone number (212) 514-5250	
City or town, state or province, country, and ZIP or foreign postal code NEW YORK, NY 10013			
F Name and address of principal officer RICHARD J TOFEL 155 AVE OF THE AMERICAS NO 13 FL NEW YORK, NY 10013		G Gross receipts \$ 27,237,842	

I Tax-exempt status  501(c)(3)  501(c) ( ) ► (insert no)  4947(a)(1) or  527

J Website: ► WWW PROPUBLICA.ORG

H(a) Is this a group return for subordinates?  Yes  No  
 H(b) Are all subordinates included?  Yes  No  
 If "No," attach a list (see instructions)  
 H(c) Group exemption number ►

K Form of organization  Corporation  Trust  Association  Other ►

L Year of formation 2007 M State of legal domicile DE

## Part I Summary

Activities & Governance	1 Briefly describe the organization's mission or most significant activities TO EXPOSE ABUSES OF POWER AND BETRAYALS OF THE PUBLIC TRUST - SEE "SCHEDULE O" FOR CONTINUATION BY GOVERNMENT, BUSINESS, AND OTHER INSTITUTIONS, USING THE MORAL FORCE OF INVESTIGATIVE JOURNALISM TO SPUR REFORM THROUGH THE SUSTAINED SPOTLIGHTING OF WRONGDOING		
Revenue	2 Check this box ► <input type="checkbox"/> if the organization discontinued its operations or disposed of more than 25% of its net assets 3 Number of voting members of the governing body (Part VI, line 1a) . . . . . 4 Number of independent voting members of the governing body (Part VI, line 1b) . . . . . 5 Total number of individuals employed in calendar year 2018 (Part V, line 2a) . . . . . 6 Total number of volunteers (estimate if necessary) . . . . . 7a Total unrelated business revenue from Part VIII, column (C), line 12 . . . . . b Net unrelated business taxable income from Form 990-T, line 34 . . . . .	3	14
		4	13
		5	140
		6	13
		7a	63,283
		7b	131,740
Expenses	8 Contributions and grants (Part VIII, line 1h) . . . . . 9 Program service revenue (Part VIII, line 2g) . . . . . 10 Investment income (Part VIII, column (A), lines 3, 4, and 7d) . . . . . 11 Other revenue (Part VIII, column (A), lines 5, 6d, 8c, 9c, 10c, and 11e) 12 Total revenue—add lines 8 through 11 (must equal Part VIII, column (A), line 12)	Prior Year 43,063,123 110,000 51,451 349,464 43,574,038	Current Year 25,576,127 302,000 288,145 519,661 26,685,933
Net Assets or Fund Balances	13 Grants and similar amounts paid (Part IX, column (A), lines 1-3) . . . . . 14 Benefits paid to or for members (Part IX, column (A), line 4) . . . . . 15 Salaries, other compensation, employee benefits (Part IX, column (A), lines 5-10) 16a Professional fundraising fees (Part IX, column (A), line 11e) . . . . . b Total fundraising expenses (Part IX, column (D), line 25) ► 1,245,370 17 Other expenses (Part IX, column (A), lines 11a-11d, 11f-24e) . . . . . 18 Total expenses Add lines 13-17 (must equal Part IX, column (A), line 25) 19 Revenue less expenses Subtract line 18 from line 12 . . . . .	52,825 0 13,387,814 0 4,850,162 18,290,801 25,283,237	455,470 0 17,360,510 6,225 6,282,256 24,104,461 2,581,472
	20 Total assets (Part X, line 16) . . . . . 21 Total liabilities (Part X, line 26) . . . . . 22 Net assets or fund balances Subtract line 21 from line 20 . . . . .	Beginning of Current Year 38,070,942 746,162 37,324,780	End of Year 40,988,939 1,094,094 39,894,845

## Part II Signature Block

Under penalties of perjury, I declare that I have examined this return, including accompanying schedules and statements, and to the best of my knowledge and belief, it is true, correct, and complete. Declaration of preparer (other than officer) is based on all information of which preparer has any knowledge

Sign Here	***** Signature of officer RICHARD J TOFEL PRESIDENT Type or print name and title	2019-08-08 Date
	Print/Type preparer's name Preparer's signature	Date 2019-08-07 Check <input type="checkbox"/> if self-employed PTIN P00543209
	Firm's name ► PKF O'CONNOR DAVIES LLP	Firm's EIN ► 27-1728945
	Firm's address ► 665 FIFTH AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10022	Phone no (212) 286-2600

May the IRS discuss this return with the preparer shown above? (see instructions)  Yes  No

For Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the separate instructions.

Cat No 11282Y

Form 990 (2018)

**Part III Statement of Program Service Accomplishments**Check if Schedule O contains a response or note to any line in this Part III **1 Briefly describe the organization's mission**

PRO PUBLICA IS AN INDEPENDENT, NON-PROFIT, PULITZER PRIZE-WINNING NEWSROOM THAT PRODUCES INVESTIGATIVE JOURNALISM IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST. OUR WORK FOCUSES EXCLUSIVELY ON TRULY IMPORTANT STORIES. SEE "SCHEDULE O" FOR CONTINUATION. WE PRODUCE JOURNALISM THAT SHINES A LIGHT ON EXPLOITATION OF THE WEAK BY THE STRONG AND ON THE FAILURES OF THOSE WITH POWER TO VINDICATE THE TRUST PLACED IN THEM. IN THE BEST TRADITIONS OF AMERICAN JOURNALISM IN THE PUBLIC SERVICE, WE AIM TO STIMULATE POSITIVE CHANGE, UNCOVERING UNSAVORY PRACTICES AND ABUSES OF POWER IN ORDER TO PROD REFORM. WE DO THIS IN AN ENTIRELY NON-PARTISAN AND NON-IDEOLOGICAL MANNER, ADHERING TO THE STRICTEST STANDARDS OF JOURNALISTIC IMPARTIALITY. OUR STATED MISSION IS "TO EXPOSE ABUSES OF POWER AND BETRAYALS OF THE PUBLIC TRUST BY GOVERNMENT, BUSINESS, AND OTHER INSTITUTIONS, USING THE MORAL FORCE OF INVESTIGATIVE JOURNALISM TO SPUR REFORM THROUGH THE SUSTAINED SPOTLIGHTING OF WRONGDOING."

**2 Did the organization undertake any significant program services during the year which were not listed on the prior Form 990 or 990-EZ?** Yes  No

If "Yes," describe these new services on Schedule O

**3 Did the organization cease conducting, or make significant changes in how it conducts, any program services?** Yes  No

If "Yes," describe these changes on Schedule O

**4 Describe the organization's program service accomplishments for each of its three largest program services, as measured by expenses. Section 501(c)(3) and 501(c)(4) organizations are required to report the amount of grants and allocations to others, the total expenses, and revenue, if any, for each program service reported**

**4a** (Code ) (Expenses \$ 20,234,825 including grants of \$ 455,470 ) (Revenue \$ 366,425 )  
See Additional Data

**4b** (Code ) (Expenses \$ including grants of \$ ) (Revenue \$ )

**4c** (Code ) (Expenses \$ including grants of \$ ) (Revenue \$ )

**4d** Other program services (Describe in Schedule O )  
(Expenses \$ including grants of \$ ) (Revenue \$ )

**4e Total program service expenses ► 20,234,825**

## Part IV Checklist of Required Schedules

	Yes	No
1 Is the organization described in section 501(c)(3) or 4947(a)(1) (other than a private foundation)? If "Yes," complete Schedule A	1 Yes	
2 Is the organization required to complete Schedule B, Schedule of Contributors (see instructions)?	2 Yes	
3 Did the organization engage in direct or indirect political campaign activities on behalf of or in opposition to candidates for public office? If "Yes," complete Schedule C, Part I	3	No
4 <b>Section 501(c)(3) organizations.</b> Did the organization engage in lobbying activities, or have a section 501(h) election in effect during the tax year? If "Yes," complete Schedule C, Part II	4	No
5 Is the organization a section 501(c)(4), 501(c)(5), or 501(c)(6) organization that receives membership dues, assessments, or similar amounts as defined in Revenue Procedure 98-19? If "Yes," complete Schedule C, Part III	5	No
6 Did the organization maintain any donor advised funds or any similar funds or accounts for which donors have the right to provide advice on the distribution or investment of amounts in such funds or accounts? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part I	6	No
7 Did the organization receive or hold a conservation easement, including easements to preserve open space, the environment, historic land areas, or historic structures? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part II	7	No
8 Did the organization maintain collections of works of art, historical treasures, or other similar assets? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part III	8	No
9 Did the organization report an amount in Part X, line 21 for escrow or custodial account liability, serve as a custodian for amounts not listed in Part X, or provide credit counseling, debt management, credit repair, or debt negotiation services? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part IV	9	No
10 Did the organization, directly or through a related organization, hold assets in temporarily restricted endowments, permanent endowments, or quasi-endowments? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part V	10	No
11 If the organization's answer to any of the following questions is "Yes," then complete Schedule D, Parts VI, VII, VIII, IX, or X as applicable		
a Did the organization report an amount for land, buildings, and equipment in Part X, line 10? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part VI	11a Yes	
b Did the organization report an amount for investments—other securities in Part X, line 12 that is 5% or more of its total assets reported in Part X, line 16? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part VII	11b	No
c Did the organization report an amount for investments—program related in Part X, line 13 that is 5% or more of its total assets reported in Part X, line 16? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part VIII	11c	No
d Did the organization report an amount for other assets in Part X, line 15 that is 5% or more of its total assets reported in Part X, line 16? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part IX	11d	No
e Did the organization report an amount for other liabilities in Part X, line 25? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part X	11e	No
f Did the organization's separate or consolidated financial statements for the tax year include a footnote that addresses the organization's liability for uncertain tax positions under FIN 48 (ASC 740)? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part X	11f Yes	
12a Did the organization obtain separate, independent audited financial statements for the tax year? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Parts XI and XII	12a Yes	
b Was the organization included in consolidated, independent audited financial statements for the tax year? If "Yes," and if the organization answered "No" to line 12a, then completing Schedule D, Parts XI and XII is optional	12b	No
13 Is the organization a school described in section 170(b)(1)(A)(ii)? If "Yes," complete Schedule E	13	No
14a Did the organization maintain an office, employees, or agents outside of the United States?	14a	No
b Did the organization have aggregate revenues or expenses of more than \$10,000 from grantmaking, fundraising, business, investment, and program service activities outside the United States, or aggregate foreign investments valued at \$100,000 or more? If "Yes," complete Schedule F, Parts I and IV	14b	No
15 Did the organization report on Part IX, column (A), line 3, more than \$5,000 of grants or other assistance to or for any foreign organization? If "Yes," complete Schedule F, Parts II and IV	15	No
16 Did the organization report on Part IX, column (A), line 3, more than \$5,000 of aggregate grants or other assistance to or for foreign individuals? If "Yes," complete Schedule F, Parts III and IV	16	No
17 Did the organization report a total of more than \$15,000 of expenses for professional fundraising services on Part IX, column (A), lines 6 and 11e? If "Yes," complete Schedule G, Part I (see instructions)	17	No
18 Did the organization report more than \$15,000 total of fundraising event gross income and contributions on Part VIII, lines 1c and 8a? If "Yes," complete Schedule G, Part II	18	No
19 Did the organization report more than \$15,000 of gross income from gaming activities on Part VIII, line 9a? If "Yes," complete Schedule G, Part III	19	No
20a Did the organization operate one or more hospital facilities? If "Yes," complete Schedule H	20a	No
b If "Yes" to line 20a, did the organization attach a copy of its audited financial statements to this return?	20b	
21 Did the organization report more than \$5,000 of grants or other assistance to any domestic organization or domestic government on Part IX, column (A), line 1? If "Yes," complete Schedule I, Parts I and II	21 Yes	
22 Did the organization report more than \$5,000 of grants or other assistance to or for domestic individuals on Part IX, column (A), line 2? If "Yes," complete Schedule I, Parts I and III	22 Yes	

## Part IV Checklist of Required Schedules (continued)

		Yes	No
23	Did the organization answer "Yes" to Part VII, Section A, line 3, 4, or 5 about compensation of the organization's current and former officers, directors, trustees, key employees, and highest compensated employees? If "Yes," complete Schedule J	23	Yes
24a	Did the organization have a tax-exempt bond issue with an outstanding principal amount of more than \$100,000 as of the last day of the year, that was issued after December 31, 2002? If "Yes," answer lines 24b through 24d and complete Schedule K If "No," go to line 25a	24a	No
b	Did the organization invest any proceeds of tax-exempt bonds beyond a temporary period exception?	24b	
c	Did the organization maintain an escrow account other than a refunding escrow at any time during the year to defease any tax-exempt bonds?	24c	
d	Did the organization act as an "on behalf of" issuer for bonds outstanding at any time during the year?	24d	
25a	<b>Section 501(c)(3), 501(c)(4), and 501(c)(29) organizations.</b> Did the organization engage in an excess benefit transaction with a disqualified person during the year? If "Yes," complete Schedule L, Part I	25a	No
b	Is the organization aware that it engaged in an excess benefit transaction with a disqualified person in a prior year, and that the transaction has not been reported on any of the organization's prior Forms 990 or 990-EZ? If "Yes," complete Schedule L, Part I	25b	No
26	Did the organization report any amount on Part X, line 5, 6, or 22 for receivables from or payables to any current or former officers, directors, trustees, key employees, highest compensated employees, or disqualified persons? If "Yes," complete Schedule L, Part II	26	No
27	Did the organization provide a grant or other assistance to an officer, director, trustee, key employee, substantial contributor or employee thereof, a grant selection committee member, or to a 35% controlled entity or family member of any of these persons? If "Yes," complete Schedule L, Part III	27	No
28	Was the organization a party to a business transaction with one of the following parties (see Schedule L, Part IV instructions for applicable filing thresholds, conditions, and exceptions)	28a	No
a	A current or former officer, director, trustee, or key employee? If "Yes," complete Schedule L, Part IV	28b	No
b	A family member of a current or former officer, director, trustee, or key employee? If "Yes," complete Schedule L, Part IV	28c	No
c	An entity of which a current or former officer, director, trustee, or key employee (or a family member thereof) was an officer, director, trustee, or direct or indirect owner? If "Yes," complete Schedule L, Part IV	29	Yes
29	Did the organization receive more than \$25,000 in non-cash contributions? If "Yes," complete Schedule M	30	No
30	Did the organization receive contributions of art, historical treasures, or other similar assets, or qualified conservation contributions? If "Yes," complete Schedule M	31	No
31	Did the organization liquidate, terminate, or dissolve and cease operations? If "Yes," complete Schedule N, Part I	32	No
32	Did the organization sell, exchange, dispose of, or transfer more than 25% of its net assets? If "Yes," complete Schedule N, Part II	33	No
33	Did the organization own 100% of an entity disregarded as separate from the organization under Regulations sections 301.7701-2 and 301.7701-3? If "Yes," complete Schedule R, Part I	34	No
34	Was the organization related to any tax-exempt or taxable entity? If "Yes," complete Schedule R, Part II, III, or IV, and Part V, line 1	35a	No
35a	Did the organization have a controlled entity within the meaning of section 512(b)(13)?	35b	
b	If 'Yes' to line 35a, did the organization receive any payment from or engage in any transaction with a controlled entity within the meaning of section 512(b)(13)? If "Yes," complete Schedule R, Part V, line 2	36	No
36	<b>Section 501(c)(3) organizations.</b> Did the organization make any transfers to an exempt non-charitable related organization? If "Yes," complete Schedule R, Part V, line 2	37	No
37	Did the organization conduct more than 5% of its activities through an entity that is not a related organization and that is treated as a partnership for federal income tax purposes? If "Yes," complete Schedule R, Part VI	38	Yes
38	Did the organization complete Schedule O and provide explanations in Schedule O for Part VI, lines 11b and 19? <b>Note.</b> All Form 990 filers are required to complete Schedule O		

## Part V Statements Regarding Other IRS Filings and Tax Compliance

Check if Schedule O contains a response or note to any line in this Part V 

	Yes	No	
1a	Enter the number reported in Box 3 of Form 1096 Enter -0- if not applicable	1a	187
b	Enter the number of Forms W-2G included in line 1a Enter -0- if not applicable	1b	0
c	Did the organization comply with backup withholding rules for reportable payments to vendors and reportable gaming (gambling) winnings to prize winners?	1c	

<b>2a</b> Enter the number of employees reported on Form W-3, Transmittal of Wage and Tax Statements, filed for the calendar year ending with or within the year covered by this return	<b>2a</b>	140		
<b>b</b> If at least one is reported on line 2a, did the organization file all required federal employment tax returns? <b>Note.</b> If the sum of lines 1a and 2a is greater than 250, you may be required to e-file (see instructions)	<b>2b</b>	Yes		
<b>3a</b> Did the organization have unrelated business gross income of \$1,000 or more during the year?	<b>3a</b>	Yes		
<b>b</b> If "Yes," has it filed a Form 990-T for this year? <i>If "No" to line 3b, provide an explanation in Schedule O</i>	<b>3b</b>	Yes		
<b>4a</b> At any time during the calendar year, did the organization have an interest in, or a signature or other authority over, a financial account in a foreign country (such as a bank account, securities account, or other financial account)?	<b>4a</b>	No		
<b>b</b> If "Yes," enter the name of the foreign country ► See instructions for filing requirements for FinCEN Form 114, Report of Foreign Bank and Financial Accounts (FBAR)				
<b>5a</b> Was the organization a party to a prohibited tax shelter transaction at any time during the tax year?	<b>5a</b>	No		
<b>b</b> Did any taxable party notify the organization that it was or is a party to a prohibited tax shelter transaction?	<b>5b</b>	No		
<b>c</b> If "Yes," to line 5a or 5b, did the organization file Form 8886-T?	<b>5c</b>			
<b>6a</b> Does the organization have annual gross receipts that are normally greater than \$100,000, and did the organization solicit any contributions that were not tax deductible as charitable contributions?	<b>6a</b>	No		
<b>b</b> If "Yes," did the organization include with every solicitation an express statement that such contributions or gifts were not tax deductible?	<b>6b</b>			
<b>7</b> <b>Organizations that may receive deductible contributions under section 170(c).</b>	<b>7a</b>	No		
<b>a</b> Did the organization receive a payment in excess of \$75 made partly as a contribution and partly for goods and services provided to the payor?	<b>7b</b>			
<b>b</b> If "Yes," did the organization notify the donor of the value of the goods or services provided?	<b>7c</b>	No		
<b>c</b> Did the organization sell, exchange, or otherwise dispose of tangible personal property for which it was required to file Form 8282?				
<b>d</b> If "Yes," indicate the number of Forms 8282 filed during the year	<b>7d</b>			
<b>e</b> Did the organization receive any funds, directly or indirectly, to pay premiums on a personal benefit contract?	<b>7e</b>	No		
<b>f</b> Did the organization, during the year, pay premiums, directly or indirectly, on a personal benefit contract?	<b>7f</b>	No		
<b>g</b> If the organization received a contribution of qualified intellectual property, did the organization file Form 8899 as required?	<b>7g</b>			
<b>h</b> If the organization received a contribution of cars, boats, airplanes, or other vehicles, did the organization file a Form 1098-C?	<b>7h</b>			
<b>8</b> <b>Sponsoring organizations maintaining donor advised funds.</b> Did a donor advised fund maintained by the sponsoring organization have excess business holdings at any time during the year?	<b>8</b>			
<b>9a</b> Did the sponsoring organization make any taxable distributions under section 4966?	<b>9a</b>			
<b>b</b> Did the sponsoring organization make a distribution to a donor, donor advisor, or related person?	<b>9b</b>			
<b>10</b> <b>Section 501(c)(7) organizations.</b> Enter				
<b>a</b> Initiation fees and capital contributions included on Part VIII, line 12	<b>10a</b>			
<b>b</b> Gross receipts, included on Form 990, Part VIII, line 12, for public use of club facilities	<b>10b</b>			
<b>11</b> <b>Section 501(c)(12) organizations.</b> Enter				
<b>a</b> Gross income from members or shareholders	<b>11a</b>			
<b>b</b> Gross income from other sources (Do not net amounts due or paid to other sources against amounts due or received from them)	<b>11b</b>			
<b>12a</b> <b>Section 4947(a)(1) non-exempt charitable trusts.</b> Is the organization filing Form 990 in lieu of Form 1041?	<b>12a</b>			
<b>b</b> If "Yes," enter the amount of tax-exempt interest received or accrued during the year	<b>12b</b>			
<b>13</b> <b>Section 501(c)(29) qualified nonprofit health insurance issuers.</b>				
<b>a</b> Is the organization licensed to issue qualified health plans in more than one state? <b>Note.</b> See the instructions for additional information the organization must report on Schedule O	<b>13a</b>			
<b>b</b> Enter the amount of reserves the organization is required to maintain by the states in which the organization is licensed to issue qualified health plans	<b>13b</b>			
<b>c</b> Enter the amount of reserves on hand	<b>13c</b>			
<b>14a</b> Did the organization receive any payments for indoor tanning services during the tax year?	<b>14a</b>	No		
<b>b</b> If "Yes," has it filed a Form 720 to report these payments? <i>If "No," provide an explanation in Schedule O</i>	<b>14b</b>			
<b>15</b> Is the organization subject to the section 4960 tax on payment(s) of more than \$1,000,000 in remuneration or excess parachute payment(s) during the year? If "Yes," see instructions and file Form 4720, Schedule N.	<b>15</b>	No		
<b>16</b> Is the organization an educational institution subject to the section 4968 excise tax on net investment income? If "Yes," complete Form 4720, Schedule O.	<b>16</b>	No		

## Part VI

**Governance, Management, and Disclosure** For each "Yes" response to lines 2 through 7b below, and for a "No" response to lines 8a, 8b, or 10b below, describe the circumstances, processes, or changes in Schedule O. See instructions  
Check if Schedule O contains a response or note to any line in this Part VI

### Section A. Governing Body and Management

		Yes	No
<b>1a</b> Enter the number of voting members of the governing body at the end of the tax year	<b>1a</b>	14	
If there are material differences in voting rights among members of the governing body, or if the governing body delegated broad authority to an executive committee or similar committee, explain in Schedule O			
<b>b</b> Enter the number of voting members included in line 1a, above, who are independent	<b>1b</b>	13	
<b>2</b> Did any officer, director, trustee, or key employee have a family relationship or a business relationship with any other officer, director, trustee, or key employee?	<b>2</b>		No
<b>3</b> Did the organization delegate control over management duties customarily performed by or under the direct supervision of officers, directors or trustees, or key employees to a management company or other person?	<b>3</b>		No
<b>4</b> Did the organization make any significant changes to its governing documents since the prior Form 990 was filed?	<b>4</b>		No
<b>5</b> Did the organization become aware during the year of a significant diversion of the organization's assets?	<b>5</b>		No
<b>6</b> Did the organization have members or stockholders?	<b>6</b>		No
<b>7a</b> Did the organization have members, stockholders, or other persons who had the power to elect or appoint one or more members of the governing body?	<b>7a</b>		No
<b>b</b> Are any governance decisions of the organization reserved to (or subject to approval by) members, stockholders, or persons other than the governing body?	<b>7b</b>		No
<b>8</b> Did the organization contemporaneously document the meetings held or written actions undertaken during the year by the following			
<b>a</b> The governing body?	<b>8a</b>	Yes	
<b>b</b> Each committee with authority to act on behalf of the governing body?	<b>8b</b>	Yes	
<b>9</b> Is there any officer, director, trustee, or key employee listed in Part VII, Section A, who cannot be reached at the organization's mailing address? If "Yes," provide the names and addresses in Schedule O	<b>9</b>		No

### Section B. Policies (This Section B requests information about policies not required by the Internal Revenue Code.)

	Yes	No
<b>10a</b> Did the organization have local chapters, branches, or affiliates?	<b>10a</b>	No
<b>b</b> If "Yes," did the organization have written policies and procedures governing the activities of such chapters, affiliates, and branches to ensure their operations are consistent with the organization's exempt purposes?	<b>10b</b>	
<b>11a</b> Has the organization provided a complete copy of this Form 990 to all members of its governing body before filing the form?	<b>11a</b>	Yes
<b>b</b> Describe in Schedule O the process, if any, used by the organization to review this Form 990	<b>12a</b>	Yes
<b>12a</b> Did the organization have a written conflict of interest policy? If "No," go to line 13	<b>12b</b>	Yes
<b>b</b> Were officers, directors, or trustees, and key employees required to disclose annually interests that could give rise to conflicts?	<b>12c</b>	Yes
<b>c</b> Did the organization regularly and consistently monitor and enforce compliance with the policy? If "Yes," describe in Schedule O how this was done	<b>13</b>	Yes
<b>13</b> Did the organization have a written whistleblower policy?	<b>14</b>	Yes
<b>14</b> Did the organization have a written document retention and destruction policy?	<b>15a</b>	Yes
<b>15</b> Did the process for determining compensation of the following persons include a review and approval by independent persons, comparability data, and contemporaneous substantiation of the deliberation and decision?	<b>15b</b>	Yes
<b>a</b> The organization's CEO, Executive Director, or top management official	<b>16a</b>	No
<b>b</b> Other officers or key employees of the organization	<b>16b</b>	
If "Yes" to line 15a or 15b, describe the process in Schedule O (see instructions)		
<b>16a</b> Did the organization invest in, contribute assets to, or participate in a joint venture or similar arrangement with a taxable entity during the year?		
<b>b</b> If "Yes," did the organization follow a written policy or procedure requiring the organization to evaluate its participation in joint venture arrangements under applicable federal tax law, and take steps to safeguard the organization's exempt status with respect to such arrangements?		

### Section C. Disclosure

<b>17</b> List the States with which a copy of this Form 990 is required to be filed►	DC , AL , AK , AZ , AR , CA , CT , FL , GA , HI , IL , KS , KY , LA , ME , MD , MA , MI , MN , MS , MS , NH , NJ , NM , NY , NC , ND , OH , OK , OR , PA , RI , SC , TN , UT , VA , WA , WV , WI
<b>18</b> Section 6104 requires an organization to make its Form 1023 (or 1024-A if applicable), 990, and 990-T (501(c)(3)s only) available for public inspection. Indicate how you made these available. Check all that apply	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Own website <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Another's website <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Upon request <input type="checkbox"/> Other (explain in Schedule O)
<b>19</b> Describe in Schedule O whether (and if so, how) the organization made its governing documents, conflict of interest policy, and financial statements available to the public during the tax year	
<b>20</b> State the name, address, and telephone number of the person who possesses the organization's books and records	►STEPHANIE N LITTLE VP FINANCE & ADMINISTRATION 155 AVE OF THE AMERICAS NO 13 FL NEW YORK, NY 10013 (212) 514-5250

## Part VII

**Compensation of Officers, Directors, Trustees, Key Employees, Highest Compensated Employees, and Independent Contractors**Check if Schedule O contains a response or note to any line in this Part VII **Section A. Officers, Directors, Trustees, Key Employees, and Highest Compensated Employees**

**1a** Complete this table for all persons required to be listed. Report compensation for the calendar year ending with or within the organization's tax year.

- List all of the organization's **current** officers, directors, trustees (whether individuals or organizations), regardless of amount of compensation. Enter -0- in columns (D), (E), and (F) if no compensation was paid.

- List all of the organization's **current** key employees, if any. See instructions for definition of "key employee".

- List the organization's five **current** highest compensated employees (other than an officer, director, trustee or key employee) who received reportable compensation (Box 5 of Form W-2 and/or Box 7 of Form 1099-MISC) of more than \$100,000 from the organization and any related organizations.

- List all of the organization's **former** officers, key employees, or highest compensated employees who received more than \$100,000 of reportable compensation from the organization and any related organizations.

- List all of the organization's **former directors or trustees** that received, in the capacity as a former director or trustee of the organization, more than \$10,000 of reportable compensation from the organization and any related organizations.

List persons in the following order: individual trustees or directors, institutional trustees, officers, key employees, highest compensated employees, and former such persons.

Check this box if neither the organization nor any related organization compensated any current officer, director, or trustee.

(A) Name and Title	(B) Average hours per week (list any hours for related organizations below dotted line)	(C) Position (do not check more than one box, unless person is both an officer and a director/trustee)						(D) Reportable compensation from the organization (W-2/1099- MISC)	(E) Reportable compensation from related organizations (W-2/1099- MISC)	(F) Estimated amount of other compensation from the organization and related organizations
		Officer or Director	Individual Trustee	Institutional Trustee	Office	Key Employee	Highest Compensated			
(1) PAUL SAGAN ..... CHAIRMAN	2 00 .....	X		X				0	0	0
(2) HERBERT M SANDLER ..... FOUNDING CHAIRMAN	3 00 .....	X		X				0	0	0
(3) PAUL E STEIGER ..... EXECUTIVE CHAIRMAN	30 00 .....	X		X				47,174	0	6,283
(4) DANIELLE ALLEN ..... DIRECTOR	1 00 .....	X						0	0	0
(5) MARK COLODNY ..... DIRECTOR	1 00 .....	X						0	0	0
(6) ANGELA FILO ..... DIRECTOR	1 00 .....	X						0	0	0
(7) HENRY LOUIS GATES JR ..... DIRECTOR	1 00 .....	X						0	0	0
(8) CLAIRE HOFFMAN ..... DIRECTOR	1 00 .....	X						0	0	0
(9) KATIE MCGRATH ..... DIRECTOR	1 00 .....	X						0	0	0
(10) ROBERT CS MONKS ..... DIRECTOR	1 00 .....	X						0	0	0
(11) RONALD OLSON ..... DIRECTOR	1 00 .....	X						0	0	0
(12) JAMES STONE ..... DIRECTOR	1 00 .....	X						0	0	0
(13) S DONALD SUSSMAN ..... DIRECTOR	1 00 .....	X						0	0	0
(14) KAT TAYLOR ..... DIRECTOR	1 00 .....	X						0	0	0
(15) RICHARD TOFEL PRESIDENT ..... CO-CEO, SECRETARY-TREASURER	40 00 .....			X				406,196	0	30,417
(16) STEPHEN ENGELBERG ..... EDITOR-IN-CHIEF & CO-CEO	40 00 .....			X				394,960	0	57,324
(17) RAGAN RHYNE VICE PRESIDENT OF ..... DEVELOPMENT, ASS SECRETARY	40 00 .....				X			249,004	0	14,014

## Part VII

## Section A. Officers, Directors, Trustees, Key Employees, and Highest Compensated Employees (continued)

(A) Name and Title	(B) Average hours per week (list any hours for related organizations below dotted line)	(C) Position (do not check more than one box, unless person is both an officer and a director/trustee)					(D) Reportable compensation from the organization (W- 2/1099-MISC)	(E) Reportable compensation from related organizations (W- 2/1099- MISC)	(F) Estimated amount of other compensation from the organization and related organizations
		Individual trustee or director	Institutional Trustee	Officer	Key employee	Highest compensated employee			
(18) ROBIN FIELDS	40 00				X		275,130	0	16,553
MANAGING EDITOR									
(19) JESSE EISINGER	40 00				X		230,504	0	52,891
SENIOR REPORTER									
(20) CHARLES ORNSTEIN	40 00				X		221,417	0	54,835
SENIOR EDITOR									
(21) TRACY WEBER	40 00				X		218,656	0	38,933
SENIOR EDITOR									
(22) JOSEPH SEXTON	40 00				X		218,651	0	35,982
SENIOR EDITOR									
<b>1b Sub-Total</b>									
<b>c Total from continuation sheets to Part VII, Section A</b>									
<b>d Total (add lines 1b and 1c)</b>							2,261,692	0	307,232

**2** Total number of individuals (including but not limited to those listed above) who received more than \$100,000 of reportable compensation from the organization ► 60

	Yes	No
3 Did the organization list any <b>former</b> officer, director or trustee, key employee, or highest compensated employee on line 1a? If "Yes," complete Schedule J for such individual	3	No
4 For any individual listed on line 1a, is the sum of reportable compensation and other compensation from the organization and related organizations greater than \$150,000? If "Yes," complete Schedule J for such individual	4	Yes
5 Did any person listed on line 1a receive or accrue compensation from any unrelated organization or individual for services rendered to the organization? If "Yes," complete Schedule J for such person	5	No

## Section B. Independent Contractors

**1** Complete this table for your five highest compensated independent contractors that received more than \$100,000 of compensation from the organization. Report compensation for the calendar year ending with or within the organization's tax year

(A) Name and business address	(B) Description of services	(C) Compensation
HAYNES AND BOONE LLP 600 CONGRESS AVE SUITE 1300 AUSTIN, TX 787013285	LEGAL SERVICES	207,081
FAIRDINKUM CONSULTING LLC 15 E 32ND ST 9TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10016	IT CONSULTANT SERVICES	143,934
AMAZON WEB SERVICES PO BOX 84023 SEATTLE, WA 981248423	WEBSITE HOSTING SERVICES	108,942

**2** Total number of independent contractors (including but not limited to those listed above) who received more than \$100,000 of compensation from the organization ► 3

## Part VIII Statement of Revenue

Check if Schedule O contains a response or note to any line in this Part VIII 

Contributions, Gifts, Grants and Other Similar Amounts		(A) Total revenue	(B) Related or exempt function revenue	(C) Unrelated business revenue	(D) Revenue excluded from tax under sections 512 - 514	
1a Federated campaigns . . .	1a					
b Membership dues . . .	1b					
c Fundraising events . . .	1c					
d Related organizations	1d					
e Government grants (contributions)	1e					
f All other contributions, gifts, grants, and similar amounts not included above	1f	25,576,127				
g Noncash contributions included in lines 1a - 1f \$		565,448				
<b>h Total.</b> Add lines 1a-1f . . . . .		25,576,127				
Program Service Revenue		Business Code				
		2a PROGRAM SERVICE FEES	519130	262,000	262,000	
		b EDITORIAL FEES	519100	40,000	40,000	
		c _____				
		d _____				
		e _____				
		f All other program service revenue		302,000		
<b>g Total.</b> Add lines 2a-2f . . . . .		302,000				
Other Revenue		3 Investment income (including dividends, interest, and other similar amounts) . . . . .	288,025		288,025	
		4 Income from investment of tax-exempt bond proceeds				
		5 Royalties . . . . .	226,199	64,425	161,774	
		6a Gross rents	(i) Real	(ii) Personal		
		b Less rental expenses				
		c Rental income or (loss)				
		d Net rental income or (loss) . . . . .				
		7a Gross amount from sales of assets other than inventory	(i) Securities	(ii) Other		
		b Less cost or other basis and sales expenses	552,029			
		c Gain or (loss)	551,909			
		d Net gain or (loss) . . . . .	120	120	120	
8a Gross income from fundraising events (not including \$ _____ of contributions reported on line 1c) See Part IV, line 18 . . . . .	a					
b Less direct expenses . . . . .	b					
c Net income or (loss) from fundraising events . . . . .						
9a Gross income from gaming activities See Part IV, line 19 . . . . .	a					
b Less direct expenses . . . . .	b					
c Net income or (loss) from gaming activities . . . . .						
10a Gross sales of inventory, less returns and allowances . . . . .	a					
b Less cost of goods sold . . . . .	b					
c Net income or (loss) from sales of inventory . . . . .						
Miscellaneous Revenue	Business Code					
11a REIMBURSEMENT OF LEGAL FEES	900099	152,833		152,833		
b HONORARIUMS REVENUE	900099	77,346		77,346		
c ADVERTISING REVENUE	541800	63,283		63,283		
d All other revenue . . . . .						
<b>e Total.</b> Add lines 11a-11d . . . . .		293,462				
<b>12 Total revenue.</b> See Instructions . . . . .		26,685,933	366,425	63,283	680,098	

**Part IX Statement of Functional Expenses**

Section 501(c)(3) and 501(c)(4) organizations must complete all columns. All other organizations must complete column (A)

Check if Schedule O contains a response or note to any line in this Part IX 

<b>Do not include amounts reported on lines 6b, 7b, 8b, 9b, and 10b of Part VIII.</b>	<b>(A)</b> Total expenses	<b>(B)</b> Program service expenses	<b>(C)</b> Management and general expenses	<b>(D)</b> Fundraising expenses
1 Grants and other assistance to domestic organizations and domestic governments See Part IV, line 21	389,552	389,552		
2 Grants and other assistance to domestic individuals See Part IV, line 22	65,918	65,918		
3 Grants and other assistance to foreign organizations, foreign governments, and foreign individuals See Part IV, line 15 and 16				
4 Benefits paid to or for members				
5 Compensation of current officers, directors, trustees, and key employees	1,205,372	505,741	436,613	263,018
6 Compensation not included above, to disqualified persons (as defined under section 4958(f)(1)) and persons described in section 4958(c)(3)(B)				
7 Other salaries and wages	12,893,421	11,734,431	874,928	284,062
8 Pension plan accruals and contributions (include section 401 (k) and 403(b) employer contributions)	508,298	454,314	47,148	6,836
9 Other employee benefits	1,744,993	1,499,201	184,381	61,411
10 Payroll taxes	1,008,426	391,838	425,541	191,047
11 Fees for services (non-employees)				
a Management				
b Legal	533,946	346,667	175,111	12,168
c Accounting	35,250		35,250	
d Lobbying				
e Professional fundraising services See Part IV, line 17	6,225			6,225
f Investment management fees				
g Other (If line 11g amount exceeds 10% of line 25, column (A) amount, list line 11g expenses on Schedule O)	474,854	468,813		6,041
12 Advertising and promotion	261,410	177,704	73,253	10,453
13 Office expenses	493,067	237,729	27,081	228,257
14 Information technology	1,193,466	975,359	127,552	90,555
15 Royalties				
16 Occupancy	1,233,532	1,081,972	101,863	49,697
17 Travel	940,460	905,828	20,638	13,994
18 Payments of travel or entertainment expenses for any federal, state, or local public officials				
19 Conferences, conventions, and meetings	93,235	83,322	5,810	4,103
20 Interest				
21 Payments to affiliates				
22 Depreciation, depletion, and amortization	265,553	238,998	26,555	
23 Insurance	128,596	107,424	17,555	3,617
24 Other expenses Itemize expenses not covered above (List miscellaneous expenses in line 24e If line 24e amount exceeds 10% of line 25, column (A) amount, list line 24e expenses on Schedule O )				
a UBIT TAX EXPENSE	28,300		28,300	
b PUBLIC REC COP & SUBS	387,649	387,649		
c REPAIRS AND MAINTENANCE	90,523	77,947	8,479	4,097
d RECRUITMENT/PROF DEVELOPMENT	83,367	69,275	4,303	9,789
e All other expenses	39,048	35,143	3,905	
<b>25 Total functional expenses.</b> Add lines 1 through 24e	24,104,461	20,234,825	2,624,266	1,245,370
<b>26 Joint costs.</b> Complete this line only if the organization reported in column (B) joint costs from a combined educational campaign and fundraising solicitation				
Check here ► <input type="checkbox"/> if following SOP 98-2 (ASC 958-720)				

Part X **Balance Sheet**Check if Schedule O contains a response or note to any line in this Part IX 

		(A) Beginning of year		(B) End of year
<b>Assets</b>				
1	Cash—non-interest-bearing	3,172,453	<b>1</b>	5,317,242
2	Savings and temporary cash investments	15,224,452	<b>2</b>	21,331,856
3	Pledges and grants receivable, net	18,687,901	<b>3</b>	13,042,094
4	Accounts receivable, net	3,661	<b>4</b>	8,912
5	Loans and other receivables from current and former officers, directors, trustees, key employees, and highest compensated employees Complete Part II of Schedule L		<b>5</b>	
6	Loans and other receivables from other disqualified persons (as defined under section 4958(f)(1)), persons described in section 4958(c)(3)(B), and contributing employers and sponsoring organizations of section 501(c)(9) voluntary employees' beneficiary organizations (see instructions) Complete Part II of Schedule L		<b>6</b>	
7	Notes and loans receivable, net		<b>7</b>	
8	Inventories for sale or use		<b>8</b>	
9	Prepaid expenses and deferred charges	286,215	<b>9</b>	464,032
10a	Land, buildings, and equipment cost or other basis Complete Part VI of Schedule D	1,379,132		
b	Less accumulated depreciation	639,869	<b>10c</b>	739,263
11	Investments—publicly traded securities	14,765	<b>11</b>	28,305
12	Investments—other securities See Part IV, line 11		<b>12</b>	
13	Investments—program-related See Part IV, line 11		<b>13</b>	
14	Intangible assets		<b>14</b>	
15	Other assets See Part IV, line 11	4,260	<b>15</b>	57,235
16	<b>Total assets.</b> Add lines 1 through 15 (must equal line 34)	38,070,942	<b>16</b>	40,988,939
<b>Liabilities</b>				
17	Accounts payable and accrued expenses	372,471	<b>17</b>	659,311
18	Grants payable		<b>18</b>	
19	Deferred revenue	373,691	<b>19</b>	434,783
20	Tax-exempt bond liabilities		<b>20</b>	
21	Escrow or custodial account liability Complete Part IV of Schedule D		<b>21</b>	
22	Loans and other payables to current and former officers, directors, trustees, key employees, highest compensated employees, and disqualified persons Complete Part II of Schedule L		<b>22</b>	
23	Secured mortgages and notes payable to unrelated third parties		<b>23</b>	
24	Unsecured notes and loans payable to unrelated third parties		<b>24</b>	
25	Other liabilities (including federal income tax, payables to related third parties, and other liabilities not included on lines 17 - 24) Complete Part X of Schedule D		<b>25</b>	
26	<b>Total liabilities.</b> Add lines 17 through 25	746,162	<b>26</b>	1,094,094
<b>Net Assets or Fund Balances</b>				
	<b>Organizations that follow SFAS 117 (ASC 958), check here ► <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> and complete lines 27 through 29, and lines 33 and 34.</b>			
27	Unrestricted net assets	14,828,565	<b>27</b>	21,132,503
28	Temporarily restricted net assets	22,496,215	<b>28</b>	18,762,342
29	Permanently restricted net assets		<b>29</b>	
	<b>Organizations that do not follow SFAS 117 (ASC 958), check here ► <input type="checkbox"/> and complete lines 30 through 34.</b>			
30	Capital stock or trust principal, or current funds		<b>30</b>	
31	Paid-in or capital surplus, or land, building or equipment fund		<b>31</b>	
32	Retained earnings, endowment, accumulated income, or other funds		<b>32</b>	
33	Total net assets or fund balances	37,324,780	<b>33</b>	39,894,845
34	<b>Total liabilities and net assets/fund balances</b>	38,070,942	<b>34</b>	40,988,939

## Part XI

**Reconciliation of Net Assets**Check if Schedule O contains a response or note to any line in this Part XI 

1	Total revenue (must equal Part VIII, column (A), line 12) . . . . .	1	26,685,933
2	Total expenses (must equal Part IX, column (A), line 25) . . . . .	2	24,104,461
3	Revenue less expenses Subtract line 2 from line 1 . . . . .	3	2,581,472
4	Net assets or fund balances at beginning of year (must equal Part X, line 33, column (A)) . . . . .	4	37,324,780
5	Net unrealized gains (losses) on investments . . . . .	5	-261
6	Donated services and use of facilities . . . . .	6	
7	Investment expenses . . . . .	7	
8	Prior period adjustments . . . . .	8	
9	Other changes in net assets or fund balances (explain in Schedule O) . . . . .	9	-11,146
10	Net assets or fund balances at end of year Combine lines 3 through 9 (must equal Part X, line 33, column (B))	10	39,894,845

Part XII **Financial Statements and Reporting**Check if Schedule O contains a response or note to any line in this Part XII 

	Yes	No
1 Accounting method used to prepare the Form 990	<input type="checkbox"/> Cash	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accrual
If the organization changed its method of accounting from a prior year or checked "Other," explain in Schedule O		
2a Were the organization's financial statements compiled or reviewed by an independent accountant?		
If 'Yes,' check a box below to indicate whether the financial statements for the year were compiled or reviewed on a separate basis, consolidated basis, or both		
<input type="checkbox"/> Separate basis	<input type="checkbox"/> Consolidated basis	<input type="checkbox"/> Both consolidated and separate basis
2b Were the organization's financial statements audited by an independent accountant?		
If 'Yes,' check a box below to indicate whether the financial statements for the year were audited on a separate basis, consolidated basis, or both		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Separate basis	<input type="checkbox"/> Consolidated basis	<input type="checkbox"/> Both consolidated and separate basis
2c If "Yes," to line 2a or 2b, does the organization have a committee that assumes responsibility for oversight of the audit, review, or compilation of its financial statements and selection of an independent accountant?		
If the organization changed either its oversight process or selection process during the tax year, explain in Schedule O		
3a As a result of a federal award, was the organization required to undergo an audit or audits as set forth in the Single Audit Act and OMB Circular A-133?		
3b If "Yes," did the organization undergo the required audit or audits? If the organization did not undergo the required audit or audits, explain why in Schedule O and describe any steps taken to undergo such audits		

# Additional Data

**Software ID:**

**Software Version:**

**EIN:** 14-2007220

**Name:** PRO PUBLICA INC

Form 990 (2018)

---

## **Form 990, Part III, Line 4a:**

INVESTIGATIVE JOURNALISM IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST - SEE SCHEDULE O FOR DETAILS

---

**SCHEDULE A**  
(Form 990 or  
990EZ)Department of the Treasury  
Internal Revenue Service**Public Charity Status and Public Support**  
Complete if the organization is a section 501(c)(3) organization or a section  
4947(a)(1) nonexempt charitable trust.  
► Attach to Form 990 or Form 990-EZ.  
► Go to [www.irs.gov/Form990](http://www.irs.gov/Form990) for the latest information.**2018****Open to Public  
Inspection****Name of the organization**  
PRO PUBLICA INC**Employer identification number**  
14-2007220**Part I Reason for Public Charity Status** (All organizations must complete this part.) See instructions.

The organization is not a private foundation because it is (For lines 1 through 12, check only one box)

1  A church, convention of churches, or association of churches described in **section 170(b)(1)(A)(i)**.

2  A school described in **section 170(b)(1)(A)(ii)**. (Attach Schedule E (Form 990 or 990-EZ) )

3  A hospital or a cooperative hospital service organization described in **section 170(b)(1)(A)(iii)**.

4  A medical research organization operated in conjunction with a hospital described in **section 170(b)(1)(A)(iii)**. Enter the hospital's name, city, and state \_\_\_\_\_

5  An organization operated for the benefit of a college or university owned or operated by a governmental unit described in **section 170(b)(1)(A)(iv)**. (Complete Part II )

6  A federal, state, or local government or governmental unit described in **section 170(b)(1)(A)(v)**.

7  An organization that normally receives a substantial part of its support from a governmental unit or from the general public described in **section 170(b)(1)(A)(vi)**. (Complete Part II )

8  A community trust described in **section 170(b)(1)(A)(vi)** (Complete Part II )

9  An agricultural research organization described in **170(b)(1)(A)(ix)** operated in conjunction with a land-grant college or university or a non-land grant college of agriculture See instructions Enter the name, city, and state of the college or university

10  An organization that normally receives (1) more than 33 1/3% of its support from contributions, membership fees, and gross receipts from activities related to its exempt functions—subject to certain exceptions, and (2) no more than 33 1/3% of its support from gross investment income and unrelated business taxable income (less section 511 tax) from businesses acquired by the organization after June 30, 1975 See **section 509(a)(2)**. (Complete Part III )

11  An organization organized and operated exclusively to test for public safety See **section 509(a)(4)**.

12  An organization organized and operated exclusively for the benefit of, to perform the functions of, or to carry out the purposes of one or more publicly supported organizations described in **section 509(a)(1)** or **section 509(a)(2)**. See **section 509(a)(3)**. Check the box in lines 12a through 12d that describes the type of supporting organization and complete lines 12e, 12f, and 12g

a  **Type I.** A supporting organization operated, supervised, or controlled by its supported organization(s), typically by giving the supported organization(s) the power to regularly appoint or elect a majority of the directors or trustees of the supporting organization **You must complete Part IV, Sections A and B**.

b  **Type II.** A supporting organization supervised or controlled in connection with its supported organization(s), by having control or management of the supporting organization vested in the same persons that control or manage the supported organization(s) **You must complete Part IV, Sections A and C**.

c  **Type III functionally integrated.** A supporting organization operated in connection with, and functionally integrated with, its supported organization(s) (see instructions) **You must complete Part IV, Sections A, D, and E**.

d  **Type III non-functionally integrated.** A supporting organization operated in connection with its supported organization(s) that is not functionally integrated. The organization generally must satisfy a distribution requirement and an attentiveness requirement (see instructions) **You must complete Part IV, Sections A and D, and Part V**.

e  Check this box if the organization received a written determination from the IRS that it is a Type I, Type II, Type III functionally integrated, or Type III non-functionally integrated supporting organization

f Enter the number of supported organizations \_\_\_\_\_

g Provide the following information about the supported organization(s)

(i) Name of supported organization	(ii) EIN	(iii) Type of organization (described on lines 1- 10 above (see instructions))	(iv) Is the organization listed in your governing document?		(v) Amount of monetary support (see instructions)	(vi) Amount of other support (see instructions)
			Yes	No		
<b>Total</b>						

**Part II Support Schedule for Organizations Described in Sections 170(b)(1)(A)(iv), 170(b)(1)(A)(vi), and 170(b)(1)(A)(ix)**

(Complete only if you checked the box on line 5, 7, 8, or 9 of Part I or if the organization failed to qualify under Part III. If the organization fails to qualify under the tests listed below, please complete Part III.)

**Section A. Public Support**

	<b>Calendar year (or fiscal year beginning in) ►</b>	<b>(a) 2014</b>	<b>(b) 2015</b>	<b>(c) 2016</b>	<b>(d) 2017</b>	<b>(e) 2018</b>	<b>(f) Total</b>
<b>1</b>	Gifts, grants, contributions, and membership fees received (Do not include any "unusual grant")	10,169,976	16,882,164	13,765,153	43,063,123	25,576,127	109,456,543
<b>2</b>	Tax revenues levied for the organization's benefit and either paid to or expended on its behalf						
<b>3</b>	The value of services or facilities furnished by a governmental unit to the organization without charge						
<b>4</b>	<b>Total.</b> Add lines 1 through 3	10,169,976	16,882,164	13,765,153	43,063,123	25,576,127	109,456,543
<b>5</b>	The portion of total contributions by each person (other than a governmental unit or publicly supported organization) included on line 1 that exceeds 2% of the amount shown on line 11, column (f)						26,483,706
<b>6</b>	<b>Public support.</b> Subtract line 5 from line 4						82,972,837

**Section B. Total Support**

	<b>Calendar year (or fiscal year beginning in) ►</b>	<b>(a) 2014</b>	<b>(b) 2015</b>	<b>(c) 2016</b>	<b>(d) 2017</b>	<b>(e) 2018</b>	<b>(f) Total</b>
<b>7</b>	Amounts from line 4	10,169,976	16,882,164	13,765,153	43,063,123	25,576,127	109,456,543
<b>8</b>	Gross income from interest, dividends, payments received on securities loans, rents, royalties and income from similar sources	47,898	23,875	105,365	91,606	449,799	718,543
<b>9</b>	Net income from unrelated business activities, whether or not the business is regularly carried on	12,775	10,286	8,230	52,755	36,395	120,441
<b>10</b>	Other income Do not include gain or loss from the sale of capital assets (Explain in Part VI )	23,379	10,092	245,214	108,258	230,179	617,122
<b>11</b>	<b>Total support.</b> Add lines 7 through 10						110,912,649
<b>12</b>	Gross receipts from related activities, etc (see instructions)					<b>12</b>	1,188,605

**13 First five years.** If the Form 990 is for the organization's first, second, third, fourth, or fifth tax year as a section 501(c)(3) organization, check this box and **stop here** ► 

**Section C. Computation of Public Support Percentage**

**14** Public support percentage for 2018 (line 6, column (f) divided by line 11, column (f)) **14** 74 810 %

**15** Public support percentage for 2017 Schedule A, Part II, line 14 **15** 68 010 %

**16a 33 1/3% support test—2018.** If the organization did not check the box on line 13, and line 14 is 33 1/3% or more, check this box and **stop here**. The organization qualifies as a publicly supported organization ►

**b 33 1/3% support test—2017.** If the organization did not check a box on line 13 or 16a, and line 15 is 33 1/3% or more, check this box and **stop here**. The organization qualifies as a publicly supported organization ►

**17a 10%-facts-and-circumstances test—2018.** If the organization did not check a box on line 13, 16a, or 16b, and line 14 is 10% or more, and if the organization meets the "facts-and-circumstances" test, check this box and **stop here**. Explain in Part VI how the organization meets the "facts-and-circumstances" test. The organization qualifies as a publicly supported organization ►

**b 10%-facts-and-circumstances test—2017.** If the organization did not check a box on line 13, 16a, 16b, or 17a, and line 15 is 10% or more, and if the organization meets the "facts-and-circumstances" test, check this box and **stop here**. Explain in Part VI how the organization meets the "facts-and-circumstances" test. The organization qualifies as a publicly supported organization ►

**18 Private foundation.** If the organization did not check a box on line 13, 16a, 16b, 17a, or 17b, check this box and see instructions ►

**Part III Support Schedule for Organizations Described in Section 509(a)(2)**

(Complete only if you checked the box on line 10 of Part I or if the organization failed to qualify under Part II. If the organization fails to qualify under the tests listed below, please complete Part II.)

**Section A. Public Support**

Calendar year (or fiscal year beginning in) ►	(a) 2014	(b) 2015	(c) 2016	(d) 2017	(e) 2018	(f) Total
1 Gifts, grants, contributions, and membership fees received (Do not include any "unusual grants")						
2 Gross receipts from admissions, merchandise sold or services performed, or facilities furnished in any activity that is related to the organization's tax-exempt purpose						
3 Gross receipts from activities that are not an unrelated trade or business under section 513						
4 Tax revenues levied for the organization's benefit and either paid to or expended on its behalf						
5 The value of services or facilities furnished by a governmental unit to the organization without charge						
<b>6 Total.</b> Add lines 1 through 5						
<b>7a</b> Amounts included on lines 1, 2, and 3 received from disqualified persons						
<b>b</b> Amounts included on lines 2 and 3 received from other than disqualified persons that exceed the greater of \$5,000 or 1% of the amount on line 13 for the year						
<b>c</b> Add lines 7a and 7b						
<b>8 Public support.</b> (Subtract line 7c from line 6 )						

**Section B. Total Support**

Calendar year (or fiscal year beginning in) ►	(a) 2014	(b) 2015	(c) 2016	(d) 2017	(e) 2018	(f) Total
9 Amounts from line 6						
<b>10a</b> Gross income from interest, dividends, payments received on securities loans, rents, royalties and income from similar sources						
<b>b</b> Unrelated business taxable income (less section 511 taxes) from businesses acquired after June 30, 1975						
<b>c</b> Add lines 10a and 10b						
<b>11</b> Net income from unrelated business activities not included in line 10b, whether or not the business is regularly carried on						
<b>12</b> Other income Do not include gain or loss from the sale of capital assets (Explain in Part VI )						
<b>13 Total support.</b> (Add lines 9, 10c, 11, and 12 )						
<b>14 First five years.</b> If the Form 990 is for the organization's first, second, third, fourth, or fifth tax year as a section 501(c)(3) organization, check this box and <b>stop here</b>						► <input type="checkbox"/>

**Section C. Computation of Public Support Percentage**

15 Public support percentage for 2018 (line 8, column (f) divided by line 13, column (f))	<b>15</b>	
16 Public support percentage from 2017 Schedule A, Part III, line 15	<b>16</b>	

**Section D. Computation of Investment Income Percentage**

17 Investment income percentage for <b>2018</b> (line 10c, column (f) divided by line 13, column (f))	<b>17</b>	
18 Investment income percentage from <b>2017</b> Schedule A, Part III, line 17	<b>18</b>	
<b>19a 33 1/3% support tests—2018.</b> If the organization did not check the box on line 14, and line 15 is more than 33 1/3%, and line 17 is not more than 33 1/3%, check this box and <b>stop here</b> . The organization qualifies as a publicly supported organization		► <input type="checkbox"/>
<b>b 33 1/3% support tests—2017.</b> If the organization did not check a box on line 14 or line 19a, and line 16 is more than 33 1/3% and line 18 is not more than 33 1/3%, check this box and <b>stop here</b> . The organization qualifies as a publicly supported organization		► <input type="checkbox"/>
<b>20 Private foundation.</b> If the organization did not check a box on line 14, 19a, or 19b, check this box and see instructions		► <input type="checkbox"/>

**Part IV Supporting Organizations**

(Complete only if you checked a box on line 12 of Part I. If you checked 12a of Part I, complete Sections A and B. If you checked 12b of Part I, complete Sections A and C. If you checked 12c of Part I, complete Sections A, D, and E. If you checked 12d of Part I, complete Sections A and D, and complete Part V.)

**Section A. All Supporting Organizations**

	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>
1 Are all of the organization's supported organizations listed by name in the organization's governing documents? If "No," describe in <b>Part VI</b> how the supported organizations are designated. If designated by class or purpose, describe the designation. If historic and continuing relationship, explain	1	
2 Did the organization have any supported organization that does not have an IRS determination of status under section 509(a)(1) or (2)? If "Yes," explain in <b>Part VI</b> how the organization determined that the supported organization was described in section 509(a)(1) or (2)	2	
3a Did the organization have a supported organization described in section 501(c)(4), (5), or (6)? If "Yes," answer (b) and (c) below	3a	
b Did the organization confirm that each supported organization qualified under section 501(c)(4), (5), or (6) and satisfied the public support tests under section 509(a)(2)? If "Yes," describe in <b>Part VI</b> when and how the organization made the determination	3b	
c Did the organization ensure that all support to such organizations was used exclusively for section 170(c)(2)(B) purposes? If "Yes," explain in <b>Part VI</b> what controls the organization put in place to ensure such use	3c	
4a Was any supported organization not organized in the United States ("foreign supported organization")? If "Yes" and if you checked 12a or 12b in Part I, answer (b) and (c) below	4a	
b Did the organization have ultimate control and discretion in deciding whether to make grants to the foreign supported organization? If "Yes," describe in <b>Part VI</b> how the organization had such control and discretion despite being controlled or supervised by or in connection with its supported organizations	4b	
c Did the organization support any foreign supported organization that does not have an IRS determination under sections 501(c)(3) and 509(a)(1) or (2)? If "Yes," explain in <b>Part VI</b> what controls the organization used to ensure that all support to the foreign supported organization was used exclusively for section 170(c)(2)(B) purposes	4c	
5a Did the organization add, substitute, or remove any supported organizations during the tax year? If "Yes," answer (b) and (c) below (if applicable). Also, provide detail in <b>Part VI</b> , including (i) the names and EIN numbers of the supported organizations added, substituted, or removed, (ii) the reasons for each such action, (iii) the authority under the organization's organizing document authorizing such action, and (iv) how the action was accomplished (such as by amendment to the organizing document)	5a	
b <b>Type I or Type II only.</b> Was any added or substituted supported organization part of a class already designated in the organization's organizing document?	5b	
c <b>Substitutions only.</b> Was the substitution the result of an event beyond the organization's control?	5c	
6 Did the organization provide support (whether in the form of grants or the provision of services or facilities) to anyone other than (i) its supported organizations, (ii) individuals that are part of the charitable class benefited by one or more of its supported organizations, or (iii) other supporting organizations that also support or benefit one or more of the filing organization's supported organizations? If "Yes," provide detail in <b>Part VI</b> .	6	
7 Did the organization provide a grant, loan, compensation, or other similar payment to a substantial contributor (defined in section 4958(c)(3)(C)), a family member of a substantial contributor, or a 35% controlled entity with regard to a substantial contributor? If "Yes," complete Part I of Schedule L (Form 990 or 990-EZ)	7	
8 Did the organization make a loan to a disqualified person (as defined in section 4958) not described in line 7? If "Yes," complete Part I of Schedule L (Form 990 or 990-EZ)	8	
9a Was the organization controlled directly or indirectly at any time during the tax year by one or more disqualified persons as defined in section 4946 (other than foundation managers and organizations described in section 509(a)(1) or (2))? If "Yes," provide detail in <b>Part VI</b> .	9a	
b Did one or more disqualified persons (as defined in line 9a) hold a controlling interest in any entity in which the supporting organization had an interest? If "Yes," provide detail in <b>Part VI</b> .	9b	
c Did a disqualified person (as defined in line 9a) have an ownership interest in, or derive any personal benefit from, assets in which the supporting organization also had an interest? If "Yes," provide detail in <b>Part VI</b> .	9c	
10a Was the organization subject to the excess business holdings rules of section 4943 because of section 4943(f) (regarding certain Type II supporting organizations, and all Type III non-functionally integrated supporting organizations)? If "Yes," answer line 10b below	10a	
b Did the organization have any excess business holdings in the tax year? (Use Schedule C, Form 4720, to determine whether the organization had excess business holdings)	10b	

**Part IV Supporting Organizations (continued)**

11 Has the organization accepted a gift or contribution from any of the following persons?

a A person who directly or indirectly controls, either alone or together with persons described in (b) and (c) below, the governing body of a supported organization?

b A family member of a person described in (a) above?

c A 35% controlled entity of a person described in (a) or (b) above? If "Yes" to a, b, or c, provide detail in Part VI

	Yes	No
11a		
11b		
11c		

**Section B. Type I Supporting Organizations**

1 Did the directors, trustees, or membership of one or more supported organizations have the power to regularly appoint or elect at least a majority of the organization's directors or trustees at all times during the tax year? If "No," describe in **Part VI** how the supported organization(s) effectively operated, supervised, or controlled the organization's activities. If the organization had more than one supported organization, describe how the powers to appoint and/or remove directors or trustees were allocated among the supported organizations and what conditions or restrictions, if any, applied to such powers during the tax year

2 Did the organization operate for the benefit of any supported organization other than the supported organization(s) that operated, supervised, or controlled the supporting organization? If "Yes," explain in **Part VI** how providing such benefit carried out the purposes of the supported organization(s) that operated, supervised or controlled the supporting organization

	Yes	No
1		
2		

**Section C. Type II Supporting Organizations**

1 Were a majority of the organization's directors or trustees during the tax year also a majority of the directors or trustees of each of the organization's supported organization(s)? If "No," describe in **Part VI** how control or management of the supporting organization was vested in the same persons that controlled or managed the supported organization(s)

	Yes	No
1		

**Section D. All Type III Supporting Organizations**

1 Did the organization provide to each of its supported organizations, by the last day of the fifth month of the organization's tax year, (i) a written notice describing the type and amount of support provided during the prior tax year, (ii) a copy of the Form 990 that was most recently filed as of the date of notification, and (iii) copies of the organization's governing documents in effect on the date of notification, to the extent not previously provided?

2 Were any of the organization's officers, directors, or trustees either (i) appointed or elected by the supported organization(s) or (ii) serving on the governing body of a supported organization? If "No," explain in **Part VI** how the organization maintained a close and continuous working relationship with the supported organization(s)

3 By reason of the relationship described in (2), did the organization's supported organizations have a significant voice in the organization's investment policies and in directing the use of the organization's income or assets at all times during the tax year? If "Yes," describe in **Part VI** the role the organization's supported organizations played in this regard

	Yes	No
1		
2		
3		

**Section E. Type III Functionally-Integrated Supporting Organizations**

1 Check the box next to the method that the organization used to satisfy the Integral Part Test during the year (see instructions)

a  The organization satisfied the Activities Test. Complete **line 2** below

b  The organization is the parent of each of its supported organizations. Complete **line 3** below

c  The organization supported a governmental entity. Describe in **Part VI** how you supported a government entity (see instructions)

2 Activities Test **Answer (a) and (b) below.**

a Did substantially all of the organization's activities during the tax year directly further the exempt purposes of the supported organization(s) to which the organization was responsive? If "Yes," then in **Part VI** identify those supported organizations and explain how these activities directly furthered their exempt purposes, how the organization was responsive to those supported organizations, and how the organization determined that these activities constituted substantially all of its activities

b Did the activities described in (a) constitute activities that, but for the organization's involvement, one or more of the organization's supported organization(s) would have been engaged in? If "Yes," explain in **Part VI** the reasons for the organization's position that its supported organization(s) would have engaged in these activities but for the organization's involvement

3 Parent of Supported Organizations **Answer (a) and (b) below.**

a Did the organization have the power to regularly appoint or elect a majority of the officers, directors, or trustees of each of the supported organizations? Provide details in **Part VI**.

b Did the organization exercise a substantial degree of direction over the policies, programs and activities of each of its supported organizations? If "Yes," describe in **Part VI** the role played by the organization in this regard

	Yes	No
2a		
2b		
3a		
3b		

**Part V Type III Non-Functionally Integrated 509(a)(3) Supporting Organizations**

**1**  Check here if the organization satisfied the Integral Part Test as a qualifying trust on Nov. 20, 1970 (explain in Part VI) **See instructions.** All other Type III non-functionally integrated supporting organizations must complete Sections A through E

<b>Section A - Adjusted Net Income</b>		(A) Prior Year	(B) Current Year (optional)
<b>1</b>	Net short-term capital gain	<b>1</b>	
<b>2</b>	Recoveries of prior-year distributions	<b>2</b>	
<b>3</b>	Other gross income (see instructions)	<b>3</b>	
<b>4</b>	Add lines 1 through 3	<b>4</b>	
<b>5</b>	Depreciation and depletion	<b>5</b>	
<b>6</b>	Portion of operating expenses paid or incurred for production or collection of gross income or for management, conservation, or maintenance of property held for production of income (see instructions)	<b>6</b>	
<b>7</b>	Other expenses (see instructions)	<b>7</b>	
<b>8</b>	<b>Adjusted Net Income</b> (subtract lines 5, 6 and 7 from line 4)	<b>8</b>	
<b>Section B - Minimum Asset Amount</b>		(A) Prior Year	(B) Current Year (optional)
<b>1</b>	Aggregate fair market value of all non-exempt-use assets (see instructions for short tax year or assets held for part of year)	<b>1</b>	
<b>a</b>	Average monthly value of securities	<b>1a</b>	
<b>b</b>	Average monthly cash balances	<b>1b</b>	
<b>c</b>	Fair market value of other non-exempt-use assets	<b>1c</b>	
<b>d</b>	<b>Total</b> (add lines 1a, 1b, and 1c)	<b>1d</b>	
<b>e</b>	<b>Discount</b> claimed for blockage or other factors (explain in detail in Part VI)		
<b>2</b>	Acquisition indebtedness applicable to non-exempt use assets	<b>2</b>	
<b>3</b>	Subtract line 2 from line 1d	<b>3</b>	
<b>4</b>	Cash deemed held for exempt use Enter 1-1/2% of line 3 (for greater amount, see instructions)	<b>4</b>	
<b>5</b>	Net value of non-exempt-use assets (subtract line 4 from line 3)	<b>5</b>	
<b>6</b>	Multiply line 5 by .035	<b>6</b>	
<b>7</b>	Recoveries of prior-year distributions	<b>7</b>	
<b>8</b>	<b>Minimum Asset Amount</b> (add line 7 to line 6)	<b>8</b>	
<b>Section C - Distributable Amount</b>		Current Year	
<b>1</b>	Adjusted net income for prior year (from Section A, line 8, Column A)	<b>1</b>	
<b>2</b>	Enter 85% of line 1	<b>2</b>	
<b>3</b>	Minimum asset amount for prior year (from Section B, line 8, Column A)	<b>3</b>	
<b>4</b>	Enter greater of line 2 or line 3	<b>4</b>	
<b>5</b>	Income tax imposed in prior year	<b>5</b>	
<b>6</b>	<b>Distributable Amount.</b> Subtract line 5 from line 4, unless subject to emergency temporary reduction (see instructions)	<b>6</b>	
<b>7</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Check here if the current year is the organization's first as a non-functionally-integrated Type III supporting organization (see instructions)		

**Part V Type III Non-Functionally Integrated 509(a)(3) Supporting Organizations (continued)**

<b>Section D - Distributions</b>		<b>Current Year</b>
<b>1</b>	Amounts paid to supported organizations to accomplish exempt purposes	
<b>2</b>	Amounts paid to perform activity that directly furthers exempt purposes of supported organizations, in excess of income from activity	
<b>3</b>	Administrative expenses paid to accomplish exempt purposes of supported organizations	
<b>4</b>	Amounts paid to acquire exempt-use assets	
<b>5</b>	Qualified set-aside amounts (prior IRS approval required)	
<b>6</b>	Other distributions (describe in <b>Part VI</b> ) See instructions	
<b>7</b>	<b>Total annual distributions.</b> Add lines 1 through 6	
<b>8</b>	Distributions to attentive supported organizations to which the organization is responsive (provide details in <b>Part VI</b> ) See instructions	
<b>9</b>	Distributable amount for 2018 from Section C, line 6	
<b>10</b>	Line 8 amount divided by Line 9 amount	
<b>Section E - Distribution Allocations (see instructions)</b>		<b>(i) Excess Distributions</b>
		<b>(ii) Underdistributions Pre-2018</b>
		<b>(iii) Distributable Amount for 2018</b>
<b>1</b>	Distributable amount for 2018 from Section C, line 6	
<b>2</b>	Underdistributions, if any, for years prior to 2018 (reasonable cause required-- explain in Part VI) See instructions	
<b>3</b>	Excess distributions carryover, if any, to 2018	
<b>a</b>	From 2013. . . . .	
<b>b</b>	From 2014. . . . .	
<b>c</b>	From 2015. . . . .	
<b>d</b>	From 2016. . . . .	
<b>e</b>	From 2017. . . . .	
<b>f</b>	<b>Total</b> of lines 3a through e	
<b>g</b>	Applied to underdistributions of prior years	
<b>h</b>	Applied to 2018 distributable amount	
<b>i</b>	Carryover from 2013 not applied (see instructions)	
<b>j</b>	Remainder Subtract lines 3g, 3h, and 3i from 3f	
<b>4</b>	Distributions for 2018 from Section D, line 7 \$	
<b>a</b>	Applied to underdistributions of prior years	
<b>b</b>	Applied to 2018 distributable amount	
<b>c</b>	Remainder Subtract lines 4a and 4b from 4	
<b>5</b>	Remaining underdistributions for years prior to 2018, if any Subtract lines 3g and 4a from line 2 If the amount is greater than zero, explain in Part VI See instructions	
<b>6</b>	Remaining underdistributions for 2018 Subtract lines 3h and 4b from line 1 If the amount is greater than zero, explain in Part VI See instructions	
<b>7</b>	<b>Excess distributions carryover to 2019.</b> Add lines 3j and 4c	
<b>8</b>	Breakdown of line 7	
<b>a</b>	Excess from 2014. . . . .	
<b>b</b>	Excess from 2015. . . . .	
<b>c</b>	Excess from 2016. . . . .	
<b>d</b>	Excess from 2017. . . . .	
<b>e</b>	Excess from 2018. . . . .	

**Part VI Supplemental Information.** Provide the explanations required by Part II, line 10, Part II, line 17a or 17b, Part III, line 12, Part IV, Section A, lines 1, 2, 3b, 3c, 4b, 4c, 5a, 6, 9a, 9b, 9c, 11a, 11b, and 11c, Part IV, Section B, lines 1 and 2, Part IV, Section C, line 1, Part IV, Section D, lines 2 and 3, Part IV, Section E, lines 1c, 2a, 2b, 3a and 3b, Part V, line 1, Part V, Section B, line 1e, Part V, Section D, lines 5, 6, and 8, and Part V, Section E, lines 2, 5, and 6. Also complete this part for any additional information (See instructions)

#### Facts And Circumstances Test

#### 990 Schedule A, Supplemental Information

Return Reference	Explanation
SCHEDULE A, PART II, LINE 10, EXPLANATION OF OTHER INCOME	HONORARIUMS REVENUE - 2014 AMOUNT \$ 23,379 2015 AMOUNT \$ 10,092 2016 AMOUNT \$ 26,750 2017 AMOUNT \$ 90,734 2018 AMOUNT \$ 77,346 REIMBURSEMENT OF LEGAL FEES - 2016 AMOUNT \$ 218,464 2017 AMOUNT \$ 17,524 2018 AMOUNT \$ 152,833

2018

Open to Public  
Inspection

**SCHEDULE D**  
(Form 990)

Department of the Treasury  
Internal Revenue Service

## Supplemental Financial Statements

► Complete if the organization answered "Yes," on Form 990, Part IV, line 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11a, 11b, 11c, 11d, 11e, 11f, 12a, or 12b.  
 ► Attach to Form 990.  
 ► Go to [www.irs.gov/Form990](http://www.irs.gov/Form990) for the latest information.

<b>Name of the organization</b> PRO PUBLICA INC	<b>Employer identification number</b> 14-2007220
--	---

### Part I Organizations Maintaining Donor Advised Funds or Other Similar Funds or Accounts.

Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 6.

	(a) Donor advised funds	(b) Funds and other accounts
1 Total number at end of year		
2 Aggregate value of contributions to (during year)		
3 Aggregate value of grants from (during year)		
4 Aggregate value at end of year		

5 Did the organization inform all donors and donor advisors in writing that the assets held in donor advised funds are the organization's property, subject to the organization's exclusive legal control?  Yes  No

6 Did the organization inform all grantees, donors, and donor advisors in writing that grant funds can be used only for charitable purposes and not for the benefit of the donor or donor advisor, or for any other purpose conferring impermissible private benefit?  Yes  No

### Part II Conservation Easements. Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 7.

1 Purpose(s) of conservation easements held by the organization (check all that apply)

Preservation of land for public use (e.g., recreation or education)  Preservation of an historically important land area

Protection of natural habitat  Preservation of a certified historic structure

Preservation of open space

2 Complete lines 2a through 2d if the organization held a qualified conservation contribution in the form of a conservation easement on the last day of the tax year

<b>a</b> Total number of conservation easements	<b>2a</b>
<b>b</b> Total acreage restricted by conservation easements	<b>2b</b>
<b>c</b> Number of conservation easements on a certified historic structure included in (a)	<b>2c</b>
<b>d</b> Number of conservation easements included in (c) acquired after 7/25/06, and not on a historic structure listed in the National Register	<b>2d</b>

3 Number of conservation easements modified, transferred, released, extinguished, or terminated by the organization during the tax year ► \_\_\_\_\_

4 Number of states where property subject to conservation easement is located ► \_\_\_\_\_

5 Does the organization have a written policy regarding the periodic monitoring, inspection, handling of violations, and enforcement of the conservation easements it holds?  Yes  No

6 Staff and volunteer hours devoted to monitoring, inspecting, handling of violations, and enforcing conservation easements during the year  
 ► \_\_\_\_\_

7 Amount of expenses incurred in monitoring, inspecting, handling of violations, and enforcing conservation easements during the year  
 ► \$ \_\_\_\_\_

8 Does each conservation easement reported on line 2(d) above satisfy the requirements of section 170(h)(4)(B)(i) and section 170(h)(4)(B)(ii)?  Yes  No

9 In Part XIII, describe how the organization reports conservation easements in its revenue and expense statement, and balance sheet, and include, if applicable, the text of the footnote to the organization's financial statements that describes the organization's accounting for conservation easements

### Part III Organizations Maintaining Collections of Art, Historical Treasures, or Other Similar Assets.

Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 8.

1a If the organization elected, as permitted under SFAS 116 (ASC 958), not to report in its revenue statement and balance sheet works of art, historical treasures, or other similar assets held for public exhibition, education, or research in furtherance of public service, provide, in Part XIII, the text of the footnote to its financial statements that describes these items

1b If the organization elected, as permitted under SFAS 116 (ASC 958), to report in its revenue statement and balance sheet works of art, historical treasures, or other similar assets held for public exhibition, education, or research in furtherance of public service, provide the following amounts relating to these items

(i) Revenue included on Form 990, Part VIII, line 1 ► \$ \_\_\_\_\_

(ii) Assets included in Form 990, Part X ► \$ \_\_\_\_\_

2 If the organization received or held works of art, historical treasures, or other similar assets for financial gain, provide the following amounts required to be reported under SFAS 116 (ASC 958) relating to these items

a Revenue included on Form 990, Part VIII, line 1 ► \$ \_\_\_\_\_

b Assets included in Form 990, Part X ► \$ \_\_\_\_\_

**Part III Organizations Maintaining Collections of Art, Historical Treasures, or Other Similar Assets (continued)**

**3** Using the organization's acquisition, accession, and other records, check any of the following that are a significant use of its collection items (check all that apply)

- a**  Public exhibition
- d**  Loan or exchange programs
- b**  Scholarly research
- e**  Other
- c**  Preservation for future generations

**4** Provide a description of the organization's collections and explain how they further the organization's exempt purpose in Part XIII

**5** During the year, did the organization solicit or receive donations of art, historical treasures or other similar assets to be sold to raise funds rather than to be maintained as part of the organization's collection?

Yes  No

**Part IV Escrow and Custodial Arrangements.**

Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 9, or reported an amount on Form 990, Part X, line 21.

**1a** Is the organization an agent, trustee, custodian or other intermediary for contributions or other assets not included on Form 990, Part X?

Yes  No

**b** If "Yes," explain the arrangement in Part XIII and complete the following table

**c** Beginning balance

**d** Additions during the year

**e** Distributions during the year

**f** Ending balance

	Amount
<b>1c</b>	
<b>1d</b>	
<b>1e</b>	
<b>1f</b>	

**2a** Did the organization include an amount on Form 990, Part X, line 21, for escrow or custodial account liability? . . .  Yes  No

**b** If "Yes," explain the arrangement in Part XIII. Check here if the explanation has been provided in Part XIII . . .

**Part V Endowment Funds.** Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 10.

	(a) Current year	(b) Prior year	(c) Two years back	(d) Three years back	(e) Four years back
<b>1a</b> Beginning of year balance . . . . .					
<b>b</b> Contributions . . . . .					
<b>c</b> Net investment earnings, gains, and losses					
<b>d</b> Grants or scholarships . . . . .					
<b>e</b> Other expenditures for facilities and programs . . . . .					
<b>f</b> Administrative expenses . . . . .					
<b>g</b> End of year balance . . . . .					

**2** Provide the estimated percentage of the current year end balance (line 1g, column (a)) held as

**a** Board designated or quasi-endowment ►

**b** Permanent endowment ►

**c** Temporarily restricted endowment ►

The percentages on lines 2a, 2b, and 2c should equal 100%

**3a** Are there endowment funds not in the possession of the organization that are held and administered for the organization by

**(i)** unrelated organizations . . . . .

**(ii)** related organizations . . . . .

**b** If "Yes" on 3a(ii), are the related organizations listed as required on Schedule R? . . . . .

**4** Describe in Part XIII the intended uses of the organization's endowment funds

	Yes	No
<b>3a(i)</b>		
<b>3a(ii)</b>		
<b>3b</b>		

**Part VI Land, Buildings, and Equipment.**

Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 11a. See Form 990, Part X, line 10.

Description of property	(a) Cost or other basis (investment)	(b) Cost or other basis (other)	(c) Accumulated depreciation	(d) Book value
<b>1a</b> Land . . . . .				
<b>b</b> Buildings . . . . .				
<b>c</b> Leasehold improvements		240,348	66,955	173,393
<b>d</b> Equipment . . . . .		718,814	404,902	313,912
<b>e</b> Other . . . . .		419,970	168,012	251,958
<b>Total.</b> Add lines 1a through 1e (Column (d) must equal Form 990, Part X, column (B), line 10(c) ) . . . ►				739,263

**Part VII Investments—Other Securities.** Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 11b. See Form 990, Part X, line 12.

(a) Description of security or category (including name of security)	(b) Book value	(c) Method of valuation Cost or end-of-year market value
(1) Financial derivatives		
(2) Closely-held equity interests		
(3) Other _____		
(A) _____		
(B) _____		
(C) _____		
(D) _____		
(E) _____		
(F) _____		
(G) _____		
(H) _____		
<b>Total.</b> (Column (b) must equal Form 990, Part X, col (B) line 12 )	►	

**Part VIII Investments—Program Related.**

Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 11c. See Form 990, Part X, line 13.

(a) Description of investment	(b) Book value	(c) Method of valuation Cost or end-of-year market value
(1) _____		
(2) _____		
(3) _____		
(4) _____		
(5) _____		
(6) _____		
(7) _____		
(8) _____		
(9) _____		
<b>Total.</b> (Column (b) must equal Form 990, Part X, col (B) line 13 )	►	

**Part IX Other Assets.** Complete if the organization answered 'Yes' on Form 990, Part IV, line 11d. See Form 990, Part X, line 15

(a) Description	(b) Book value
(1) _____	
(2) _____	
(3) _____	
(4) _____	
(5) _____	
(6) _____	
(7) _____	
(8) _____	
(9) _____	
<b>Total.</b> (Column (b) must equal Form 990, Part X, col (B) line 15 )	►

**Part X Other Liabilities.** Complete if the organization answered 'Yes' on Form 990, Part IV, line 11e or 11f. See Form 990, Part X, line 25.

(a) Description of liability	(b) Book value
(1) Federal income taxes	
(2) _____	
(3) _____	
(4) _____	
(5) _____	
(6) _____	
(7) _____	
(8) _____	
(9) _____	
<b>Total.</b> (Column (b) must equal Form 990, Part X, col (B) line 25 )	►

**2. Liability for uncertain tax positions** In Part XIII, provide the text of the footnote to the organization's financial statements that reports the organization's liability for uncertain tax positions under FIN 48 (ASC 740). Check here if the text of the footnote has been provided in Part XIII

**Part XI Reconciliation of Revenue per Audited Financial Statements With Revenue per Return**

Complete if the organization answered 'Yes' on Form 990, Part IV, line 12a.

1	Total revenue, gains, and other support per audited financial statements . . . . .	1	26,521,693
2	Amounts included on line 1 but not on Form 990, Part VIII, line 12		
a	Net unrealized gains (losses) on investments . . . . .	2a	-261
b	Donated services and use of facilities . . . . .	2b	
c	Recoveries of prior year grants . . . . .	2c	
d	Other (Describe in Part XIII) . . . . .	2d	
e	Add lines 2a through 2d . . . . .	2e	-261
3	Subtract line 2e from line 1 . . . . .	3	26,521,954
4	Amounts included on Form 990, Part VIII, line 12, but not on line 1		
a	Investment expenses not included on Form 990, Part VIII, line 7b . . . . .	4a	
b	Other (Describe in Part XIII) . . . . .	4b	163,979
c	Add lines 4a and 4b . . . . .	4c	163,979
5	Total revenue Add lines 3 and 4c. (This must equal Form 990, Part I, line 12) . . . . .	5	26,685,933

**Part XII Reconciliation of Expenses per Audited Financial Statements With Expenses per Return.**

Complete if the organization answered 'Yes' on Form 990, Part IV, line 12a.

1	Total expenses and losses per audited financial statements . . . . .	1	23,951,628
2	Amounts included on line 1 but not on Form 990, Part IX, line 25		
a	Donated services and use of facilities . . . . .	2a	
b	Prior year adjustments . . . . .	2b	
c	Other losses . . . . .	2c	
d	Other (Describe in Part XIII) . . . . .	2d	
e	Add lines 2a through 2d . . . . .	2e	0
3	Subtract line 2e from line 1 . . . . .	3	23,951,628
4	Amounts included on Form 990, Part IX, line 25, but not on line 1:		
a	Investment expenses not included on Form 990, Part VIII, line 7b . . . . .	4a	
b	Other (Describe in Part XIII) . . . . .	4b	152,833
c	Add lines 4a and 4b . . . . .	4c	152,833
5	Total expenses Add lines 3 and 4c. (This must equal Form 990, Part I, line 18) . . . . .	5	24,104,461

**Part XIII Supplemental Information**

Provide the descriptions required for Part II, lines 3, 5, and 9, Part III, lines 1a and 4, Part IV, lines 1b and 2b, Part V, line 4, Part X, line 2, Part XI, lines 2d and 4b, and Part XII, lines 2d and 4b. Also complete this part to provide any additional information

Return Reference	Explanation
See Additional Data Table	

## **Part XIII      Supplemental Information (continued)**

Return Reference	Explanation

## Additional Data

**Software ID:**  
**Software Version:**  
**EIN:** 14-2007220  
**Name:** PRO PUBLICA INC

### Supplemental Information

Return Reference	Explanation
PART X, LINE 2	THE ORGANIZATION RECOGNIZES THE EFFECT OF INCOME TAX POSITIONS ONLY IF THOSE POSITIONS ARE MORE LIKELY THAN NOT OF BEING SUSTAINED. MANAGEMENT HAS DETERMINED THAT THE ORGANIZATION HAD NO UNCERTAIN TAX POSITIONS THAT WOULD REQUIRE FINANCIAL STATEMENT RECOGNITION OR DISCLOSURE. THE ORGANIZATION IS NO LONGER SUBJECT TO EXAMINATIONS BY THE APPLICABLE TAXING JURISDICTIONS FOR PERIODS PRIOR TO 2015

## Supplemental Information

Return Reference	Explanation
PART XI, LINE 4B - OTHER ADJUSTMENTS	REIMBURSEMENTS OF LEGAL DEFENSE EXPENSES REPORTED ON PART VIII 152,833 LOSS ON DISPOSAL OF F ASSET 11,098 LOSS ON FOREIGN CURRENCY EXCHANGE 48

## Supplemental Information

Return Reference	Explanation
PART XII, LINE 4B - OTHER ADJUSTMENTS	REIMBURSEMENTS OF LEGAL DEFENSE EXPENSES REPORTED ON PART VIII 152,833

Note: To capture the full content of this document, please select landscape mode (11" x 8.5") when printing.

**Schedule I  
(Form 990)**Department of the  
Treasury  
Internal Revenue ServiceName of the organization  
PRO PUBLICA INC

OMB No 1545-0047

**Grants and Other Assistance to Organizations,  
Governments and Individuals in the United States**

Complete if the organization answered "Yes," on Form 990, Part IV, line 21 or 22.

► Attach to Form 990.

► Go to [www.irs.gov/Form990](http://www.irs.gov/Form990) for the latest information.**2018****Open to Public  
Inspection**Employer identification number  
14-2007220**Part I General Information on Grants and Assistance**

1 Does the organization maintain records to substantiate the amount of the grants or assistance, the grantees' eligibility for the grants or assistance, and the selection criteria used to award the grants or assistance? . . . . .

2 Describe in Part IV the organization's procedures for monitoring the use of grant funds in the United States

 Yes  No**Part II Grants and Other Assistance to Domestic Organizations and Domestic Governments.** Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 21, for any recipient that received more than \$5,000. Part II can be duplicated if additional space is needed

(a) Name and address of organization or government	(b) EIN	(c) IRC section (if applicable)	(d) Amount of cash grant	(e) Amount of non-cash assistance	(f) Method of valuation (book, FMV, appraisal, other)	(g) Description of noncash assistance	(h) Purpose of grant or assistance
(1) See Additional Data							
(2)							
(3)							
(4)							
(5)							
(6)							
(7)							
(8)							
(9)							
(10)							
(11)							
(12)							

2 Enter total number of section 501(c)(3) and government organizations listed in the line 1 table . . . . . ► 1

3 Enter total number of other organizations listed in the line 1 table . . . . . ► 6

**Part III Grants and Other Assistance to Domestic Individuals.** Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 22  
 Part III can be duplicated if additional space is needed

(a) Type of grant or assistance	(b) Number of recipients	(c) Amount of cash grant	(d) Amount of noncash assistance	(e) Method of valuation (book, FMV, appraisal, other)	(f) Description of noncash assistance
(1) EMERGING REPORTER STIPEND	10	45,000			
(2) DIVERSITY STIPEND	21	14,700			
(3) LOCAL REPORTING NETWORK GRANT	1	6,218			
(3)					
(4)					
(5)					
(6)					
(7)					

**Part IV Supplemental Information.** Provide the information required in Part I, line 2; Part III, column (b); and any other additional information.

Return Reference	Explanation
PART I, LINE 2	<p>A) STIPEND NARRATIVE FOR INDIVIDUALS RECEIVING ASSISTANCE STIPEND PAYMENTS REPRESENT A FORM OF GRANT ASSISTANCE PROVIDED TO PARTICIPANTS IN VARIOUS PROPUBLICA OUTREACH PROGRAMS. PARTICIPANTS IN THE PROGRAMS ARE SELECTED VIA COMPETITIVE APPLICATION PROCESS IN WHICH THE APPLICANTS ARE CHOSEN BASED ON THEIR QUALIFICATIONS AND CAREER PROSPECTS IN THE FIELD OF INVESTIGATIVE JOURNALISM. FUNDING IS OFTEN PROVIDED AS A PART OF A GRANT RECEIVED BY PROPUBLICA. IN SUCH INSTANCES, THE GRANT REQUIREMENTS DETERMINE THE AMOUNT OF FUNDING AVAILABLE AND MAY INFORM THE STIPEND PAYMENT PROCESS. IF THE FUNDING IS PROVIDED THROUGH PROPUBLICA'S GENERAL OPERATING BUDGET, FUNDING AVAILABILITY IS DETERMINED BASED ON OVERALL BUDGETARY CONCERNs. BASED ON THESE PARAMETERS, PROGRAM DIRECTORS DETERMINE WHICH OF THE FOLLOWING SITUATIONS APPLY - ALL PARTICIPANTS RECEIVE EQUAL STIPEND AMOUNTS - ALL PARTICIPANTS RECEIVE SOME STIPEND, WITH THE AWARD AMOUNT VARYING BASED ON DETERMINATION OF NEED - SOME PARTICIPANTS RECEIVE STIPENDS, WITH THE AWARD AMOUNT VARYING BASED ON DETERMINATION OF NEED. IN THIS INSTANCE, APPLICANTS MUST APPLY FOR ASSISTANCE AS A SEPARATE PROCEDURE FROM THE GENERAL APPLICATION PROCESS AND ARE NOTIFIED THE AMOUNT OF THE TOTAL ASSISTANCE AVAILABLE BASED ON GRANT FUNDS AVAILABLE. APPLICATIONS FOR ASSISTANCE REQUIRE APPLICANTS TO ADDRESS THE FOLLOWING - EXPLICITLY ANSWER THE QUESTION OF WHETHER OR NOT THEY NEED FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE - PROVIDE THE AMOUNT OF ASSISTANCE THAT THEY ARE REQUESTING BASED ON PRE-DETERMINED FUND AVAILABILITY - EXPLAIN HOW THE FUNDING WOULD MAKE IT POSSIBLE FOR THEM TO ATTEND THE PROGRAM WHEN THEY OTHERWISE WOULD NOT BE ABLE TO. IF THE CASE IS COMPELLING, THE REQUEST FOR ASSISTANCE IS GRANTED UP TO THE LIMITS OF THE FUNDS AVAILABLE. IF THE EXPLANATION OF NEED INCLUDES THE COST OF EXPENSES THAT ARE ALREADY COVERED FOR ALL ATTENDEES, THE AMOUNT OF THE GRANT MAY BE REDUCED ACCORDINGLY. FOR EXAMPLE, THE DATA INSTITUTE PROGRAM COVERS THE COST OF LODGING AND TRAVEL FOR ALL ATTENDEES. IF LODGING/TRAVEL ARE INCLUDED IN THE EXPLANATION OF NEED, THE AMOUNT AWARDED MAY BE REDUCED. WHEN FUNDING IS PROVIDED VIA PASS-THROUGH GRANTS FROM OTHER ORGANIZATIONS, MONITORING OF FUND USAGE AND FOLLOW-UP ARE BASED UPON ONGOING VERBAL DIALOGUE, GRANT REPORTING REQUIREMENTS, AND WRITTEN GRANT REPORTS PROVIDED TO GRANTING ORGANIZATIONS AT THE END OF THE GRANT PERIOD. WHEN FUNDING IS PROVIDED THROUGH GENERAL OPERATING BUDGET DESIGNATION, PROPUBLICA MAINTAINS RECORDS OF INDIVIDUALS RECEIVING AND BENEFITTING FROM GRANT FUNDS, INCLUDING COMPLETED W-9 FORMS. AS THESE GRANTS ARE NEED-BASED, SUCH FUNDS MAY BE USED FOR ANY PURPOSE. B) NARRATIVE FOR ORGANIZATIONS &amp; INDIVIDUALS RECEIVING ASSISTANCE THROUGH LOCAL REPORTING NETWORK. THE OTHER TYPE OF FUNDING PROPUBLICA PROVIDES IS THROUGH REGRANTING FOR ORGANIZATIONS AND INDIVIDUALS PARTICIPATING IN THE LOCAL REPORTING NETWORK INITIATIVE. THROUGH THIS INITIATIVE, PROPUBLICA PARTNERS WITH LOCAL NEWSROOMS THROUGHOUT THE UNITED STATES TO PROVIDE FUNDING FOR A REPORTER TO WORK ON AN INVESTIGATIVE JOURNALISM PROJECT FOR ONE YEAR. MOST OFTEN, THE GRANTEE IS THE NEWSROOM ORGANIZATION ITSELF. HOWEVER, OCCASIONALLY, FUNDING MAY BE PROVIDED DIRECTLY TO A REPORTER WITH AN AFFILIATION TO THE SELECTED NEWSROOM. NEWSROOMS ARE SELECTED TO PARTICIPATE IN LRN VIA A COMPETITIVE APPLICATION PROCESS, AND IN ADDITION TO FUNDING, LRN PARTNERS RECEIVE ACCESS TO PROPUBLICA RESOURCES INCLUDING EDITORS, TRAINING SESSIONS, AND CO-PUBLISHING OPPORTUNITIES. LRN GRANT AMOUNTS ARE DETERMINED BASED ON EACH REPORTER'S ANNUAL SALARY AND A STANDARD BENEFITS PERCENT ALLOCATION, WHICH WAS 22% FOR 2018, AND AMOUNTS ARE AGREED TO IN WRITING BY BOTH THE PARTICIPATING NEWSROOM AND PROPUBLICA. FUND USAGE FOR LRN IS MONITORED BY THE PROPUBLICA EDITORS WHO OVERSEE THE PROGRAM AND WORK DIRECTLY WITH THE REPORTERS. ULTIMATELY, THE REPORTERS ARE EXPECTED TO PUBLISH A FULL-LENGTH INVESTIGATIVE PIECE IN COORDINATION WITH PROPUBLICA. ALSO, PARTICIPATING ORGANIZATIONS MUST PROVIDE A WRITTEN ACCOUNTING FOR FUNDS USAGE AT THE END OF THE GRANT PERIOD, WHICH MOST OFTEN INCLUDES A COPY OF THE FUNDED REPORTER'S W2 FOR THE FUNDED GRANT YEAR.</p>

## Additional Data

**Software ID:**

**Software Version:**

**EIN:** 14-2007220

**Name:** PRO PUBLICA INC

### Form 990, Schedule I, Part II, Grants and Other Assistance to Domestic Organizations and Domestic Governments.

(a) Name and address of organization or government	(b) EIN	(c) IRC section if applicable	(d) Amount of cash grant	(e) Amount of non-cash assistance	(f) Method of valuation (book, FMV, appraisal, other)	(g) Description of non-cash assistance	(h) Purpose of grant or assistance
CAPITAL CITY PRESS LLC 7290 BLUEBONNET BOULEVARD BATON ROUGE, LA 70810	72-0146160		73,200				PARTICIPATION IN LOCAL REPORTING NETWORK INITIATIVE
CHARLESTON NEWSPAPERS 1001 VIRGINIA ST E CHARLESTON, WV 25301	55-0676079		53,218				PARTICIPATION IN LOCAL REPORTING NETWORK INITIATIVE

**Form 990, Schedule I, Part II, Grants and Other Assistance to Domestic Organizations and Domestic Governments.**

<b>(a)</b> Name and address of organization or government	<b>(b)</b> EIN	<b>(c)</b> IRC section if applicable	<b>(d)</b> Amount of cash grant	<b>(e)</b> Amount of non-cash assistance	<b>(f)</b> Method of valuation (book, FMV, appraisal, other)	<b>(g)</b> Description of non-cash assistance	<b>(h)</b> Purpose of grant or assistance
COMMUNITY COMMUNICATIONS INC (WMFE) 11510 E COLONIAL DR ORLANDO, FL 32817	59-6155012	501(C)(3)	62,220			PARTICIPATION IN LOCAL REPORTING NETWORK INITIATIVE	
MALHEUR MEDIA LLC 142 CHEMAWA RD N KEIZER, OR 97303	47-5030251		46,652			PARTICIPATION IN LOCAL REPORTING NETWORK INITIATIVE	

**Form 990, Schedule I, Part II, Grants and Other Assistance to Domestic Organizations and Domestic Governments.**

<b>(a) Name and address of organization or government</b>	<b>(b) EIN</b>	<b>(c) IRC section if applicable</b>	<b>(d) Amount of cash grant</b>	<b>(e) Amount of non-cash assistance</b>	<b>(f) Method of valuation (book, FMV, appraisal, other)</b>	<b>(g) Description of non-cash assistance</b>	<b>(h) Purpose of grant or assistance</b>
SOUTH BEND TRIBUNE 225 W COLFAX AVE SOUTH BEND, IN 46601	35-1381571		53,734			PARTICIPATION IN	LOCAL REPORTING
SOUTHERN ILLINOISAN 710 N ILLINOIS AVE CARBONDALE, IL 62901	42-0823980		50,752			NETWORK INITIATIVE	PARTICIPATION IN LOCAL REPORTING NETWORK INITIATIVE

**Form 990, Schedule I, Part II, Grants and Other Assistance to Domestic Organizations and Domestic Governments.**

(a) Name and address of organization or government	(b) EIN	(c) IRC section if applicable	(d) Amount of cash grant	(e) Amount of non-cash assistance	(f) Method of valuation (book, FMV, appraisal, other)	(g) Description of non-cash assistance	(h) Purpose of grant or assistance
THE NEW MEXICAN INC 202 E MARCY ST SANTA FE, NM 87501	85-0095953		49,776	PARTICIPATION IN LOCAL REPORTING NETWORK INITIATIVE			

**Schedule J**  
(Form 990)**Compensation Information**

OMB No 1545-0047

For certain Officers, Directors, Trustees, Key Employees, and Highest Compensated Employees  
 ► Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 23.  
 ► Attach to Form 990.  
 ► Go to [www.irs.gov/Form990](http://www.irs.gov/Form990) for instructions and the latest information.

**2018****Open to Public Inspection**Department of the Treasury  
Internal Revenue ServiceName of the organization  
PRO PUBLICA INC

Employer identification number

14-2007220

**Part I Questions Regarding Compensation**

**1a** Check the appropriate box(es) if the organization provided any of the following to or for a person listed on Form 990, Part VII, Section A, line 1a. Complete Part III to provide any relevant information regarding these items

<input type="checkbox"/> First-class or charter travel	<input type="checkbox"/> Housing allowance or residence for personal use
<input type="checkbox"/> Travel for companions	<input type="checkbox"/> Payments for business use of personal residence
<input type="checkbox"/> Tax indemnification and gross-up payments	<input type="checkbox"/> Health or social club dues or initiation fees
<input type="checkbox"/> Discretionary spending account	<input type="checkbox"/> Personal services (e.g., maid, chauffeur, chef)

**b** If any of the boxes in line 1a are checked, did the organization follow a written policy regarding payment or reimbursement or provision of all of the expenses described above? If "No," complete Part III to explain

**2** Did the organization require substantiation prior to reimbursing or allowing expenses incurred by all directors, trustees, officers, including the CEO/Executive Director, regarding the items checked in line 1a?

**3** Indicate which, if any, of the following the filing organization used to establish the compensation of the organization's CEO/Executive Director. Check all that apply. Do not check any boxes for methods used by a related organization to establish compensation of the CEO/Executive Director, but explain in Part III

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Compensation committee	<input type="checkbox"/> Written employment contract
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Independent compensation consultant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Compensation survey or study
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Form 990 of other organizations	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Approval by the board or compensation committee

**4** During the year, did any person listed on Form 990, Part VII, Section A, line 1a, with respect to the filing organization or a related organization

**a** Receive a severance payment or change-of-control payment?  
**b** Participate in, or receive payment from, a supplemental nonqualified retirement plan?  
**c** Participate in, or receive payment from, an equity-based compensation arrangement?

If "Yes" to any of lines 4a-c, list the persons and provide the applicable amounts for each item in Part III

**Only 501(c)(3), 501(c)(4), and 501(c)(29) organizations must complete lines 5-9.**

**5** For persons listed on Form 990, Part VII, Section A, line 1a, did the organization pay or accrue any compensation contingent on the revenues of

**a** The organization?

**b** Any related organization?

If "Yes," on line 5a or 5b, describe in Part III

**6** For persons listed on Form 990, Part VII, Section A, line 1a, did the organization pay or accrue any compensation contingent on the net earnings of

**a** The organization?

**b** Any related organization?

If "Yes," on line 6a or 6b, describe in Part III

**7** For persons listed on Form 990, Part VII, Section A, line 1a, did the organization provide any nonfixed payments not described in lines 5 and 6? If "Yes," describe in Part III

**8** Were any amounts reported on Form 990, Part VII, paid or accrued pursuant to a contract that was subject to the initial contract exception described in Regulations section 53.4958-4(a)(3)? If "Yes," describe in Part III

**9** If "Yes" on line 8, did the organization also follow the rebuttable presumption procedure described in Regulations section 53.4958-6(c)?

	Yes	No
<b>1b</b>		
<b>2</b>		
<b>4a</b>		No
<b>4b</b>		No
<b>4c</b>		No
<b>5a</b>		No
<b>5b</b>		No
<b>6a</b>		No
<b>6b</b>		No
<b>7</b>		No
<b>8</b>		No
<b>9</b>		

**Part II Officers, Directors, Trustees, Key Employees, and Highest Compensated Employees.** Use duplicate copies if additional space is needed.

For each individual whose compensation must be reported on Schedule J, report compensation from the organization on row (i) and from related organizations, described in the instructions, on row (ii). Do not list any individuals that are not listed on Form 990, Part VII.

**Note.** The sum of columns (B)(i)-(III) for each listed individual must equal the total amount of Form 990, Part VII, Section A, line 1a, applicable column (D) and (E) amounts for that individual.

**Part III      Supplemental Information**

Provide the information, explanation, or descriptions required for Part I, lines 1a, 1b, 3, 4a, 4b, 4c, 5a, 5b, 6a, 6b, 7, and 8, and for Part II. Also complete this part for any additional information.

**Return Reference**

**Explanation**

SCHEDULE M  
(Form 990)

## Noncash Contributions

OMB No 1545-0047

2018

Open to Public  
InspectionDepartment of the Treasury  
Internal Revenue ServiceName of the organization  
PRO PUBLICA INC

Employer identification number

14-2007220

## Part I Types of Property

	(a) Check if applicable	(b) Number of contributions or items contributed	(c) Noncash contribution amounts reported on Form 990, Part VIII, line 1g	(d) Method of determining noncash contribution amounts
1 Art—Works of art . . . . .				
2 Art—Historical treasures . . . . .				
3 Art—Fractional interests . . . . .				
4 Books and publications . . . . .				
5 Clothing and household goods . . . . .				
6 Cars and other vehicles . . . . .				
7 Boats and planes . . . . .				
8 Intellectual property . . . . .				
9 Securities—Publicly traded . . . . .	X	35	565,448	AVG SELLING PRICE
10 Securities—Closely held stock . . . . .				
11 Securities—Partnership, LLC, or trust interests . . . . .				
12 Securities—Miscellaneous . . . . .				
13 Qualified conservation contribution—Historic structures . . . . .				
14 Qualified conservation contribution—Other . . . . .				
15 Real estate—Residential . . . . .				
16 Real estate—Commercial . . . . .				
17 Real estate—Other . . . . .				
18 Collectibles . . . . .				
19 Food inventory . . . . .				
20 Drugs and medical supplies . . . . .				
21 Taxidermy . . . . .				
22 Historical artifacts . . . . .				
23 Scientific specimens . . . . .				
24 Archeological artifacts . . . . .				
25 Other ► ( _____ ) . . . . .				
26 Other ► ( _____ ) . . . . .				
27 Other ► ( _____ ) . . . . .				
28 Other ► ( _____ ) . . . . .				

29 Number of Forms 8283 received by the organization during the tax year for contributions  
for which the organization completed Form 8283, Part IV, Donee Acknowledgement

29

0

30a During the year, did the organization receive by contribution any property reported in Part I, lines 1 through 28, that it  
must hold for at least three years from the date of the initial contribution, and which is not required to be used for exempt  
purposes for the entire holding period? . . . . .

	Yes	No
30a		No
31		No
32a		No

b If "Yes," describe the arrangement in Part II

31 Does the organization have a gift acceptance policy that requires the review of any nonstandard contributions?

32a Does the organization hire or use third parties or related organizations to solicit, process, or sell noncash  
contributions? . . . . .

b If "Yes," describe in Part II

33 If the organization did not report an amount in column (c) for a type of property for which column (a) is checked,  
describe in Part II

**Part II****Supplemental Information.**

Provide the information required by Part I, lines 30b, 32b, and 33, and whether the organization is reporting in Part I, column (b), the number of contributions, the number of items received, or a combination of both. Also complete this part for any additional information.

Return Reference	Explanation
PART I, COLUMN (B)	THE ORGANIZATION IS REPORTING THE NUMBER OF CONTRIBUTORS IN PART I, COLUMN (B) OF SCHEDULE M

OMB No 1545-0047

**SCHEDULE O**  
(Form 990 or 990-  
EZ)

Department of the Treasury

Name of the organization  
PRO PUBLICA INC

**Supplemental Information to Form 990 or 990-EZ**

Complete to provide information for responses to specific questions on  
Form 990 or 990-EZ or to provide any additional information.

- ▶ Attach to Form 990 or 990-EZ.
- ▶ Go to [www.irs.gov/Form990](http://www.irs.gov/Form990) for the latest information.

**2018**

**Open to Public  
Inspection**

Employer identification number

14-2007220

Return Reference	Explanation
FORM 990, PART III, LINE 4A	<p>A DECADE AGO, WE LAUNCHED PROPUBLICA'S WEBSITE WITH A BRIEF NOTE, "WELCOME TO THE STARTING LINE" IN THAT JUNE 10, 2008 POST, SIGNED BY FOUNDING EDITOR-IN-CHIEF PAUL STEIGER AND THEN-MANAGING EDITOR STEPHEN ENGELBERG, WE ACKNOWLEDGED THAT PROPUBLICA WAS SOMETHING OF AN EXPERIMENT. WE WERE 20 PEOPLE, BACKED BY A \$10 MILLION ANNUAL BUDGET AND A THREE-YEAR COMMITMENT FROM THE SANDLER FOUNDATION, WITH SOME NEW IDEAS ABOUT HOW TO PUBLISH INVESTIGATIVE JOURNALISM. OUR MODEL FOR DISTRIBUTING STORIES - CO-PUBLISHING WITH MAJOR NEWS OUTLETS LIKE 60 MINUTES, THE NEW YORK TIMES, THE LOS ANGELES TIMES AND POLITICO - WAS UNTESTED. THERE WAS NO CLEAR SENSE OF WHERE THE MONEY WOULD COME FROM WHEN WE SOUGHT A BROADER GROUP OF BACKERS. DESPITE THESE UNKNOWNS, WE WERE UNWAVERING IN OUR COMMITMENT TO INVESTIGATIVE REPORTING AS AN ESSENTIAL COMPONENT OF A DEMOCRACY. EVEN IF WE DIDN'T KNOW QUITE HOW THIS EXPERIMENT WOULD UNFOLD, WE BELIEVED IN TAKING ON THE TOUGHEST SUBJECTS, SHINING A LIGHT ON POWERFUL INSTITUTIONS OF ALL SORTS, AND PRESENTING DEEPLY REPORTED STORIES WITH MORAL FORCE AND AN EYE TOWARD SPURRING CHANGE. TEN YEARS LATER, IT'S CLEAR THAT AN INCREASING NUMBER OF PEOPLE SHARE THESE VALUES. WE WERE THRILLED AND HUMBLED TO MAKE A LOT OF FRIENDS IN OUR FIRST DECADE. PROPUBLICA HAS HAD 184 PUBLISHING PARTNERS, INCLUDING 59 IN 2018 ALONE. OUR BUDGET HAS GROWN TO MORE THAN \$26 MILLION FOR 2019, WHICH SUPPORTS ABOUT 120 EMPLOYEES WORKING AT OUR NATIONAL OPERATION, PROPUBLICA ILLINOIS AND REPORTING FOR OUR LOCAL REPORTING NETWORK. THOUSANDS OF PEOPLE NOW DONATE EVERY MONTH, PART OF A FLOW OF SMALLER DONATIONS THAT IN 2018 ADDED UP TO MORE THAN \$4 MILLION. CHANGEMAKING PERHAPS THE ACHIEVEMENT WE'RE MOST PROUD OF IS THE IMPACT OF OUR WORK OVER THE YEARS. IN A CYNICAL AGE WHEN MANY BELIEVE THAT THE OUTCOMES ARE "RIGGED AND UNCHANGEABLE", WE HAVE REPEATEDLY SHOWN THAT POWERFUL, FACT-BASED JOURNALISM HAS THE POTENTIAL TO CHANGE MINDS, LAWS AND THE LIVES OF ORDINARY PEOPLE. IN JUNE, AS THE PLIGHT OF FAMILIES SEPARATED AT THE U.S.-MEXICO BORDER GAINED NATIONAL ATTENTION, PROPUBLICA RELEASED AUDIO OF MIGRANT CHILDREN INSIDE A U.S. CUSTOMS AND BORDER PROTECTION FACILITY. THE SOUNDS OF CHILDREN SOBING AND BEGGING FOR THEIR PARENTS SERVED AS EVIDENCE OF THE TRAGEDY UNDERWAY. LAWMAKERS CITED PROPUBLICA'S AUDIO AS THEY CONDEMNED THE ADMINISTRATION'S POLICY, AND PROTESTERS BLARED THE RECORDING AT DEMONSTRATIONS ACROSS THE COUNTRY. WITHIN 48 HOURS, PRESIDENT TRUMP REVERSED HIS POLICY OF SEPARATING CHILDREN FROM THEIR PARENTS. A FEDERAL JUDGE IN CALIFORNIA ORDERED THAT PARENTS AND CHILDREN BE REUNITED WITHIN 30 DAYS. WITHIN A MONTH, THE CHILD HEARD IN THE RECORDING PLEADING TO CALL HER AUNT WAS REUNITED WITH HER MOTHER. LATER IN THE YEAR, WE REPORTED THAT BORDER AGENTS RESUMED THE REMOVAL OF SOME CHILDREN FROM THEIR PARENTS BY CLAIMING THAT THEIR PARENTS WERE CRIMINALS AND THUS A DANGER TO THEIR CHILDREN. OUR STORY FOCUSED ON A CASE IN WHICH THE DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY C</p>

Return Reference	Explanation
FORM 990, PART III, LINE 4A	LAIMED, WITHOUT EVIDENCE, THAT A SALVADORAN MAN WAS A GANG MEMBER AND SEPARATED HIM FROM HIS 4-YEAR-OLD SON TWO WEEKS AFTER THE INVESTIGATION PUBLISHED, AND 11 WEEKS AFTER THEY HAD BEEN SEPARATED, THE CHILD WAS RETURNED TO HIS FATHER. TO HELP SUPPORT ACCOUNTABILITY REPORTING ACROSS THE COUNTRY, ESPECIALLY AT THE LOCAL AND REGIONAL LEVELS, PROPUBLICA ILLINOIS HAD ITS FULL YEAR OF OPERATION IN 2018, AND WE KICKED OFF THE PROPUBLICA LOCAL REPORTING NETWORK THROUGH THIS INITIATIVE WE SUPPORTED SEVEN INVESTIGATIVE PROJECTS AT LOCAL NEWS ORGANIZATIONS (IN CITIES WITH POPULATIONS BELOW ONE MILLION), IN EACH CASE PAYING A REPORTER'S SALARY AND BENEFITS, IN ADDITION TO PROVIDING PROPUBLICA'S EXPERTISE IN DATA, RESEARCH AND ENGAGEMENT IN ONE OF THE INAUGURAL PROJECTS FROM PROPUBLICA ILLINOIS, PUBLISHED IN PARTNERSHIP WITH THE CHICAGO TRIBUNE, OUR WORK EXPOSED DEVASTATING INEQUITIES IN PROPERTY TAX ASSESSMENTS DONE BY THE COOK COUNTY ASSESSOR IN THE ILLINOIS DEMOCRATIC PRIMARY ELECTION, FAIRNESS IN THE PROPERTY TAX SYSTEM WAS A MAJOR ISSUE - AND ASSESSOR JOSEPH BERRIOS, WHO ALSO SERVED AS THE COOK COUNTY DEMOCRATIC CHAIRMAN, LOST HIS BID FOR RE-ELECTION AS PART OF OUR LOCAL REPORTING NETWORK, THE SOUTH BEND TRIBUNE IN INDIANA EXAMINED USE OF FORCE IN THE NEIGHBORING ELKHART POLICE DEPARTMENT, UNCOVERING STARTLING, PREVIOUSLY UNDISCLOSED ACTS OF MISCONDUCT THE TRIBUNE AND PROPUBLICA ALSO FOUND THAT, WHILE THE POLICE CHIEF AND MOST OF THE DEPARTMENT'S SUPERVISORS HAD BEEN DISCIPLINED FOR CARELESSNESS AND INCOMPETENCE, THEY KEPT THEIR JOBS AND WERE OFTEN PROMOTED IN THE AFTERMATH OF THIS REPORTING, THE POLICE CHIEF RESIGNED, AND ELKHART'S MAYOR ANNOUNCED AN INDEPENDENT REVIEW OF THE CITY'S POLICE DEPARTMENT - BEFORE ABANDONING HIS OWN RE-ELECTION CAMPAIGN INTERNATIONALLY, OUR INVESTIGATION "UNPROTECTED," CO-PUBLISHED WITH TIME MAGAZINE, AND AN ACCOMPANYING DOCUMENTARY CREATED BY PROPUBLICA FILMS LOOKED INTO AN ACCLAIMED CHARITY CALLED MORE THAN ME FOUNDED BY AMERICAN KATIE MEYLER, THE LIBERIAN SCHOOL PROMISED TO RESCUE SOME OF THE WORLD'S MOST VULNERABLE GIRLS FROM LIFE ON THE STREETS, BUT FROM THE VERY BEGINNING, CHILDREN PLACED UNDER ITS CARE WERE BEING RAPED BY ONE OF THE NONPROFIT'S LEADERS. DAYS AFTER OUR INVESTIGATION, MEYLER TEMPORARILY STEPPED ASIDE AS CEO AND THE ORGANIZATION'S BOARD CHAIRMAN RESIGNED AMID PROTESTS IN LIBERIA, CALLING FOR THE GOVERNMENT TO REVOKE MORE THAN ME'S ACCREDITATION AND PERMISSION TO RUN ITS SCHOOLS, SEVEN LIBERIAN GOVERNMENT AGENCIES VOWED TO TAKE ACTION AS PART OF A "FULL-SCALE INVESTIGATION" INTO THE CHARITY. THE STORIES IN DATA PROPUBLICA'S USE OF DATA ANALYSIS AND VISUAL STORYTELLING HAS EXPANDED SUBSTANTIALLY SINCE OUR FOUNDING THE INITIAL STAFF OF PROPUBLICA INCLUDED JUST TWO PEOPLE WHO KNEW HOW TO WRITE COMPUTER CODE AND COULD CREATE, WHEN NEEDED, A GRAPHIC OR TWO. IN THE DECADE SINCE, WE'VE ADDED A TEAM OF DATA JOURNALISTS AND PIONEERED THE FIELD OF NEWS APPLICATION DEVELOPMENT, BROUGHT ON WEB DESIGNERS AN

## 990 Schedule O, Supplemental Information

Return Reference	Explanation
FORM 990, PART III, LINE 4A	D PRODUCERS, AND, MOST RECENTLY, EXPANDED INTO SOCIAL AND LONG-FORM VIDEO FROM OUR IMMERSIVE INVESTIGATION SHOWING WHAT IT SOUNDS AND LOOKS LIKE WHEN A GAS DRILLER OVERRUNS YOUR PROPERTY, TO AN ANIMATED MAP TAKING READERS THROUGH A DANGEROUS ROUTE THROUGH NEW YORK'S PRIVATE COMMERCIAL GARBAGE COLLECTION, THIS YEAR'S WORK KEPT OUR NEWSROOM AT THE FRONTIERS OF CONTEMPORARY JOURNALISM IN THE WEEKS AHEAD OF THE 2018 MIDTERM ELECTIONS, PROPUBLICA'S FACEBOOK POLITICAL AD COLLECTOR GAINED STEAM AND INSIGHTS OUR DEVELOPERS HAD EARLIER DESIGNED THE SMALL PIECE OF SOFTWARE AS A SOLUTION TO THE HARD-TO-TRACK NATURE OF ONLINE POLITICAL ADS, WHICH APPEAR ON SOME PEOPLE'S SCREENS WHILE NEVER BEING SHOWN TO OTHERS WHEN PARTICIPATING FACEBOOK USERS DOWNLOADED THE EXTENSION, WE AUTOMATICALLY IDENTIFIED THE POLITICAL ADS DISPLAYED ON THEIR NEWSFEEDS AND COLLECTED THEM IN A PUBLIC DATABASE. BY ELECTION DAY, WE HAD COLLECTED MORE THAN 100,000 POLITICAL ADS FROM NEARLY 20,000 FACEBOOK USERS, ALLOWING US TO REPORT ON ADS THAT WERE ACTUALLY SCAMS AND MALWARE, NEGATIVE ADS THAT IGNORED FEC RULES BY FAILING TO DISCLOSE WHO PAID FOR AND APPROVED THEM, AND MYSTERIOUS FACEBOOK PAGES PUSHING FRINGE CANDIDATES. PROPUBLICA ILLINOIS AND WBEZ PRODUCED A SERIES OF STORIES EXAMINING HOW CHICAGO'S RELIANCE ON VEHICLE TICKETING TO GENERATE REVENUE - IN ADDITION TO UNEQUAL ENFORCEMENT AND PUNITIVE COLLECTION MEASURES - HAS LED TO MASSIVE DEBT IN LOW-INCOME AND ESPECIALLY BLACK NEIGHBORHOODS. THE PROJECT TEAM COLLECTED MORE THAN 54 MILLION PARKING, STANDING AND VEHICLE COMPLIANCE TICKETS ISSUED SINCE 1996 AND MADE THE AGGREGATE DATA PUBLIC IN AN ACCOMPANYING DATABASE. USERS CAN EXPLORE THE TICKET TRAP TO SEE HOW TICKETING, DEBT AND THE RATES AT WHICH PEOPLE APPEAL THEIR TICKETS COMPARE ACROSS CHICAGO'S 50 WARDS. THE PROJECT LED TO THE CHICAGO CITY COUNCIL PASSING A PACKAGE OF REFORMS TO THE CITY'S TICKETING SYSTEM AS PART OF A SERIES ON RACIAL DISPARITIES IN EDUCATION, PROPUBLICA LAUNCHED AN ENORMOUS FACT-FINDING MISSION, COLLECTING AND ANALYZING TROVES OF EDUCATION DEPARTMENT DATA. THIS UNDERTAKING CULMINATED IN MISEDUCATION, A GROUNDBREAKING DATABASE ALLOWING USERS TO LOOK UP MORE THAN 96,000 INDIVIDUAL PUBLIC AND CHARTER SCHOOLS AND 17,000 DISTRICTS TO SEE HOW THEY COMPARE ON EDUCATIONAL OPPORTUNITY AND SCHOOL DISCIPLINE. THE WORK CONTINUES.

Return Reference	Explanation
IN JUST THE LAST FEW MONTHS OF OUR TENTH FULL YEAR, PROPUBLICA HAS PRODUCED	IMPORTANT, CHALLENGING AND INNOVATIVELY PRESENTED STORIES THAT HAVE OFTEN SPARKED REAL-WORLD CHANGE. THIS WORK INCLUDED STORIES ON HOW A HOUSING PROGRAM FOR MENTALLY ILL NEW YORKERS HAS PROVEN UNSAFE, INHUMANE AND SOMETIMES DEADLY, A TWO-PART FRONTLINE DOCUMENTARY ON VIOLENT WHITE SUPREMACIST GROUPS, EYE-OPENING STORIES ON HOW A BUDGET-DEPRIVED IRS IS UNABLE TO PURSUE TAX CHEATS, THE TRUMP ADMINISTRATION'S CONTINUED EFFORTS TO SEPARATE FAMILIES AT THE BORDER, MONTHS AFTER IT CLAIMED TO HAVE STOPPED, AND THE JUNK FORENSIC SCIENCE OF BLOODSTAIN-PATTERN ANALYSIS THAT HAS BECOME ENTRENCHED IN THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM, AND THE HEALTH INSURANCE INDUSTRY'S WORK WITH DATA BROKERS TO VACUUM UP OUR PERSONAL DETAILS. MUCH HAS CHANGED SINCE PROPUBLICA PUBLISHED ITS FIRST STORY, BUT THE VALUE OF INVESTIGATIVE JOURNALISM WITH MORAL FORCE REMAINS. WE'RE COMMITTED TO CONTINUING TO GROW, AND TO LEAD, IN OUR NEXT TEN YEARS AND BEYOND. ADDITIONAL IMPACT SINCE PROPUBLICA WAS STARTED 10 YEARS AGO, IMPACT HAS BEEN AT THE CORE OF OUR MISSION, AND IT REMAINS A GUIDING PRINCIPLE AND A RDSTICK FOR OUR SUCCESS TODAY. WE MEASURE OUR IMPACT NOT IN TERMS OF AUDIENCE SIZE OR HONORIS, BUT REAL-WORLD CHANGE. IN ADDITION TO THE IMPACT FROM OUR REPORTING ON THE TRUMP ADMINISTRATION'S FAMILY SEPARATION POLICY, INEQUITIES IN CHICAGO'S PROPERTY TAX ASSESSMENT SYSTEM, USE OF FORCE IN THE ELKHART POLICE DEPARTMENT AND HOW AN AMERICAN CHARITY FAILED THE LIBERIAN GIRLS UNDER ITS CARE, ALL DESCRIBED EARLIER IN THIS REPORT, OUR JOURNALISM IN 2018 SPURRED SUCH CHANGE IN A NUMBER OF IMPORTANT AREAS. LAWS TO IMPROVE MATERNAL HEALTH PASSED OUR REPORTING WITH NPR ABOUT MATERNAL MORTALITY IN THE U.S. SPURRED SIGNIFICANT CHANGE. AFTER DECADES OF CONGRESSIONAL INACTION, IN DECEMBER CONGRESS APPROVED A BILL TO FUND STATE PANELS TO REVIEW AND INVESTIGATE DEATHS OF EXPECTANT AND NEW MOTHERS. THE SENATE APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE ALSO VOTED TO APPROVE \$50 MILLION IN NEW FUNDING FOR PROGRAMS AIMED AT REDUCING THE RATE OF WOMEN WHO DIE DURING PREGNANCY OR CHILDBIRTH. CITING THE REPORTING, STATE AND LOCAL LAWMAKERS AROUND THE COUNTRY ADOPTED BILLS AIMED AT REFORMING HOW MATERNAL DEATHS ARE IDENTIFIED AND INVESTIGATED. CONNECTICUT, INDIANA, MARYLAND, OREGON, PENNSYLVANIA AND WASHINGTON, D.C., PASSED LAWS CREATING MATERNAL MORTALITY REVIEW COMMITTEES TO SCRUTINIZE DEATHS AND NEAR-DEATHS AMONG EXPECTANT AND NEW MOTHERS, AND TO MAKE POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS TO IMPROVE MATERNAL HEALTH. A SIMILAR BILL IS PENDING IN NEW JERSEY. THE AMERICAN COLLEGE OF OBSTETRICIANS AND GYNECOLOGISTS, WHICH SETS STANDARDS OF CARE FOR OBSTETRICIAN-GYNECOLOGISTS, ALSO RELEASED SWEEPING NEW RECOMMENDATIONS FOR IMPROVING MATERNAL CARE, INCLUDING GUIDELINES FOR DOCTORS TO SEE NEW MOTHERS SOONER AND MORE FREQUENTLY, AND FOR INSURERS TO COVER THE INCREASED VISITS. IN ADDITION, IN AUGUST, AFTER PROPUBLICA REACHED OUT TO A NUMBER OF CONSUMER HEALTH SITES THAT PUBLISHED IMPRECISE, OUTDATED AND MISLEADING INFORMATION ABOUT PREECLAMPSIA - A D

Return Reference	Explanation
IN JUST THE LAST FEW MONTHS OF OUR TENTH FULL YEAR, PROPUBLICA HAS PRODUCED	ANGEROUS FORM OF HYPERTENSION THAT CAN DEVELOP DURING PREGNANCY OR IN THE DAYS AND WEEKS AFTER CHILDBIRTH - THE MAYO CLINIC CORRECTED ITS INFORMATION THE CLEVELAND CLINIC, HARVARD HEALTH PUBLISHING AND MEDLINE PLUS ALSO AGREED TO MAKE NECESSARY UPDATES DISCRIMINATORY FACEBOOK POLICIES HALTED IN 2016, PROPUBLICA REPORTED THAT FACEBOOK ALLOWS ADVERTISERS TO BUY CREDIT, HOUSING AND EMPLOYMENT ADS THAT EXCLUDE ANYONE WITH AN "AFFINITY" FOR AFRICAN- AMERICAN, ASIAN- AMERICAN AND HISPANIC PEOPLE FROM SEEING THEM OUR 2017 FOLLOW-UP FOUND THAT AT THE SOCIAL NETWORK HAD NOT REMEDIED THE PROBLEM, PROMPTING FACEBOOK TO TEMPORARILY STOP ADVERTISERS FROM EXCLUDING VIEWERS BY RACE WHILE IT REVIEWED ITS AD SYSTEM IN JULY, FACEBOOK SAID IT WOULD NO LONGER ALLOW ADVERTISERS TO EXCLUDE USERS BY FEDERALLY PROTECTED CATEGORIES WRONGFULLY CONVICTED MAN EXONERATED IN 2017, WE REPORTED ON DEMETRIUS SMITH, WHO WAS WRONGFULLY CONVICTED OF MURDER IN BALTIMORE AND AGREED TO AN ALFORD PLEA A DEAL THAT ALLOWED DEFENDANTS TO MAINTAIN THEIR INNOCENCE WHILE AT THE SAME TIME PLEADING GUILTY AND ACCEPTING THE STATUS OF A CONVICTED FELON OUR STORY SHOWED THAT THE PROSECUTOR HAD BLOCKED SMITH'S REQUEST TO REVISE HIS UNUSUAL PLEA DEAL AND MODIFY HIS SENTENCE PROMPTED BY THE STORY, THE PROSECUTOR FILED A MOTION SAYING HE HAD BEEN WRONG AND ASKED A JUDGE TO SCHEDULE A NEW HEARING FOR SMITH IN JANUARY, THAT HEARING ENDED WITH SMITH'S CRIMINAL RECORD BEING CLEARED MEDICAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST ELIMINATED PROPUBLICA PUBLISHED A SERIES OF INVESTIGATIONS WITH THE NEW YORK TIMES DETAILING UNDISCLOSED RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN MEMORIAL SLOAN KETTERING CANCER CENTER AND FOR- PROFIT HEALTH CARE COMPANIES, HIGHLIGHTING CONFLICTS OF INTEREST A SEPTEMBER STORY ON DR. JOSE BASELGA, MSK'S CHIEF MEDICAL OFFICER, UNCOVERED HIS FAILURE TO DISCLOSE CORPORATE BOARD MEMBERSHIPS AND PAYMENTS FROM COMPANIES CONNECTED TO CANCER RESEARCH IN HIS PUBLISHED RESEARCH ARTICLES, EVEN WHEN HE WAS REPORTING ON THE RESULTS OF STUDIES CONDUCTED BY THOSE COMPANIES WITHIN DAYS OF OUR REPORTING, HE RESIGNED BY DECEMBER BASELGA ALSO RESIGNED AS AN EDITOR-IN-CHIEF OF THE PROMINENT MEDICAL JOURNAL, CANCER DISCOVERY IN ADDITION, MSK CEO DR. CRAIG THOMPSON RESIGNED FROM HIS SEATS ON THE BOARDS OF MERCK AND CHARLES RIVER LABORATORIES AND MADE NEW CONFLICT DISCLOSURES, AS DID OTHER MSK STAFF AFTER OUR INVESTIGATION, MSK ALSO ANNOUNCED THAT A VICE PRESIDENT WHO OVERSEES HOSPITAL VENTURES WITH FOR-PROFIT COMPANIES WOULD TURN OVER TO THE HOSPITAL NEARLY \$1.4 MILLION OF A STAKE IN A BIOTECH COMPANY THAT HE RECEIVED FOR REPRESENTING MSK ON THE COMPANY'S BOARD WHITE SUPREMacists DISMISSED AND ARRESTED IN MAY, PROPUBLICA PARTNERED WITH FRONTLINE TO PUBLISH AN INVESTIGATION THAT IDENTIFIED FORMER AND ACTIVE-DUTY MEMBERS OF THE MILITARY AS MEMBERS OF ATOMWAFFEN DIVISION, A NEO-NAZI GROUP. WITHIN WEEKS OF THE INVESTIGATION, THE U.S. MARINE CORPS ANNOUNCED THAT IT OPENED A CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION INTO THE ACTIVITIES OF LANCE CPL. VASIL

Return Reference	Explanation
IN JUST THE LAST FEW MONTHS OF OUR TENTH FULL YEAR, PROPUBLICA HAS PRODUCED	<p>LIOS PISTOLIS, WHOM THE REPORT IDENTIFIED AS A VIOLENT WHITE SUPREMIST WHO TOOK PART IN THE CHARLOTTESVILLE, VIRGINIA, DEMONSTRATION LAST AUGUST IN JUNE, PISTOLIS WAS CONVICTED AT A COURT-MARTIAL ON CHARGES OF DISOBEYING ORDERS AND MAKING FALSE STATEMENTS, AND HE WAS DISMISSED FROM THE CORPS IN JULY, PROPUBLICA AND FRONTLINE REPORTED ON THE VIOLENT WHITE SUPREMIST RISE ABOVE MOVEMENT ONE DAY AFTER OUR REPORT, WHICH NAMED MICHAEL MISELIS, A N AEROSPACE ENGINEER WITH GOVERNMENT SECURITY CLEARANCE, AS A MEMBER OF RAM, HIS EMPLOYER, DEFENSE CONTRACTOR NORTHROP GRUMMAN, ANNOUNCED THAT MISELIS NO LONGER WORKED THERE IN AUGUST, THE MARINE CORPS ISSUED UPDATED ORDERS PROHIBITING PARTICIPATION IN WHITE SUPREMACIST GROUPS, ENCOURAGING MEMBERS TO REPORT FELLOW MARINES ENGAGED IN THESE ACTIVITIES AND STRENGTHENING RULES ON ACCOUNTABILITY IN OCTOBER, CITING PROPUBLICA AND FRONTLINE'S REPORTING, FEDERAL PROSECUTORS ANNOUNCED THEY HAD ARRESTED FOUR MEMBERS OR ASSOCIATES OF RAM - ROBERT RUNDO, ROBERT BOMAN, TYLER LAUBE AND AARON EASON - OVER THEIR ALLEGED ROLE IN THE "UNITE THE RIGHT" RALLY IN CHARLOTTESVILLE IF CONVICTED, THE MEN COULD FACE FIVE YEARS IN PRISON FOR EACH OF TWO FEDERAL RIOT CHARGES POLICE COOPERATION WITH IMMIGRATION OFFICIALS REGULATED IN APRIL, PROPUBLICA AND THE PHILADELPHIA INQUIRER REPORTED ON TACTICS USED BY STATE AND LOCAL POLICE OFFICERS IN PENNSYLVANIA, WITHOUT GUIDELINES OR OVERSIGHT, TO HELP IMMIGRATION AND CUSTOMS ENFORCEMENT ROUND UP IMMIGRANTS FOR DEPORTATION, INCLUDING THE QUESTIONING AND ARRESTS OF HISPANIC MOTORISTS DURING TRAFFIC STOPS IN JUNE, THE PENNSYLVANIA STATE POLICE ANNOUNCED THAT OFFICERS WILL NOW BE REQUIRED TO FILE A REPORT ANY TIME THEY CALL IMMIGRATION AUTHORITIES TO THE SCENE OF A TRAFFIC STOP, DETAILING THE CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE CALL</p> <p>RED CROSS OFFICIAL RESIGNS OVER HANDLING OF SEXUAL MISCONDUCT IN JANUARY, WE REPORTED ON GERALD ANDERSON, A FORMER SENIOR EXECUTIVE AT THE AMERICAN RED CROSS, WHO HAD BEEN FORCED OUT OF THE ORGANIZATION AFTER HE HARASSED A SUBORDINATE AND WAS ACCUSED OF RAPING ANOTHER RED CROSS GENERAL COUNSEL DAVID MELTZER HAD LAUDED ANDERSON ON HIS WAY OUT AND GAVE HIM A POSITIVE REFERENCE, HELPING HIM LAND A NEW POSITION AT SAVE THE CHILDREN WHEN PROPUBLICA CONTACTED SAVE THE CHILDREN ABOUT THE CIRCUMSTANCES OF ANDERSON'S DEPARTURE, THE ORGANIZATION PLACED ANDERSON ON ADMINISTRATIVE LEAVE WEEKS LATER, HE WAS NO LONGER EMPLOYED BY SAVE THE CHILDREN FOLLOWING THE ARTICLE, MELTZER ALSO RESIGNED FROM THE RED CROSS, SAYING THAT HE DEEPLY REGRETTED HIS HANDLING OF THE SEXUAL MISCONDUCT CASE</p>

Return Reference	Explanation
UNUSUAL DOMESTIC VIOLENCE POLICY REVERSED	<p>IN EARLY 2017, PROPUBLICA REPORTED ON DUAL ARRESTS" IN CONNECTICUT - INSTANCES IN WHICH POLICE ARREST BOTH THE VICTIM AND THE PERPETRATOR OF DOMESTIC VIOLENCE. THE STATE HAS A DUAL ARREST RATE ABOUT 10 TIMES HIGHER THAN THE NATIONAL AVERAGE. EXPERTS SAY THIS DISSUADES VICTIMS FROM CALLING POLICE BECAUSE OF THE FEAR OF ARREST AND THE LASTING DAMAGE OF A FORMAL CRIMINAL RECORD. IN MAY, THE CONNECTICUT LEGISLATURE PASSED A BILL, WHICH THE GOVERNOR SIGNED INTO LAW, TO END THE PRACTICE OF ARRESTING VICTIMS OF DOMESTIC VIOLENCE ALONG WITH THEIR ABUSERS WHEN THEY FIGHT BACK DURING THE COURSE OF AN ASSAULT. DISCRIMINATORY POLICE PRACTICE ABANDONED PROPUBLICA AND THE FLORIDA TIMES-UNION REPORTED IN LATE 2017 THAT POLICE IN JACKSONVILLE, FLORIDA, DISPROPORTIONATELY ISSUED PEDESTRIAN TICKETS TO BLACK RESIDENTS, ALMOST ALL OF THEM IN THE CITY'S POOREST NEIGHBORHOODS. AFTER THE STORY, A JACKSONVILLE ASSISTANT STATE ATTORNEY ISSUED A BULLETIN TO THE CITY'S SHERIFF'S OFFICE DETAILING THE PROPER ENFORCEMENT OF FLORIDA'S PEDESTRIAN STATUTES, AND THE JACKSONVILLE CITY COUNCIL PRESIDENT AND OTHER LOCAL LAWMAKERS CALLED FOR THE SUSPENSION OF PEDESTRIAN TICKET WRITING. THE SHERIFF'S OFFICE CONDUCTED BIAS TRAINING FOR SOME OFFICERS AND TRAINED OTHERS ON THE PROPER WAYS TO ISSUE PEDESTRIAN TICKETS. THE REPORTING ALSO PROMPTED THE NAACP LEGAL DEFENSE FUND TO GO TO JACKSONVILLE TO CONDUCT ON-THE-GROUND INTERVIEWS FOR AN INVESTIGATION CONCERNING THE SHERIFF'S OFFICE'S ENFORCEMENT OF PEDESTRIAN TICKETS.</p> <p>NEO-NAZIS LOSE ACCESS TO ONLINE PLATFORMS</p> <p>IN FEBRUARY, PROPUBLICA REPORTED THAT THE CALIFORNIA MAN ACCUSED OF KILLING A GAY, JEWISH UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA STUDENT WAS A MEMBER OF ATOMWAFFEN DIVISION, A NEO-NAZI GROUP. OUR REPORTERS OBTAINED OVER 250,000 MESSAGES FROM THE GROUP'S SECRET CHAT ROOM, SHOWING THAT OTHER ATOMWAFFEN MEMBERS CHEERED THE DEATH. AFTER THIS STORY, AT LEAST FOUR TECHNOLOGY COMPANIES - INCLUDING YOUTUBE, DISCORD, A CHAT APP FOR VIDEO GAMERS, STEAM, A COMMUNITY DISCUSSION BOARD FOR GAMERS, AND INKTALE, AN ONLINE T-SHIRT RETAILER - TOOK STEPS TO BAR THE HATE GROUP FROM USING THEIR ONLINE SERVICES AND PLATFORMS.</p> <p>HAZARDOUS CLINICAL TRIALS SCRUTINIZED</p> <p>AS STAR PSYCHIATRIST RESIGNS</p> <p>IN APRIL, PROPUBLICA ILLINOIS REPORTED THAT CHILD PSYCHIATRIST MANI PAVULURI, WHOSE RENOWNED UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO AT ILLINOIS CLINIC DREW FAMILIES FROM AROUND THE COUNTRY, VIOLATED PROTOCOLS AND PUT CHILDREN AT RISK. OUR REPORTING DETAILED HOW PAVULURI VIOLATED THE TERMS OF A FEDERAL GRANT BY TESTING LITHIUM ON CHILDREN YOUNGER THAN 13, FAILED TO PROPERLY ALERT PARENTS OF THE STUDY'S RISKS AND FALSIFIED DATA TO COVER UP THE MISCONDUCT. AFTER THE STORY, PAVULURI RESIGNED FROM THE UIC, AND IN DECEMBER, THE STATE DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL AND PROFESSIONAL REGULATION LAUNCHED A N INVESTIGATION OF HER CONDUCT.</p> <p>EDUCATION DEPARTMENT'S CIVIL RIGHTS RECORDS UNDER REVIEW</p> <p>IN JUNE, WE REPORTED THAT THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, UNDER THE DIRECTION OF SECRETARY OF EDUCATION BETSY DEVOS, SC</p>

Return Reference	Explanation
UNUSUAL DOMESTIC VIOLENCE POLICY REVERSED	<p>UTLED MORE THAN 1,200 CIVIL RIGHTS INVESTIGATIONS THAT WERE INHERITED FROM THE OBAMA ADMINISTRATION OUR ANALYSIS SHOWED THAT THE TRUMP ADMINISTRATION IS LESS LIKELY THAN ITS PREDECESSOR TO FIND WRONGDOING BY SCHOOL DISTRICTS ON ISSUES RANGING FROM RACIAL AND SEXUAL HARASSMENT TO MEETING EDUCATIONAL NEEDS OF DISABLED STUDENTS. IN NOVEMBER, THE OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL FOR THE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT ANNOUNCED THAT IT IS SCRUTINIZING HOW THE DEPARTMENT HANDLES CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINTS. FEDERAL INVESTIGATION OF PSYCHIATRIC HOSPITAL'S CHILDREN'S UNIT LAUNCHED IN OCTOBER, PROPUBLICA ILLINOIS UNCOVERED NUMEROUS ALLEGATIONS OF SEXUAL ABUSE AND ASSAULT AGAINST CHILDREN WHO WERE PATIENTS AT AURORA CHICAGO LAKESHORE HOSPITAL, A PSYCHIATRIC FACILITY, WHILE IN THE CARE OF THE ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES, OR DCFS. FOLLOWING THE REPORT, FEDERAL AUTHORITIES ANNOUNCED THEY WERE PULLING FUNDING FROM THE HOSPITAL - A MANDATE THAT A JUDGE HAS TEMPORARILY REVERSED AFTER THE HOSPITAL'S REQUEST FOR A RESTRAINING ORDER UNTIL IT COULD APPEAL THE DECISION. STATE LAWMAKERS AND THE AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION OF ILLINOIS DEMANDED A COMPREHENSIVE INVESTIGATION OF THE FACILITY, AND DCFS VOWED TO STOP SENDING KIDS THERE UNDER MOUNTING PRESSURE, DCFS AGREED TO A FULL, INDEPENDENT ASSESSMENT INVOLVING CHILDREN IN ITS CARE PLACED AT THE HOSPITAL, AND THE ACLU OF ILLINOIS TOOK DCFS TO COURT IN AN ATTEMPT TO MOVE THE REMAINING CHILDREN IN STATE CARE OUT OF THE HOSPITAL. A FEDERAL JUDGE ALSO SAID HE WILL APPOINT A MONITOR TO OVERSEE DCFS. PROPUBLICA ILLINOIS ALSO PUBLISHED AN INVESTIGATION HIGHLIGHTING DCFS' PRACTICE OF HOLDING CHILDREN IN PSYCHIATRIC HOSPITALS, SOMETIMES FOR MONTHS, AFTER PHYSICIANS HAVE CLEARED THEM FOR RELEASE. ONE WEEK AFTER THE STORY, WHICH WAS CO-PUBLISHED WITH THE ATLANTIC, ILLINOIS LAWMAKERS CALLED FOR A PUBLIC HEARING, DEMANDING STATE CHILD WELFARE OFFICIALS EXPLAIN WHY THEY ROUTINELY FAIL TO FIND BETTER HOMES FOR HUNDREDS OF CHILDREN IN PSYCHIATRIC HOSPITALS. THE ACTING COOK COUNTY PUBLIC GUARDIAN FILED A CLASS-ACTION LAWSUIT ON BEHALF OF HUNDREDS OF CHILDREN AND TEENAGERS IN STATE CARE WHO HAVE BEEN HELD IN HOSPITALS BEYOND MEDICAL NECESSITY, CALLING THE PRACTICE INHUMANE AND UNCONSTITUTIONAL. IN ADDITION, THE AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION OF ILLINOIS, WHICH HAS MONITORED THE STATE'S CHILD WELFARE AGENCY FOR DECADES AS PART OF A FEDERAL CONSENT DECREE, ASKED A FEDERAL JUDGE TO TAKE THE RARE STEP OF APPOINTING A SPECIAL MASTER TO RESOLVE DISPUTES AND DATA REQUESTS RELATED TO PROVIDING MORE APPROPRIATE SERVICES FOR SUCH CHILDREN. UNRELIABLE FOR ENSICS RE-EXAMINED IN MAY, PROPUBLICA AND THE NEW YORK TIMES MAGAZINE TOLD THE STORY OF JOE BRYAN, A FORMER TEXAS HIGH SCHOOL PRINCIPAL WHO HAS SPENT MORE THAN 30 YEARS IN PRISON FOR THE MURDER OF HIS WIFE, A CRIME HE CLAIMS HE DIDN'T COMMIT. HIS CONVICTION RESTED ALMOST SOLELY ON BLOODSTAIN-PATTERN ANALYSIS, A TECHNIQUE USED THROUGHOUT THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM, DESPITE WIDESPREAD CON</p>

Return Reference	Explanation
UNUSUAL DOMESTIC VIOLENCE POLICY REVERSED	<p>CERNS ABOUT ITS RELIABILITY AFTER OUR STORY, THE TEXAS FORENSIC SCIENCE COMMISSION ASKED A PROMINENT BLOODSTAIN-PATTERN ANALYST TO RE-EXAMINE THE CASE. SUBSEQUENTLY, THE INFLUENTIAL STATE BODY FOUND THAT THE ANALYSIS WAS NOT ACCURATE OR SCIENTIFICALLY SUPPORTED AND THAT THE EXPERT WHO TESTIFIED WAS "ENTIRELY WRONG." ALTHOUGH A STATE JUDGE REFUSED TO OVERTURN BRYAN'S CONVICTION, RISKY HOSPITAL PROGRAM LOSES COVERAGE IN MAY, PROPUBLICA COLLABORATED WITH THE HOUSTON CHRONICLE TO INVESTIGATE PERVERSIVE PROBLEMS WITH ST. LUKE'S MEDICAL CENTER'S ONCE-RENNED HEART TRANSPLANT PROGRAM, WHICH IN RECENT YEARS HAD SOME OF THE WORST OUTCOMES IN THE COUNTRY. JUST TWO WEEKS AFTER THE STORY, THE HOSPITAL TEMPORARILY SUSPENDED THE PROGRAM TO REVIEW THE CARE PROVIDED TO PATIENTS. IT REOPENED TWO WEEKS LATER, CHANGING PROCEDURES AND STAFFING BUT NOT FINDING ANY "SYSTEMIC ISSUES." SHORTLY THEREAFTER, THE CENTERS FOR MEDICARE AND MEDICAID SERVICES MADE A RARE DECISION TO STOP MEDICARE FUNDING OF THE HOSPITAL'S HEART TRANSPLANT PROGRAM, SAYING IT HASN'T DONE ENOUGH TO IMPROVE CARE AFTER A STRING OF PATIENT DEATHS. ACCOUNTABILITY FOR PROSECUTORIAL MISCONDUCT INSTITUTED IN 2013, PROPUBLICA PUBLISHED A SERIES OF REPORTS FINDING THAT NEW YORK PROSECUTORS ARE ALMOST NEVER PUNISHED FOR MISCONDUCT, SUCH AS WITHHOLDING EVIDENCE AND TOLERATING FALSE TESTIMONY, THAT CAN LAND INNOCENT PEOPLE IN PRISON OR LET THE GUILTY GO FREE. IN JUNE, THE NEW YORK LEGISLATURE NEAR-UNANIMOUSLY PASSED A BILL AUTHORIZING THE GOVERNOR, THE LEGISLATURE AND THE STATE'S CHIEF JUDGE TO SELECT A PANEL TO INVESTIGATE ALLEGATIONS OF MISCONDUCT. THE BILL ALSO EMPOWERED THE PANEL TO ISSUE WARNINGS AND RECOMMEND SANCTIONS, INCLUDING FIRINGS, TO THE GOVERNOR. IN AUGUST, GOV. ANDREW CUOMO SIGNED THE BILL INTO LAW. TROUBLED GARBAGE COLLECTION COMPANY CEASES OPERATIONS IN JANUARY, PROPUBLICA PROFILED DANGEROUS PRACTICES IN THE WORLD OF PRIVATE COMMERCIAL GARBAGE COLLECTION IN NEW YORK CITY. WE REPORTED THAT, WHEN A MAN WAS KILLED IN 2017 BY A TRUCK OWNED BY ONE OF THE CITY'S LARGEST TRASH HAULERS, SANITATION SALVAGE, WORKERS TOLD POLICE HE WAS A HOMELESS MAN WHO JUMPED ON THEIR TRUCK. OUR INVESTIGATION UNCOVERED THE IDENTITY OF THE MAN AS MOUCSTAR DIALLO, A HELPER WHO WAS WORKING ON THE TRUCK THAT KILLED HIM. WHEN A PEDESTRIAN WAS KILLED BY A TRUCK DRIVEN BY THE SAME DRIVER IN APRIL, WE FOLLOWED UP WITH AN INVESTIGATION INTO THE COMPANY, DISCOVERING LABOR AND SAFETY VIOLATIONS. IN AUGUST, THE BUSINESS INTEGRITY COMMISSION, WHICH REGULATES NEW YORK'S PRIVATE TRASH INDUSTRY, SUSPENDED SANITATION SALVAGE'S LICENSE TO OPERATE AND BARRED THE DRIVER INVOLVED IN BOTH FATALITIES FROM WORKING IN THE INDUSTRY. THE COMPANY SUBMITTED ITS OPERATING LICENSE IN NOVEMBER.</p>

Return Reference	Explanation
"INDEPENDENT" WATCHDOG GROUP SHUTS DOWN	IN JANUARY, PROPUBLICA ILLINOIS AND THE CHICAGO SUN-TIMES REVEALED THAT THE RIGHT-LEANING ILLINOIS POLICY INSTITUTE GAVE PROJECT SIX, AN ORGANIZATION THAT DESCRIBED ITSELF AS A NON PROFIT, INDEPENDENT, NONPARTISAN, ANTI-CORRUPTION WATCHDOG GROUP, 98 PERCENT OF ITS FIRST- YEAR BUDGET PROJECT SIX HAD PREVIOUSLY REFUSED TO DISCLOSE ITS FUNDING SOURCES A FURTHER INVESTIGATION REVEALED THAT THE ILLINOIS POLICY INSTITUTE'S CEO AND HIS ASSOCIATES MOVED MILLIONS OF DOLLARS AROUND THE FIVE INTERCONNECTED NONPROFITS THEY RAN, STEERING MONEY TO FOR-PROFIT VENTURES IN WHICH THEY HAVE A STAKE. THESE REVELATIONS PROMPTED SWIFT CRITICISM FROM THE GOVERNOR AND A POTENTIAL INVESTIGATION BY THE ILLINOIS ATTORNEY GENERAL. IN APRIL 2018, PROJECT SIX ANNOUNCED THAT IT WAS SHUTTING DOWN. WRONGFUL CONVICTION BASED ON ERRORNEOUS DNA TESTING OVERTURNED IN 2017, WE REPORTED ON A DNA TESTING TECHNIQUE USED BY NEW YORK CITY'S MEDICAL EXAMINER AND DOZENS OF OTHER JURISDICTIONS TO ANALYZE DIFFICULT DNA SAMPLES FROM CRIME SCENES. THE ARTICLE SHOWED THAT SOME SCIENTISTS AND DEFENSE LAWYERS SAY THE TECHNIQUE MAY BE INACCURATE, POTENTIALLY PUTTING INNOCENT PEOPLE IN PRISON. IN OCTOBER, MAYER HERSKOVIC, FEATURED IN PROPUBLICA'S INVESTIGATION, HAD HIS CONVICTION OVERTURNED AND THE UNDERLYING INDICTMENT DISMISSED BY THE STATE APPEALS COURT BECAUSE OF "LESS THAN CONVINCING" DNA EVIDENCE AGAINST HIM. POLLUTING MILITARY PRACTICES LIMITED IN 2017, PROPUBLICA REVEALED HOW THE PENTAGON'S DEVELOPMENT AND TESTING OF WEAPONS HAS POLLUTED MILLIONS OF ACRES OF LAND AND DRINKING WATER RESOURCES ACROSS 40,000 U.S. SITES, WITH THE PENTAGON SYSTEMATICALLY IGNORING OR DOWNPLAYING ITS CLEANUP RESPONSIBILITIES. PROMPTED BY OUR INVESTIGATION, THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE INSPECTOR GENERAL LAUNCHED AN INVESTIGATION INTO THE MILITARY'S PRACTICE OF OPEN BURNING AND DETONATING HAZARDOUS EXPLOSIVE MATERIALS ON ITS PROPERTIES, AS WELL AS ITS FREQUENT RELIANCE ON FEDERAL CONTRACTORS TO CARRY OUT THAT WORK. IN ADDITION, REP. CAROL SHEA-PORTER, A MEMBER OF THE HOUSE ARMED SERVICES COMMITTEE, INTRODUCED AN AMENDMENT TO THE DEFENSE SPENDING BILL REQUIRING THE PENTAGON TO END THE OUTMODED AND HIGHLY TOXIC PRACTICE OF BURNING OLD MUNITIONS AND OTHER EXPLOSIVES IN THE OPEN AIR. THE BILL PASSED IN JUNE. CASES OF MISSING CHILDREN REVISITED IN SEPTEMBER, PROPUBLICA PUBLISHED AN INVESTIGATION, IN PARTNERSHIP WITH NEWSDAY AND THIS AMERICAN LIFE, ON NEARLY A DOZEN LATINO FAMILIES THAT CAME TO THE SUFFOLK COUNTY POLICE DEPARTMENT IN LONG ISLAND ABOUT THEIR TEENAGE CHILDREN WHO HAD DISAPPEARED WHILE THE DEPARTMENT IGNORED THEIR CONCERN. AND LA BELED THEIR CHILDREN RUNAWAYS, IT TURNED OUT THAT MANY OF THE MISSING HAD BEEN MURDERED BY MEMBERS OF THE GANG MS-13. WITHIN A WEEK OF PUBLICATION, THE POLICE DEPARTMENT ANNOUNCED THAT IT WILL REVISIT CASES IN WHICH FAMILIES HAVE ALLEGED MISCONDUCT, AND BOTH OF THE DETECTIVES FEATURED IN OUR INVESTIGATION ARE NOW UNDER INTERNAL AFFAIRS REVIEW. DISCRIMINATORY TICKETING PRACTICES REFORMED

Return Reference	Explanation
"INDEPENDENT" WATCHDOG GROUP SHUTS DOWN	PROPUBLICA ILLINOIS PUBLISHED A SERIES OF STORIES, IN PARTNERSHIP WITH WBEZ, EXPOSING CHICAGO'S AGGRESSIVE AND UNEQUAL TICKETING PRACTICES, COMBINED WITH PUNITIVE COLLECTIONS MEASURES, WHICH HAVE PUSHED TENS OF THOUSANDS OF MOSTLY BLACK MOTORISTS INTO CHAPTER 13 BANKRUPTCY SINCE OUR REPORTING, THE CITY OF CHICAGO HAS DISMISSED SOME 23,000 OUTSTANDING DUPLICATE VEHICLE TICKETS AND WILL REFUND MOTORISTS WHO HAVE ALREADY PAID FOR AN ADDITIONAL 12,000 DUPLICATES. THE CHICAGO CITY COUNCIL APPROVED REFORMS INCLUDING A PROGRAM DESIGNED TO HELP LOW-INCOME MOTORISTS COMPLY WITH THE MUNICIPAL REQUIREMENT AND TO AVOID COSTLY CITATIONS, ALONG WITH A MEASURE TO WIPE AWAY SOME TICKET DEBT FOR MOTORISTS WHO FILE FOR BANKRUPTCY UNDER CHAPTER 7. ANOTHER MORE SWEEPING SET OF IMPROVEMENTS HAS ALSO BEEN PUT FORWARD AND IS AWAITING CONSIDERATION. ILLEGAL USES OF PRESIDENTIAL SEAL HALTED IN MARCH, OUR "TRUMP, INC." PODCAST WITH WNYC REPORTED THAT THE TRUMP ORGANIZATION HAD ORDERED DOZENS OF GOLF TEE MARKERS EMBLAZONED WITH THE PRESIDENTIAL SEAL, EVEN THOUGH IT'S ILLEGAL TO USE THE SEAL FOR COMMERCIAL PURPOSES. THE DAY AFTER THE STORY, THE PRESIDENT'S COMPANY SAID IT REMOVED THE MARKERS FROM ITS GOLF COURSES. AGE DISCRIMINATION SCRUTINIZED IN MARCH, PROPUBLICA PUBLISHED AN INVESTIGATION INTO IBM'S PRACTICES OF FORCING OLDER WORKERS OUT OF THEIR JOBS THROUGH RETIREMENT AND LAYOFFS, REPLACING THEM WITH YOUNGER EMPLOYEES AND FLOUTING LAWS INTENDED TO PROTECT AGAINST AGE BIAS. IN MAY, THE U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION CONSOLIDATED AGE DISCRIMINATION COMPLAINTS AGAINST THE COMPANY FROM AROUND THE COUNTRY, LAUNCHING A NATIONWIDE INVESTIGATION OF AGE BIAS AT IBM. LONGSTANDING CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINTS INVESTIGATED IN DECEMBER, PROPUBLICA AND THE NEW YORK TIMES PUBLISHED AN INVESTIGATION INTO RACIAL DISCRIMINATION IN MONTANA'S WOLF POINT SCHOOL DISTRICT, WHERE TRIBAL LEADERS HAVE LONG CLAIMED THAT SCHOOLS DISCIPLINE NATIVE AMERICAN STUDENTS MORE HARSHLY THAN WHITE STUDENTS, SHUNT THEM INTO REMEDIAL PROGRAMS WITHOUT APPROPRIATE CAUSE, AND DENY THEM SPECIAL EDUCATION EVALUATIONS AND SERVICES. DESPITE RECEIVING A DETAILED CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT FILED BY TRIBAL LEADERS IN JUNE 2017, THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION HAD FAILED TO ACT. HOURS AFTER OUR STORY, THE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION'S OFFICE FOR CIVIL RIGHTS SAID THAT IT WILL INVESTIGATE THE COMPLAINT ON RACIAL INEQUITIES. CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTIONS LIMITED LAST YEAR, PROPUBLICA, WNYC AND THE NEW YORKER REPORTED THAT MANHATTAN DISTRICT ATTORNEY CYRUS VANCE JR. HAD YEARS EARLIER OVERRULED PROSECUTORS WHO WANTED TO BRING FELONY FRAUD CHARGES AGAINST IVANKA TRUMP AND DONALD TRUMP JR. DURING THE OFFICE'S INVESTIGATION, IN 2012, VANCE MET WITH TRUMP LAWYER MARC KASOWITZ, WHO HAD DONATED TO VANCE'S RE-ELECTION CAMPAIGN. FOLLOWING THE REPORT, VANCE SAID HE WOULD NO LONGER TAKE CAMPAIGN DONATIONS FROM DEFENSE ATTORNEYS WITH CASES BEFORE HIM AND WILL CAP DONATIONS FROM THEIR LAW PARTNERS. HE ALSO WILL NOT SOLICIT CONTRIBUTIONS P

Return Reference	Explanation
"INDEPENDENT" WATCHDOG GROUP SHUTS DOWN	<p>ERSONALLY AND WILL HAVE HIS CAMPAIGN SHIELD HIM FROM THE IDENTITIES OF HIS DONORS CHANGES TO FBI CRIME REPORTING FAST-TRACKED AND LOCAL POLICE DEPARTMENT AUDITED PROPUBLICA PARTNE RED WITH REVEAL FROM THE CENTER FOR INVESTIGATIVE REPORTING AND NEWSY FOR AN INVESTIGATION ON LOCAL LAW ENFORCEMENT'S WIDESPREAD USE OF "EXCEPTIONAL CLEARANCES" TO CLOSE RAPE CASES WITHOUT ACTUALLY RESOLVING THEM THE STORY DISCOVERED A MAJOR FLAW IN THE FBI'S CRIME REP ORTING SYSTEM, THE NATIONAL INCIDENT-BASED REPORTING SYSTEM, OR NIBRS, THAT DID NOT REQUIR E AGENCIES TO TRACK CASES CLASSIFIED AS "UNFOUNDED," A CATEGORY FOR WHEN POLICE SAY THE VI CTIM IS LYING OR THE REPORTED CRIME DIDN'T OCCUR CALLING OUR FINDINGS "A CRISIS, AN EMERG ENCY," THE CHAIRMAN OF THE FBI'S NIBRS TRANSITION TASK FORCE HAS EXPEDITED A PROCESS EXPEC TED TO CHANGE REPORTING RULES AND REQUIRE POLICE TO DISCLOSE THESE CASES THE STORY ALSO P ROMPTED THE AUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT TO REQUEST AN INDEPENDENT AUDIT BY TEXAS OFFICIALS, W HICH FOUND THAT AUSTIN POLICE HAD IMPROPERLY CLEARED NEARLY A THIRD OF SEXUAL ASSAULT CASE S FROM 2017, A MISCLASSIFICATION THAT MADE THE DEPARTMENT'S RATE OF SOLVING RAPE CASES APP EAR HIGHER EFFECTIVENESS OF SUPPORTED HOUSING SYSTEM ASSESSED IN AN INVESTIGATION WITH FR ONTLINE AND THE NEW YORK TIMES ON A NEW YORK POLICY TO MOVE PEOPLE OUT OF INSTITUTIONS AND INTO PRIVATE APARTMENTS, WE REVEALED THAT CLINICIANS FELT PRESSURED TO MOVE INDIVIDUALS I NT0 APARTMENTS EVEN WHEN THEY WERE NOT GOOD CANDIDATES LACKING THE STRUCTURE OF THE INSTI TUTIONS, IT BECAME MUCH EASIER FOR INDIVIDUALS TO SLIP THROUGH THE CRACKS, WITH DANGEROUS, DEHUMANIZING AND SOMETIMES FATAL RESULTS THE DAY AFTER OUR REPORT, FEDERAL JUDGE NICHOLA S GARAUFIS, WHO ORIGINALLY ARRANGED THE 2014 SETTLEMENT THAT RESULTED IN THE SUPPORTED HOU SING POLICY, ORDERED AN INDEPENDENT REPORT TO ASSESS THE EFFECTIVENESS OF ITS INCIDENT REP ORTING SYSTEM, GOT THE STATE TO COMMIT TO EXAMINING ITS SERVICE-COORDINATION PROGRAM AND S UGGESTED THE STATE DEVELOP A PROGRAM TO HELP RESIDENTS LEARN AND PRACTICE BASIC LIFE SKILL S IN SUPPORTED HOUSING PATIENT PRIVACY DEFENDED IN DECEMBER 2015, PROPUBLICA, IN COLLABOR ATION WITH THE NEW YORK TIMES, REPORTED ON PATIENTS WHO HAD DETAILS OF THEIR MENTAL HEALTH DIAGNOSES AND TREATMENTS EXPOSED BY A NEW JERSEY PSYCHOLOGY PRACTICE SUING THEM OVER UNPA ID BILLS IN APRIL 2017, THE STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE AND THE NEW JERSEY STATE BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGICAL EXAMINERS FILED A COMPLAINT AGAINST THE PSYCHOLOGIST, BARRY HELFMANN, F OR FAILING TO PROTECT PATIENTS' CONFIDENTIALITY THIS JUNE, THE STATE BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGIC AL EXAMINERS MOVED TO SUSPEND HIS LICENSE FOR TWO YEARS ELECTIONLAND TRACKED VOTER PROBLE M THROUGHOUT 2018, PROPUBLICA'S ELECTIONLAND USED DATA AND TECHNOLOGY TO TRACK ISSUES THAT CAN PREVENT VOTERS FROM CASTING THEIR BALLOTS, IN ORDER TO FIX PROBLEMS IN TIME FOR PEOP L E TO VOTE</p>

Return Reference	Explanation
THE PROJECT SPURRED CONSIDERABLE IMPACT ACROSS THE COUNTRY	<p>- DAYS BEFORE ELECTION DAY, PROPUBLICA FOUND THAT THE COMPUTER SERVERS THAT POWERED KENTUCKY'S ONLINE VOTER REGISTRATION AND WISCONSIN'S REPORTING OF ELECTION RESULTS WERE SUSCEPTIBLE TO HACKING OUR ANALYSIS FOUND THAT THE INSECURE SERVICE RUN BY WISCONSIN COULD BE REACHED FROM INTERNET ADDRESSES BASED IN RUSSIA, WHILE KENTUCKY'S WAS ACCESSIBLE FROM OTHER EASTERN EUROPEAN COUNTRIES AFTER OUR INQUIRY, WISCONSIN SHUT DOWN ITS SERVICE, AND SHORTLY AFTER THE STORY RAN, KENTUCKY APPEARED TO DO THE SAME, MAKING ITS SERVER NO LONGER AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC - RESPONDING TO AN ELECTIONLAND TIP, OUR PARTNERS AT THE HOUSTON CHRONICLE REPORTED ON VOTER REGISTRATION ISSUES AT PRAIRIE VIEW A&amp;M, A HISTORICALLY BLACK UNIVERSITY IN WALLER COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE ERRORS WERE KEEPING HUNDREDS OF REGISTERED VOTERS AT THE SCHOOL FROM CASTING A BALLOT AT THE VOTING LOCATION ON CAMPUS IN ADDITION, THE COUNTY WAS ASKING THEM TO FILL OUT FORMS TO REMEDY THE PROBLEM - A STEP ADVOCATES SAY COULD CONFUSE STUDENTS AND KEEP THEM FROM VOTING THE CHRONICLE ALSO DOCUMENTED THAT A DEMOCRATIC CAMPAIGN STAFFER COMPLAINING ABOUT THE ISSUE WAS BRIEFLY ARRESTED AFTER TAKING A PHOTO OF THE COUNTY CLERK AFTER THE COVERAGE, THE TEXAS SECRETARY OF STATE'S OFFICE STEPPED IN AND ALLOWED PRAIRIE VIEW STUDENTS TO VOTE ON CAMPUS WITHOUT FILLING OUT ADDITIONAL PAPERWORK - A VOTER WHO HAD BEEN BOOTED FROM A POLLING LOCATION BECAUSE SHE WORE A T-SHIRT WITH THE LOGO OF A PROGRESSIVE TEXAS GROUP REACHED OUT TO ELECTIONLAND SHE TOLD US THAT THE HARRIS COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE HAD SENT A MEMO TO POLL WORKERS SINGLING OUT THREE PROGRESSIVE GROUPS FOR REMOVAL FROM THE POLLS WE SHARED THE MEMO WITH THE CHRONICLE, WHICH THEN CALLED THE CLERK'S OFFICE SOON AFTER, BEFORE THE PAPER EVEN HAD A CHANCE TO PUBLISH A STORY, THE COUNTY RESCINDED THE MEMO THE TEXAS SECRETARY OF STATE'S OFFICE ALSO LATER WEIGHED IN, ADVISING THE COUNTY AGAINST THE PROHIBITION - WE RECEIVED A SLEW OF TIPS ABOUT RESISTBOT - A TEXTING SERVICE THAT REMINDS VOTERS OF THEIR POLLING PLACE AND OF EARLY VOTING DEADLINES - WHEN THE SERVICE TEXTED VOTERS ACROSS FLORIDA THAT EARLY VOTING ENDED A DAY EARLIER THAN IT REALLY DID WHILE COUNTIES IN FLORIDA ARE NOT REQUIRED TO KEEP POLLING PLACES OPEN THE SUNDAY BEFORE THE ELECTION, MANY DO AFTER WE PASSED THE TIP TO A REPORTER AT WLRN IN FLO RIDA, HE TWEETED AT RESISTBOT ASKING THE ORGANIZATION TO CORRECT THE ERROR IT DID, SENDING UPDATED TEXTS TO VOTERS IN THE AFFECTED AREAS - DURING THE NEW YORK GUBERNATORIAL PRIMARY IN SEPTEMBER, ELECTIONLAND TRACKED DOWN A TIP THAT THE NEW YORK CITY HOUSING AUTHORITY INSTRUCTED HUNDREDS OF RESIDENTS IN A CONEY ISLAND BUILDING TO REMAIN HOME FOR A ROUTINE INSPECTION ON THE DAY OF PRIMARY ELECTIONS AFTER A PROPUBLICA REPORTER REACHED OUT TO THE AGENCY, A SPOKESPERSON SAID THE INSTRUCTIONS WERE AN OVERSIGHT AND RESCINDED THEM, ALSO PROMISING NOT TO SCHEDULE INSPECTIONS ON ELECTION DAY - OUR PARTNERS AT BKLYNER AND WNYC REPORTED ON AN OFFICIAL NEW YORK</p>

Return Reference	Explanation
THE PROJECT SPURRED CONSIDERABLE IMPACT ACROSS THE COUNTRY	CITY VOTER GUIDE THAT INCORRECTLY STATED FELONS CAN VOTE ONLY AFTER COMPLETING PAROLE AF TER THE STORY, THE AGENCY UPDATED ITS WEBSITE, IN ADDITION TO CORRECTING A BLOG POST FROM TWO YEARS EARLIER THAT INCLUDED THE OUTDATED INFORMATION - TAMPA BAY TIMES, AN ELECTIONLA ND PARTNER, REPORTED ON A NEW PORT RICHEY POLLING SITE AT A CHURCH WHOSE PASTOR ERECTED A SIGN OUTSIDE READING "DON'T VOTE FOR DEMOCRATS ON TUESDAY AND SING 'OH HOW I LOVE JESUS' O N SUNDAY " WHILE THE PASCO COUNTY SUPERVISOR OF ELECTIONS OFFICE SAID ON ELECTION DAY THAT , DESPITE HUNDREDS OF COMPLAINTS, THE SIGN COULD NOT BE REMOVED BECAUSE IT WAS ON PRIVATE PROPERTY OUTSIDE THE 100-FOOT PERIMETER WHERE CAMPAIGNING ISN'T ALLOWED, DAYS LATER THE CH URCH LOST ITS STATUS AS AN A POLLING SITE BECAUSE OF THE SIGN RECOGNITION FOR OUR WORK PR OPUBLICA'S WORK WAS HONORED IN 2018 AS FOLLOWS OUR COLLABORATION WITH NPR, ON THE MATERNA L MORTALITY CRISIS IN THE U S , WAS A FINALIST FOR THE PULITZER PRIZE FOR EXPLANATORY REPO RTING THE SERIES WON THE PEABODY AWARD IN THE RADIO/PODCAST CATEGORY, THE GOLDSMITH PRIZE FOR INVESTIGATIVE REPORTING, THE GEORGE POLK AWARD FOR MEDICAL REPORTING, THE EDWARD R M URROW NATIONAL AWARD FOR EXCELLENCE IN INNOVATION, THE NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF BLACK JOURN ALISTS' SALUTE TO EXCELLENCE AWARD FOR DIGITAL INTERACTIVE NEWS STORY, THE SIGMA DELTA CHI AWARD FOR EXCELLENCE IN JOURNALISM, THE NEW YORK ACADEMY OF MEDICINE HEALTH EQUITY JOURNA LISM PRIZE, A NATIONAL ACADEMIES OF SCIENCE, ENGINEERING AND MEDICINE COMMUNICATION AWARD, THE DEADLINE CLUB AWARD FOR PUBLIC INTEREST, AND THE COUNCIL ON CONTEMPORARY FAMILIES AWA RD FOR OUTSTANDING MEDIA COVERAGE OF FAMILY ISSUES THE SERIES WAS A FINALIST FOR THE NATI ONAL MAGAZINE AWARD FOR PUBLIC INTEREST, THE INVESTIGATIVE REPORTERS AND EDITORS AWARD FOR PRINT/ONLINE, THE ASSOCIATION OF HEALTH CARE JOURNALISTS AWARD FOR PRINT JOURNALISM, THE ONLINE JOURNALISM AWARDS' KNIGHT AWARD FOR PUBLIC SERVICE, AND THE NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH CARE MANAGEMENT FOUNDATION RESEARCH AND JOURNALISM AWARD FOR PRINT JOURNALISM, AND RECEIVED HONORABLE MENTION FOR THE MOLLY NATIONAL JOURNALISM PRIZE "THE TAX DIVIDE," A SE RIES FROM PROPUBLICA ILLINOIS AND THE CHICAGO TRIBUNE ON THE UNFAIR PROPERTY TAX ASSESSMEN T SYSTEM IN COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS, WAS A FINALIST FOR THE PULITZER PRIZE FOR LOCAL REPORTI NG THE SERIES WON THE GERALD LOEB AWARD FOR DISTINGUISHED BUSINESS AND FINANCIAL JOURNALI SM IN THE LOCAL CATEGORY, THE TAYLOR AWARD FOR FAIRNESS IN JOURNALISM, THE NATIONAL HEADL IER AWARD FOR NEWS SERIES IN A DAILY NEWSPAPER, THE PETER LISAGOR AWARDS' WATCHDOG AWARD F OR EXCELLENCE IN PUBLIC INTEREST REPORTING, THE LISAGOR AWARD FOR BEST INVESTIGATIVE REPOR TING IN A GENERAL INTEREST DAILY NEWSPAPER, NEWS SERVICE OR NEWS BUREAU, AND THE NEW YORK STATE SOCIETY OF CPAS' EXCELLENCE IN FINANCIAL JOURNALISM AWARDS FOR PUBLIC SERVICE AND BE ST LOCAL REPORTING, AND WAS A FINALIST FOR THE RICHARD H DRIEHaus FOUNDATION AWARD FOR IN VESTIGATIVE REPORTING THE PRO

Return Reference	Explanation
THE PROJECT SPURRED CONSIDERABLE IMPACT ACROSS THE COUNTRY	PUBLICA AND WNYC PODCAST "TRUMP, INC" WON AN ALFRED I DUPONT-COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY AWARD PROPUBLICA WON AN ONLINE JOURNALISM AWARD FOR GENERAL EXCELLENCE IN ONLINE JOURNALISM OUR SERIES WITH NPR AND THE NEW YORKER, ON HOW EMPLOYERS AND INSURANCE COMPANIES USE THREATS OF DEPORTATION TO GET OUT OF PAYING WORKERS COMPENSATION FOR UNDOCUMENTED WORKERS, WON THE MOLLY NATIONAL JOURNALISM PRIZE, THE JAMES ARONSON AWARD FOR SOCIAL JUSTICE JOURNALISM, THE SOCIETY OF AMERICAN BUSINESS EDITORS AND WRITERS AWARD FOR EXPLANATORY REPORTING, THE INVESTIGATIVE REPORTERS AND EDITORS AWARD IN THE RADIO/AUDIO CATEGORY, AND THE NEW YORK STATE SOCIETY OF CPAs' EXCELLENCE IN FINANCIAL JOURNALISM AWARD FOR BEST GENERAL REPORTING, AND WAS A FINALIST FOR THE DEADLINE AWARD FOR BEAT REPORTING "AUTOMATING HATE," A COLLABORATION WITH THE NEW YORK TIMES AND GERMAN NEWS ORGANIZATIONS THAT EXPOSED DISCRIMINATORY FACEBOOK POLICIES, WON THE SOCIETY OF AMERICAN BUSINESS EDITORS AND WRITERS AWARD IN THE TECHNOLOGY CATEGORY AND THE GERALD LOEB AWARD FOR BEAT REPORTING OUR PROJECT WITH THE FLORIDA TIMES-UNION, "WALKING WHILE BLACK," ON RACIAL DISPARITIES IN THE ENFORCEMENT OF PEDESTRIAN VIOLATIONS IN JACKSONVILLE, FLORIDA, WON THE AL NAKKULA AWARD FOR POLICE REPORTING, THE ONLINE JOURNALISM AWARDS' UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA AWARD FOR INVESTIGATIVE DATA JOURNALISM, THE NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF BLACK JOURNALISTS' SALUTE TO EXCELLENCE AWARD FOR ONLINE NEWS PROJECT, THE PAUL TOBENKIN MEMORIAL AWARD, THE DEADLINE CLUB AWARD FOR MINORITY FOCUS, AND THREE AWARDS IN THE FLORIDA SOCIETY OF NEWS EDITORS CONTEST FOR PUBLIC SERVICE, COMMUNITY LEADERSHIP AND BEAT REPORTING "TOO BROKE FOR BANKRUPTCY," OUR SERIES ON HOW THE BANKRUPTCY SYSTEM ROUTINELY FAILS THOSE IT IS MEANT TO AID AND PARTICULARLY PUNISHES POOR BLACK AMERICANS, WON THE AMERICAN SOCIETY OF NEWS EDITORS' DORI J MAYNARD AWARD FOR JUSTICE IN JOURNALISM, THE SOCIETY OF AMERICAN BUSINESS EDITORS AND WRITERS AWARD FOR INVESTIGATIVE REPORTING, AND SECOND PLACE FOR THE PHILIP MEYER AWARD FOR JOURNALISM USING SOCIAL SCIENCE RESEARCH METHODS THE SERIES WAS ALSO A FINALIST FOR THE GERALD LOEB AWARD IN THE EXPLANATORY CATEGORY AND THE ONLINE NEWS ASSOCIATION'S UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA AWARD FOR INVESTIGATIVE DATA JOURNALISM "BOMBS IN OUR BACKYARDS," A SERIES ON HOW THE U.S. MILITARY IS THE COUNTRY'S MOST DANGEROUS POLLUTER, WON THE SOCIETY OF ENVIRONMENTAL JOURNALISTS' NINA MASON PUL LIAM AWARD FOR OUTSTANDING ENVIRONMENTAL REPORTING AND SEJ'S KEVIN CARMODY AWARD FOR OUTSTANDING IN-DEPTH REPORTING, RECEIVED HONORABLE MENTION IN THE NATIONAL PRESS CLUB'S JOAN FRIEDENBERG ONLINE JOURNALISM AWARD, WAS A SILVER WINNER IN THE TOKYO INTERNATIONAL FOTO AWARDS, AND WAS A FINALIST FOR THE DEADLINE CLUB AWARD FOR SCIENCE, TECHNOLOGY, MEDICAL OR ENVIRONMENTAL REPORTING

Return Reference	Explanation
THE "TRUMP TOWN" NEWS APP, TRACKING EX-LOBBYISTS AND WASHINGTON, D.C.,	INSIDERS WHO HAVE BEEN APPOINTED ACROSS THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT, WON THE THE ONLINE NEWS ASOCIATION'S AL NEUHARTH INNOVATION IN INVESTIGATIVE JOURNALISM AWARD, THE SOCIETY OF PROFESSIONAL JOURNALISTS' SUNSHINE AWARD, AND THE FIRST AMENDMENT COALITION'S FREE SPEECH & OPEN GOVERNMENT AWARD, WAS A FINALIST FOR THE INVESTIGATIVE REPORTERS AND EDITORS AWARD FOR FREEDOM OF INFORMATION, AND WAS RECOGNIZED ON THE DATA JOURNALISM AWARDS SHORTLIST "WASTED MEDICINE," OUR SERIES HIGHLIGHTING THE HUNDREDS OF BILLIONS OF DOLLARS THAT THE U.S. WASTES EACH YEAR ON HEALTH CARE, WON THE ASSOCIATION OF HEALTH CARE JOURNALISTS AWARD IN THE CONSUMER/FEATURE CATEGORY AND THE SOCIETY OF AMERICAN BUSINESS EDITORS AND WRITERS AWARD FOR HEALTH/SCIENCE, AND WAS A FINALIST FOR THE GERALD LOEB AWARD FOR PERSONAL FINANCE AND THE NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH CARE MANAGEMENT FOUNDATION RESEARCH AND JOURNALISM AWARD FOR PRINT JOURNALISM. OUR INVESTIGATION WITH FORTUNE, "THE BILLION-DOLLAR LOOPHOLE," ON A POPULAR CHARITABLE DONATION TAX SCHEME THAT IS BEING MANIPULATED TO MAKE BIG PROFITS, WON THE SOCIETY OF AMERICAN BUSINESS EDITORS AND WRITERS AWARD FOR BANKING/FINANCE. OUR STORY ABOUT AN MS-13 INFORMANT WHO RISKED HIS LIFE TO WORK WITH LOCAL POLICE, CO-PUBLISHED WITH NEW YORK MAGAZINE, WON AN ONLINE JOURNALISM AWARD IN THE FEATURE CATEGORY "THE RUNAWAYS," A COLLABORATION WITH THIS AMERICAN LIFE ABOUT HOW POLICE NEGLECTED TO INVESTIGATE LATINO TEENAGERS VICTIMIZED BY THE GANG, WON THE OCTOBER SIDNEY AWARD "THE FAILURE TRACK," OUR INVESTIGATION ON NEGLECT AND PROFITEERING IN ALTERNATIVE SCHOOLS, WON THE NATIONAL AWARD FOR EDUCATION REPORTING FOR INVESTIGATIVE REPORTING. THE PROPUBLICA-LED PROJECT "DOCUMENTING HATE," A COALITION OF NEWSROOMS REPORTING ON HATE CRIMES, WON THE ASSOCIATION OF LGBTQ JOURNALISTS' AL NEUHARTH AWARD OF INNOVATION IN INVESTIGATIVE JOURNALISM AND WAS A FINALIST FOR THE SCRIPPS HOWARD AWARD FOR TOPIC OF THE YEAR. OUR STORYTELLING AND REPORTING ON TWITTER ABOUT THE TRUMP ADMINISTRATION WON THE EDWARD R. MURROW REGIONAL AWARD FOR EXCELLENCE IN SOCIAL MEDIA. OUR STORY ON FOREIGNERS RECEIVING PRIORITY ON LIVER TRANSPLANT LISTS WITH WVUE-TV NEW ORLEANS WON AN EDWARD R. MURROW REGIONAL AWARD FOR HARD NEWS. A PROPUBLICA ILLINOIS AND CHICAGO TRIBUNE STORY ON HOW CHICAGO POLICE SKIRT PUNISHMENT WITHIN A FAILED DISCIPLINARY SYSTEM WON TWO PETER LISAGOR AWARDS, FOR BEST MULTIMEDIA FEATURE PRESENTATION AND BEST MULTIMEDIA COLLABORATION, AND WAS A FINALIST FOR THE PETER LISAGOR AWARD FOR BEST POLITICAL AND GOVERNMENT REPORTING. OUR COLLABORATION WITH THE INVESTIGATIVE FUND, "TRASHED INSIDE THE DEADLY WORLD OF PRIVATE GARBAGE COLLECTION," WON THE FEBRUARY SIDNEY AWARD. OUR INVESTIGATION WITH CONSUMER REPORTS INTO DISCRIMINATORY AUTO INSURANCE PRACTICES WON THE SHORTY SOCIAL GOOD AWARD FOR BEST IN RACIAL EQUALITY. OUR STORY ON MENTAL HEALTH CARE IN MISSISSIPPI'S CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM WON THE DEADLINE CLUB AWARD FOR NEWSPAPER OR DIGITAL FEATURE REPORTING AND RECEIVED

Return Reference	Explanation
THE "TRUMP TOWN" NEWS APP, TRACKING EX-LOBBYISTS AND WASHINGTON, D.C.,	D HONORABLE MENTION FOR THE NATIONAL PRESS FOUNDATION CAROLYN MATTINGLY AWARD FOR MENTAL HEALTH REPORTING "HOW THE U.S. TRIGGERED A MASSACRE IN MEXICO," A COLLABORATION WITH NATIONAL GEOGRAPHIC, WAS A FINALIST FOR THE NATIONAL MAGAZINE AWARD FOR REPORTING OUR INVESTIGATION DISCLOSING UNSEEMLY PRACTICES BY THE FAMILY REAL ESTATE BUSINESS OF TRUMP'S SON-IN-LAW AND ADVISER JARED KUSHNER, CO-PUBLISHED WITH THE NEW YORK TIMES MAGAZINE, WAS A FINALIST FOR THE NATIONAL MAGAZINE AWARD FOR REPORTING OUR INVESTIGATION INTO AGE DISCRIMINATION AT IBM WON THE BARLETT & STEELE BRONZE AWARD OUR INTERACTIVE NEWS GAME WITH WNYC ON THE EXPERIENCES OF ASYLUM SEEKERS WAS A FINALIST FOR THE ONLINE JOURNALISM AWARD FOR EXCELLENCE AND INNOVATION IN VISUAL DIGITAL STORYTELLING A COLLABORATION WITH THE TEXAS TRIBUNE ON FEDERAL LAND SEIZURES DURING THE UNITED STATES' FIRST EFFORT TO BUILD A BORDER WALL WAS A FINALIST FOR THE ONLINE NEWS ASSOCIATION'S UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA AWARD FOR INVESTIGATIVE DATA JOURNALISM "THE BELEAGUERED TENANTS OF KUSHNERVILLE" RECEIVED HONORABLE MENTION FOR THE JOHN BARTLOW MARTIN AWARD FOR PUBLIC INTEREST MAGAZINE JOURNALISM OUR STORY EXPOSING THAT THOUSANDS OF CRIMINAL CASES IN NEW YORK CITY RELIED ON DISPUTED DNA TESTING TECHNIQUES, CO-PUBLISHED WITH THE NEW YORK TIMES, WAS A FINALIST FOR THE AL NAKKULA AWARD FOR POLICE REPORTING AND THE DEADLINE CLUB AWARD FOR LOCAL REPORTING OUR SERIES ON ALFORD PLEAS, A LITTLE-KNOWN DEAL THAT ALLOWS DEFENDANTS TO MAINTAIN THEIR INNOCENCE WHILE AT THE SAME TIME PLEADING GUILTY, WAS A FINALIST FOR THE TAYLOR FAMILY AWARD FOR FAIRNESS IN JOURNALISM AND IS A FINALIST FOR THE DEADLINE CLUB AWARD FOR PUBLIC SERVICE PROPUBLICA ILLINOIS WAS A FINALIST FOR THE PETER LISAGOR AWARD FOR BEST START-UP "HOW CHICAGO GETS ITS GUNS," A PROPUBLICA ILLINOIS COLLABORATION WITH THE CHICAGO SUN-TIMES AND WBEZ, WAS A FINALIST FOR THE PETER LISAGOR AWARD FOR BEST MULTIMEDIA FEATURE PRESENTATION THE PROPUBLICA ILLINOIS POST "DOWNSTATE-OF-MIND" YOUR RESPONSES TO DEFINING 'DOWNSTATE' ILLINOIS" WAS A FINALIST FOR THE PETER LISAGOR AWARD FOR BEST INDIVIDUAL BLOG POST "STUCK KIDS," A PROPUBLICA ILLINOIS INVESTIGATION REVEALING THAT HUNDREDS OF CHILDREN IN STATE CARE ARE HELD EACH YEAR IN PSYCHIATRIC HOSPITALS FOR WEEKS OR MONTHS AT A TIME, WON THIRD PLACE IN THE RUDERMAN FOUNDATION AWARDS FOR EXCELLENCE IN REPORTING ON DISABILITY PROPUBLICA SENIOR EDITOR CHARLES ORNSTEIN WAS A FINALIST FOR ROCK HEALTH'S AWARD FOR DIGITAL HEALTH REPORTER OF THE YEAR PRO PUBLICA ILLINOIS REPORTER MICK DUMKE WAS A FINALIST FOR THE CHICAGO JOURNALIST ASSOCIATION'S DOROTHY STOCK AWARD RACHEL GLICKHOUSE, PARTNER MANAGER FOR PROPUBLICA'S DOCUMENTING HATE PROJECT, WAS A FINALIST FOR THE LIVINGSTON AWARD FOR YOUNG JOURNALISTS OUR "LOST CAUSE" MAPS, SHOWING COUNTIES THAT SUPPORTED LOSING PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATES, WERE INCLUDED IN THE NORTH AMERICAN CARTOGRAPHIC INFORMATION SOCIETY'S ATLAS OF DESIGN OUR NEWS APPLICATIONS AND DATA VISUALIZATIONS WON

**990 Schedule O, Supplemental Information**

Return Reference	Explanation
THE "TRUMP TOWN" NEWS APP, TRACKING EX-LOBBYISTS AND WASHINGTON, D C ,	35 SOCIETY FOR NEWS DESIGN AWARDS OF EXCELLENCE FOR SITE REDESIGN, DESIGN TEAM PORTFOLIO, NEWS APPS PORTFOLIO, BREAKING NEWS, FEATURES, GRAPHICS, SOCIAL MEDIA GRAPHICS, AND THE IND VIDUAL PORTFOLIOS OF DESIGN DIRECTOR DAVID SLEIGHT, EDITORIAL EXPERIENCE DESIGNER ROB WEY CHERT, AND SOCIAL VISUALS AND GRAPHICS PRODUCER LUCAS WALDRON NEWS APPLICATIONS DEVELOPER LENA V GROEGER WON THE INFORMATION IS BEAUTIFUL AWARD FOR IMPRESSIVE INDIVIDUAL PORTFOLI O, AND "BOMBS IN OUR BACKYARD" WON A TOKYO INTERNATIONAL FOTO AWARDS SILVER MEDAL

## 990 Schedule O, Supplemental Information

Return Reference	Explanation
FORM 990, PART VI, SECTION B, LINE 11B	PRO PUBLICA HAS ITS FORM 990 PREPARED BY AN OUTSIDE ACCOUNTING FIRM AND HAS ESTABLISHED THE FOLLOWING REVIEW PROCESS TO ENSURE THAT THE INFORMATION REPORTED IS COMPLETE AND ACCURATE WHEN THE FORM 990 HAS BEEN PREPARED, REVIEWED BY THE PRESIDENT AND VICE PRESIDENT OF FINANCE & ADMINISTRATION AND IS READY TO BE FILED WITH THE INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE, IT'S SUBMITTED ELECTRONICALLY TO MEMBERS OF PRO PUBLICA'S GOVERNING BODY FOR ANY COMMENTS PRIOR TO ITS SUBMISSION THE GOVERNING BODY IS PROVIDED WITH AT LEAST ONE WEEK TO REVIEW THE PREPARED FORM 990 AND PROVIDE THEIR COMMENTS ANY COMMENTS ARE THEN GROUPED, SUMMARIZED AND PROVIDED TO THE AUDIT COMMITTEE FOR THEIR REVIEW EACH ISSUE IS DOCUMENTED AND ADDRESSED UNTIL THE RETURN IS FINALIZED AND APPROVED FOR FILING

## 990 Schedule O, Supplemental Information

Return Reference	Explanation
FORM 990, PART VI, SECTION B, LINE 12C	PRO PUBLICA HAS A CONFLICT OF INTEREST POLICY, WHICH APPLIES TO ALL DIRECTORS (BOARD MEMBERS), OFFICERS, AND EMPLOYEES. EACH DIRECTOR AND OFFICER ARE REQUIRED TO SIGN A CONFLICT OF INTEREST DISCLOSURE STATEMENT ANNUALLY. AN INTERESTED PERSON MUST DISCLOSE AS SOON AS PRACTICABLE TO THE SECRETARY THE EXISTENCE OF A POTENTIAL CONFLICT OF INTEREST AND ALL MATERIAL FACTS RELATED TO THE CONFLICT. IF A DIRECTOR OR OFFICER IS UNCERTAIN ABOUT WHETHER A CONFLICT EXISTS, HE/SHE SHOULD REPORT THE POSSIBLE CONFLICT IN ALL CASES IN WHICH A CRITICAL EXTERNAL OBSERVER MIGHT REASONABLY PERCEIVE A CONFLICT TO EXIST. THE BOARD COMMITTEE WILL DETERMINE IF A CONFLICT OF INTEREST EXISTS. IN THE EVENT THAT A CONFLICT OF INTEREST ARISES, THE DIRECTOR OR OFFICER WITH WHOM THE CONFLICT PERTAINS TO IS EXCLUDED FROM VOTING ON THE ISSUE. HE/SHE WILL LEAVE THE ROOM AND THE OTHER DIRECTORS VOTE ON THE ISSUE PERTAINING TO THAT SPECIFIC TRANSACTION. WITH RESPECT TO ANY BOARD COMMITTEE'S DISCUSSION, DECISION, OR ACTIONS INVOLVING TRANSACTIONS IN WHICH A DIRECTOR OR OFFICER HAS A CONFLICT OF INTEREST, THE MINUTES OF THE BOARD COMMITTEE MEETING WILL REFLECT THE BOARD'S DELIBERATIONS AND VOTING PROCESS. IN CASE OF AN INTERESTED PARTY WHO IS NOT A DIRECTOR OR OFFICER, THE PRESIDENT MONITORS AND ENFORCES THE ORGANIZATION'S COMPLIANCE WITH ITS CONFLICT OF INTEREST POLICY. IF AN EMPLOYEE HAS A CONFLICT OF INTEREST, HE/SHE CANNOT PARTICIPATE IN THE DECISION MAKING OF THE CONFLICTED TRANSACTION.

**990 Schedule O, Supplemental Information**

Return Reference	Explanation
FORM 990, PART VI, SECTION B, LINE 15	SALARIES FOR THE CEOS ARE SET BY THE BOARD THE BOARD USES THE SERVICES OF A LAW FIRM (CAPLIN & DRYSDALE, WHO SPECIALIZE IN NOT FOR PROFIT ISSUES) FOR GUIDANCE ON ALL MATTERS OF COMPENSATION IN 2017, CAPLIN & DRYSDALE UPDATED THE COMPENSATION STUDY FOR CEOS CAPLIN & DRYSDALE STUDIES COMPENSATION OF SELECT NATIONAL NEWSPAPERS AND RELIED ON THEIR UNDERSTANDING OF PROPUBLICA'S OPERATIONS AND STATUS IN THE FIELD OF JOURNALISM THE BOARD ESTABLISHED COMPENSATION FOR THE CEOS USING COMPARABLE DATA AND DETERMINED THAT THE SALARIES ARE REASONABLE COMPARABLE DATA INCLUDES VARIETY OF INFORMATION FROM JOURNALISM OUTLETS AND OTHER NOT FOR PROFIT ORGANIZATIONS APPROVED SALARY CHANGES ARE DOCUMENTED IN HUMAN RESOURCES FILES AND REQUIRE THE SIGNATURE OF BOTH THE EMPLOYEE AND THE HR DIRECTOR THE BOARD'S CONSIDERATION OF THIS MATTER ARE ALSO DOCUMENTED IN THE MINUTES OF THE EXECUTIVE SESSION THIS PROCESS WAS LAST COMPLETED IN 2018

# 990 Schedule O, Supplemental Information

Return Reference	Explanation
FORM 990, PART VI, SECTION C, LINE 19	THE ORGANIZATION MAKES ITS FORM 990 AVAILABLE FOR PUBLIC INSPECTION AS REQUIRED UNDER SECTION 6104 OF THE INTERNAL REVENUE CODE. THE RETURN IS POSTED ON GUIDESTAR.ORG AND OTHER SIMILAR TYPES OF WEBSITES. IN ADDITION, THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, CONFLICT OF INTEREST POLICY, ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION, FORM 990, FORM 1023, AND BY-LAWS ARE ALSO AVAILABLE UPON WRITTEN REQUEST, AND ON THE ORGANIZATION'S WEBSITE (WWW.PROPUBLICA.ORG)

# 990 Schedule O, Supplemental Information

Return Reference	Explanation
FORM 990, PART XI, LINE 9	LOSS ON DISPOSAL OF ASSET -11,098 LOSS ON FOREIGN CURRENCY EXCHANGE -48

# 990 Schedule O, Supplemental Information

Return Reference	Explanation
FORM 990, PART XII, LINE 2C	PRO PUBLICA HAS AN AUDIT COMMITTEE THAT ASSUMES RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE OVERSIGHT OF THE AUDIT OF ITS FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND FOR THE SELECTION OF AN INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANT. THE PROCESS HAS NOT CHANGED FROM THE PRIOR YEAR