

See a Social Security Number? Say Something! Report Privacy Problems to https://public.resource.org/privacy Or call the IRS Identity Theft Hotline at 1-800-908-4490



Form **990**

Department of the Treasury

Return of Organization Exempt From Income Tax

Under section 501(c), 527, or 4947(a)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code (except black lung benefit trust or private foundation)

► The organization may have to use a copy of this return to satisfy state reporting requirements

2011

Open to Public

									Hispection		
			lendar year, or tax C Name of organizat	x year beginning 07-01	-2011 and ending 06-30-20	L2	DE	mployer	identification number		
_		pplicable		alth System Corporation							
_	Iress ch	-	Doing Business As					4-1109 elephone	e number		
	ne cha	_	SEE SCHEDULE O				(=	861\88	1-3400		
Init	ıal retu	m			elivered to street address) Room/s	uite			pts \$ 702,112,352		
Ter	mınate	d	600 Elizabeth Stree	:1			 •				
☐ Am	ended	return	City or town, state Corpus Christi, TX	or country, and ZIP + 4	•						
☐ App	lication	n pending	Corpus Christi, 1X	78404							
			F Name and a	address of principal off	icer	H(a) Is	this a g	roup ret	urn for		
			PAM ROBERT				filiates?		┌ Yes ┌ No		
			600 ELIZABE Corpus Christi			U/b) A	!! - £		luded?		
			·	•		I	re all affili		ist (see instructions)		
I Ta	x-exem	npt status	▼ 501(c)(3) 	501(c) () ◀ (insert no)	☐ 4947(a)(1) or ☐ 527				number • 0928		
	ebsite	=: ► www	v christusspohn or				·				
						1 .			Tu		
				rust Association Othe	· P	L Year o	of formatio	n 1965	M State of legal domicile TX		
Ра	rt I		mary								
					st significant activities	NCDECATI	ONCIN	EVTEN	DING THE HEALING		
					S OF THE SPONSORING CO / WITH THE ETHICAL AND I						
3	9	CHURCH	ł								
Œ	-										
ē	-										
Governance	2 (Check th	ıs box ► ıf the o	rganization discontinu	ed its operations or disposed	of more tha	an 25% d	of its net	t assets		
			•		(Part VI, line 1a)			з	1		
Activities &			_		verning body (Part VI, line 1b			4	13		
Ĕ			•		year 2011 (Part V, line 2a)	-		5	5,776		
Į.	6 Total number of volunteers (estimate if necessary)								700		
			elated business re			7a	36,000				
	l				n 990-T, line 34			71:	0		
							Prior Yea	r	Current Year		
	8	Contri	butions and grants	(Part VIII, line 1h)			8,8	46,162	8,850,151		
Ξ	9						684,2	79,757	9,757 687,132,98		
Rayenue	10	O Investment income (Part VIII, column (A), lines 3, 4, and 7d)							-80,436		
Ě	11								5,643,187		
	12				qual Part VIII, column (A), lır	ne 💮	600.6	07.450	701 545 000		
	4.5				(0) (0)			87,450			
	13				mn (A), lines 1-3)	-	3,9	49,158 0			
	14				in (A), line 4) ts (Part IX, column (A), lines				0		
8	15	5-10)		ation, employee benefi	ts (Part 1x, Column (A), lines		305,6	11,632	288,667,647		
ý Æ	16a	Profes	sional fundraising	fees (Part IX, column	(A), line 11e)			0	0		
Expenses	Ь	Total fu	ndraising expenses (Pa	art IX, column (D), line 25)	▶ 0						
Ш	17				a-11d, 11f-24e)		373,040,677 37				
	18				Part IX, column (A), line 25)			01,467			
	19				line 12			 85,983			
大学			·			Begin	ning of C	urrent			
Net Assets or Fund Balances							Year		End of Year		
35. B.35.	20			e 16)			881,7	38,535	910,789,197		
충	21							97,402			
	22			ces Subtract line 21 f	rom line 20		603,7	41,133	634,062,286		
	t II		ature Block								
					eturn, including accompanying s on of preparer (other than offic						
	ledge.			·		•					
		T _k					1				
		Ciana	ture of officer				2013-05 Date	-02			
Sign		'					Date				
Here	3		ela L Brower Region Cl or print name and title								
		 	<u>'</u>	=	Dr. La	ChrI. C	T_				
.		Preparer signature			Date	Check If self-	(see	parer's tax e instruction	xpayer identification number ons)		
Paid		employed •									
Prepa			nme (or yours ERM	IST & YOUNG US LLP			EIN	•			
Use (אוווע			1 MCKINNEY SUITE 1200			_				
			HOU	JSTON, TX 77010			Pho	ne no 🕨	(713) 750-1500		

May the IRS discuss this return with the preparer shown above? (see instructions)

Part III	Statement of	Program	Service	Accomplishm	ents
	State III of	ı ı oğı aııı		Accomplising	

. 区 Check if Schedule O contains a response to any question in this Part III

Briefly describe the organization's mission

THE CORPORATION IS ORGANIZED AND SHALL BE OPERATED EXCLUSIVELY FOR CHARITABLE, SCIENTIFIC, EDUCATIONAL AND RELIGIOUS PURPOSES OF ADVANCING, PROMOTING AND SUPPORTING THE HEALTH CARE MINISTRIES OF THE SPONSORING CONGREGATIONS WHICH OPERATE AND ARE CONTROLLED IN CONFORMITY WITH THE ETHICAL AND MORAL TEACHINGS OF THE ROMAN CATHOLIC CHURCH, AND PROMOTING EFFICIENT GOVERNANCE AND MANAGEMENT, COOPERATIVE PLANNING AND THE SHARING OF RESOURCES AMONG SUCH HEALTH CARE MINISTRIES WITHOUT LIMITING THE GENERALITY OF THE FOREGOING, THE CORPORATION'S MISSION SHALL BE TO EXTEND THE HEALING MINISTRY OF JESUS CHRIST, AND CONSISTENT THEREWITH, SHALL OPERATE ACCORDING TO THE DOCTRINES, RESOLUTIONS, DECREES AND ETHICAL PRINCIPLES OF THE SPONSORING CONGREGATIONS, AND THE ETHICAL AND RELIGIOUS DIRECTORS FOR CATHOLIC HEALTH CARE SERVICES AS PROMULGATED OR AMENDED FROM TIME TO TIME BY THE UNITED STATES CONFERENCE OF CATHOLIC BISHOPS IT IS ALSO A PURPOSE OF THE CORPORATION TO AID, LEND FINANCIAL SUPPORT AND AS

2	Did the organization undertake any significant program services during the year which were not listed on the prior Form 990 or 990-EZ?
	If "Yes," describe these new services on Schedule O
3	Did the organization cease conducting, or make significant changes in how it conducts, any program services?
	If "Yes," describe these changes on Schedule O
4	Describe the organization's program service accomplishments for each of its three largest program services, as measured by expenses Section $501(c)(3)$ and $501(c)(4)$ organizations and section $4947(a)(1)$ trusts are required to report the amount of grants and allocations to others, the total expenses, and revenue, if any, for each program service reported

195,289,875 including grants of \$

COMMITMENT TO BENEFITING OUR COMMUNITIES CHRISTUS SPOHN HEALTH SYSTEM IS PART OF CHRISTUS HEALTH, FORMED IN 1999 TO STRENGTHEN THE 147-COMMITMENT TO BENEFITING OUR COMMUNITIES CHRISTUS SPOHN HEALTH SYSTEM IS PART OF CHRISTUS HEALTH, FORMED IN 1999 TO STRENGTHEN THE 147-YEAR-OLD, FAITH-BASED HEALTH CARE MINISTRIES OF THE CONGREGATIONS OF THE SISTERS OF CHARITY OF THE INCARNATE WORD OF HOUSTON AND SAN ANTONIO FOUNDED ON THE MISSION "TO EXTEND THE HEALING MINISTRY OF JESUS CHRIST," CHRISTUS REACHES OUT TO, AND BEYOND, THE MORE THAN 60 COMMUNITIES WE SERVE TO HELP THOSE IN NEED THE VISION OF CHRISTUS HEALTH AS A CATHOLIC, FAITH-BASED MINISTRY, IS TO BE A LEADER, A PARTNER AND AN ADVOCATE IN CREATING INNOVATIVE HEALTH AND WELLNESS SOLUTIONS THAT IMPROVE THE LIVES OF INDIVIDUALS AND COMMUNITIES SO THAT ALL MAY EXPERIENCE GOD'S HEALING PRESENCE AND LOVE CHRISTUS SPOHN HEALTH SYSTEM CORPORATION RESPONDS TO THE COMMUNITIES' HEALTH CARE NEEDS THROUGH SERVICES PROVIDED AT CHRISTUS SPOHN HOSPITAL CORPUS CHRISTI WITH THREE CAMPUSES -- THE 557-BED SHORELINE CAMPUS, THE 341 BED THROUGH SERVICES PROVIDED AT CHRISTUS SPOHN HOSPITAL CORPUS CHRISTI WITH THREE CAMPUSES -- THE 557-BED SHORELINE CAMPUS, THE 341 BED MEMORIAL CAMPUS, AND THE 158-BED SOUTH CAMPUS ADDITIONALLY, WE SERVE OUR COMMUNITIES THROUGH CHRISTUS SPOHN HOSPITAL KLEBERG WITH 96 BEDS IN KINGSVILLE, CHRISTUS SPOHN HOSPITAL ALICE WITH 135 BEDS IN ALICE, AND CHRISTUS SPOHN HOSPITAL BEEVILLE WITH 69 BEDS EACH OF THE FACILITIES OF CHRISTUS SPOHN HEALTH SYSTEM CORPORATION SHARES ONE OBJECTIVE - TO LEAD THE WAY TO A HEALTHIER COMMUNITY CHRISTUS SPOHN HEALTH SYSTEM CORPORATION IS LOCATED ALONG THE LOWER TEXAS COASTAL AREA (OFTEN CALLED THE COASTAL BEND), AND ITS SERVICE AREA INCLUDES A 15-COUNTY AREA WITH A POPULATION OF MORE THAN 586,000 INDIVIDUALS IN OUR 2012 FISCAL YEAR ALONE, WE WERE PRIVILEGED TO SERVE HUNDREDS OF THOUSANDS OF INDIVIDUALS IN VARIOUS WAYS INCLUDING 184,714 VISITS TO OUR EMERGENCY DEPARTMENTS, 11,064 INPATIENT SURGERY PROCEDURES, 10,766 OUTPATIENT SURGERY PROCEDURES, 45,318 PATIENTS WHO WERE ADMITTED TO OUR HOSPITALS FOR CARE AND 444,775 PATIENTS WHO RECEIVED OUTPATIENT CARE AT OUR FACILITIES TOUCHING THE LIVES OF THE PEOPLE AROUND US IS WHAT MAKES CHRISTUS SPOHN HEALTH SYSTEM CORPORATION STAND APART ALLOWING OTHERS TO TOUCH US GIVES US A VISION FOR THE MEDICALLY NEEDY IN EACH OF THE COMMUNITIES WE SERVE WHETHER IT IS THE LIFE OF A CHILD EXPECTING A FUTURE FILLED WITH MIRACLES. THE LIFE OF A MAN IN NEED OF A CRITICAL HEART SURGERY, OR THE LIFE WHETHER IT IS THE LIFE OF A CHILD EXPECTING A FUTURE FILLED WITH MIRACLES, THE LIFE OF A MAN IN NEED OF A CRITICAL HEART SURGERY, OR THE LIFE OF A WOMAN ABOUT TO GIVE BIRTH TO HER FIRST CHILD, CHRISTUS SPOHN HEALTH SYSTEM CORPORATION'S HEALTH CARE SERVICES WORK TO PROVIDE THE HIGHEST QUALITY CARE REGARDLESS OF AN INDIVIDUAL'S ABILITY TO PAY BY COLLABORATING WITH COMMUNITIES, CHURCHES, BUSINESSES AND OTHER HEALTH CARE ORGANIZATIONS, CHRISTUS SPOHN HEALTH SYSTEM CORPORATION'S VARIOUS ENTITIES HAVE STRENGTHENED THEIR ROLES AS MAJOR PROVIDERS OF CARE ORGANIZATIONS, CHRISTUS SPOHN HEALTH SYSTEM CORPORATION'S VARIOUS ENTITIES HAVE STRENGTHENED THEIR ROLES AS MAJOR PROVIDERS OF COMPREHENSIVE AND ACCESSIBLE HEALTH CARE SERVICES THESE PARTNERSHIPS WITH THE COMMUNITY HAVE BEEN A BLESSING TO CHRISTUS SPOHN HEALTH SYSTEM CORPORATION BY HELPING US LEARN HOW TO BETTER RESPOND TO THOSE IN NEED FURTHERMORE, INVESTMENT IN COMMUNITY SERVICES WOULD NOT BE POSSIBLE WITHOUT DEDICATED EMPLOYEES AND VOLUNTEERS THEY HELP TO BUILD STRONG RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN THE HOSPITALS AND OTHER HEALTH CARE MINISTRIES AND THE COMMUNITIES, NURTURING CHRISTUS' MISSION TO MEET THE NEEDS AND MAKE A DIFFERENCE IN THE LIVES OF OTHERS OUR EMPLOYEES WORK BOTH INSIDE AND OUTSIDE THE WALLS OF OUR HEALTH CARE FACILITIES AND ARE COMMITTED TO REACHING BEYOND THE TRADITIONAL HOSPITAL WALLS TO HELP OUR COMMUNITIES MAINTAIN GOOD HEALTH UNDERSTANDING THE NEED TO IMPROVE ACCESS TO HEALTH CARE TO AS MUCH OF OUR POPULATION AS POSSIBLE, CHRISTUS HEALTH PARTICIPATES IN GOVERNMENT-SPONSORED HEALTH CARE PROGRAMS, INCLUDING MEDICADIS, MEDI POPULATION AS POSSIBLE, CHRISTUS HEALTH PARTICIPATES IN GOVERNMENT-SPONSORED HEALTH CARE PROGRAMS, INCLUDING MEDICAID, MEDICARE, CHAMPUS, TRICARE AND OTHERS IN ADDITION, WE PROVIDE SPECIFIC PROGRAMS TO PROVIDE FREE OR DISCOUNTED SERVICES TO THOSE IN NEED WHO DO NOT HAVE THIRD PARTY COVERAGE OR WHO DO NOT PARTICIPATE IN GOVERNMENT-SPONSORED PROGRAMS CHRISTUS SPOHN HEALTH SYSTEM CORPORATION PROVIDES A FULL RANGE OF INPATIENT AND OUTPATIENT SERVICES TO THE PEOPLE FROM THE COMMUNITIES IT SERVES IT CONDUCTS ITS ACTIVITIES AND SERVES ITS HEALTH CARE PURPOSE WITHOUT REGARD TO RACE, COLOR, CREED, RELIGION, GENDER, ORIENTATION, DISABILITY, AGE OR NATIONAL ORIGIN EACH OF THE SIX CAMPUSES OF CHRISTUS SPOHN HEALTH SYSTEM PROVIDES A 24-HOUR EMERGENCY ROOM THAT IS OPEN TO SERVE ALL THOSE IN NEED OF EMERGENT CARE, REGARDLESS OF THEIR ABILITY TO PAY CHRISTUS SPOHN HEALTH SYSTEM CORPORATION ALSO SUPPORTS MANY LOCAL COMMUNITY HEALTH SERVICES, OFFERING CONVENIENT LOCATIONS FOR PRIMARY CARE THROUGH THE CHRISTUS SPOHN FAMILY HEALTH CENTERS, RURAL HEALTH CLINICS, AND TWO MOBILE OUTREACH CLINICS IN ADDITION, CHRISTUS SPOHN HEALTH SYSTEM CORPORATION COLLABORATES WITH THE FEDERALLY QUALIFIED HEALTH CENTERS (FQHCS) IN OUR REGION, AS WELL AS OTHER NOT-FOR-PROFIT HEALTH CLINICS IN THE AREA THAT ARE COMMITTED TO CREATING GREATER ACCESS TO PRIMARY CARE AS ONE EXAMPLE, CHRISTUS SPOHN HEALTH SYSTEM CORPORATION PROVIDED OVER 1,000 FREE LAB TESTS FOR PATIENTS SEEN BY MISSION OF MERCY, A NOT-FOR-PROFIT FREE HEALTH CLINIC THAT UTILIZES THE SERVICES OF VOLUNTEER PHYSICIANS AND NURSES AND USES DONATED SPACE IN CHURCHES, COMMUNITY CENTERS AND OTHER LOCATIONS THROUGHOUT THE REGION AS A NOT-FOR-PROFIT ORGANIZATION AND AS PART OF CHRISTUS HEALTH, A REGIONAL GOVERNING BOARD COMPRISED LARGELY OF INDEPENDENT COMMUNITY MEMBERS REPRESENTING THE MAKEUP OF THE AREA WE SERVE GUIDES THE CHRISTUS SPOHN HEALTH SYSTEM CORPORATION WE ARE PRIVILEGED TO HAVE AN OPEN MEDICAL STAFF COMPRISED OF QUALIFIED PHYSICIANS WHO WORK WITH US TO PROVIDE CARE TO OUR COMMUNITIES ALL QUALIFIED PHYSICIANS WHO ARE GRANTED PRIVILEGES TO SERVE WITH US IN OUR HOSPITALS MUST UNDERGO A THOROUGH AND COMPREHENSIVE CREDENTIALING AND ORIENTATION PROCESS

4b) (Expenses \$ 119,062,816 including grants of \$ 0) (Revenue \$ 141,129,581)

COMMUNITY BENEFIT REPORTING - CHARITY CARE AND MEDICAID CHRISTUS ADHERES TO THE CATHOLIC HEALTH ASSOCIATION'S "A GUIDE FOR PLANNING AND REPORTING COMMUNITY BENEFIT" (2008), AND COMPLIES WITH THE STATE OF TEXAS REQUIREMENTS FOR REPORTING COMMUNITY BENEFIT, REPORTED AS UNPAID COSTS, INCLUDES BOTH CHARITY CARE AND COMMUNITY SERVICES TO THE LIMITS OF ITS RESOURCES, CHRISTUS HEALTH IS AN INSTITUTION OF PURELY PUBLIC CHARITY, THUS, THE MOST TANGIBLE EXPRESSION OF CHRISTUS HEALTH'S CHARITABLE PURPOSE IS THE PROVISION OF HEALTH CARE SERVICES TO THOSE PERSONS WHO ARE UNABLE TO PAY THIS FALLS INTO TWO CATEGORIES CHARITY CARE AND UNPAID GOVERNMENT INDIGENT CARE IN KEEPING WITH THE MISSION, VALUES, AND VISION OF CHRISTUS HEALTH, CHRISTUS SPOHN HEALTH SYSTEM CORPORATION PROVIDES CHARITY CARE SERVICES IN A MANNER THAT RESPECTS THE DIGNITY OF THE PATIENTS AND THEIR FAMILIES CHARITY CARE IS DEFINED AS SERVICES PROVIDED WITHOUT CHARGE OR AT A CHARGE THAT IS LESS THAN THE USUAL CHARGE FOR SUCH SERVICES THE DETERMINATION AS TO THE AMOUNT TO CHARGE, IF ANY, IS ACCORDING TO A PATIENT'S ABILITY TO PAY AS DETERMINED BY THE ESTABLISHED ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA FOR UNINSURED PATIENTS WHOSE ECONOMIC CIRCUMSTANCES PLACE THEM AT OR UNDER 200 PERCENT OF THE FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL (FPL), SERVICES ARE PROVIDED WITHOUT ANY EXPECTATION OF PAYMENT UNINSURED PATIENTS WHOSE ECONOMIC CIRCUMSTANCES PLACE THEM BETWEEN 200 AND 400 PERCENT OF FPL ARE CHARGED BASED ON A SLIDING SCALE, AND THOSE ABOVE 400 PERCENT RECEIVE DISCOUNTS BASED ON THE UNINSURED FEE SCHEDULE CHRISTUS SPOHN HEALTH SYSTEM CORPORATION ALSO DISCOUNTS CARE FOR THOSE WHOSE MEDICAL EXPENSES WOULD DEPLETE THEIR FINANCIAL RESOURCES IF A BILL, AFTER ALL PAYMENTS, IS STILL IN EXCESS OF 25% OF THE FAMILY'S ANNUAL GROSS INCOME, THE REMAINING BILL IS DISCOUNTED NO PATIENT IS REFUSED NECESSARY MEDICAL CARE DUE TO INABILITY TO PAY CHRISTUS HEALTH IS AN ACTIVE PARTICIPANT IN THE STATES OF TEXAS AND LOUISIANA MEDICALOR PROGRAMS THOSE PROGRAMS SEEK TO PROVIDE PAYMENT FOR HEALTH CARE ACTIVE PARTICIPANT IN THE STATES OF TEXAS AND LOUISIANA MEDICAID PROGRAMS THOSE PROGRAMS SEEK TO PROVIDE PAYMENT FOR HEALTH CARE SERVICES TO INDIVIDUALS WHO MEET CERTAIN FINANCIAL AND OTHER REQUIREMENTS FINANCIAL REQUIREMENTS INCLUDE EVALUATION OF BOTH ASSETS AND INCOME

) (Expenses \$ 285,974,785 including grants of \$ 0) (Revenue \$

OTHER GOVERNMENT SPONSORED SERVICES IN ADDITION TO THE PROVISION OF CHARITY CARE AND OTHER COMMUNITY SERVICES, CHRISTUS HEALTH OFFICE GOVERNMENT SPONSORED SERVICES IN ADDITION TO THE PROVISION OF CHARTIT CARE AND OTHER COMMONITY SPONSORED STREET

PROVIDES SERVICES TO PERSONS COVERED UNDER GOVERNMENT-SPONSORED PROGRAMS, INCLUDING MEDICARE AND TRICARE THE NON-REIMBURSED COST

OF THESE SERVICES IS REPORTED TO THE STATE OF TEXAS, BUT IS NOT INCLUDED IN FEDERAL REPORTS SINCE CHRISTUS HEALTH FOLLOWS CATHOLIC HEALTH

ASSOCIATION GUIDELINES CHRISTUS HEALTH PROVIDES SERVICES TO PERSONS COVERED UNDER THE FEDERAL MEDICARE PROGRAM, AND IN FACT, THIS IS THE

LARGEST SINGLE PAYOR CLASSIFICATION OF PATIENTS SERVED BY THIS HEALTH SYSTEM. THE PAYMENT RATE FOR INPATIENT SERVICES IS ON A CASE RATE, CALCULATED BASED ON THE DIAGNOSTIC-RELATED GROUP (DRG) INTO WHICH THE PATIENT IS CATEGORIZED OUTPATIENT SERVICES ARE REIMBURSED PÉR THE MEDICARE FEE SCHEDULE

Other program services (Describe in Schedule O)

including grants of \$ 3,611,189) (Revenue \$

612,614,260 4e Total program service expenses►\$

0)

Form 990 (<u> </u>
Part IV	Checklist of Required Schedules

	•		Yes	No
1	Is the organization described in section 501(c)(3) or 4947(a)(1) (other than a private foundation)? If "Yes," complete Schedule A	1	Yes	
2	Is the organization required to complete Schedule B, Schedule of Contributors (see instructions)? 🕏	2	Yes	
3	Did the organization engage in direct or indirect political campaign activities on behalf of or in opposition to candidates for public office? If "Yes," complete Schedule C, Part I^{\bullet}	3		No
4	Section 501(c)(3) organizations. Did the organization engage in lobbying activities, or have a section 501(h) election in effect during the tax year? If "Yes," complete Schedule C, Part II	4	Yes	
5	Is the organization a section 501(c)(4), 501(c)(5), or 501(c)(6) organization that receives membership dues, assessments, or similar amounts as defined in Revenue Procedure 98-19? If "Yes," complete Schedule C, Part III	5		No
6	Did the organization maintain any donor advised funds or any similar funds or accounts for which donors have the right to provide advice on the distribution or investment of amounts in such funds or accounts? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part 1	6		No
7	Did the organization receive or hold a conservation easement, including easements to preserve open space, the environment, historic land areas or historic structures? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part II	7		No
8	Did the organization maintain collections of works of art, historical treasures, or other similar assets? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part III	8		No
9	Did the organization report an amount in Part X, line 21, serve as a custodian for amounts not listed in Part X, or provide credit counseling, debt management, credit repair, or debt negotiation services? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part IV	9		No
10	Did the organization, directly or through a related organization, hold assets in temporarily restricted endowments, permanent endowments, or quasi-endowments? <i>If</i> " <i>Yes," complete Schedule D, Part V</i>	10		No
11	If the organization's answer to any of the following questions is 'Yes,' then complete Schedule D, Parts VI, VII, VIII, IX, or X as applicable			
а	Did the organization report an amount for land, buildings, and equipment in Part X, line10? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part VI.	11a	Yes	
b	Did the organization report an amount for investments—other securities in Part X, line 12 that is 5% or more of its total assets reported in Part X, line 16? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part VII.	11b		No
c	Did the organization report an amount for investments—program related in Part X, line 13 that is 5% or more of its total assets reported in Part X, line 16? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part VIII.	11c		No
d	Did the organization report an amount for other assets in Part X, line 15 that is 5% or more of its total assets reported in Part X, line 16? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part IX.	11d	Yes	
e	Did the organization report an amount for other liabilities in Part X, line 25? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part X.	11e	Yes	
f	Did the organization's separate or consolidated financial statements for the tax year include a footnote that addresses the organization's liability for uncertain tax positions under FIN 48 (ASC 740)? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part X.	11f	Yes	
12a	Did the organization obtain separate, independent audited financial statements for the tax year? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Parts XI, XII, and XIII	12a		No
b	Was the organization included in consolidated, independent audited financial statements for the tax year? If "Yes," and if the organization answered 'No' to line 12a, then completing Schedule D, Parts XI, XII, and XIII is optional	12b	Yes	
13	Is the organization a school described in section 170(b)(1)(A)(II)? If "Yes," complete Schedule E	13		No
14a	Did the organization maintain an office, employees, or agents outside of the United States?	14a		No
b	Did the organization have aggregate revenues or expenses of more than \$10,000 from grantmaking, fundraising, business, investment, and program service activities outside the United States, or aggregate foreign investments valued at \$100,000 or more? If "Yes," complete Schedule F, Part I	14b		No
15	Did the organization report on Part IX, column (A), line 3, more than \$5,000 of grants or assistance to any organization or entity located outside the U S? If "Yes," complete Schedule F, Part II and IV	15		No
16	Did the organization report on Part IX, column (A), line 3, more than $$5,000$ of aggregate grants or assistance to individuals located outside the U S ? If "Yes," complete Schedule F, Part III and IV	16		No
17	Did the organization report a total of more than \$15,000, of expenses for professional fundraising services on Part IX, column (A), lines 6 and 11e? If "Yes," complete Schedule G, Part I	17		No
18	Did the organization report more than \$15,000 total of fundraising event gross income and contributions on Part VIII, lines 1c and 8a? <i>If "Yes," complete Schedule G, Part II</i>	18		No
19	Did the organization report more than \$15,000 of gross income from gaming activities on Part VIII, line 9a? If "Yes," complete Schedule G, Part III	19		No
20a	Did the organization operate one or more hospitals? If "Yes," complete Schedule H	20a	Yes	
b	If "Yes" to line 20a, did the organization attach its audited financial statement to this return? Note. All Form 990 filers that operated one or more hospitals must attach audited financial statements	20b	Yes	

Par	t IV Checklist of Required Schedules (continued)			
21	Did the organization report more than \$5,000 of grants and other assistance to governments and organizations in the United States on Part IX, column (A), line 1? If "Yes," complete Schedule I, Parts I and II $\textcircled{\textbf{5}}$	21	Yes	
22	Did the organization report more than \$5,000 of grants and other assistance to individuals in the United States on Part IX, column (A), line 2? If "Yes," complete Schedule I, Parts I and III	22	Yes	
23	Did the organization answer "Yes" to Part VII, Section A, questions 3, 4, or 5, about compensation of the organization's current and former officers, directors, trustees, key employees, and highest compensated employees? If "Yes," complete Schedule J	23	Yes	
24a	Did the organization have a tax-exempt bond issue with an outstanding principal amount of more than \$100,000 as of the last day of the year, that was issued after December 31, 2002? If "Yes," answer questions 24b-24d and complete Schedule K. If "No," go to line 25	24a		No
b	Did the organization invest any proceeds of tax-exempt bonds beyond a temporary period exception?	24b		
	Did the organization maintain an escrow account other than a refunding escrow at any time during the year to defease any tax-exempt bonds?	24c		
d	Did the organization act as an "on behalf of" issuer for bonds outstanding at any time during the year?	24d		
25a	Section 501(c)(3) and 501(c)(4) organizations. Did the organization engage in an excess benefit transaction with a disqualified person during the year? If "Yes," complete Schedule L, Part I	25a		No
b	Is the organization aware that it engaged in an excess benefit transaction with a disqualified person in a prior year, and that the transaction has not been reported on any of the organization's prior Forms 990 or 990-EZ? If "Yes," complete Schedule L, Part I	25b		No
26	Was a loan to or by a current or former officer, director, trustee, key employee, highly compensated employee, or disqualified person outstanding as of the end of the organization's tax year? If "Yes," complete Schedule L, Part II	26		No
27	Did the organization provide a grant or other assistance to an officer, director, trustee, key employee, substantial contributor, or a grant selection committee member, or to a person related to such an individual? If "Yes," complete Schedule L, Part III	27		No
28	Was the organization a party to a business transaction with one of the following parties? (see Schedule L, Part IV instructions for applicable filing thresholds, conditions, and exceptions)			
а	A current or former officer, director, trustee, or key employee? If "Yes," complete Schedule L, Part IV	28a	Yes	
b	A family member of a current or former officer, director, trustee, or key employee? If "Yes," complete Schedule L, Part IV	28b		No
c	An entity of which a current or former officer, director, trustee, or key employee (or a family member thereof) was an officer, director, trustee, or owner? If "Yes," complete Schedule L, Part IV	28c		No
29	Did the organization receive more than \$25,000 in non-cash contributions? If "Yes," complete Schedule M	29		No
30	Did the organization receive contributions of art, historical treasures, or other similar assets, or qualified conservation contributions? <i>If</i> "Yes," complete Schedule M	30		No
31	Did the organization liquidate, terminate, or dissolve and cease operations? If "Yes," complete Schedule N, Part I	31		No
32	Did the organization sell, exchange, dispose of, or transfer more than 25% of its net assets? If "Yes," complete Schedule N, Part II	32		No
33	Did the organization own 100% of an entity disregarded as separate from the organization under Regulations sections 301 7701-2 and 301 7701-3? If "Yes," complete Schedule R, Part I	33		No
34	Was the organization related to any tax-exempt or taxable entity? If "Yes," complete Schedule R, Parts II, III, IV, and V, line 1	34	Yes	
35a	Is any related organization a controlled entity of the filing organization within the meaning of section 512(b)(13)?	35a	Yes	
b	Did the organization receive any payment from or engage in any transaction with a controlled entity within the meaning of section 512(b)(13)? If "Yes," complete Schedule R, Part V, line 2	35b	Yes	
36	Section 501(c)(3) organizations. Did the organization make any transfers to an exempt non-charitable related organization? If "Yes," complete Schedule R, Part V, line 2	36		No
37	Did the organization conduct more than 5% of its activities through an entity that is not a related organization and that is treated as a partnership for federal income tax purposes? If "Yes," complete Schedule R, Part VI	37		No
38	Did the organization complete Schedule O and provide explanations in Schedule O for Part VI, lines 11 and 19? Note. All Form 990 filers are required to complete Schedule O	38	Yes	
		F	orm 99 0	(2011)

Part V Statements Regarding Other IRS Filings and Tax Compliance

	Check if Schedule O contains a response to any question in this Part V $$.	•	. [
			Yes	No
1a	Enter the number reported in Box 3 of Form 1096 Enter -0- if not applicable			
	1a 668			
b	Enter the number of Forms W-2G included in line 1a Enter -0- if not applicable			
	1b 0			
С	Did the organization comply with backup withholding rules for reportable payments to vendors and reportable gaming (gambling) winnings to prize winners?	1c	Yes	
2a	Enter the number of employees reported on Form W-3, Transmittal of Wage and Tax	10	103	
24	Statements filed for the calendar year ending with or within the year covered by this			
	return	1		
Ь	If at least one is reported on line 2a, did the organization file all required federal employment tax returns?	2b	Yes	
	Note. If the sum of lines 1a and 2a is greater than 250, you may be required to e-file (see instructions)		1 05	
За	Did the organization have unrelated business gross income of \$1,000 or more during the			
Ju	year?	3a	Yes	
b	If "Yes," has it filed a Form 990-T for this year? If "No," provide an explanation in Schedule O	3b	Yes	
4a	At any time during the calendar year, did the organization have an interest in, or a signature or other authority			
	over, a financial account in a foreign country (such as a bank account or securities account)?	4a		No
b				
	If "Yes," enter the name of the foreign country See instructions for filing requirements for Form TD F 90-22 1, Report of Foreign Bank and Financial Accounts			
	222 m. 3. 424.013 for ming requirements for Form FD F 20-22 1, Report of Foreign Dank and Financial Accounts			
5a	Was the organization a party to a prohibited tax shelter transaction at any time during the tax year?	5a		No
b	Did any taxable party notify the organization that it was or is a party to a prohibited tax shelter transaction?	5b		No
	If "Yes" to line 5a or 5b, did the organization file Form 8886-T?	20		
·	1. 165 to fine 54 of 56, and the organization met offit 6000-17	5c		
6a	Does the organization have annual gross receipts that are normally greater than \$100,000, and did the	6a		No
	organization solicit any contributions that were not tax deductible?			
Ь	If "Yes," did the organization include with every solicitation an express statement that such contributions or gifts were not tax deductible?	6b		
7	Organizations that may receive deductible contributions under section 170(c).			
	Did the organization receive a payment in excess of \$75 made partly as a contribution and partly for goods and	7a		No
_	services provided to the payor?	,		
b	If "Yes," did the organization notify the donor of the value of the goods or services provided?	7b		
c	Did the organization sell, exchange, or otherwise dispose of tangible personal property for which it was required to	_		
	file Form 8282?	7c		No_
d	If "Yes," indicate the number of Forms 8282 filed during the year			
e	Did the organization receive any funds, directly or indirectly, to pay premiums on a personal benefit			
	contract?	7e		No
f	Did the organization, during the year, pay premiums, directly or indirectly, on a personal benefit contract?	7f		Νo
g	If the organization received a contribution of qualified intellectual property, did the organization file Form 8899 as	_		
	required?	7g		
n	If the organization received a contribution of cars, boats, airplanes, or other vehicles, did the organization file a	7h		
8	Sponsoring organizations maintaining donor advised funds and section 509(a)(3) supporting organizations. Did			
	the supporting organization, or a donor advised fund maintained by a sponsoring organization, have excess			
	business holdings at any time during the year?	8		
9	Sponsoring organizations maintaining donor advised funds.			
а	Did the organization make any taxable distributions under section 4966?	9a		
b	Did the organization make a distribution to a donor, donor advisor, or related person?	9b		
10	Section 501(c)(7) organizations. Enter			
	Initiation fees and capital contributions included on Part VIII, line 12 10a			
b	Gross receipts, included on Form 990, Part VIII, line 12, for public use of club			
4.4	facilities Section F01(c)(12) organizations Enter			
	Section 501(c)(12) organizations. Enter Cross upcome from members or shareholders			
	Gross income from members or shareholders			
D	Gross income from other sources (Do not net amounts due or paid to other sources against amounts due or received from them)			
.a.=		ایرا		
	Section 4947(a)(1) non-exempt charitable trusts. Is the organization filing Form 990 in lieu of Form 1041?	12a		
b	If "Yes," enter the amount of tax-exempt interest received or accrued during the year			
13	Section 501(c)(29) qualified nonprofit health insurance issuers.			
а	Is the organization licensed to issue qualified health plans in more than one state?			
-	Note. All 501(c)(29) organizations must list in Schedule O each state in which they are licensed to issue			
	qualified health plans, the amount of reserves required by each state, and the amount of reserves the organization allocated to each state	13a		
b	Enter the aggregate amount of reserves the organization is required to maintain by			
_	the states in which the organization is licensed to issue qualified health plans			
C	Enter the aggregate amount of reserves on hand			
4.4		ایمها		NI -
	Did the organization receive any payments for indoor tanning services during the tax year?	14a		No_
D	If "Yes," has it filed a Form 720 to report these payments? If "No," provide an explanation in Schedule O	14b		

Governance, Management, and Disclosure For each "Yes" response to lines 2 through 7b below, and for a "No" response to lines 8a, 8b, or 10b below, describe the circumstances, processes, or changes in Schedule O. See instructions.

Se	ection A. Governing Body and Management			
			Yes	No
1a	Enter the number of voting members of the governing body at the end of the tax year			
ь	Enter the number of voting members included in line 1a, above, who are			
_	ındependent			
2	Did any officer, director, trustee, or key employee have a family relationship or a business relationship with any other officer, director, trustee, or key employee?	2		No
3	Did the organization delegate control over management duties customarily performed by or under the direct supervision of officers, directors or trustees, or key employees to a management company or other person?.	3		No
4	Did the organization make any significant changes to its governing documents since the prior Form 990 was filed?	4		No
5	Did the organization become aware during the year of a significant diversion of the organization's assets? .	5		No
6	Did the organization become aware during the year of a significant diversion of the organization's assets.	6	Yes	140
7a	Did the organization have members, stockholders, or other persons who had the power to elect or appoint one or	H	103	
/u	more members of the governing body?	7a	Yes	
b	Are any governance decisions of the organization reserved to (or subject to approval by) members, stockholders, or persons other than the governing body?	7b	Yes	
8	Did the organization contemporaneously document the meetings held or written actions undertaken during the year by the following			
а	The governing body?	8a	Yes	
b	Each committee with authority to act on behalf of the governing body?	8b	Yes	
9	Is there any officer, director, trustee, or key employee listed in Part VII, Section A, who cannot be reached at the organization's mailing address? If "Yes," provide the names and addresses in Schedule O	9		No
	ection B. Policies (This Section B requests information about policies not required by the Internal			
Re	venue Code.)	-		1
			Yes	No
	Did the organization have local chapters, branches, or affiliates?	10a		No
Ь	If "Yes," did the organization have written policies and procedures governing the activities of such chapters, affiliates, and branches to ensure their operations are consistent with the organization's exempt purposes?	10b		
11a	Has the organization provided a complete copy of this Form 990 to all members of its governing body before filing the form?	11a	Yes	
b	Describe in Schedule O the process, if any, used by the organization to review the Form 990			
12a	Did the organization have a written conflict of interest policy? If "No," go to line 13	12a	Yes	
	Were officers, directors or trustees, and key employees required to disclose annually interests that could give			
	rise to conflicts?	12b	Yes	
C	Did the organization regularly and consistently monitor and enforce compliance with the policy? If "Yes," describe in Schedule O how this was done	12c	Yes	
13	Did the organization have a written whistleblower policy?	13	Yes	
14	Did the organization have a written document retention and destruction policy?	14	Yes	
15	Did the process for determining compensation of the following persons include a review and approval by independent persons, comparability data, and contemporaneous substantiation of the deliberation and decision?			
а	The organization's CEO, Executive Director, or top management official	15a	Yes	
b	Other officers or key employees of the organization	15b	Yes	
	If "Yes," to line 15a or 15b, describe the process in Schedule O (see instructions)			
	Did the organization invest in, contribute assets to, or participate in a joint venture or similar arrangement with a taxable entity during the year?	16a	Yes	
b	If "Yes," did the organization follow a written policy or procedure requiring the organization to evaluate its participation in joint venture arrangements under applicable federal tax law, and take steps to safeguard the			
	organization's exempt status with respect to such arrangements?	16b	Yes	
Se	ection C. Disclosure			
17	List the States with which a copy of this Form 990 is required to be filed▶			
18	Section 6104 requires an organization to make its Form 1023 (or 1024 if applicable), 990, and 990-T (501(c)			

18 Section 6104 requires an organization to make its Form 1023 (or 1024 if applicable), 990, and 990-T (501(c) (3)s only) available for public inspection. Indicate how you made these available. Check all that apply

Own website Another's website V Upon request

- 19 Describe in Schedule O whether (and if so, how), the organization made its governing documents, conflict of interest policy, and financial statements available to the public See Additional Data Table
- 20 State the name, physical address, and telephone number of the person who possesses the books and records of the organization PAMELA BROWER
 1714 SANTA FE

Corpus Christi,TX 78404 (361)881-3432

Compensation of Officers, Directors, Trustees, Key Employees, Highest Compensated Employees, and Independent Contractors

Section A. Officers, Directors, Trustees, Key Employees, and Highest Compensated Employees

1a Complete this table for all persons required to be listed Report compensation for the calendar year ending with or within the organization's tax year

- List all of the organization's **current** officers, directors, trustees (whether individuals or organizations), regardless of amount of compensation, and **current** key employees Enter -0 in columns (D), (E), and (F) if no compensation was paid
- List all of the organization's current key employees, if any See instructions for definition of "key employee"
- ◆ List the organization's five **current** highest compensated employees (other than an officer, director, trustee or key employee) who received reportable compensation (Box 5 of Form W-2 and/or Box 7 of Form 1099-MISC) of more than \$100,000 from the organization and any related organizations
- List all of the organization's **former** officers, key employees, or highest compensated employees who received more than \$100,000 of reportable compensation from the organization and any related organizations
- List all of the organization's **former directors or trustees** that received, in the capacity as a former director or trustee of the organization, more than \$10,000 of reportable compensation from the organization and any related organizations

List persons in the following order individual trustees or directors, institutional trustees, officers, key employees, highest compensated employees, and former such persons

(a) Name and Title A verage hours par week (describe hours for related organizations in Schedule O) See Additional Data Table (b) Position (do not check more than one box, unless person is both an officer and a director/trustee) (c) Position (do not check more than one box, unless person is both an officer and a director/trustee) (describe hours for related organizations is not should be a director/trustee) (describe hours for related organizations is not should be a director/trustee) (describe hours for related organizations is not should be a director/trustee) (describe hours for related organizations) (describe hours for related organizations) (describe hours for the director/trustee) (describe hours for related organizations) (describe hours for the director/trustee) (describe hours for related organizations) (describe hours for the director/trustee) (de	Check this box if neither the organiz	•	lated o	rganı	ızatı	ons	compe	ensat	ed any current or fo	ormer officer, direct	tor, or trustee
for related organizations in Schedule Officer Schedule O) organizations	(A)	(B) Average hours per week	Positi more unles an	on (de than s per offic	C) o no n one son er ar	t che e bo: is bo nd a	eck x, oth		(D) Reportable compensation from the organization (W-	(E) Reportable compensation from related organizations	(F) Estimated amount of other compensation from the
See Additional Data Table		for related organizations in Schedule	Individual trustee or director	Institutional Trustee	Officei	Ke) emplojee	Highest compensated employee	Former		MISC)	I
	See Additional Data Table										

CORPUS CHRISTI, TX 78404

\$100,000 of compensation from the organization \blacktriangleright 165

Part VII Section A. Officers, Directors, Trustees, Key Employees, and Highest Compensated Employees (continued)

	(A) Name and Title	(B) Average hours per week (describe	unles an	on (d e thai	n on son er a	e bo ıs b nd a	x, oth		Rep comp fro organi:	(D) ortable ensation m the zation (W-	(E) Reportable compensation from related organizations (W- 2/1099- MISC)		portable Estimated amount of otlers from the 2/1099- Cartes From the corganization is		
		hours for related organizations in Schedule O)	Individual trustee or director	Institutional Trustee	Officei	Kej emplojee	Highest compensated employee	Former			MISC)		relat organiza		
See A	Addıtıonal Data Table														
												\dashv			
												\dashv			
												_			
												\dashv			
												_			
												+			
1h	Sub-Total							<u> </u> ►							
1b c	Total from continuation sheets	to Part VII. Sec		· ·		•		<u>-</u>							
d	Total (add lines 1b and 1c) .			•	•	•		-		5,548,041	805,4	67		1,066,489	
2	Total number of individuals (incl \$100,000 of reportable compen						above) who	o receive	ed more tha	n				
													Yes	No	
3	Did the organization list any for on line 1a? <i>If</i> "Yes," complete Sci				e, k	ey e	mploy •	ee,	or highes	t compens	ated employee	3	Yes		
4	For any individual listed on line organization and related organiz												10		
_	Individual		· ·	•	•	•		•				4	Yes		
5	Did any person listed on line 1a services rendered to the organiz								-			5		No	
_s	ection B. Independent Con	tractors													
1	Complete this table for your five \$100,000 of compensation from or within the organization's tax y	n the organizatio													
	Nai	(A) me and business ad	dress							Desci	(B) ription of services		(C Comper		
PO Bo	ON CONTRUCTION CORP DX 9486 PUS CHRISTI, TX 78469	The drift Business da								CONSTRUCT				,291,285	
ARAM 2527	IARK MANAGEMENT SERVICES LP 1 Network Place AGO, IL 60673									CAFETERIA N	1GMT		4	,161,809	
GULF 1734	SHORE ANESTHESIA ASSOC Santa Fe									ANESTHESIA	svcs		3	,760,549	
BARC 5826	PUS CHRISTI, TX 78404 COM COMMERCIAL Bear Lane									CONSTRUCT	ION		3	,697,011	
CORF	PUS CHRISTI, TX 78405 PUS CHRISTI CARDIOVASCULAR Elizabeth St Suite 102									CATH LAB MA	ANAGEMENT		3	,083,905	

2 Total number of independent contractors (including but not limited to those listed above) who received more than

Form 99							Page 9
Part	/1111	Statement of Revenue		(A) Total revenue	(B) Related or exempt function revenue	(C) Unrelated business revenue	(D) Revenue excluded from tax under sections 512,513, or 514
ats and	1a	Federated campaigns	1a				
gra our	ь	Membership dues	. 1b				
£.€	C	Fundraising events					
<u>ਜ਼</u> ੋਂ <u>ਬ</u>	d	Related organizations					
ans, Sim	e	Government grants (contributions)	1e 3,868,296	ļ			
Contributions, gifts, grants and other similar amounts	f g	All other contributions, gifts, grants, similar amounts not included above Noncash contributions includ	ed in				
and	h	lines 1a-1f \$ Total. Add lines 1a-1f		8,850,151			
			Business Code				
Program Serwce Revenue	2a	Net Patient Service Revenue	621990	677,388,135	677,352,271	35,864	
Fe S	ь	Rent Related to Exempt Function	531120	5,605,126	5,605,126		
<u> </u>	c	Program Related Investment Incom	e 523999	1,759,450	1,759,450		
ě.	d	Uncompensated Trauma Care	621990	1,316,131	1,316,131		
Ē	e	Pharmacy	446110	312,047	312,047		
200	f	All other program service rev	enue	752,091	751,955	136	
Š	g	Total. Add lines 2a-2f		687,132,980			
	3	Investment income (including	g dıvıdends, ınterest				
		and other similar amounts)		25,967			25,967
	4	Income from investment of tax-exe	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0			
	5	Royalties (i) Real	(II) Personal	0			
	6a	Gross rents (1) Kear	(II) I ersonal				
	ь	Less rental					
	c	expenses Rental income					
	d	or (loss) Net rental income or (loss)					
		(ı) Securiti					
	7a	Gross amount from sales of assets other than inventory	460,067				
	ь	Less cost or other basis and sales expenses	566,470				
	C	Gain or (loss)	-106,403	-106,403			-106,403
a	d 8a	Net gain or (loss) Gross income from fundraisin events (not including		-100,403			-100,403
Other Revenue		\$ of contributions reported on I See Part IV , line 18					
her	b	Less direct expenses	. b				
ŏ	С	Net income or (loss) from fun	draising events 🛌	0			
	9a	Gross income from gaming ac See Part IV, line 19					
	b c	Less direct expenses Net income or (loss) from gar		0			
	10a	Gross sales of inventory, less returns and allowances .	a				
	b c	Less cost of goods sold . Net income or (loss) from sal	. b	o			
		Miscellaneous Revenue	Business Code				
	11a	Food Services	722320	3,501,454			3,501,454
	b	CHILDCARE	624410	451,358			451,358
	С	VOLUNTEER SERVICES	453220	379,958			379,958
	d	All other revenue		1,310,417			1,310,417
	e 12	Total Add lines 11a-11d .	▶	5,643,187			
	12	Total revenue. See Instruction	///is · · · [701,545,882	687,096,980	36,000	5,562,751

Part IX Statement of Functional Expenses

Section 501(c)(3) and 501(c)(4) organizations must complete all columns

All other organizations must complete column (A) but are not required to complete columns (B), (C), and (D)

	heck if Schedule O contains a response to any question in this Part IX ot include amounts reported on lines 6b,	(4)	(B)	(c)	(D)
	b, 9b, and 10b of Part VIII.	(A) Total expenses	Program service expenses	Management and general expenses	Fundraising expenses
1	Grants and other assistance to governments and organizations in the United States See Part IV, line 21	3,440,237	3,440,237		
2	Grants and other assistance to individuals in the United States See Part IV, line 22	170,952	170,952		
3	Grants and other assistance to governments, organizations, and individuals outside the United States See Part IV, lines 15 and 16	0			
4	Benefits paid to or for members	0			
5	Compensation of current officers, directors, trustees, and key employees	4,565,894	4,164,786	401,108	
6	Compensation not included above, to disqualified persons (as defined under section $4958(f)(1)$) and persons described in section $4958(c)(3)(B)$	634,459	577,260	57,199	
7	Other salaries and wages	234,163,355	213,593,745	20,569,610	
8	Pension plan contributions (include section 401(k) and section 403(b) employer contributions)	13,248,145	12,784,504	463,641	
9	Other employee benefits	19,438,013	16,475,531	2,962,482	
10	Payroll taxes	16,617,781	15,262,413	1,355,368	
11	Fees for services (non-employees)				
а	Management	95,227		95,227	
b	Legal	358,446		358,446	
c	Accounting	0			
d	Lobbying	0			
e	Professional fundraising See Part IV, line 17	0			
f	Investment management fees	0			
g	Other	57,155,967	40,891,735	16,264,232	
12	Advertising and promotion	452,517	201	452,316	
13	Office expenses	39,431,421	32,425,774	7,005,647	
14	Information technology	25,697,821	25,697,821		
15	Royalties	0			
16	Occupancy	10,947,483	9,542,057	1,405,426	
17	Travel	1,629,689	1,165,928	463,761	
18	Payments of travel or entertainment expenses for any federal, state, or local public officials	0			
19	Conferences, conventions, and meetings	27,704	7,265	20,439	
20	Interest	9,100,460	9,096,177	4,283	
21	Payments to affiliates	0			
22	Depreciation, depletion, and amortization	32,788,596	31,344,833	1,443,763	
23	Insurance	12,439,459	12,434,929	4,530	
24	Other expenses Itemize expenses not covered above (List miscellaneous expenses in line 24f If line 24f amount exceeds 10% of line 25, column (A) amount, list line 24f expenses on Schedule O)				
а	Medical Supplies Expense	128,347,927	128,144,672	203,255	
b	PROV FOR UNCOLLECTIBLE ACCTS	54,479,869	54,475,842	4,027	
c	Recruitment/Placement Fees	1,823,155	621,983	1,201,172	
d	Dues and Membership	309,472	243,086	66,386	
e					
f	All other expenses	136,989	52,529	84,460	
25	Total functional expenses. Add lines 1 through 24f	667,501,038	612,614,260	54,886,778	0
26	Joint costs. Check here ► ☐ If following SOP 98-2 (ASC 958-720) Complete this line only if the organization reported in column (B) joint costs from a combined educational campaign and fundraising solicitation		, - 		rm 990 (2011)

Part X **Balance Sheet** (A) (B) Beginning of year End of year 446,732,404 482.883.360 1 0 2 0 2 0 0 3 3 78.804.316 58.056.179 4 5 Receivables from current and former officers, directors, trustees, key employees, and highest compensated employees Complete Part II of Schedule L 0 0 5 6 Receivables from other disqualified persons (as defined under section 4958(f)(1)) and persons described in section 4958(c)(3)(B) Complete Part II of 0 0 6 1,059,010 707,022 7 21.419.055 20.001.132 8 9 336,565 476.863 Prepaid expenses and deferred charges 10a 739,682,535 Land, buildings, and equipment cost or other basis *Complete* Part VI of Schedule D 10a 10b 467,591,831 b Less accumulated depreciation 273,807,263 **10c** 272,090,704 11 0 11 12 0 12 Investments—other securities See Part IV, line 11 13 14,935,297 13 17,498,170 Investments—program-related See Part IV, line 11 . . 1,370,037 585,000 14 14 44,692,511 15 57,072,844 15 881,738,535 910,789,197 16 Total assets. Add lines 1 through 15 (must equal line 34) . . . 16 51,185,179 17 44,989,337 17 Accounts payable and accrued expenses . 18 18 0 19 130,625 19 2,793,815 20 ol 20 Ω ol 21 Escrow or custodial account liability Complete Part IV of Schedule D . . 21 0 Liabilities 22 Payables to current and former officers, directors, trustees, key employees, highest compensated employees, and disqualified ol 0 persons Complete Part II of Schedule L \ldots . \ldots . \ldots 22 ol 0 23 Secured mortgages and notes payable to unrelated third parties . . . 23 ol 24 Unsecured notes and loans payable to unrelated third parties 24 0 25 Other liabilities (including federal income tax, payables to related third parties, and other liabilities not included on lines 17-24) Complete Part X of Schedule 226,681,598 25 228,943,759 D 26 277,997,402 26 276,726,911 **Total liabilities.** Add lines 17 through 25 Organizations that follow SFAS 117, check here ▶ 🔽 and complete lines 27 Balances through 29, and lines 33 and 34. 27 603,573,628 27 634,009,182 Unrestricted net assets 167,505 28 53,104 28 Temporarily restricted net assets Fund 29 ol 29 0 Permanently restricted net assets Organizations that do not follow SFAS 117, check here ▶ ☐ and complete lines 30 through 34. ö 30 30 Capital stock or trust principal, or current funds Assets 31 31 Paid-in or capital surplus, or land, building or equipment fund 32 32 Retained earnings, endowment, accumulated income, or other funds ž 33 603.741.133 33 634.062.286 Total net assets or fund balances 34 Total liabilities and net assets/fund balances 881.738.535 910.789.197

Pal	Check if Schedule O contains a response to any question in this Part XI			. [~	
1	Total revenue (must equal Part VIII, column (A), line 12)	1		701,5	545,88
2	Total expenses (must equal Part IX, column (A), line 25)	2			501,03
3	Revenue less expenses Subtract line 2 from line 1	3		34,0	044,84
4	Net assets or fund balances at beginning of year (must equal Part X, line 33, column (A))	4		603,7	741,13
5	Other changes in net assets or fund balances (explain in Schedule O)	5		-3,7	723,69
6	Net assets or fund balances at end of year Combine lines 3, 4, and 5 (must equal Part X, line 33, column (B))	6		634,0	062,28
Par	TEXII Financial Statements and Reporting Check if Schedule O contains a response to any question in this Part XII			୮	
1	Accounting method used to prepare the Form 990			Yes	No
2a	Were the organization's financial statements compiled or reviewed by an independent accountant?		2a		No
b	Were the organization's financial statements audited by an independent accountant?		2b	Yes	
c	If "Yes," to 2a or 2b, does the organization have a committee that assumes responsibility for oversight of taudit, review, or compilation of its financial statements and selection of an independent accountant? If the organization changed either its oversight process or selection process during the tax year, explain in Schedule O		2c	Yes	
d	If "Yes" to line 2a or 2b, check a box below to indicate whether the financial statements for the year were is on a separate basis, consolidated basis, or both	ssued			
	Separate basis Consolidated basis Both consolidated and separated basis				
3a	As a result of a federal award, was the organization required to undergo an audit or audits as set forth in the Single Audit Act and OMB Circular A-133?	e	3a	Yes	
b	If "Yes," did the organization undergo the required audit or audits? If the organization did not undergo the readily or audits, explain why in Schedule O and describe any steps taken to undergo such audits	equired	3b	Yes	

OMB No 1545-0047

Inspection

SCHEDULE A

(Form 990 or 990EZ)

Department of the Treasury Internal Revenue Service

Complete if the organization is a section 501(c)(3) organization or a section 4947(a)(1) nonexempt charitable trust.

Public Charity Status and Public Support

▶ Attach to Form 990 or Form 990-EZ. ▶ See separate instructions.

Name of the organization Christus Spohn Health System Corporation **Employer identification number**

Par	+ T	Deac	on for Du	ıblic Charity Sta	tue (All ord	nanizationo	must com	nlata thic r	74-11098 22rt See u		one		
				te foundation becaus						i isti ucti	0115		
1				ion of churches, or a					O.				
2	,			d in section 170(b)(1				,,(=,(-,,(-,,					
3	, -			perative hospital se				n 170(h)(1)	(A)(iii)				
4	Ë			h organization opera						11/41/ii	i) Ente	r tha	
7	,			ity, and state	tea iii conjun	ction with a	nospital des	cribed iii se	ction 170(D)((1)(A)(II	i). Liite	i tile	
5	Γ	_	•	erated for the benefi	_	or universi	ty owned or o	perated by	a governmen	tal unit c	lescribe	d in	
_	_			(A)(iv). (Complete P	•			4 7 0/b)/s	437437-3				
-	<u> </u>			local government or									
7	ı	describ	oed in	at normally receives (A)(vi) (Complete P		al part of its	support from	a governme	ental unit or f	rom the	generai	public	:
8	Γ	A comr	nunity trust	: described in sectio i	n 170(b)(1)(A)(vi) (Cor	nplete Part II	I)					
9				at normally receives					butions, men	nbership	fees, ar	nd gro	ss
		_		rities related to its e					•	•	-	_	
				oss investment inco									
		-	-					· ·		,			
10	Γ		ired by the organization after June 30, 1975 See section 509(a)(2). (Complete Part III) rganization organized and operated exclusively to test for public safety See section 509(a)(4).										
11	Ė	_	An organization organized and operated exclusively to test for public safety. See section 509(a)(4). An organization organized and operated exclusively for the benefit of, to perform the functions of, or to carry out the purposes of										
one or more publicly supported the box that describes the type			ly supported organiz	ations descr porting organ	ibed in sect ization and o	ion 509(a)(1) or section s 11e throu	509(a)(2) S igh 11h	ee secti e		a)(3).	Check	
e f	ı	other the section of the ocheck to	han foundat n 509(a)(2) organization this box	ox, I certify that the ion managers and ot received a written d	her than one	or more pub	olicly support	ed organiza	tions describ	ed in sec	ction 50	9(a)(1) or
g			August 17, 7 ng persons?	2006, has the organ	ızatıon accep	oted any gift	or contributi	on from any	of the				
				rectly or indirectly o	ontrols, eith	eralone ort	ogether with	persons des	scribed in (ii)			Yes	No
				governing body of th			_	p = 1 = 1 = 1			11g(i)		
		•				_				<u> </u>	11g(ii)		
											11g(iii)		_
h				ng information about						Ľ	9 (/		<u> </u>
••		1100140	z circ romovii	ng mormation about	the support	sa organizat	1011(3)						
(i) Name support organiza		e of rted	(ii) EIN	(iii) Type of organization (described on lines 1- 9 above or IRC section (see	(iv) Is the organizati col (i) list your gove docume	on in ced in rning	(v) Did you not organizati col (i) of suppor	ion in your	(vi) Is th organizat col (i) org in the U	ie tion in janized	I A		
				(see (nstructions))	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No			
				,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,						1	$\neg \uparrow$		
										1			
										1			
										1	- 		
										1	<u> </u>		
Total										1			

instructions

Sch	edule A (Form 990 or 99	90-EZ)2011						Page 2
	(Complet	e only if you	checked the	box on line 5,	7, or 8 of Part	(b)(1)(A)(iv) I or if the orgar	nızatıon faıle	d to qualify
			<u>organızatıon f</u>	fails to qualify ι	<u>under the tests</u>	listed below, pl	<u>lease comple</u>	ete Part III.)
	ection A. Public Su					Т	1	
Cal	endar year (or fiscal ye in)	ar beginning	(a) 2007	(b) 2008	(c) 2009	(d) 2010	(e) 2011	(f) Total
1	Gifts, grants, contribut	ions, and						
	membership fees recei							
	ınclude any "unusual							
_	grants ") Tax revenues levied fo	rtho						
2	organization's benefit a							
	paid to or expended on							
	behalf							
3	The value of services of							
	furnished by a governme the organization withou							
4	Total. Add lines 1 thro	_						
5	The portion of total cor	-						
-	by each person (other	than a						
	governmental unit or p	•						
	supported organization line 1 that exceeds 2%							
	amount shown on line 1							
	(f)	21,0014						
6	Public Support. Subtractine 4	ct line 5 from						
S	ection B. Total Sup	port						
Cal	endar year (or fiscal yea	r beginning	(a) 2007	(b) 2008	(c) 2009	(d) 2010	(e) 2011	(f) Total
_	ın)	_	(4) 2007	(2) 2000	(4) 2005	(4) 2020	(0) 2022	(1) 1 3 4 4
7 8	A mounts from line 4 Gross income from inte	rost –						
0	dividends, payments re							
	securities loans, rents							
	and income from simila	ır						
_	sources							
9	Net income from unrela business activities, wh							
	not the business is reg							
	carried on							
10	Other income (Explain							
	IV) Do not include gai from the sale of capital							
11	Total support (Add line							
	through 10)							
12	Gross receipts from re	lated activities	s, etc (See inst	ructions)			12	
13	First Five Years If the		r the organizati	on's first, second	l, thırd, fourth, or	fıfth tax year as a	501(c)(3) or	
	check this box and sto	p here						▶ □
S	ection C. Computat	ion of Publ	ic Support F	Percentage				
14	Public Support Percen	tage for 2011	(line 6 column	(f) dıvıded by lıne	11 column (f))		14	
15	Public Support Percen	tage for 2010	Schedule A , Pa	rt II, line 14			15	
16a	33 1/3% support test-					line 14 is 33 1/3%	% or more, che	
h	and stop here. The org 33 1/3% support test					6a and line 15 is	33 1/20% or m	ore check this
D	box and stop here. The					oa, and inte 15 IS	1/3%0 UI M	ore, check this
17a	10%-facts-and-circum	-	•		-	ne 13, 16a, or 16	b and line 14	٠,
	ıs 10% or more, and ıf							
	in Part IV how the orga	anızatıon meet	s the "facts and	d circumstances"	test The organiz	zatıon qualıfıes as	a publicly su	
b	organization 10%-facts-and-circum	stances test—	2010. If the ora	anization did not	check a hov on li	ne 13, 16a 16b	or 17a and lin	▶
,	15 is 10% or more, an							-
	Explain in Part IV how	the organizati						
10	supported organization Private Foundation If t		n did not chools	a hov on line 12	16a 16h 17a a	or 17h chack this	hov and coc	► □

►□

Schedule A (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2011 Page 3 Part III Support Schedule for Organizations Described in IRC 509(a)(2) (Complete only if you checked the box on line 9 of Part I or if the organization failed to qualify under Part II. If the organization fails to qualify under the tests listed below, please complete Part II.) Section A. Public Support Calendar year (or fiscal year beginning (a) 2007 **(b)** 2008 (c) 2009 (d) 2010 (e) 2011 (f) Total ın) Gifts, grants, contributions, and membership fees received (Do not include any "unusual grants ") Gross receipts from admissions, merchandise sold or services performed, or facilities furnished in any activity that is related to the organization's tax-exempt purpose Gross receipts from activities that are not an unrelated trade or business under section 513 Tax revenues levied for the organization's benefit and either paid to or expended on its behalf The value of services or facilities furnished by a governmental unit to the organization without charge Total. Add lines 1 through 5 7a Amounts included on lines 1, 2, and 3 received from disqualified persons Amounts included on lines 2 and 3 received from other than disqualified persons that exceed the greater of \$5,000 or 1% of the amount on line 13 for the year c Add lines 7a and 7b Public Support (Subtract line 7c from line 6) Section B. Total Support Calendar year (or fiscal year beginning (a) 2007 **(b)** 2008 (c) 2009 (d) 2010 (e) 2011 (f) Total ın) Amounts from line 6 Gross income from interest, 10a dividends, payments received on securities loans, rents, royalties and income from similar sources Unrelated business taxable income (less section 511 taxes) from businesses acquired after June 30, 1975 Add lines 10a and 10b C Net income from unrelated 11 business activities not included in line 10b, whether or not the business is regularly carried on Other income Do not include gain or loss from the sale of capital assets (Explain in Part IV) Total support (Add lines 9, 10c, 13 11 and 12) First Five Years If the Form 990 is for the organization's first, second, third, fourth, or fifth tax year as a 501(c)(3) organization, check this box and stop here Section C. Computation of Public Support Percentage Public Support Percentage for 2011 (line 8 column (f) divided by line 13 column (f)) 15 15 Public support percentage from 2010 Schedule A, Part III, line 15 16 16 Section D. Computation of Investment Income Percentage

Investment income percentage for 2011 (line 10c column (f) divided by line 13 column (f))

19a 33 1/3% support tests—2011. If the organization did not check the box on line 14, and line 15 is more than 33 1/3% and line 17 is not

18 is not more than 33 1/3%, check this box and stop here. The organization qualifies as a publicly supported organization Private Foundation If the organization did not check a box on line 14, 19a or 19b, check this box and see instructions

33 1/3% support tests-2010. If the organization did not check a box on line 14 or line 19a, and line 16 is more than 33 1/3% and line

more than 33 1/3%, check this box and stop here. The organization qualifies as a publicly supported organization

Investment income percentage from 2010 Schedule A, Part III, line 17

17

18

17

18

▶[

Part IV	Supplemental Information. Supplemental Information. Complete this part to provide the explanation required by Part II, line 10; Part II, line 17a or 17b; or Part III, line 12. Also complete this part for any additional information. (See instructions).
	Facts And Circumstances Test
	Explanation

Schedule A (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2011

DLN: 93493130027613

OMB No 1545-0047

SCHEDULE C (Form 990 or 990-EZ)

Department of the Treasury Internal Revenue Service

Political Campaign and Lobbying Activities

For Organizations Exempt From Income Tax Under section 501(c) and section 527 ► Complete if the organization is described below. ► Attach to Form 990 or Form 990-EZ. ► See separate instructions.

If the organization answered "Yes," to Form 990, Part IV, Line 3, or Form 990-EZ, Part V, line 46 (Political Campaign Activities).

- ◆ Section 501(c)(3) organizations Complete Parts I-A and B Do not complete Part I-C
- ◆ Section 501(c) (other than section 501(c)(3)) organizations Complete Parts I-A and C below Do not complete Part I-B
- ◆ Section 527 organizations Complete Part I-A only

If the organization answered "Yes," to Form 990, Part IV, Line 4, or Form 990-EZ, Part VI, line 47 (Lobbying Activities), then

- Section 501(c)(3) organizations that have filed Form 5768 (election under section 501(h)) Complete Part II-A Do not complete Part II-B
- ◆ Section 501(c)(3) organizations that have NOT filed Form 5768 (election under section 501(h)) Complete Part II-B Do not complete Part II-A

If the organization answered "Yes," to Form 990, Part IV, Line 5 (Proxy Tax) or Form 990-EZ, line 35c (Proxy Tax), then

◆ Section 501(c)(4), (5), or (6) organizations Complete Part III

Name of the	organızatıon
Christus Spohn	Health System Corporation

Employer identification number

74-1109836

Part I-A	Complete if t	the organization i	<u>is exempt under</u>	section 501(c)	or is a section 52	7 organization.

- in opposition to candidates for public office in Part IV 2 Political expenditures
- 3 Volunteer hours

art I-B	Complete	if the orga	<u>nization is</u>	exempt unde	er section	501(c)(3).

1 Enter the amount of any excise tax incurred by the organization under section 4955

Provide a description of the organization's direct and indirect political campaign activities on behalf of or

- Enter the amount of any excise tax incurred by organization managers under section 4955
- 3 If the organization incurred a section 4955 tax, did it file Form 4720 for this year?
- Was a correction made?
- b If "Yes," describe in Part IV

Part I-C Complete if the organization is exempt under section 501(c) except section 501(c)(3).

- Enter the amount directly expended by the filing organization for section 527 exempt function activities
- Enter the amount of the filing organization's funds contributed to other organizations for section 527 exempt funtion activities
- 3 Total exempt function expenditures Add lines 1 and 2 Enter here and on Form 1120-POL, line 17b
- Did the filing organization file Form 1120-POL for this year?

☐ Yes

Yes

Enter the names, addresses and employer identification number (EIN) of all section 527 political organizations to which the filing organization made payments. For each organization listed, enter the amount paid from the filing organization's funds. Also enter the amount of political contributions received that were promptly and directly delivered to a separate political organization, such as a separate segregated fund or a political action committee (PAC) If additional space is needed, provide information in Part IV

(a) Name	(b) Address	(c) EIN	(d) Amount paid from filing organization's funds If none, enter -0-	(e) Amount of political contributions received and promptly and directly delivered to a separate political organization If none, enter - 0 -

f Grassroots lobbying expenditures

(The term "expenditures" means amounts paid or incurred.) Lia Total lobbying expenditures to influence public opinion (grass roots lobbying) b Total lobbying expenditures to influence a legislative body (direct lobbying) c Total lobbying expenditures (add lines 1a and 1b) d Other exempt purpose expenditures e Total exempt purpose expenditures (add lines 1c and 1d) f Lobbying nontaxable amount Enter the amount from the following table in both columns If the amount on line 1e, column (a) or (b) is: The lobbying nontaxable amount is: Not over \$500,000 but not over \$1,000,000 \$100,000 plus 15% of the excess over \$500,000 over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,500,000 \$175,000 plus 10% of the excess over \$1,000,000 over \$1,500,000 but not over \$17,000,000 \$225,000 plus 5% of the excess over \$1,500,000 over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,000,000 \$1,000,000 g Grassroots nontaxable amount (enter 25% of line 1f) Subtract line 1g from line 1a If zero or less, enter -0- i Subtract line 1f from line 1c If zero or less, enter -0- j If there is an amount other than zero on either line 1h or line 1i, did the organization file Form 4720 reporting section 4911 tax for this year? 4-Year Averaging Period Under Section 501(h) (Some organizations that made a section 501(h) election do not have to complete all columns below. See the instructions for lines 2a through 2f on page 4.)	ווטפ	edule C (F	01111 9 9 0 01 9 9 0 - EZ) 2 0 1 1					Page ∠
A Check If the filing organization belongs to an affiliated group (and list in Part IV each affiliated group member expenses, and share of excess lobbying expenditures) Check If the filing organization checked box A and "limited control" provisions apply Limits on Lobbying Expenditures (The term "expenditures" means amounts paid or incurred.) Organization Total Incurred (The term "expenditures" means amounts paid or incurred.)	Pa	rt II-A		n is exempt under	section 501(c)(3) and fi	iled Form 5768	(election
expenses, and share of excess lobbying expenditures) Limits on Lobbying Expenditures (The term "expenditures" means amounts paid or incurred.) Limits on Lobbying Expenditures (The term "expenditures" means amounts paid or incurred.) Limits on Lobbying Expenditures (The term "expenditures" means amounts paid or incurred.) Limits on Lobbying Expenditures (The term "expenditures to influence public opinion (grass roots lobbying) Lobbying expenditures to influence a legislative body (direct lobbying) Total lobbying expenditures (add lines 1a and 1b) Other exempt purpose expenditures Total obtaining purpose expenditures (add lines 1c and 1d) Lobbying nontaxable amount Enter the amount from the following table in both columns If the amount on line 1e, column (a) or (b) is: If the amount on line 1e, column (a) or (b) is: If the amount on line 1e, column (a) or (b) is: Not over \$500,000 but not over \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,500,000 S1,000,000 but not over \$1,500,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,500,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,500,000 S1,000,000 but not over \$1,500,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,500,000 S1,000,000 but not over \$1,500,000 S1,000,000 but not over \$1,500,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,500,000 S1,000,000 but not over \$1,500,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,500,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,500,000 S1,000,000 but not over \$1,500,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,500,000 S1,000,000 but not over \$1,500,000 S1,000,000 but not over \$1,500,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,500,000 S1,000,000 but not over \$1,500,000 S1,000,000 but not over \$1,500,000 S1,000,000 but not over \$1,500,000 S1,000 but not over \$1,500,	١	Check		an affiliated group (and	lıst ın Part IV ea	ch affiliated gr	oup member's nam	e, address, EIN,
Limits on Lobbying Expenditures (The term "expenditures" means amounts paid or incurred.) Total lobbying expenditures to influence public opinion (grass roots lobbying) Total lobbying expenditures (add lines 1 aand 1b) Other exempt purpose expenditures (add lines 1 aand 1b) Lobbying nontaxable amount Enter the amount from the following table in both columns If the amount on line Le, column (a) or (b) is: If the amount on line Le, column (a) or (b) is: Not over \$500,000 Over \$500,000 but not over \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,000,000 S10,000,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,000,000 S10,000,000 Fig. Soon over \$1,000,000 Fig. Soon ov			expenses, and share of excess lob	bying expenditures)		_	•	
Total lobbying expenditures to influence public opinion (grass roots lobbying) b Total lobbying expenditures to influence a legislative body (direct lobbying) c Total lobbying expenditures (add lines 1a and 1b) d Other exempt purpose expenditures e Total exempt purpose expenditures f Lobbying nontaxable amount Enter the amount from the following table in both columns If the amount on line 1e, column (a) or (b) is: Not over \$500,000 Over \$500,000 but not over \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,000,000 S175,000 plus 10% of the excess over \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,000,000 S175,000 plus 10% of the excess over \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,000,000 S175,000 plus 10% of the excess over \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,000,000 S175,000 plus 10% of the excess over \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000 The lobbying barbon line 1 of the excess over \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000 The lobbying the amount of the excess over \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,000,000 S175,000,000 S175,000,000 S175,000,000 S175,000,000 Over \$1,000,000 Over \$	3	Check	ıf the filing organization checked bo	ox A and "limited contro	ol" provisions app	ly	1	1
(The term "expenditures" means amounts paid or incurred.) Ital Total lobbying expenditures to influence public opinion (grass roots lobbying) b Total lobbying expenditures (add lines 1a and 1b) d Other exempt purpose expenditures e Total exempt purpose expenditures (add lines 1c and 1d) f Lobbying nontaxable amount Enter the amount from the following table in both columns If the amount on line 1e, column (a) or (b) is: The lobbying nontaxable amount is: Not over \$500,000 Over \$500,000 but not over \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,000,000 S1225,000 plus 10% of the excess over \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,000,000 S1225,000 plus 10% of the excess over \$1,500,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,000,000 S1200,000 plus 10% of the excess over \$1,500,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,000,000 S1200,000 plus 10% of the excess over \$1,500,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,000,000 S1200,000 plus 10% of the excess over \$1,500,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,500,000 S1200,000 plus 10% of the excess over \$1,500,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,500,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,500,000 S1200,000 plus 10% of the excess over \$1,500,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,500,000 S1200,000 plus 10% of the excess over \$1,500,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,500,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,500,000 S1200,000 plus 10% of the excess over \$1,500,000 Over \$1,500,000 but not over \$1,500,000 S1200,000 plus 10% of the excess over \$1,500,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,500,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,500,000 S1200,000 plus 10% of the excess over \$1,500,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,500,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,500,000 S1200,000 plus 10% of the excess over \$1,500,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000 but			Limits on Lobbying	Expenditures			(a) Filing	(b) Affiliated
Total lobbying expenditures to influence public opinion (grass roots lobbying) b Total lobbying expenditures (add lines 1a and 1b) d Other exempt purpose expenditures Total exempt purpose expenditures (add lines 1c and 1d) f Lobbying nontaxable amount Enter the amount from the following table in both columns If the amount on line 1e, column (a) or (b) is: The lobbying nontaxable amount is: Not over \$500,000 Over \$500,000 but not over \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,000,000 S175,000 plus 15% of the excess over \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,000,000 S100,000 plus 15% of the excess over \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000 The lobbying nontaxable amount is: Not over \$500,000 Over \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,00					l.)		Organization's Totals	Group Totals
b Total lobbying expenditures to influence a legislative body (direct lobbying) c Total lobbying expenditures (add lines 1a and 1b) d Other exempt purpose expenditures e Total exempt purpose expenditures (add lines 1c and 1d) f Lobbying nontaxable amount Enter the amount from the following table in both columns If the amount on line 1e, column (a) or (b) is: Not over \$500,000 Over \$500,000 but not over \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,7000,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,7000,000 S225,000 plus 15% of the excess over \$1,000,000 Over \$1,7000,000 Over \$1,7000,000 F17,000,000 S225,000 plus 5% of the excess over \$1,500,000 Over \$1,7000,000 F17,000,000 S1,000,000 F17,000,000 F17,000,		-			1 \		100013	1 ocars
c Total lobbying expenditures (add lines 1a and 1b) d Other exempt purpose expenditures e Total exempt purpose expenditures (add lines 1c and 1d) f Lobbying nontaxable amount Enter the amount from the following table in both columns If the amount on line 1e, column (a) or (b) is: If the amount on line 1e, column (a) or (b) is: Not over \$500,000 Over \$500,000 but not over \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,000,000 In the excess over \$1,000,000 F1,000,000 F1,000,0				· -				
d O ther exempt purpose expenditures Total exempt purpose expenditures (add lines 1c and 1d) Lobbying nontaxable amount Enter the amount from the following table in both columns If the amount on line 1e, column (a) or (b) is: The lobbying nontaxable amount is: Not over \$500,000 Over \$500,000 but not over \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,500,000 Over \$1,500,000 but not over \$1,500,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000					ying)			
Total exempt purpose expenditures (add lines 1c and 1d) Lobbying nontaxable amount Enter the amount from the following table in both columns If the amount on line 1e, column (a) or (b) is:			,	b)				
f Lobbying nontaxable amount Enter the amount from the following table in both columns If the amount on line 1e, column (a) or (b) is: Not over \$500,000 Over \$500,000 but not over \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,500,000 Over \$1,500,000 but not over \$1,500,000 Over \$17,000,000 but not over \$1,7000,000 Over \$17,000,000 but not over \$17,000,000 S225,000 plus 10% of the excess over \$1,000,000 Over \$17,000,000 Over \$17,000,000 Over \$17,000,000 S225,000 plus 5% of the excess over \$1,000,000 Over \$17,000,000 Over \$17,000,000 S1,000,000 S1,000,0	d	Otherexe	empt purpose expenditures					
Columns If the amount on line 1e, column (a) or (b) is: Not over \$500,000 Over \$500,000 but not over \$1,000,000 Over \$500,000 but not over \$1,500,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,500,000 Over \$1,500,000 but not over \$1,500,000 Over \$1,500,000 but not over \$1,7000,000 Over \$1,500,000 but not over \$1,500,000 Over \$1,500,000 but not over \$1,500,000 Over \$1,500,000 but not over \$1,500,000 Over \$1,000,000 Over \$1,500,000 but not over \$1,500,000 Over \$1,500,000 but not over \$1,500,000 Over \$1,500,000 but not over \$1,500,000 Over \$1,500,000 Over \$1,500,000 S1,000,000	e	Total exe	mpt purpose expenditures (add lines 1	.c and 1d)				
Not over \$500,000 Over \$500,000 but not over \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,500,000 Over \$1,500,000 but not over \$1,7000,000 Over \$1,500,000 but not over \$1,7000,000 Over \$1,500,000 but not over \$1,000,000 Over \$1,500,000 but not over \$1,000,000 S225,000 plus 5% of the excess over \$1,500,000 Over \$17,000,000 S1,000,000 Grassroots nontaxable amount (enter 25% of line 1f) Subtract line 1g from line 1a If zero or less, enter -0- Subtract line 1f from line 1c If zero or less, enter -0- If there is an amount other than zero on either line 1h or line 1i, did the organization file Form 4720 reporting section 4911 tax for this year? 4-Year Averaging Period Under Section 501(h) (Some organizations that made a section 501(h) election do not have to complete all columns below. See the instructions for lines 2a through 2f on page 4.) Lobbying Expenditures During 4-Year Averaging Period Calendar year (or fiscal year beginning in) (a) 2008 (b) 2009 (c) 2010 (d) 20 Lobbying celling amount	f		nontaxable amount Enter the amount	from the following table	in both			
Over \$500,000 but not over \$1,000,000 \$100,000 plus 15% of the excess over \$500,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,000,000 \$175,000 plus 10% of the excess over \$1,000,000 Over \$1,500,000 but not over \$17,000,000 \$225,000 plus 5% of the excess over \$1,500,000 Over \$17,000,000 \$1,000,00		If the an	ount on line 1e, column (a) or (b) is:	The lobbying nontax	able amount is:			
Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,500,000 Over \$1,500,000 but not over \$1,500,000 Over \$1,500,000 but not over \$1,500,000 Section \$225,000 plus 5% of the excess over \$1,500,000 Over \$17,000,000 g Grassroots nontaxable amount (enter 25% of line 1f) h Subtract line 1g from line 1a If zero or less, enter -0- i Subtract line 1ffrom line 1c If zero or less, enter -0- j If there is an amount other than zero on either line 1h or line 1i, did the organization file Form 4720 reporting section 4911 tax for this year? 4-Year Averaging Period Under Section 501(h) (Some organizations that made a section 501(h) election do not have to complete all columns below. See the instructions for lines 2a through 2f on page 4.) Lobbying Expenditures During 4-Year Averaging Period Calendar year (or fiscal year beginning in) (a) 2008 (b) 2009 (c) 2010 (d) 20 2a Lobbying ceiling amount		Not over \$5	500,000	20% of the amount on lii	ne 1e			
Over \$1,500,000 but not over \$17,000,000 \$225,000 plus 5% of the excess over \$1,500,000 Over \$17,000,000 \$1,00		Over \$500,	000 but not over \$1,000,000	\$100,000 plus 15% of the	e excess over \$500,0	000		
g Grassroots nontaxable amount (enter 25% of line 1f) h Subtract line 1g from line 1a If zero or less, enter -0- i Subtract line 1f from line 1c If zero or less, enter -0- j If there is an amount other than zero on either line 1h or line 1i, did the organization file Form 4720 reporting section 4911 tax for this year? 4-Year Averaging Period Under Section 501(h) (Some organizations that made a section 501(h) election do not have to complete all columns below. See the instructions for lines 2a through 2f on page 4.) Lobbying Expenditures During 4-Year Averaging Period Calendar year (or fiscal year beginning in) (a) 2008 (b) 2009 (c) 2010 (d) 20 Lobbying celling amount		Over \$1,00	0,000 but not over \$1,500,000	\$175,000 plus 10% of the	e excess over \$1,000	,000		
g Grassroots nontaxable amount (enter 25% of line 1f) h Subtract line 1g from line 1a If zero or less, enter -0- i Subtract line 1ffrom line 1c If zero or less, enter -0- j If there is an amount other than zero on either line 1h or line 1i, did the organization file Form 4720 reporting section 4911 tax for this year? 4-Year Averaging Period Under Section 501(h) (Some organizations that made a section 501(h) election do not have to complete all columns below. See the instructions for lines 2a through 2f on page 4.) Lobbying Expenditures During 4-Year Averaging Period Calendar year (or fiscal year beginning in) (a) 2008 (b) 2009 (c) 2010 (d) 20 Lobbying non-taxable amount		Over \$1,50	0,000 but not over \$17,000,000	\$225,000 plus 5% of the	excess over \$1,500,	000		
h Subtract line 1g from line 1a If zero or less, enter -0- i Subtract line 1f from line 1c If zero or less, enter -0- j If there is an amount other than zero on either line 1h or line 1i, did the organization file Form 4720 reporting section 4911 tax for this year? 4-Year Averaging Period Under Section 501(h) (Some organizations that made a section 501(h) election do not have to complete all columns below. See the instructions for lines 2a through 2f on page 4.) Lobbying Expenditures During 4-Year Averaging Period Calendar year (or fiscal year beginning in) (a) 2008 (b) 2009 (c) 2010 (d) 20 2a Lobbying ceiling amount		Over \$17,0	00,000	\$1,000,000				
h Subtract line 1g from line 1a If zero or less, enter -0- i Subtract line 1f from line 1c If zero or less, enter -0- j If there is an amount other than zero on either line 1h or line 1i, did the organization file Form 4720 reporting section 4911 tax for this year? 4-Year Averaging Period Under Section 501(h) (Some organizations that made a section 501(h) election do not have to complete all columns below. See the instructions for lines 2a through 2f on page 4.) Lobbying Expenditures During 4-Year Averaging Period Calendar year (or fiscal year beginning in) (a) 2008 (b) 2009 (c) 2010 (d) 20 2a Lobbying ceiling amount								
i Subtract line 1f from line 1c If zero or less, enter -0- j If there is an amount other than zero on either line 1h or line 1i, did the organization file Form 4720 reporting section 4911 tax for this year? 4-Year Averaging Period Under Section 501(h) (Some organizations that made a section 501(h) election do not have to complete all columns below. See the instructions for lines 2a through 2f on page 4.) Lobbying Expenditures During 4-Year Averaging Period Calendar year (or fiscal year beginning in) (a) 2008 (b) 2009 (c) 2010 (d) 20 Lobbying non-taxable amount		Grassroo	ts nontaxable amount (enter 25% of li	ne 1f)				
i Subtract line 1f from line 1c If zero or less, enter -0- j If there is an amount other than zero on either line 1h or line 1i, did the organization file Form 4720 reporting section 4911 tax for this year? 4-Year Averaging Period Under Section 501(h) (Some organizations that made a section 501(h) election do not have to complete all columns below. See the instructions for lines 2a through 2f on page 4.) Lobbying Expenditures During 4-Year Averaging Period Calendar year (or fiscal year beginning in) (a) 2008 (b) 2009 (c) 2010 (d) 20 Lobbying non-taxable amount	h	Subtract	line 1a from line 1a If zero or less. en	ter -0 -				
Jection 4911 tax for this year? 4-Year Averaging Period Under Section 501(h) (Some organizations that made a section 501(h) election do not have to complete all columns below. See the instructions for lines 2a through 2f on page 4.) Lobbying Expenditures During 4-Year Averaging Period Calendar year (or fiscal year beginning in) (a) 2008 (b) 2009 (c) 2010 (d) 20 Lobbying ceiling amount								
4-Year Averaging Period Under Section 501(h) (Some organizations that made a section 501(h) election do not have to complete al columns below. See the instructions for lines 2a through 2f on page 4.) Lobbying Expenditures During 4-Year Averaging Period Calendar year (or fiscal year beginning in) (a) 2008 (b) 2009 (c) 2010 (d) 20 Lobbying non-taxable amount					organization file	Form 4720 re	portina	
(Some organizations that made a section 501(h) election do not have to complete al columns below. See the instructions for lines 2a through 2f on page 4.) Lobbying Expenditures During 4-Year Averaging Period Calendar year (or fiscal year beginning in) (a) 2008 (b) 2009 (c) 2010 (d) 20 Lobbying non-taxable amount							F - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	┌ Yes ┌ No
Calendar year (or fiscal year beginning in) (a) 2008 (b) 2009 (c) 2010 (d) 2009 Lobbying non-taxable amount		(Sor	ne organizations that made a	section 501(h) el	ection do not	have to co		ne five
beginning in) (a) 2008 (b) 2009 (c) 2010 (d) 20 2a Lobbying non-taxable amount b Lobbying ceiling amount			Lobbying Exp	enditures During	4-Year Avera	ging Period	d	
b Lobbying ceiling amount				(a) 2008	(b) 2009	(c) 2010	(d) 2011	(e) Total
	2a	Lobbyin	g non-taxable amount					
	b							
c Total lobbying expenditures	c	Total loi	obying expenditures					
d Grassroots non-taxable amount	d	Grassro	ots non-taxable amount					
e Grassroots ceiling amount (150% of line 2d, column (e))	e							

	,		
Part II-B	Complete if the	organization is exempt under section 501(c)(3) and has NOT filed Form 576	58
	(election under	section 501(h)).	

		(a)	(b)
		Yes	No	Amount
1	During the year, did the filing organization attempt to influence foreign, national, state or local legislation, including any attempt to influence public opinion on a legislative matter or referendum, through the use of			
а	Volunteers?		No	
b	Paid staff or management (include compensation in expenses reported on lines 1c through 1i)?	Yes		
C	Media advertisements?		No	
d	Mailings to members, legislators, or the public?		No	
е	Publications, or published or broadcast statements?		No	
f	Grants to other organizations for lobbying purposes?		No	
g	Direct contact with legislators, their staffs, government officials, or a legislative body?	Yes		39,147
h	Rallies, demonstrations, seminars, conventions, speeches, lectures, or any similar means?		No	
i	Other activities? If "Yes," describe in Part IV	Yes		15,141
j	Total lines 1c through 1i			54,288
2a	Did the activities in line 1 cause the organization to be not described in section $501(c)(3)$?		No	
b	If "Yes," enter the amount of any tax incurred under section 4912			
c	If "Yes," enter the amount of any tax incurred by organization managers under section 4912			
d	If the filing organization incurred a section 4912 tax, did it file Form 4720 for this year?			
Par	t III-A Complete if the organization is exempt under section $501(c)(4)$, section	501(c)(5), o	r section

501(c)(6).

			Yes	No
1	Were substantially all (90% or more) dues received nondeductible by members?	1		
2	Did the organization make only in-house lobbying expenditures of \$2,000 or less?	2		
3	Did the organization agree to carryover lobbying and political expenditures from the prior year?	3		

Part III-B Complete if the organization is exempt under section 501(c)(4), section 501(c)(5), or section 501(c)(6) if BOTH Part III-A, lines 1 and 2 are answered "No" OR if Part III-A, line 3 is answered "Yes". 1

2	Section 162(e) non-deductible lobbying and political expenditures (do not include amounts of political expenses for which the section 527(f) tax was paid).		
а	Current year	2a	
b	Carryover from last year	2b	
С	Total	2c	
3	Aggregate amount reported in section 6033(e)(1)(A) notices of nondeductible section 162(e) dues	3	
4	If notices were sent and the amount on line 2c exceeds the amount on line 3, what portion of the excess does the organization agree to carryover to the reasonable estimate of nondeductible lobbying and	4	
	political expenditure next year?	4	
5	Taxable amount of lobbying and political expenditures (see instructions)	5	

Part IV Supplemental Information

Dues, assessments and similar amounts from members

Complete this part to provide the descriptions required for Part I-A, line 1, Part I-B, line 4, Part I-C, line 5, and Part II-B, line 1

Identifier	Return Reference	Explanation
obbying Description	Form 990, Schedule C, Part II-B,	HEALTHCARE POLICY IS CRITICAL TO ALL AMERICANS
	LINE 1G	AND CHRISTUS SPOHN HEALTH SYSTEM CORPORATION
		BELIEVES THAT HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS MUST
		PARTICIPATE IN FORMING HEALTH CARE POLICY BY
		INTERACTING WITH NATIONAL, STATE AND LOCAL
		REPRESENTATIVES AND THEIR STAFF MEMBERS TO HELP
		THEM BETTER UNDERSTAND THE COMPLEXITIES AND
		RAMIFICATIONS OF KEY HEALTH CARE POLICIES
		CHRISTUS SPOHN HEALTH SYSTEM CORPORATION HAD
		DIRECT CONTACT WITH UNITED STATES CONGRESSMEN
		AND MEMBERS OF THE TEXAS STATE LEGISLATURE AND
		THEIR STAFF THROUGH EMAILS, TELEPHONE CALLS AND
		MEETINGS ON ISSUES SUCH AS HEALTHCARE FOR THE
		UNINSURED, HEALTHCARE REFORM, HEALTHCARE COSTS
		AND ACCESS, AND DISPROPORTIONATE SHARE
		HOSPITALS, MEDICAID UPPER PAY LIMITS, DELIVERY
		SYSTEM REFORM INCENTIVE PAYMENTS AND 1115
		HEALTHCARE TRANSFORMATION WAIVER THE REPORTED
		EXPENSES ASSOCIATED WITH THESE ACTIVITIES
		INCLUDE STAFF COMPENSATION AND RELATED
		ALLOCABLE OVERHEAD FORM 990, SCHEDULE C, PART II
		B, LINE 1I "OTHER ACTIVITIES" REPORTS THE PORTION
		OF FY 2012 PROFESSIONAL AND MEMBERSHIP
		ASSOCIATION DUES ALLOCATED TO LOBBYING EFFORTS
		BY THE RESPECTIVE ASSOCIATIONS TOTALING \$15,141
		CHRISTUS SPOHN HEALTH SYSTEM CORPORATION DID
		NOT SUBSTANTIALLY LOBBY DURING THE FISCAL YEAR
		ENDING 6-30-12

DLN: 93493130027613

OMB No 1545-0047

SCHEDULE D (Form 990)

Department of the Treasury

Supplemental Financial Statements

► Complete if the organization answered "Yes," to Form 990, Part IV, line 6, 7, 9, 10, 11a, 11b, 11c, 11d, 11e, 11f, 12a, or 12b

Open to Public

itema	ar Revenue Service	orm 990. ► See separate instructions.		Inspection
	i me of the organization ristus Spohn Health System Corporation			oyer identification number
Pa	organizations Maintaining Donor Ac			or Accounts. Complete if the
	organization answered "Yes" to Form 99	(a) Donor advised funds		b) Funds and other accounts
1	Total number at end of year	(a) Bonor davised failes		b) rands and other decounts
- 2	Aggregate contributions to (during year)			
3	Aggregate grants from (during year)			
4	Aggregate value at end of year			
5	Did the organization inform all donors and donor advifunds are the organization's property, subject to the	-	or advis	sed
6	Did the organization inform all grantees, donors, and used only for charitable purposes and not for the ben conferring impermissible private benefit			
Pa	rt II Conservation Easements. Complete	ıf the organization answered "Yes" to	Form	990. Part IV. line 7.
1 2	Purpose(s) of conservation easements held by the or Preservation of land for public use (e.g., recreating Protection of natural habitat Preservation of open space Complete lines 2a-2d if the organization held a qualice easement on the last day of the tax year	on or pleasure)	ertified	
		Γ	T	Held at the End of the Year
а	Total number of conservation easements		2a	
b	Total acreage restricted by conservation easements		2b	
c	Number of conservation easements on a certified his	toric structure included in (a)	2c	
d	Number of conservation easements included in (c) a	cquired after 8/17/06	2d	
4 5	Number of states where property subject to conserva Does the organization have a written policy regarding enforcement of the conservation easements it holds?	g the periodic monitoring, inspection, hand	— ling of	violations, and Yes No
6	Staff and volunteer hours devoted to monitoring, insp	pecting and enforcing conservation easem	ents du	ırıng the year 🗠
7	Amount of expenses incurred in monitoring, inspectings	ng, and enforcing conservation easements	during	the year
8	Does each conservation easement reported on line 2 $170(h)(4)(B)(I)$ and $170(h)(4)(B)(II)$?	(d) above satisfy the requirements of sect	tion	┌ Yes ┌ No
9	In Part XIV, describe how the organization reports cobalance sheet, and include, if applicable, the text of the organization's accounting for conservation easen	the footnote to the organization's financial		
Pai	rt III Organizations Maintaining Collectio Complete if the organization answered '		or Oth	ner Similar Assets.
1a	If the organization elected, as permitted under SFAS art, historical treasures, or other similar assets held provide, in Part XIV, the text of the footnote to its fin	for public exhibition, education or researc	h ın fur	
b	If the organization elected, as permitted under SFAS historical treasures, or other similar assets held for provide the following amounts relating to these items	public exhibition, education, or research in		
	(i) Revenues included in Form 990, Part VIII, line 1			▶ \$
	(ii) Assets included in Form 990, Part X			> \$
2	If the organization received or held works of art, histofollowing amounts required to be reported under SFA		r financ	cial gain, provide the
а	Revenues included in Form 990, Part VIII, line 1			► \$
b	Assets included in Form 990, Part X			► \$

Part	•••• Organizations Maintaining Co	llections of Art	<u>:, His</u>	tori	cal Tr	eası	<u>ures, or O</u>	<u>the</u>	<u>r Similar Ass</u>	ets (c	ontinued)
3	Using the organization's accession and othe items (check all that apply)	r records, check an	y of th	he fol	lowing t	hat a	re a significa	ant u	se of its collection	on	
а	Public exhibition		d	Γ	Loan	orex	change progr	ams			
b	Scholarly research		e	Γ	Other						
c	Preservation for future generations										
4	Provide a description of the organization's co	ollections and expla	ıın hov	w the	y furthe	r the	organızatıon	's ex	empt purpose in		
5	During the year, did the organization solicit of assets to be sold to raise funds rather than t									Yes	┌ No
Par	t IV Escrow and Custodial Arrang Part IV, line 9, or reported an an						n answere	d "Y	es" to Form 99	90,	
1a	Is the organization an agent, trustee, custod included on Form 990, Part X?	ian or other interme	ediary	for c	ontribui	tions	or other ass	ets		Yes	┌ No
b	If "Yes," explain the arrangement in Part XIV	/ and complete the	follow	ving t	able		Г		Amo	nt	
c	Reginning halance						ŀ	1c	Allk	, dire	
d	Beginning balance Additions during the year						-	1d			
e	Distributions during the year						F	1e			
f	Ending balance							1f			
	Did the organization include an amount on Fo	orm 000 Bort V lin	. 212	,			L			Yes	Г №
2a	-	•	e Zī '						ı	res	j NO
	If "Yes," explain the arrangement in Part XIV Endowment Funds. Complete		n and		od "Voc	c" to	Form 000	Dar	+ TV lung 10		
Pα	Endowinient Funds. Complete	(a)Current Year)Prior			wo Years Back			'e) Four Y	ears Back
1a	Beginning of year balance	(a)camena rear	<u> </u>	<i>y</i>		(-).		'-')	
b	Contributions							1			
С	Investment earnings or losses										
d	Grants or scholarships										
e	Other expenditures for facilities and programs										
f	Administrative expenses										
g	End of year balance										
2	Provide the estimated percentage of the yea	r end balance held a	as		•						
а	Board designated or quasi-endowment 🕨										
b	Permanent endowment 🕨										
С	Term endowment ▶										
За	Are there endowment funds not in the posses organization by	ssion of the organiz	atıon	that	are held	land	administere	d for	the	Yes	No
	(i) unrelated organizations								3a(i)		
_	(ii) related organizations							•	3a(ii)	<u> </u>
	If "Yes" to 3a(II), are the related organizatio	•				•		•	3b		<u> </u>
4	Describe in Part XIV the intended uses of th										
Pell	t VI Land, Buildings, and Equipme	ent. See Form 99	7U, Pa						I		
	Description of property				Cost or o (investm		(b) Cost or ot basis (other		(c) Accumulated depreciation	(d) Bo	ok value
1 a	and						23,591	,882		2	3,591,882
b I	Buildings						394,448	,435	222,025,578	17	2,422,857
c I	_easehold improvements						18,217	,497	10,185,201		8,032,296
d i	Equipment						300,762	,551	234,905,895	ϵ	5,856,656
	Other		•				2,662	,170	475,157		2,187,013
Tota	I. Add lines 1a-1e <i>(Column (d) should equal Fo</i>	orm 990, Part X, colui	mn (B,), line	10(c).)				►	27	2,090,704
									Schedule D	(Form 9	90) 201:

Part VII Investments—Other Securities. See	Form 990, Part X, line 1		
(a) Description of security or category (including name of security)	(b)Book value		od of valuation f-year market value
(1)Financial derivatives			,
(2)Closely-held equity interests			
Other			
Total. (Column (b) should equal Form 990, Part X, col (B) line 12)			
Part VIII Investments—Program Related. Se	e Form 990, Part X, line	13.	
(a) Description of investment type	(b) Book value	(c) Metho	od of valuation
(a) Description of investment type	(B) Book value	Cost or end-o	f-year market value
Total. (Column (b) should equal Form 990, Part X, col (B) line 13)	•		
Part IX Other Assets. See Form 990, Part X, II	ne 15.	_	
(a) Descri	ption		(b) Book value
(1) Due from Related Organizations			57,072,844
Total. (Column (b) should equal Form 990, Part X, col.(B) line 3	15.)		57,072,844
Part X Other Liabilities. See Form 990, Part X			, ,
1 (a) Description of Liability	(b) A mount		
Federal Income Taxes	0		
Due to Christus Bond Fund	174,867,034		
Capital Lease	54,076,725		
Total. (Column (b) should equal Form 990, Part X, col (B) line 25) ▶	228,943,759		

Total revenue (Form 990, Part VIII, column (A), line 12)

2	Total expenses (Form 990, Part IX, column (A), line 25)	2	
3	Excess or (deficit) for the year Subtract line 2 from line 1	3	
4	Net unrealized gains (losses) on investments	4	
5	Donated services and use of facilities	5	
6	Investment expenses	6	
7	Prior period adjustments	7	
8	Other (Describe in Part XIV)	8	
9	Total adjustments (net) Add lines 4 - 8	9	
10	Excess or (deficit) for the year per financial statements Combine lines 3 and 9	10	
Part	XII Reconciliation of Revenue per Audited Financial Statements With Revenue p	er Re	turn
1	Total revenue, gains, and other support per audited financial statements	1	
2	Amounts included on line 1 but not on Form 990, Part VIII, line 12		
а	Net unrealized gains on investments		
b	Donated services and use of facilities		
c	Recoveries of prior year grants		
d	Other (Describe in Part XIV) 2d		
е	Add lines 2a through 2d	2e	
3	Subtract line 2e from line 1	3	
4	Amounts included on Form 990, Part VIII, line 12, but not on line 1		
а	Investment expenses not included on Form 990, Part VIII, line 7b . 4a		
b	Other (Describe in Part XIV)		
c	Add lines 4a and 4b	4c	
5	Total Revenue Add lines 3 and 4c. (This should equal Form 990, Part I, line 12)	5	
	Reconciliation of Expenses per Audited Financial Statements With Expenses	per F	leturn
1	Total expenses and losses per audited financial statements	₁	
2	Amounts included on line 1 but not on Form 990, Part IX, line 25		
– a	Donated services and use of facilities		
b	Prior year adjustments	1	
c	Other losses	1	
d	Other (Describe in Part XIV) 2d	1	
e	Add lines 2a through 2d	2e	
3	Subtract line 2e from line 1	3	
4	Amounts included on Form 990, Part IX, line 25, but not on line 1:		
а	Investment expenses not included on Form 990, Part VIII, line 7b 4a		
b	Other (Describe in Part XIV) 4b]	
c	Add lines 4a and 4b	4c	
5	Total expenses Add lines 3 and 4c. (This should equal Form 990, Part I, line 18)	5	
Par	t XIV Supplemental Information		

Part XI Reconciliation of Change in Net Assets from Form 990 to Financial Statements

Complete this part to provide the descriptions required for Part II, lines 3, 5, and 9, Part III, lines 1a and 4, Part IV, lines 1b and 2b, Part V, line 4, Part XI, line 8, Part XII, lines 2d and 4b, and Part XIII, lines 2d and 4b Also complete this part to provide any additional information

Identifier	Return Reference	Explanation
Cash - Non-Bearing Interest		Christus Health System maintains a centralized cash management system This cash management system (CMS) includes a concentration account wherein deposits and disbursements for related Christus exempt organizations flow through this account and over to the managed investment accounts Each participating organization reports a balance in the CMS reflective of its cumulative cash activity Cash Balances for each Christus organization are reported on Form 990 in accordance with financial statement reporting CMS ownership is maintained by Christus Health (EIN 76-0590551) and all associated investment income is properly reported on the Christus Health Form 990 Form 990, Schedule D, Part X Some beginning balances were reclassified from Form 990, Part X, Line 24 to Part X, Line 25 for presentation purposes only
Uncertain Tax Positions Under ASC 740		Per footnote 3 in the Consolidated Financial Statements, there are no material unrecorded tax liabilities as of June 30, 2012 and 2011

OMB No 1545-0047

Open to Public **Inspection**

SCHEDULE H (Form 990)

Department of the Treasury Internal Revenue Service

► Complete if the organization answered "Yes" to Form 990, Part IV, question 20. ► Attach to Form 990. ► See separate instructions.

Hospitals

Name of the organization Christus Spohn Health System Corporation **Employer identification number**

Ð	art I Charity Care and	Certain O	ther Comr	nunity Benefits at		1109836			
	onarity care and	Juliani O		y Delicines de				Yes	No
1 a	Did the organization have a c	harity care po	olicy? If "No,	" skip to question 6a			1a	Yes	
b	If "Yes," is it a written policy	·					1b	Yes	
2	If the organization had multip care policy to the various hos		indicate whic	h of the following best	describes application o	of the charity			
	Applied uniformly to all ho Generally tailored to indiv		ls	Applied uniformly	to most hospitals				
3	Answer the following based or organization's patients during	•	_	y criteria that applies t	o the largest number o	f the			
а	Did the organization use Fede If "Yes," indicate which of the					are?	2-	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	
	Γ 100% Γ 150%	_	ю% Г	Other	%		3a	Yes	
b	Did the organization use FPG	to determine	eliaibility for		are? If				
_	"Yes," indicate which of the fo		= :				3b	Yes	
	Г _{200%} Г _{250%}	Г 30	о% Г	350% 🔽 40	0% 	<u>%</u>			
c	If the organization did not use determining eligibility for free test or other threshold, regard	or discounte	d care Inclu	de in the description w	hether the organization				
4	Did the organization's policy	provide free o	r dıscounted	care to the "medically	ındıgent"?		4	Yes	
5a	Did the organization budget a the tax year?	mounts for fre		ted care provided unde	er its financial assistan	ce policy during	5a	Yes	
b	If "Yes," did the organization	's charity care	e expenses e	xceed the budgeted ar	nount?		5b		Νo
C	If "Yes" to line 5b, as a resul care to a patient who was elig						5c		
6a	Did the organization prepare	a community	benefit repor	tduring the tax year?			6a	Yes	
6b	If "Yes," did the organization	make it avail	able to the p	ublic?			6b	Yes	
	Complete the following table worksheets with the Schedule		ksheets prov	ided in the Schedule H	instructions Do not si	ubmit these			
7	Charity Care and Certain O	ther Commur	nity Benefits	at Cost					
	Charity Care and Means-Tested Government Programs	(a) Number of activities or programs (optional)	(b) Persons served (optional)	(c) Total community benefit expense	(d) Direct offsetting revenue	(e) Net community be expense	enefit	(f) Pero total ex	
а	Charity care at cost (from Worksheet 1)			41,477,295	0	41,47	7,295	6	760 %
b	Medicaid (from Worksheet 3, column a)			77,160,716	108,316,329	-31,15	5,613		0 %
С	government programs (from Worksheet 3, column b) .			36,245,732	32,813,116	3,43	2,616	0	560 %
d	Total Charity Care and Means-Tested Government Programs			154,883,743	141,129,445	13,75	4,298	2	240 %
е	Other Benefits Community health improvement services and community benefit operations (from (Worksheet 4)	63	54,262	2,374,291	199,185	2 17	5,106	0	350 %
f	Health professions education		·			·			
g	(from Worksheet 5) Subsidized health services	20	3,906	7,391,030	2,373,695	5,01	7,335	0	820 %
_	(from Worksheet 6)		0	0	0		0		0 %
h i	Research (from Worksheet 7) Cash and In-kind contributions for community benefit (from Worksheet 8)	24	11,484	1,670,181	0		0,181	0	0 % 270 %
j	Total Other Benefits	107	69,652	11,435,502	2,572,880	,	2,622		440 %
k	Total Add lines 7d and 7i	107	69 652	166 319 245	143 702 325	22.61	6 920	3	680 %

	activities.	(a) Number of activities or programs (optional)	(b) Persons served (optional)	(c) Total community building expense		rect offsett revenue	(e) Net communi building expense		(f) Perc total ex	
1	Physical improvements and housing	, , ,								
2	Economic development									
3	Community support									
4										
	Environmental improvements Leadership development and training									
	for community members									
-										
,	Community health improvement advocacy	6		59,72	27		59	,727	0	010
8	Workforce development									
9	Other									
.0	Total Dalu Madia	6	<u> </u>	59,72	27		59	,727	0	010
'ar	t IIII Bad Debt, Medicar	e, & Collec	tion Practic	es						
ect 1 2 3	Statement No 15? Enter the amount of the organi	on A. Bad Debt Expense Did the organization report bad debt expense in accordance with Heathcare Financial Management Association Statement No 15?						1	Yes Yes	No
4	patients eligible under the org Provide in Part VI the text of t	the footnote to	the organization	on's financial stater						
	In addition, describe the costi rationale for including a portio				s reporte	d on lines	2 and 3, and			
ect	ion B. Medicare									
5	Enter total revenue received fi	rom Medicare ((including DSH	and IME)		5	155,069,124			
6	Enter Medicare allowable cost					6	160,471,116			
7 8	Subtract line 6 from line 5 Th Describe in Part VI the extent Also describe in Part VI the c Check the box that describes	to which any so	shortfall reporte	ed in line 7 should						
	Cost accounting system	▽ c∘	st to charge ra	tio Г	Other					
Sect	ion C. Collection Practices		3							
9a	Did the organization have a wr	itten debt colle	ection policy di	ırıng the tax vear?				9a	Yes	
b	If "Yes," did the organization's contain provisions on the colleassistance? Describe in Part V	ection practice	s to be followed	d for patients who a	re known	to qualify	for financial	9b	Yes	
Pai	t IV Management Com	panies and	Joint Ventu	res (see instruc	tions)					
	(a) Name of entity	(b	 Description of pr activity of entite 		(c) Organı profit % o ownersh	r stock	(d) Officers, directors, trustees, or key employees' profit % or stock ownership%	pro	Physicofit % or ownershi	stock
L Co	rpus CH Cardio Ctr	Cardiac Cath La	b		į	50 000 %			50 C	00 %
2 Co	astal Bend PET Sca	Diagnostic Testii	ng Facility		4	19 500 %			50 5	00 %
3 Co	rpus Ch Surgicare	Ambulatory Surg	jery Center			28 240 %		_	23 6	70 %
4 Sh	oreline Surgery Ct	Ambulatory Surg	jery Center		2	25 750 %			40 5	00 %
5										
6										
7										
8										
9										
10										
11										
12										
13								1		

Part	V Facility Information									
	on A. Hospital Facilities order of size from largest to smallest)	Licensed hospita	General medical	Children's hospita	Teaching hospital	Critical access hospital	Research facility	ER-24 hours	ER-other	
	nany hospital facilities did the organization operate during x year? 6	spital	dical & surgical	ospital	spital	es hospital	cility	_		
Name	and address									
										Other (Describe)
1	CHRISTUS Spohn Hospital Shoreline 600 Elizabeth Street Corpus Christi,TX 78404	Х	Х					х		REHABILITATION CLINIC
2	Christus Spohn Hospital Memorial 2606 Hospital Blvd Corpus Christi,TX 78405	x	x				x	x		OP PHYSICIAN CLINICS ADOLESCENT PSYCHTRY UNIT
3	Christus Spohn Hospital South 5950 Saratoga Blvd Corpus Christi,TX 78414	×	х					x		
4	Christus Spohn Hospital Alice 2500 Main Street Alice, TX 78332	х	Х					х		OP PHYSICIAN CLINICS REHAB CLINIC
5	Christus Spohn Hospital Kleberg 1311 EAST GENERAL CAVAZOS BLVD Kingsville, TX 78363	х	х					х		OUTPATIENT PHYSICAL THERAPY
6	CHRISTUS Spohn Hospital Beeville 1500 East Houston Highway Beeville, TX 78102	х	х					х		OP PHYSICIAN CLINICS
			<u> </u>							

Part V Facility Information (continued) Section B. Facility Policies and Practices.

(Complete a separate Section B for each of the hospital facilities listed in Part V , Section A)

CHRISTUS Spohn Hospital Shoreline

Name of Hospital Facility:	
Line Number of Hospital Facility (from Schedule H, Part V, Section A):_	1

			Yes	No
Co	mmunity Health Needs Assessment (Lines 1 through 7 are optional for 2011)			
1	During the tax year or any prior tax year, did the hospital facility conduct a community health needs assessment ("Needs Assessment")? If "No," skip to question 8	1		
	If "Yes," indicate what the Needs Assessment describes (check all that apply)			
	a A definition of the community served by the hospital facility			
	b Demographics of the community			
	Existing health care facilities and resources within the community that are available to respond to the health			
	needs of the community			
	d How data was obtained			
	e The health needs of the community			
	Primary and chronic disease needs and other health issues of uninsured persons, low-income persons, and			
	minority groups			
	g The process for identifying and prioritizing community health needs and services to meet those needs			
	h The process for consulting with persons representing the community's interests			
	i 「 Information gaps that limit the hospital facility's ability to assess the community's health needs			
	j			
2	Indicate the tax year the hospital facility last conducted a Needs Assessment 20			
3	In conducting its most recent Needs Assessment, did the hospital facility take into account input from persons who			
	represent the community served by the hospital facility? If "Yes," describe in Part VI how the hospital facility took into	3		
4	account input from persons who represent the community, and identify the persons the hospital facility consulted Was the hospital facility's Needs Assessment conducted with one or more other hospital facilities? If "Yes," list the			
_	other hospital facilities in Part VI	4		
5	Did the hospital facility make its Needs Assessment widely available to the public?	5		
	If "Yes," indicate how the Needs Assessment was made widely available (check all that apply)			
	a Hospital facility's website			
	b Available upon request from the hospital facility			
	c Other (describe in Part VI)			
6	If the hospital facility addressed needs identified in its most recently conducted Needs Assessment, indicate how (check all that apply)			
	a 「 Adoption of an implementation strategy to address the health needs of the hospital facility's community			
	b Execution of the implementation strategy			
	c Development of a community-wide community benefit plan for the facility			
	d Participation in community-wide community benefit plan			
	e 「 Inclusion of a community benefit section in operational plans			
	f Adoption of a budget for provision of services that address the needs identified in the CHNA			
	g Prioritization of health needs in the community			
	h Prioritization of services that the hospital facility will undertake to meet health needs in its community			
	i Other (describe in Part VI)			
7	Did the hospital facility address all of the needs identified in its most recently conducted Needs Assessment? If "No,"			
	explain in Part VI which needs it has not addressed together with the reasons why it has not addressed such needs	7		
Fir	nancial Assistance Policy			
_	Did the hospital facility have in place during the tax year a written financial assistance policy that			
	Explains eligibility criteria for financial assistance, and whether such assistance includes free or discounted care?	8	Yes	
9	Used federal poverty guidelines (FPG) to determine eligibility for providing free care?	9	Yes	
	If "Yes," indicate the FPG family income limit for eligibility for free care 200 % If "No," explain in Part VI the criteria the hospital facility used			
	Schedule	U /Eas	m 000	2011

P	art V Facility Information (continued)			
			Yes	No
10	Used FPG to determine eligibility for providing discounted care?	10	Yes	
	If "Yes," indicate the FPG family income limit for eligibility for discounted care% If "No," explain in Part VI the criteria the hospital facility used			
11	Explained the basis for calculating amounts charged to patients?	11	Yes	
	If "Yes," indicate the factors used in determining such amounts (check all that apply)			
	a 🔽 Income level			
	b Asset level			
	c 🔽 Medical indigency			
	d 🔽 Insurance status			
	e 🔽 Uninsured discount			
	f 🔽 Medicaid/Medicare			
	g			
	h Other (describe in Part VI)			
12	Explained the method for applying for financial assistance?	12	Yes	
	Included measures to publicize the policy within the community served by the hospital facility?	13	Yes	
	If "Yes," indicate how the hospital facility publicized the policy (check all that apply)			
	a 🔽 The policy was posted at all times on the hospital facility's web site			
	b The policy was attached to all billing invoices			
	c The policy was posted in the hospital facility's emergency rooms or waiting rooms			
	d The policy was posted in the hospital facility's admissions offices			
	e The policy was provided, in writing, to patients upon admission to the hospital facility			
	f 🔽 The policy was available upon request			
	g 🔽 Other (describe in Part VI)			
Bil	ling and Collections			
14	Did the hospital facility have in place during the tax year a separate billing and collections policy, or a written financial			
	assistance policy (FAP) that explained actions the hospital facility may take upon non-payment?	14		No_
15	Check all of the following collection actions against an individual that were permitted under the hospital facility's policies during the tax year before making reasonable efforts to determine the patient's eligibility under the facility's			
	FAP			
	a Reporting to credit agency			
	b Lawsuits			
	c Liens on residences			
	d Body attachments or arrests			
	e Other similar actions (describe in Part VI)			
16	Did the hospital facility or an authorized third party perform any of the following actions during the tax year before			
	making reasonable efforts to determine the patient's eligibility under the facility's FAP?	16		No
	If "Yes," check all actions in which the hospital facility or a third party engaged			
	a Reporting to credit agency			
	b Lawsuits			
	c Liens on residences			
	d Body attachments			
	e Other similar actions (describe in Part VI)			
17	Indicate which efforts the hospital facility made before initiating any of the actions checked in question 16 (check all that apply)			
	a Notified patients of the financial assistance policy upon admission			
	b Notified patients of the financial assistance policy prior to discharge			
	c Notified patients of the financial assistance policy in communications with the patients regarding the patients'			
	bills			
	d Documented its determination of whether patients were eligible for financial assistance under the hospital			
	facility's financial assistance policy Other (describe in Part VI)			
	e i Other toescribe in Part VIII			

If "Yes," explain in Part VI

provided to that patient?

.

20

21

Νo

Νo

Part V Facility Information (continued) Policy Relating to Emergency Medical Care Yes 18 Did the hospital facility have in place during the tax year a written policy relating to emergency medical care that requires the hospital facility to provide, without discrimination, care for emergency medical conditions to individuals **18** | Yes If "No," indicate why a ☐ The hospital facility did not provide care for any emergency medical conditions **b** The hospital facility's policy was not in writing The hospital facility limited who was eligible to receive care for emergency medical conditions (describe in Part VI **d** Other (describe in Part VI) Individuals Eligible for Financial Assistance 19 Indicate how the hospital facility determined, during the tax year, the maximum amounts that can be charged to FAPeligible individuals for emergency or other medically necessary care The hospital facility used its lowest negotiated commercial insurance rate when calculating the maximum amounts that can be charged b The hospital facility used the average of it's three lowest negotiated commercial insurance rates when calculating the maximum amounts that can be charged c The hospital facility used the Medicare rates when calculating the maximum amounts that can be charged d Other (describe in Part VI)

20 Did the hospital facility charge any of its patients who were eligible for assistance under the hospital facility's financial assistance policy, and to whom the hospital facility provided emergency or other medically necessary services, more than the amounts generally billed to individuals who had insurance covering such care?

21 Did the hospital facility charge any of its FAP-eligible patients an amount equal to the gross charge for services

If "Yes," explain in Part VI Schedule H (Form 990) 2011

Part V Facility Information (continued) Section B. Facility Policies and Practices.

(Complete a separate Section B for each of the hospital facilities listed in Part V, Section A)

Christus Spohn Hospital Memorial

Name of Hospital Facility:		
Line Number of Hospital Facility (from Schedule H, Part V, Section A):	2	

			Yes	No
Co	mmunity Health Needs Assessment (Lines 1 through 7 are optional for 2011)			
1	During the tax year or any prior tax year, did the hospital facility conduct a community health needs assessment ("Needs Assessment")? If "No," skip to question 8	1		
	If "Yes," indicate what the Needs Assessment describes (check all that apply)			
	a A definition of the community served by the hospital facility			
	b Demographics of the community			
	Existing health care facilities and resources within the community that are available to respond to the health			
	needs of the community			
	d How data was obtained			
	e The health needs of the community			
	Primary and chronic disease needs and other health issues of uninsured persons, low-income persons, and			
	minority groups			
	g The process for identifying and prioritizing community health needs and services to meet those needs			
	h The process for consulting with persons representing the community's interests			
	i 「 Information gaps that limit the hospital facility's ability to assess the community's health needs			
	j			
2	Indicate the tax year the hospital facility last conducted a Needs Assessment 20			
3	In conducting its most recent Needs Assessment, did the hospital facility take into account input from persons who			
	represent the community served by the hospital facility? If "Yes," describe in Part VI how the hospital facility took into	3		
4	account input from persons who represent the community, and identify the persons the hospital facility consulted Was the hospital facility's Needs Assessment conducted with one or more other hospital facilities? If "Yes," list the			
•	other hospital facilities in Part VI	4		
5	Did the hospital facility make its Needs Assessment widely available to the public?	5		
	If "Yes," indicate how the Needs Assessment was made widely available (check all that apply)			
	a Hospital facility's website			
	b Available upon request from the hospital facility			
	c Other (describe in Part VI)			
6	If the hospital facility addressed needs identified in its most recently conducted Needs Assessment, indicate how (check all that apply)			
	a 「 Adoption of an implementation strategy to address the health needs of the hospital facility's community			
	b Execution of the implementation strategy			
	c Development of a community-wide community benefit plan for the facility			
	d Participation in community-wide community benefit plan			
	e 「 Inclusion of a community benefit section in operational plans			
	f Adoption of a budget for provision of services that address the needs identified in the CHNA			
	g Prioritization of health needs in the community			
	h Prioritization of services that the hospital facility will undertake to meet health needs in its community			
	i Other (describe in Part VI)			
7	Did the hospital facility address all of the needs identified in its most recently conducted Needs Assessment? If "No,"			
	explain in Part VI which needs it has not addressed together with the reasons why it has not addressed such needs	7		
Fir	nancial Assistance Policy			
_	Did the hospital facility have in place during the tax year a written financial assistance policy that			
	Explains eligibility criteria for financial assistance, and whether such assistance includes free or discounted care?	8	Yes	
9	Used federal poverty guidelines (FPG) to determine eligibility for providing free care?	9	Yes	
	If "Yes," indicate the FPG family income limit for eligibility for free care 200 % If "No," explain in Part VI the criteria the hospital facility used			
	Schedule	U /Eas	m 000	2011

P	art V Facility Information (continued)			
			Yes	No
10	Used FPG to determine eligibility for providing discounted care?	10	Yes	
	If "Yes," indicate the FPG family income limit for eligibility for discounted care% If "No," explain in Part VI the criteria the hospital facility used			
11	Explained the basis for calculating amounts charged to patients?	11	Yes	
	If "Yes," indicate the factors used in determining such amounts (check all that apply)			
	a 🔽 Income level			
	b Asset level			
	c 🔽 Medical indigency			
	d 🔽 Insurance status			
	e 🔽 Uninsured discount			
	f 🔽 Medicaid/Medicare			
	g			
	h			
12	Explained the method for applying for financial assistance?	12	Yes	
	Included measures to publicize the policy within the community served by the hospital facility?	13	Yes	
	If "Yes," indicate how the hospital facility publicized the policy (check all that apply)			
	a 🔽 The policy was posted at all times on the hospital facility's web site			
	b The policy was attached to all billing invoices			
	c The policy was posted in the hospital facility's emergency rooms or waiting rooms			
	d The policy was posted in the hospital facility's admissions offices			
	e The policy was provided, in writing, to patients upon admission to the hospital facility			
	f 🔽 The policy was available upon request			
	g 🔽 Other (describe in Part VI)			
Bil	ling and Collections			
14	Did the hospital facility have in place during the tax year a separate billing and collections policy, or a written financial			
	assistance policy (FAP) that explained actions the hospital facility may take upon non-payment?	14		No_
15	Check all of the following collection actions against an individual that were permitted under the hospital facility's policies during the tax year before making reasonable efforts to determine the patient's eligibility under the facility's			
	FAP			
	a Reporting to credit agency			
	b Lawsuits			
	c Liens on residences			
	d Body attachments or arrests			
	e Other similar actions (describe in Part VI)			
16	Did the hospital facility or an authorized third party perform any of the following actions during the tax year before			
	making reasonable efforts to determine the patient's eligibility under the facility's FAP?	16		No
	If "Yes," check all actions in which the hospital facility or a third party engaged			
	a Reporting to credit agency			
	b Lawsuits			
	c Liens on residences			
	d Body attachments			
	e Other similar actions (describe in Part VI)			
17	Indicate which efforts the hospital facility made before initiating any of the actions checked in question 16 (check all that apply)			
	a Notified patients of the financial assistance policy upon admission			
	b Notified patients of the financial assistance policy prior to discharge			
	c Notified patients of the financial assistance policy in communications with the patients regarding the patients'			
	bills			
	d Documented its determination of whether patients were eligible for financial assistance under the hospital			
	facility's financial assistance policy Other (describe in Part VI)			
	e i Other toescribe in Part VIII			

If "Yes," explain in Part VI

provided to that patient?

.

20

21

Νo

Νo

Part V Facility Information (continued) Policy Relating to Emergency Medical Care Yes 18 Did the hospital facility have in place during the tax year a written policy relating to emergency medical care that requires the hospital facility to provide, without discrimination, care for emergency medical conditions to individuals **18** | Yes If "No," indicate why a ☐ The hospital facility did not provide care for any emergency medical conditions **b** The hospital facility's policy was not in writing The hospital facility limited who was eligible to receive care for emergency medical conditions (describe in Part VI **d** Other (describe in Part VI) Individuals Eligible for Financial Assistance 19 Indicate how the hospital facility determined, during the tax year, the maximum amounts that can be charged to FAPeligible individuals for emergency or other medically necessary care The hospital facility used its lowest negotiated commercial insurance rate when calculating the maximum amounts that can be charged b The hospital facility used the average of it's three lowest negotiated commercial insurance rates when calculating the maximum amounts that can be charged c The hospital facility used the Medicare rates when calculating the maximum amounts that can be charged d Other (describe in Part VI)

20 Did the hospital facility charge any of its patients who were eligible for assistance under the hospital facility's financial assistance policy, and to whom the hospital facility provided emergency or other medically necessary services, more than the amounts generally billed to individuals who had insurance covering such care?

21 Did the hospital facility charge any of its FAP-eligible patients an amount equal to the gross charge for services

If "Yes," explain in Part VI Schedule H (Form 990) 2011

Part V Facility Information (continued) Section B. Facility Policies and Practices.

(Complete a separate Section B for each of the hospital facilities listed in Part V , Section A)

Christus Spohn Hospital South

Name of Hospital Facility:		
Line Number of Hospital Facility (from Schedule H, Part V, Section A):	3	

			Yes	No
Co	mmunity Health Needs Assessment (Lines 1 through 7 are optional for 2011)			
1	During the tax year or any prior tax year, did the hospital facility conduct a community health needs assessment ("Needs Assessment")? If "No," skip to question 8	1		
	If "Yes," indicate what the Needs Assessment describes (check all that apply)			
	a A definition of the community served by the hospital facility			
	b Demographics of the community			
	Existing health care facilities and resources within the community that are available to respond to the health			
	needs of the community			
	d How data was obtained			
	e The health needs of the community			
	Primary and chronic disease needs and other health issues of uninsured persons, low-income persons, and			
	minority groups			
	g The process for identifying and prioritizing community health needs and services to meet those needs			
	h The process for consulting with persons representing the community's interests			
	i 「 Information gaps that limit the hospital facility's ability to assess the community's health needs			
	j			
2	Indicate the tax year the hospital facility last conducted a Needs Assessment 20			
3	In conducting its most recent Needs Assessment, did the hospital facility take into account input from persons who			
	represent the community served by the hospital facility? If "Yes," describe in Part VI how the hospital facility took into	3		
4	account input from persons who represent the community, and identify the persons the hospital facility consulted Was the hospital facility's Needs Assessment conducted with one or more other hospital facilities? If "Yes," list the			
•	other hospital facilities in Part VI	4		
5	Did the hospital facility make its Needs Assessment widely available to the public?	5		
	If "Yes," indicate how the Needs Assessment was made widely available (check all that apply)			
	a Hospital facility's website			
	b Available upon request from the hospital facility			
	c Other (describe in Part VI)			
6	If the hospital facility addressed needs identified in its most recently conducted Needs Assessment, indicate how (check all that apply)			
	a 「 Adoption of an implementation strategy to address the health needs of the hospital facility's community			
	b Execution of the implementation strategy			
	c Development of a community-wide community benefit plan for the facility			
	d Participation in community-wide community benefit plan			
	e 「 Inclusion of a community benefit section in operational plans			
	f Adoption of a budget for provision of services that address the needs identified in the CHNA			
	g Prioritization of health needs in the community			
	h Prioritization of services that the hospital facility will undertake to meet health needs in its community			
	i Other (describe in Part VI)			
7	Did the hospital facility address all of the needs identified in its most recently conducted Needs Assessment? If "No,"			
	explain in Part VI which needs it has not addressed together with the reasons why it has not addressed such needs	7		
Fir	nancial Assistance Policy			
_	Did the hospital facility have in place during the tax year a written financial assistance policy that			
	Explains eligibility criteria for financial assistance, and whether such assistance includes free or discounted care?	8	Yes	
9	Used federal poverty guidelines (FPG) to determine eligibility for providing free care?	9	Yes	
	If "Yes," indicate the FPG family income limit for eligibility for free care 200 % If "No," explain in Part VI the criteria the hospital facility used			
	Schedule	U /Eas	m 000	2011

P	art V Facility Information (continued)			
			Yes	No
10	Used FPG to determine eligibility for providing discounted care?	10	Yes	
	If "Yes," indicate the FPG family income limit for eligibility for discounted care% If "No," explain in Part VI the criteria the hospital facility used			
11	Explained the basis for calculating amounts charged to patients?	11	Yes	
	If "Yes," indicate the factors used in determining such amounts (check all that apply)			
	a 🔽 Income level			
	b Asset level			
	c 🔽 Medical indigency			
	d 🔽 Insurance status			
	e 🔽 Uninsured discount			
	f 🔽 Medicaid/Medicare			
	g			
	h Other (describe in Part VI)			
12	Explained the method for applying for financial assistance?	12	Yes	
	Included measures to publicize the policy within the community served by the hospital facility?	13	Yes	
	If "Yes," indicate how the hospital facility publicized the policy (check all that apply)			
	a 🔽 The policy was posted at all times on the hospital facility's web site			
	b The policy was attached to all billing invoices			
	c The policy was posted in the hospital facility's emergency rooms or waiting rooms			
	d The policy was posted in the hospital facility's admissions offices			
	e The policy was provided, in writing, to patients upon admission to the hospital facility			
	f 🔽 The policy was available upon request			
	g 🔽 Other (describe in Part VI)			
Bil	ling and Collections			
14	Did the hospital facility have in place during the tax year a separate billing and collections policy, or a written financial			
	assistance policy (FAP) that explained actions the hospital facility may take upon non-payment?	14		No_
15	Check all of the following collection actions against an individual that were permitted under the hospital facility's policies during the tax year before making reasonable efforts to determine the patient's eligibility under the facility's			
	FAP			
	a Reporting to credit agency			
	b Lawsuits			
	c Liens on residences			
	d Body attachments or arrests			
	e Other similar actions (describe in Part VI)			
16	Did the hospital facility or an authorized third party perform any of the following actions during the tax year before			
	making reasonable efforts to determine the patient's eligibility under the facility's FAP?	16		No
	If "Yes," check all actions in which the hospital facility or a third party engaged			
	a Reporting to credit agency			
	b Lawsuits			
	c Liens on residences			
	d Body attachments			
	e Other similar actions (describe in Part VI)			
17	Indicate which efforts the hospital facility made before initiating any of the actions checked in question 16 (check all that apply)			
	a Notified patients of the financial assistance policy upon admission			
	b Notified patients of the financial assistance policy prior to discharge			
	c Notified patients of the financial assistance policy in communications with the patients regarding the patients'			
	bills			
	d Documented its determination of whether patients were eligible for financial assistance under the hospital			
	facility's financial assistance policy Other (describe in Part VI)			
	e i Other toescribe in Part VIII			

Part V Facility Information (continued)

						١
Policy	Relating	to	Fmergency/	Medical	Care	

			Yes	No
18	Did the hospital facility have in place during the tax year a written policy relating to emergency medical care that requires the hospital facility to provide, without discrimination, care for emergency medical conditions to individuals regardless of their eligibility under the hospital facility's financial assistance policy?	18	Yes	
	If "No," indicate why			ł
	a The hospital facility did not provide care for any emergency medical conditions			1
	b The hospital facility's policy was not in writing			1
	The hospital facility limited who was eligible to receive care for emergency medical conditions (describe in Part VI)			
	d Cother (describe in Part VI)			
Inc	lividuals Eligible for Financial Assistance			
19	Indicate how the hospital facility determined, during the tax year, the maximum amounts that can be charged to FAP- eligible individuals for emergency or other medically necessary care			
	The hospital facility used its lowest negotiated commercial insurance rate when calculating the maximum amounts that can be charged			
	b The hospital facility used the average of it's three lowest negotiated commercial insurance rates when calculating the maximum amounts that can be charged			
	c The hospital facility used the Medicare rates when calculating the maximum amounts that can be charged			ł
	d Cother (describe in Part VI)			1
20	Did the hospital facility charge any of its patients who were eligible for assistance under the hospital facility's financial assistance policy, and to whom the hospital facility provided emergency or other medically necessary services, more than the amounts generally billed to individuals who had insurance covering such care?	20		No
	If "Yes," explain in Part VI			
21	Did the hospital facility charge any of its FAP-eligible patients an amount equal to the gross charge for services provided to that patient?			
		21		Νo
	If "Yes," explain in Part VI			

Part V Facility Information (continued) Section B. Facility Policies and Practices.

(Complete a separate Section B for each of the hospital facilities listed in Part V , Section A)

Christus Spohn Hospital Alice

Name of Hospital Facility:		
Line Number of Hospital Facility (from Schedule H. Part V. Section A):	4	

			Yes	No
	mmunity Health Needs Assessment (Lines 1 through 7 are optional for 2011)			
1	During the tax year or any prior tax year, did the hospital facility conduct a community health needs assessment ("Needs Assessment")? If "No," skip to question 8	1		
	If "Yes," indicate what the Needs Assessment describes (check all that apply)			
	a Γ A definition of the community served by the hospital facility			
	b Demographics of the community			
	Existing health care facilities and resources within the community that are available to respond to the health			
	needs of the community			
	d How data was obtained			
	e The health needs of the community			
	f Primary and chronic disease needs and other health issues of uninsured persons, low-income persons, and minority groups			
	g The process for identifying and prioritizing community health needs and services to meet those needs			
	h The process for consulting with persons representing the community's interests			
	i 「 Information gaps that limit the hospital facility's ability to assess the community's health needs			
	j			
2	Indicate the tax year the hospital facility last conducted a Needs Assessment 20			
3	In conducting its most recent Needs Assessment, did the hospital facility take into account input from persons who			
	represent the community served by the hospital facility? If "Yes," describe in Part VI how the hospital facility took into account input from persons who represent the community, and identify the persons the hospital facility consulted	3		
4	Was the hospital facility's Needs Assessment conducted with one or more other hospital facilities? If "Yes," list the			
	other hospital facilities in Part VI	4		
5	Did the hospital facility make its Needs Assessment widely available to the public?	5		
	If "Yes," indicate how the Needs Assessment was made widely available (check all that apply)			
	a Hospital facility's website			
	b Available upon request from the hospital facility			
	c T Other (describe in Part VI)			
6	If the hospital facility addressed needs identified in its most recently conducted Needs Assessment, indicate how (check all that apply)			
	a Adoption of an implementation strategy to address the health needs of the hospital facility's community			
	b Execution of the implementation strategy			
	c Development of a community-wide community benefit plan for the facility			
	d Participation in community-wide community benefit plan			
	e 「 Inclusion of a community benefit section in operational plans			
	f Adoption of a budget for provision of services that address the needs identified in the CHNA			
	g Prioritization of health needs in the community			
	h 「 Prioritization of services that the hospital facility will undertake to meet health needs in its community			
	i			
7	Did the hospital facility address all of the needs identified in its most recently conducted Needs Assessment? If "No," explain in Part VI which needs it has not addressed together with the reasons why it has not addressed such needs	7		
Fir	nancial Assistance Policy			
	Did the hospital facility have in place during the tax year a written financial assistance policy that			
	Explains eligibility criteria for financial assistance, and whether such assistance includes free or discounted care?	8	Yes	
9	Used federal poverty guidelines (FPG) to determine eligibility for providing free care?	9	Yes	
	If "Yes," indicate the FPG family income limit for eligibility for free care 200 %			
	If "No," explain in Part VI the criteria the hospital facility used			

P	art V Facility Information (continued)			
			Yes	No
10	Used FPG to determine eligibility for providing discounted care?	10	Yes	
	If "Yes," indicate the FPG family income limit for eligibility for discounted care% If "No," explain in Part VI the criteria the hospital facility used			
11	Explained the basis for calculating amounts charged to patients?	11	Yes	
	If "Yes," indicate the factors used in determining such amounts (check all that apply)			
	a 🔽 Income level			
	b Asset level			
	c 🔽 Medical indigency			
	d 🔽 Insurance status			
	e 🔽 Uninsured discount			
	f 🔽 Medicaid/Medicare			
	g			
	h Cother (describe in Part VI)			
12	Explained the method for applying for financial assistance?	12	Yes	
	Included measures to publicize the policy within the community served by the hospital facility?	13	Yes	
	If "Yes," indicate how the hospital facility publicized the policy (check all that apply)			
	a ▼ The policy was posted at all times on the hospital facility's web site			
	b The policy was attached to all billing invoices			
	c The policy was posted in the hospital facility's emergency rooms or waiting rooms			
	d The policy was posted in the hospital facility's admissions offices			
	e The policy was provided, in writing, to patients upon admission to the hospital facility			
	f ▼ The policy was available upon request			
	g ▼ Other (describe in Part VI)			
Bil	ling and Collections			
14	Did the hospital facility have in place during the tax year a separate billing and collections policy, or a written financial			
	assistance policy (FAP) that explained actions the hospital facility may take upon non-payment?	14		No
15	Check all of the following collection actions against an individual that were permitted under the hospital facility's policies during the tax year before making reasonable efforts to determine the patient's eligibility under the facility's			
	FAP			
	a Reporting to credit agency			
	b Lawsuits			
	c Liens on residences			
	d Body attachments or arrests			
	e Other similar actions (describe in Part VI)			
16	Did the hospital facility or an authorized third party perform any of the following actions during the tax year before			
	making reasonable efforts to determine the patient's eligibility under the facility's FAP?	16		No
	If "Yes," check all actions in which the hospital facility or a third party engaged			
	a Reporting to credit agency			
	b Lawsuits			
	c Liens on residences			
	d Body attachments			
	e Other similar actions (describe in Part VI)			
17	Indicate which efforts the hospital facility made before initiating any of the actions checked in question 16 (check all that apply)			
	a Notified patients of the financial assistance policy upon admission			
	b Notified patients of the financial assistance policy prior to discharge			
	c Notified patients of the financial assistance policy in communications with the patients regarding the patients'			
	bills			
	d Documented its determination of whether patients were eligible for financial assistance under the hospital			
	facility's financial assistance policy Other (describe in Part VI)			
	e I Uther (describe in Part VI)	. ,	ı	

If "Yes," explain in Part VI

provided to that patient?

.

20

21

Νo

Νo

Part V Facility Information (continued) Policy Relating to Emergency Medical Care Yes 18 Did the hospital facility have in place during the tax year a written policy relating to emergency medical care that requires the hospital facility to provide, without discrimination, care for emergency medical conditions to individuals **18** | Yes If "No," indicate why a ☐ The hospital facility did not provide care for any emergency medical conditions **b** The hospital facility's policy was not in writing The hospital facility limited who was eligible to receive care for emergency medical conditions (describe in Part VI **d** Other (describe in Part VI) Individuals Eligible for Financial Assistance 19 Indicate how the hospital facility determined, during the tax year, the maximum amounts that can be charged to FAPeligible individuals for emergency or other medically necessary care The hospital facility used its lowest negotiated commercial insurance rate when calculating the maximum amounts that can be charged b The hospital facility used the average of it's three lowest negotiated commercial insurance rates when calculating the maximum amounts that can be charged c The hospital facility used the Medicare rates when calculating the maximum amounts that can be charged d Other (describe in Part VI)

20 Did the hospital facility charge any of its patients who were eligible for assistance under the hospital facility's financial assistance policy, and to whom the hospital facility provided emergency or other medically necessary services, more than the amounts generally billed to individuals who had insurance covering such care?

21 Did the hospital facility charge any of its FAP-eligible patients an amount equal to the gross charge for services

If "Yes," explain in Part VI Schedule H (Form 990) 2011

Part V Facility Information (continued) Section B. Facility Policies and Practices.

(Complete a separate Section B for each of the hospital facilities listed in Part V , Section A)

Christus Spohn Hospital Kleberg

Name of Hospital Facility:	
Line Number of Hospital Facility (from Schedule H, Part V, Section A):_	5

			Yes	No
Co	mmunity Health Needs Assessment (Lines 1 through 7 are optional for 2011)			
1	During the tax year or any prior tax year, did the hospital facility conduct a community health needs assessment ("Needs Assessment")? If "No," skip to question 8	1		
	If "Yes," indicate what the Needs Assessment describes (check all that apply)			
	a A definition of the community served by the hospital facility			
	b Demographics of the community			
	Existing health care facilities and resources within the community that are available to respond to the health			
	needs of the community			
	d How data was obtained			
	e The health needs of the community			
	Primary and chronic disease needs and other health issues of uninsured persons, low-income persons, and			
	minority groups			
	g The process for identifying and prioritizing community health needs and services to meet those needs			
	h The process for consulting with persons representing the community's interests			
	i 「 Information gaps that limit the hospital facility's ability to assess the community's health needs			
	j			
2	Indicate the tax year the hospital facility last conducted a Needs Assessment 20			
3	In conducting its most recent Needs Assessment, did the hospital facility take into account input from persons who			
	represent the community served by the hospital facility? If "Yes," describe in Part VI how the hospital facility took into	3		
4	account input from persons who represent the community, and identify the persons the hospital facility consulted Was the hospital facility's Needs Assessment conducted with one or more other hospital facilities? If "Yes," list the			
_	other hospital facilities in Part VI	4		
5	Did the hospital facility make its Needs Assessment widely available to the public?	5		
	If "Yes," indicate how the Needs Assessment was made widely available (check all that apply)			
	a Hospital facility's website			
	b Available upon request from the hospital facility			
	c Other (describe in Part VI)			
6	If the hospital facility addressed needs identified in its most recently conducted Needs Assessment, indicate how (check all that apply)			
	a 「 Adoption of an implementation strategy to address the health needs of the hospital facility's community			
	b Execution of the implementation strategy			
	c Development of a community-wide community benefit plan for the facility			
	d Participation in community-wide community benefit plan			
	e 「 Inclusion of a community benefit section in operational plans			
	f Adoption of a budget for provision of services that address the needs identified in the CHNA			
	g Prioritization of health needs in the community			
	h Prioritization of services that the hospital facility will undertake to meet health needs in its community			
	i Other (describe in Part VI)			
7	Did the hospital facility address all of the needs identified in its most recently conducted Needs Assessment? If "No,"			
	explain in Part VI which needs it has not addressed together with the reasons why it has not addressed such needs	7		
Fir	nancial Assistance Policy			
_	Did the hospital facility have in place during the tax year a written financial assistance policy that			
	Explains eligibility criteria for financial assistance, and whether such assistance includes free or discounted care?	8	Yes	
9	Used federal poverty guidelines (FPG) to determine eligibility for providing free care?	9	Yes	
	If "Yes," indicate the FPG family income limit for eligibility for free care 200 % If "No," explain in Part VI the criteria the hospital facility used			
	Schedule	U /Eas	m 000	2011

P	art V Facility Information (continued)			
			Yes	No
10	Used FPG to determine eligibility for providing discounted care?	10	Yes	
	If "Yes," indicate the FPG family income limit for eligibility for discounted care% If "No," explain in Part VI the criteria the hospital facility used			
11	Explained the basis for calculating amounts charged to patients?	11	Yes	
	If "Yes," indicate the factors used in determining such amounts (check all that apply)			
	a 🔽 Income level			
	b Asset level			
	c 🔽 Medical indigency			
	d 🔽 Insurance status			
	e 🔽 Uninsured discount			
	f 🔽 Medicaid/Medicare			
	g			
	h Cother (describe in Part VI)			
12	Explained the method for applying for financial assistance?	12	Yes	
	Included measures to publicize the policy within the community served by the hospital facility?	13	Yes	
	If "Yes," indicate how the hospital facility publicized the policy (check all that apply)			
	a ▼ The policy was posted at all times on the hospital facility's web site			
	b The policy was attached to all billing invoices			
	c The policy was posted in the hospital facility's emergency rooms or waiting rooms			
	d The policy was posted in the hospital facility's admissions offices			
	e The policy was provided, in writing, to patients upon admission to the hospital facility			
	f ▼ The policy was available upon request			
	g ▼ Other (describe in Part VI)			
Bil	ling and Collections			
14	Did the hospital facility have in place during the tax year a separate billing and collections policy, or a written financial			
	assistance policy (FAP) that explained actions the hospital facility may take upon non-payment?	14		No
15	Check all of the following collection actions against an individual that were permitted under the hospital facility's policies during the tax year before making reasonable efforts to determine the patient's eligibility under the facility's			
	FAP			
	a Reporting to credit agency			
	b Lawsuits			
	c Liens on residences			
	d Body attachments or arrests			
	e Other similar actions (describe in Part VI)			
16	Did the hospital facility or an authorized third party perform any of the following actions during the tax year before			
	making reasonable efforts to determine the patient's eligibility under the facility's FAP?	16		No
	If "Yes," check all actions in which the hospital facility or a third party engaged			
	a Reporting to credit agency			
	b Lawsuits			
	c Liens on residences			
	d Body attachments			
	e Other similar actions (describe in Part VI)			
17	Indicate which efforts the hospital facility made before initiating any of the actions checked in question 16 (check all that apply)			
	a Notified patients of the financial assistance policy upon admission			
	b Notified patients of the financial assistance policy prior to discharge			
	c Notified patients of the financial assistance policy in communications with the patients regarding the patients'			
	bills			
	d Documented its determination of whether patients were eligible for financial assistance under the hospital			
	facility's financial assistance policy Other (describe in Part VI)			
	e I Uther (describe in Part VI)	. ,	ı	

If "Yes," explain in Part VI

provided to that patient?

.

20

21

Νo

Νo

Part V Facility Information (continued) Policy Relating to Emergency Medical Care Yes 18 Did the hospital facility have in place during the tax year a written policy relating to emergency medical care that requires the hospital facility to provide, without discrimination, care for emergency medical conditions to individuals **18** | Yes If "No," indicate why a ☐ The hospital facility did not provide care for any emergency medical conditions **b** The hospital facility's policy was not in writing The hospital facility limited who was eligible to receive care for emergency medical conditions (describe in Part VI **d** Other (describe in Part VI) Individuals Eligible for Financial Assistance 19 Indicate how the hospital facility determined, during the tax year, the maximum amounts that can be charged to FAPeligible individuals for emergency or other medically necessary care The hospital facility used its lowest negotiated commercial insurance rate when calculating the maximum amounts that can be charged b The hospital facility used the average of it's three lowest negotiated commercial insurance rates when calculating the maximum amounts that can be charged c The hospital facility used the Medicare rates when calculating the maximum amounts that can be charged d Other (describe in Part VI)

20 Did the hospital facility charge any of its patients who were eligible for assistance under the hospital facility's financial assistance policy, and to whom the hospital facility provided emergency or other medically necessary services, more than the amounts generally billed to individuals who had insurance covering such care?

21 Did the hospital facility charge any of its FAP-eligible patients an amount equal to the gross charge for services

If "Yes," explain in Part VI Schedule H (Form 990) 2011

Part V Facility Information (continued) Section B. Facility Policies and Practices.

(Complete a separate Section B for each of the hospital facilities listed in Part V , Section A)

CHRISTUS Spohn Hospital Beeville

Name of Hospital Facility:	
Line Number of Hospital Facility (from Schedule H, Part V, Section A):_	6

			Yes	No
Co	mmunity Health Needs Assessment (Lines 1 through 7 are optional for 2011)			
1	During the tax year or any prior tax year, did the hospital facility conduct a community health needs assessment ("Needs Assessment")? If "No," skip to question 8	1		
	If "Yes," indicate what the Needs Assessment describes (check all that apply)			
	a A definition of the community served by the hospital facility			
	b Demographics of the community			
	Existing health care facilities and resources within the community that are available to respond to the health			
	needs of the community			
	d How data was obtained			
	e The health needs of the community			
	Primary and chronic disease needs and other health issues of uninsured persons, low-income persons, and			
	minority groups			
	g The process for identifying and prioritizing community health needs and services to meet those needs			
	h The process for consulting with persons representing the community's interests			
	i 「 Information gaps that limit the hospital facility's ability to assess the community's health needs			
	j			
2	Indicate the tax year the hospital facility last conducted a Needs Assessment 20			
3	In conducting its most recent Needs Assessment, did the hospital facility take into account input from persons who			
	represent the community served by the hospital facility? If "Yes," describe in Part VI how the hospital facility took into	3		
4	account input from persons who represent the community, and identify the persons the hospital facility consulted Was the hospital facility's Needs Assessment conducted with one or more other hospital facilities? If "Yes," list the			
_	other hospital facilities in Part VI	4		
5	Did the hospital facility make its Needs Assessment widely available to the public?	5		
	If "Yes," indicate how the Needs Assessment was made widely available (check all that apply)			
	a Hospital facility's website			
	b Available upon request from the hospital facility			
	c Other (describe in Part VI)			
6	If the hospital facility addressed needs identified in its most recently conducted Needs Assessment, indicate how (check all that apply)			
	a 「 Adoption of an implementation strategy to address the health needs of the hospital facility's community			
	b Execution of the implementation strategy			
	c Development of a community-wide community benefit plan for the facility			
	d Participation in community-wide community benefit plan			
	e 「 Inclusion of a community benefit section in operational plans			
	f Adoption of a budget for provision of services that address the needs identified in the CHNA			
	g Prioritization of health needs in the community			
	h Prioritization of services that the hospital facility will undertake to meet health needs in its community			
	i Other (describe in Part VI)			
7	Did the hospital facility address all of the needs identified in its most recently conducted Needs Assessment? If "No,"			
	explain in Part VI which needs it has not addressed together with the reasons why it has not addressed such needs	7		
Fir	nancial Assistance Policy			
_	Did the hospital facility have in place during the tax year a written financial assistance policy that			
	Explains eligibility criteria for financial assistance, and whether such assistance includes free or discounted care?	8	Yes	
9	Used federal poverty guidelines (FPG) to determine eligibility for providing free care?	9	Yes	
	If "Yes," indicate the FPG family income limit for eligibility for free care 200 % If "No," explain in Part VI the criteria the hospital facility used			
	Schedule	U /Eas	m 000	2011

P	art V Facility Information (continued)			
			Yes	No
10	Used FPG to determine eligibility for providing discounted care?	10	Yes	
	If "Yes," indicate the FPG family income limit for eligibility for discounted care% If "No," explain in Part VI the criteria the hospital facility used			
11	Explained the basis for calculating amounts charged to patients?	11	Yes	
	If "Yes," indicate the factors used in determining such amounts (check all that apply)			
	a 🔽 Income level			
	b Asset level			
	c 🔽 Medical indigency			
	d 🔽 Insurance status			
	e 🔽 Uninsured discount			
	f 🔽 Medicaid/Medicare			
	g			
	h Cother (describe in Part VI)			
12	Explained the method for applying for financial assistance?	12	Yes	
	Included measures to publicize the policy within the community served by the hospital facility?	13	Yes	
	If "Yes," indicate how the hospital facility publicized the policy (check all that apply)			
	a ▼ The policy was posted at all times on the hospital facility's web site			
	b The policy was attached to all billing invoices			
	c The policy was posted in the hospital facility's emergency rooms or waiting rooms			
	d The policy was posted in the hospital facility's admissions offices			
	e The policy was provided, in writing, to patients upon admission to the hospital facility			
	f ▼ The policy was available upon request			
	g ▼ Other (describe in Part VI)			
Bil	ling and Collections			
14	Did the hospital facility have in place during the tax year a separate billing and collections policy, or a written financial			
	assistance policy (FAP) that explained actions the hospital facility may take upon non-payment?	14		No
15	Check all of the following collection actions against an individual that were permitted under the hospital facility's policies during the tax year before making reasonable efforts to determine the patient's eligibility under the facility's			
	FAP			
	a Reporting to credit agency			
	b Lawsuits			
	c Liens on residences			
	d Body attachments or arrests			
	e Other similar actions (describe in Part VI)			
16	Did the hospital facility or an authorized third party perform any of the following actions during the tax year before			
	making reasonable efforts to determine the patient's eligibility under the facility's FAP?	16		No
	If "Yes," check all actions in which the hospital facility or a third party engaged			
	a Reporting to credit agency			
	b Lawsuits			
	c Liens on residences			
	d Body attachments			
	e Other similar actions (describe in Part VI)			
17	Indicate which efforts the hospital facility made before initiating any of the actions checked in question 16 (check all that apply)			
	a Notified patients of the financial assistance policy upon admission			
	b Notified patients of the financial assistance policy prior to discharge			
	c Notified patients of the financial assistance policy in communications with the patients regarding the patients'			
	bills			
	d Documented its determination of whether patients were eligible for financial assistance under the hospital			
	facility's financial assistance policy Other (describe in Part VI)			
	e I Uther (describe in Part VI)	. ,	ı	

If "Yes," explain in Part VI

provided to that patient?

.

20

21

Νo

Νo

Part V Facility Information (continued) Policy Relating to Emergency Medical Care Yes 18 Did the hospital facility have in place during the tax year a written policy relating to emergency medical care that requires the hospital facility to provide, without discrimination, care for emergency medical conditions to individuals **18** | Yes If "No," indicate why a ☐ The hospital facility did not provide care for any emergency medical conditions **b** The hospital facility's policy was not in writing The hospital facility limited who was eligible to receive care for emergency medical conditions (describe in Part VI **d** Other (describe in Part VI) Individuals Eligible for Financial Assistance 19 Indicate how the hospital facility determined, during the tax year, the maximum amounts that can be charged to FAPeligible individuals for emergency or other medically necessary care The hospital facility used its lowest negotiated commercial insurance rate when calculating the maximum amounts that can be charged b The hospital facility used the average of it's three lowest negotiated commercial insurance rates when calculating the maximum amounts that can be charged c The hospital facility used the Medicare rates when calculating the maximum amounts that can be charged d Other (describe in Part VI)

20 Did the hospital facility charge any of its patients who were eligible for assistance under the hospital facility's financial assistance policy, and to whom the hospital facility provided emergency or other medically necessary services, more than the amounts generally billed to individuals who had insurance covering such care?

21 Did the hospital facility charge any of its FAP-eligible patients an amount equal to the gross charge for services

If "Yes," explain in Part VI Schedule H (Form 990) 2011

chedule H (Fo	rm 990) 2011
---------------	--------------

Part V Facility Information (continued)

Section C. Other Facilities That Are Not Licensed, Registered, or Similarly Recognized as a Hospital Facility (list in order of size from largest to smallest)

How	How many non-hospital facilities did the organization operate during the tax year? 4		
Name and address Type of Facility (Describe)			
1	CORPUS CHRISTI SURGICARE LTD 15305 DALLAS PARKWAY SUITE 1600 LB ADDISON,TX 75001	OUTPATIENT SURGERY CENTER	
2	SHORELINE SURGERY CENTER LLP 15305 DALLAS PARKWAY SUITE 1600 LB ADDISON,TX 75001	OUTPATIENT SURGERY CENTER	
3	COASTAL BEND PET SCAN LTD 3226 REID DRIVE CORPUS CHRISTI,TX 78404	RADIOLOGY, IMAGING AND X-RAY CLINIC	
4	PORTLAND IMAGING CENTER LTD 1201 NEWHALL BEEVILLE,TX 78102	RADIOLOGY, IMAGING AND X-RAY CLINIC	
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			

Schedule H (Form 990) 2011 Page **8**

Part VI Supplemental Information

Complete this part to provide the following information

Required descriptions. Provide the descriptions required for Part I, lines 3c, 6a, and 7, Part III, Part III, lines 4, 8, and 9b, and Part V, Section B, lines 1j, 3, 4, 5c, 6i, 7, 9, 10, 11h, 13g, 15e, 16e, 17e, 18d, 19d, 20, and 21

- 2 **Community health needs assessment.** Describe how the organization assesses the health care needs of the communities it serves, in addition to any community health needs assessments reported in Part V, Section B
- Patient education of eligibility for assistance. Describe how the organization informs and educates patients and persons who may be billed for patient care about their eligibility for assistance under federal, state, or local government programs or under the organization's financial assistance policy
- 4 **Community information.** Describe the community the organization serves, taking into account the geographic area and demographic constituents it serves
- Promotion of community health. Provide any other information important to describing how the organization's hospital facilities or other health care facilities further its exempt purpose by promoting the health of the community (e.g., open medical staff, community board, use of surplus funds, etc.)
- Affiliated health care system. If the organization is part of an affiliated health care system, describe the respective roles of the organization and its affiliates in promoting the health of the communities served
- 7 **State filing of community benefit report.** If applicable, identify all states with which the organization, or a related organization, files a community benefit report

Identifier	ReturnReference	Explanation
PART I, LINE 5	BUDGETED CHARITY CARE	THE ORGANIZATION BUDGETS CHARITY CARE FOR INTERNAL FINANCIAL REVIEW PURPOSES ONLY THE PRO
		VISION OF CHARITY CARE IS NOT LIMITED TO AMOUNTS ESTABLISHED FOR BUDGETARY PURPOSES PART I, LINE
		6A ANNUAL COMMUNITY BENEFIT REPORT A REPORT OF COMMUNITY BENEFIT IS INCLUDED IN A WRITTEN
		ANNUAL REPORT FOR CHRISTUS HEALTH, THE ORGANIZATION'S PARENT COMPANY CHRISTUS HEALTH
		IS AN INTERNATIONAL, CATHOLIC, FAITH BASED,
		NONPROFIT HEALTH SYSTEM FORMED IN 1999 WIT HA MISSION "TO EXTEND THE HEALING MINISTRY OF JESUS
		CHRIST " THE ANNUAL COMMUNITY BENEFIT REPORT SUMMARIZES ACTIVITIES AND PROGRAMS CONDUCTED
		DURING THE PAST YEAR TO IMPROVE HEALT H INCLUDING PROACTIVE COMMUNITY HEALTH SERVICES
		HOWEVER, THE ANNUAL REPORT IS ONLY A SNAP SHOT OF HOW THE ORGANIZATION DISTINGUISHES ITSELF IN
		ITS VISION TO BE A LEADER, A PARTNER, AND AN ADVOCATE IN CREATING INNOVATIVE HEALTH AND
		WELLNESS SOLUTIONS THAT IMPROVE THE LIV ES OF INDIVIDUALS AND COMMUNITIES PART I, LINE 7B
		UNREIMBURSED MEDICAID CHRISTUS SPOHN HE ALTH SYSTEM CORPORATION REINVESTS ALL SURPLUS FUNDS
		BACK IN TO THE COMMUNITIES WE SERVE TH ROUGH EXPANDED HEALTH SERVICES, NEW TECHNOLOGIES, AND
		BETTER FACILITIES PART I, LINE 7, C OLUMN (F) PERCENT OF TOTAL EXPENSE TOTAL EXPENSE FROM
		FORM 990, PART IX, LINE 25, COLUMN (A) IS
		\$667,501,038 THE BAD DEBT EXPENSE INCLUDED IN THIS AMOUNT IS \$54,479,869 THIS LEAV ES A TOTAL
		EXPENSE OF \$613,021,169 FOR PURPOSES OF CALCULATING LINE 7, COLUMN (F) PART I, LINE 7,
		COLUMN (F) DESCRIPTION OF FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE AND OTHER COMMUNITY BENEFITS AS PERCENTAGE OF
		TOTAL COSTS THE ORGANIZATION'S TOTAL COMMUNITY BENEFIT EXPENSE AS REPORTED ON PART I, LINE 7K,
		COLUMN (C) AS A PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL EXPENSE IS 21 49% WHICH EXCEEDS THE A MOUNT REPORTED ON
		PART I, LINE 7K COLUMN (F) WHICH IS COMPUTED USING NET COMMUNITY BENEFIT EXPENSE PART I, LINE 7I
		CASH AND IN-KIND CONTRIBUTIONS CHRISTUS SPOHN HEALTH SYSTEM CORP ORATION MADE OVER \$1,600,000
		IN CASH AND IN KIND CONTRIBUTIONS DURING FISCAL YEAR 2012 THE AFOREMENTIONED AMOUNT IS
		DETERMINED IN ACCORDANCE WITH REPORTING RULES FOR SCHEDULE H. W ORKSHEET 8 AS SUCH. THIS
		AMOUNT DIFFERS FROM GRANTS REPORTED AT FORM 990, SCHEDULE I, GRA NTS AND OTHER ASSISTANCE TO
		ORGANIZATIONS, GOVERNMENTS, AND INDIVIDUALS AND PART IX, LINES 1 THROUGH 3 GRANTS AND OTHER
		ASSISTANCE CHRISTUS HEALTH ESTABLISHED THE CHRISTUS FUND, A GRANT FUND TO PROVIDE RESOURCES
		TO NONPROFIT AGENCIES AND GROUPS WHOSE VISION, MISSION, A ND GOALS ARE CONSISTENT WITH CHRISTUS
		HEALTH'S MISSION, VALUES AND PHILOSOPHY OF A
		HEALTHY COMMUNITY CHRISTUS FUND GRANTS TOTALING \$144,531 WERE DONATED BY CHRISTUS
		HEALTH TO NONP ROFIT ORGANIZATIONS LOCATED IN THE COMMUNITY SERVED BY CHRISTUS SPOHN HEALTH
		SYSTEM CORPOR ATION THE GRANT DOLLARS WERE USED TO SUPPORT PROGRAMS THAT PROMOTE THE
		HEALTH OF THE COMM UNITY THAT CHRISTUS SPOHN HEALTH SYSTEM CORPORATION SERVES THE CHRISTUS
		FUND GRANTS WERE USED TO INTRODUCE COMMUNITY HEALTH WORKERS AND A CARE MANAGEMENT PROGRAM
		CALLED "CARE PART NERS," AIMED AT CHRONIC DISEASE MANAGEMENT FOR UNDERSERVED PATIENTS IN
		CHRISTUS SPOHN FAMI LY HEALTH CENTERS AND CLINICS THIS PROGRAM HAS PROVEN TO BE
		INSTRUMENTAL IN REDUCING THE NUMBER OF PREVENTABLE HOSPITALIZATIONS FOR OUR PATIENTS
		THE CHRISTUS FUND GRANTS ALSO SUP PORT OTHER EQUALLY WORTHY CAUSES ALL GRANTS MADE TO
		OUTSIDE ORGANIZATIONS THROUGH THE CHR ISTUS FUND ARE MADE TO NONPROFIT ORGANIZATIONS THAT
		SUPPORT THE HEALTH OF THE COMMUNITY THESE GRANT DOLLARS ARE NOT INCLUDED ON SCHEDULE H,
		PART I, LINE 7(I) CHRISTUS HEALTH HAS ALSO ESTABLISHED AN EDUCATION AND RESEARCH FUND
		CHRISTUS SPOHN HEALTH SYSTEM CORPORATION RECEIVED \$20,731 FROM THE FUND AND USED SUCH
		RESOURCES TO BENEFIT THE COMMUNITY BY SPONSOR ING RESEARCH AND EDUCATIONAL PROJECTS INDIGENT
		FUNDING EXPENSE OF \$1,255,240 IS INCLUDED IN SCHEDULE H, PART I, LINE 7(I) PART I, LINE 7
		RATIO OF PATIENT CARE COST TO CH ARGES BASED ON SCHEDULE H, WORKSHEET 2 LINE 7B RATIO OF PATIENT
		CARE COST TO CHARGES BASE D ON SCHEDULE H,
		WORKSHEET 2 LINE 7E ACTUAL EXPENSES LESS ANY DIRECT OFFSETTING REVENUE LINE 7F ACTUAL
		EXPENSES LESS ANY DIRECT OFFSETTING REVENUE LINE 71 ACTUAL EXPENSE OF THE CONTRIBUTIONS PART II
		COMMUNITY BUILDING ACTIVITIES THE CHRISTUS HEALTH ADVOCACY DEPARTMEN T IS WORKING IN
		PARTNERSHIP WITH LOCAL, STATE AND FEDERAL POLICY MAKERS TO ENSURE ACTIVITIES AND PROGRAMS ARE IN
		PLACE THAT WILL ENHANCE PUBLIC HEALTH AND ADVANCE GENERAL KNOWLEDGE DURING FY 2012,
		CHRISTUS HEALTH ADVOCATED FOR IMPROVING PUBLIC POLICIES, WORKING TO ESTA BLISH, AND IN SOME
		INSTANCES AUGMENT, GRASSROOTS ADVOCACY AND GREATER ACCESS TO HEALTH CAR E SERVICES FOR THE
		CONSTITUENTS WE SERVE SOME OF THE MAIN COMMUNITY BUILDING ACTIVITIES A RE IMPROVING
		ACCESS TO HEALTH SERVICES, ENHANCING PUBLIC HEALTH, AND ADVANCING KNOWLEDGE T O INCLUDE
		EXPANDING THE CURRENT ENROLLMENT PROGRAM FOR INDIGENT AND MEDICAID RECIPIENTS TO OFFER LOW
		INSURANCE PRODUCTS FOR THOSE INELIGIBLE FOR MEDICAID/CHIP PART III, SECTION A, LINE 1 BAD DEBT
		REPORTING IN ACCORDANCE WITH HFMA
		Schedule H (Form 990) 2011

Identifier Re	turnReference	Explanation
PART I, LINE 5 BUDGETED	PARTITICARE PARE PARE PARE PARE PARE PARE PARE P	PRINCIPLE HEALTHCARE FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT ASSOCIATION STATEMENT NO 15 THE SYSTEM HAS ADOPTED AN UNCOMPENSATED CARE POLICY WHERE REVENUE FROM SERVICES PROVIDED TO THE JUNINSURED IS RECOGNIZED AT THE TIME OF PAYMENT, RATHER THAN A T THE TIME OF SERVICE THIS POLICY IS THE RESULT OF A LACK OF REASONABLE ASSURANCE OF COLL ECTION FOR SERVICES PROVIDED TO THE JUNINSURED DUE TO THE SYSTEM'S HISTORICALLY LOW COLLECTION RATE MANAGEMENT HAS ESTIMATED THAT THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN RECORDING REVENUE FROM THE UNINSURED ON A CASH BASIS, RATHER THAN THE ACCRUAL BASIS, IS IMMATERIAL ACCORDINGLY, ALL ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE FROM THE UNINSURED HAVE BEEN FULLY RESERVED IN THE ALLOWANCE FOR UNCOM PENSATED CARE PART III, SECTION A, LINE 2 METHODOLOGY USED IN DETERMINING BAD DEBT THE OR GANIZATION'S TOTAL BAD DEBT EXPENSE (TOTAL OF ALL HOSPITAL FACILITIES) IS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE DRIGHNIZATION'S FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, WHICH IS COMPUTED AS BAD DEBT NET OF CONTRACTU AL ALLOWANCE, PAYMENTS RECEIVED AND RECOVERIES OF BAD DEBT PREVIOUSLY WRITTEN OFF

ELIGIBLE ELIGIB	hedule H (Form 990) 2011		Page &
ELIGIBLE ELIGIB	Identifier	ReturnReference	Explanation
ELIGIBLE ENTS ARE UNABLE ON UNWILLIAMS TO SERVITIMANCIA SISTANCE DUE TO BANKERS QUE NO SEDUCATION BELLIA INTRIBUTED BY THE APPLICATION & ROCKES DORDE TO ESTIMATE THE APPLICATION & ROCKES DORDE TO ESTIMATE THE APPLICATION & ROCKES DORDE TO ESTIMATE THE APPLICATION OF ROCKES DORDETT THE THAN ON TO SUBMITTED ANA PPLI CATTON, THE DRAGALIZATION ENGAGED PASO DOCIS SIDNATES THAN THE THAN ON THE SUBMITTED ANA PPLI CATTON, THE DRAGALIZATION ENGAGED PASO DOCIS SIDNATES THAN THE THAN ON THE SUBMITTED ANA PPLI HIMANICIAL ASSISTANCE BASED ON A PREDICTIVE MODEL AND OTHER TRANSCULA AND ASSES STIME THE APPLICATION OUNCES IN ORDER TO ASSESS THE BAD DOST ACCO THAT WOULD LIKE YOU QUALIFY FOR CHARTY CARE, IT APPLICATION OF THE THAN ONE QUALITY OR SEW HICH IS A REDICTIVE ESTIMAN OR EQUAL TO SAS, WHICH IS A REDICTIVE DEPTIMATE THE APPLICATION OF THE APPLICATI	ART III, SECTION A, LINE 3	ESTIMATE OF BAD DEBT EXPENSE	
ASSISTANCE DUE TO BARRIERS SUCH AS EDUCATION LEVEL, LITERACY, DOCUMENTATION REQUIREMENTS BETTO STATEMAN TO THE CORRESPONDED TO STATEMAN SERVICE AND COMMENTATION OF THE COMMENTATION			
LEVEL, LITERACY, DOCUMENTATION REQUIREMENTS BEING INTIMIDATION WITH APPLICATION PROCESS. DORAMIZATION'S BAD OBER EXPENSE ATTREBUTABLE PATIENTS WHO MAY BE LEIGIBLE FOR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE BUT HAVE NOT SUMMITTED AN APPL SOME STATEMENT OF THE PROCESS. DUPORTLY LEVER AND CHARLES STATEMENT OF THE PROCESS. DIPORTLY PATIENTS THAT LIKELY QUALIFY FOR REPORT OF THE PROCESS. DIPORTLY PATIENTS THAT LIKELY QUALIFY FOR REPORT OF THE PROCESS. DIPORTLY PATIENTS THAT LIKELY QUALIFY FOR REPORT OF THE PATIENT DERIVED FROM PUBLIC RECORDS. DURCES IN ORDER TO ASSISSATE BED DEFE A COULT THAT WOULD LIKELY QUALIFY FOR CHARLEY CARE, THE PROCESS OF THAT WOULD LIKELY QUALIFY FOR CHARLEY CARE, THE PROCESS OF THAT WOULD LIKELY QUALIFY FOR CHARLEY CARE, THE PROCESS OF THAT WOULD LIKELY QUALIFY FOR CHARLEY CARE, THE PROCESS OF THAT WOULD LIKELY QUALIFY FOR CHARLEY CARE, THE PROCESS OF THAT WOULD LIKELY QUALIFY FOR CHARLEY CARE, THE PROCESS OF THAT WOULD LIKELY QUALIFY FOR CHARLEY CARE, THE PROCESS OF THE P		ELIGIBLE	ASSISTANCE DUE TO BARRIERS SUCH AS EDUCATIO NAL
ORDER TO ESTIMATE THE AMOUNT OF THE ORGANIZATIONS BAD DEST EXPRESS ATTRIBUTABL MASSISTANCE BUT HAVE NOT SUBMITTED AN APPLICATION, THE ORGANIZATION ENGAGED PAGE OFFICE CATION, THE ORGANIZATION ENGAGED PAGE OFFICE BUPPORT LIC TAMO CHARTY SCORE 15 DESIGNE D PIRANCIAL ASSISTANCE BUT HAVE NOT SUBMITTED AN APPLICATION OF HAND CHARTY SCORE 15 DESIGNE D PIRANCIAL ASSISTANCE BUT HAVE NOT SUBMITTED AN APPLICATION OF HAND OTHER PRIMACIAL AND ASSET ESTIMAT OF THE PATIENTS THE WRIPE FASH PAGEL OR RECORD THAT WOULD LIKELY QUALITY FOR CHARTY CARE, T FOLLOWING CRITERIA WREE ESTRAISHED BASED ON ARALYSIS OF HISTORICAL DATA OF CHARTY CARE, T FOLLOWING CRITERIA WREE ESTRAISHED BASED ON ARALYSIS OF HISTORICAL DATA OF CHARTY CARE, T FOLLOWING CRITERIA WREE ESTRAISHED BASED ON ARALYSIS OF HISTORICAL TO SEE, WHICH IS A PREDICTION FOR THE PATIENT, I SETIMAL TO EDITORICAL DATA OF CHARTY CARE, T FOLLOWING CRITERIA WERE ESTRAISHED BASED ON FOR THE PATIENT, I SETIMAL TO EDITORICAL DOTA OF CHARTY CARE, T FOLLOWING CRITERIA WERE ESTRAINED HAVE AND			LEVEL, LITERACY, DOCUMENTATION REQUIREMENTS, OR
DRAMIZATIONS BAD DEST EXPENSE ATTRIBUTABLE MATHERT SWIGH ANY BELLIGIBLE POR PHANCIAL CATION, THE ORGANIZATION ENGAGED HAND DEST SUPPORT, LLC PARC CHRAITY SCORE IS DESIGNED. DEATEP PATIENTS THAT LIKELY QUALIETY FOR MODEL AND OFFICE THAN EXCLA LAND. ASSET ISTIMAT ON THE PATIENTS THAT LIKELY QUALIETY FOR MODEL AND OFFICE THAN EXCLA LAND. ASSET ISTIMAT FOR THE PATIENTS DETIVED FROM PUBLIC RECKORS O OURCES THO ROBE OF ASSESS THE RAD DEST ACCOUNTS OURCES THO ROBE OF ASSESS THE RAD DEST ACCOUNTS OURCES THO ROBE OF ASSESS THE RAD DEST ACCOUNTS OURCES THO ROBE OF ASSESS THE RAD DEST ACCOUNTS OURCES THO ROBE OF ASSESS THE RAD DEST ACCOUNTS OURCES THO ROBE OF ASSESS THE RAD DEST ACCOUNTS OURCES THO ROBE OF ASSESS THE RAD DEST ACCOUNTS OURCES THO ROBE OF ASSESS THE RAD DEST ACCOUNTS OURCES THO ROBE OF ASSESS THE RAD DEST ACCOUNTS OURCES THO ROBE OF ASSESS THE RAD DEST ACCOUNTS OURCES THO ROBE OF ASSESS THE RAD DEST ACCOUNTS OURCES THO ROBE OF ASSESS THE RAD THE RAD FOR ASSESS THE RAD THE			BEING INTIMIDATED BY THE APPLICATION PROCESS IN
PATIENTS WHO MAY BE ELIGIBLE FOR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE UN HAVE NOT SUBMITTED AN ARE SUPPORT, LIC PARD CHMITTY SCORE IS DESIGNED 1 DENTIFY PATIENTS THAT LUCKY QUALIFY FOR PINANCIAL ASSISTANCE BASED ON A PREDICTIVE WOOD AND OTHER THANGIAL AND ASSISTANCE HOUSE HIS ORDER TO ASSISTANCE BASED ON A PREDICTIVE WOOD AND OTHER THANGIAL AND ASSISTANCE OURCES HIS ORDER TO ASSISTANCE BASED ON A PREDICTIVE WOOD AND OTHER THANGIAL AND ASSISTANCE BASED ON AND ITS RILLIAGO PORCHAINTY ON CARRY TO ACRE. T POLLOWING CHITPIA WERE ESTABLISHED BASED ON AND ITS RILLIAGO PORCHAINTY ON CHARGE TO ACRE. T POLLOWING CHITPIA WERE ESTABLISHED BASED ON AND ITS RILLIAGO PORCHAINTY ON CHARGE LESS THAN OR EQUAL TO 36, WHI CH IS A PREDICTIVE DESTINATION OF CHARGE TO ACRE. THE PATIENT OF THE PATIEN			
CATION, THE ORGANIZATION ENGAGED PAGE DESIGNED DEPORTS LICE PAGE CHARTY SO GRESS INSESSIONED DENTITY PATTERNS THAN LURBLY QUALIFY FOR BY DELECTION OF THE PRINCE OF THE PATTERNS THAN LURBLY QUALIFY FOR BY DELECTION OF THE PATTERNS THAN LURBLY CHARTY SO THE PATTERNS THAN LURBLY RESTINATED OF THE PATTERNS			
SUPPORT, LLC. PARO CHARITY SCORE IS DESIGNED DIDENTIFIP PATENTS THAT LLCGY QUALIFIED FOR PRIMA FELL ASSISTANCE BASED ON A PREDICTY OF PRIMA FELL ASSISTANCE BASED ON A PREDICTY OF PATENTS AND AND ASSISTANCE DOWN PUBLIC RECORDS OUNCES. IN ORDER TO ASSESS THE BAD DEBT ACCO. THAT WOULD LIKELY QUALIFIED TO CHARITY CACE. TO AND AND AND AND AND ASSISTANCE DOWN PUBLIC RECORDS OUNCES. IN ORDER TO ASSESS THE BAD DEBT ACCO. THAT WOULD LIKELY QUALIFIED OR AND ALTON ASSESS THE BAD DEBT ACCO. THAT WOULD LIKELY QUALIFIED STATE OF CAME. AND THE PATENTS OF AND ALTON AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN			
IDENTIFY PATIENTS THAT LIKELY QUALIFY FOR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE RESPONDS THE ADDITION OF THE PATIENT DESIVED IN A PREDICTIVE WITH A PATIENT DESIVED IN A PREDICTIVE WITH A PATIENT DESIVED IN ADDITION OF THE PATIENT DESIGNATION OF THE PATIENT DESIGNATION OF THE PATIENT DESIRED AND THE PATI			CATION, THE ORGANIZATION ENGAGED PARO DECISION
PHANCIAL ASSISTANCE BASED ON A PREDICTIVE MODELAND OTHER PHANCIAL AND ASSES ESTIMATED THE VALUE OF THE PATTERN DERIVED PROPERTY OF THE PATTERN DEATH DEA			· ·
FOR THE PATIENT DERIVED FROM PUBLIC RECORDS OURCES IN ORDER TO ASSESS THE BAD DEBT ACCO. THAT WOULD LIKELY QUALIFY FOR CHARITY CARE LY SHAPPED TO THAT WOULD LIKELY QUALIFY FOR CHARITY CARE LY ARALYSIS OF HISTORICAL DATA OF CHARITY CARE LY ARALYSIS OF HISTORICAL DATA OF CHARITY CARE LY ARALYSIS OF HISTORICAL DATA OF CHARITY CARE LESS THAN OR EQUAL TO 25 GW, WHICH IS A READ TO BE SHAPPED TO THE WING THE LIKELY SOCIOECONOMIC CONDITION DEFINING THE LIKELY SOCIOECONOMIC CONDITION OF THE LIKELY SOCIETY OF THE LIKELY SO			•
OURCES IN ORDER TO ASSESS THE BAD DEST ACCOUNT HART WOULD LIKELY QUALIFY FOR CHARTY CARE, IT FOLLOWING CRITERIA WERE ESTABLISHED BASED ON AND ITS RELATED DIRGALIZATIONS I TAM OS CORE LESS THAN OR EQUAL TO SES, WHI CH IS A PREDICT DEFINING THE LIKELY SOCIECOMO MIC CONDITION FOR THE PATIENT, 2 ESTIMATED TEDERAL, POVERTY LEVEL OF LESS THAN OR EQUAL TO 2589, WHICH IS MOUSEHOLD ESTIMATED INCOME, AND 3 THIRD PAR ADATA AVAILABLE ON PATIENT ACCOUNTS WHICH IS MOUSEHOLD ESTIMATED INCOME, AND 3 THIRD PAR ADATA AVAILABLE ON PATIENT ACCOUNTS WHICH INDICATE THAT THE PATIENT IS NOT A HOMEOWERE A PROBABLE HOMEOWER FOR THE IS EACH VERA BEAT AND A BUT THE PATIENT OF THE THE SHORT TO PATIENTS WHO MAY HAVE BEEN ELICIBLE FOR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE BUT WERE NOT RESPONSIVE HAT 30% OF BAD DEST EXPENSES WERE ATTRIBUT. TO PATIENTS WHO MAY HAVE BEEN ELICIBLE FOR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE BUT WERE NOT RESPONSIVE HAT APPLICATION PROCESS EXISTING AT THAT THE FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE BUT WERE NOT RESPONSIVE HAT APPLICATION PROCESS EXISTING AT THAT ITM FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE BUT WERE NOT RESPONSIVE HAT APPLICATION PROCESS EXISTING AT THAT ITM FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE DUT WERE NOT RESPONSIVE HAT APPLICATION PROCESS THAT AND ADDITION OF THE PATIENTS OF PATIENTS FINANCIAL MEEDS THAN EXAMINED WHETHER PATIENTS WERE CHARACTERIS OF OTHERS WHO HISTORICALLY QUALIFIED FOR ASSISTANCE UNDER THE HARDIT TOMAL APPLICATION PROCESS THE PRESUMPTIVE CHARACTERIS OF OTHERS WHO HISTORICALLY QUALIFIED FOR ASSISTANCE OURSE THE TRADITIONAL APPLICATION PROCESS THE PRESUMPTIVE CHARACTERIS OF OTHERS WHETHER PATIENTS WERE CHARACTERIS OF THE PATIENTS WERE CHARACTERIS OF THE PATIENTS WERE CHARACTERIS			MODEL AND OTHER FINANCIAL AND ASSET ESTIMATES
THAT WOULD LIKELY QUALIFY POR CHARITY CAR ET, POLLOWING CRITERIA WERE ESTABLISHED BASED ON ARALYSIS OF HISTORICAL DATA OF CHRI STUS HEAL EAST HAN OR FOUAL TO SE, WHILL HE A PREDICT DEHNING THE LIKELY SOCIOECONOMIC CONDITION FOR THE PATERY, ZE STIME TO PROVIDE THE PROPERTY LEVEL OF LESS THAN OR EQUAL TO 225M, WHICH IS MOUSEHOLD ESTIMATED HOOME, AND 3 THISD PAR DATA AVAILABLE ON PATIENT AS COUNTY WHICH NOTICE THAT THE PATIENT IS NOT A MOUNT WHICH NOTICE THAT THE PATIENT IS NOT A MOUNT WHICH A PROCEDURE HOOME OF THAT THE PATIENT IS NOT A MOUNT WHICH A PROCEDURE HOOME ON THE STIME THAT THE PATIENT IS NOT A MOUNT WHICH A PROCEDURE HOOME ON THE STIME THAT THE PATIENT IS NOT A MOUNT WHICH A PROCEDURE HOOME ON THE STIME THAT THE PATIENT IS NOT A MOUNT WHICH A PROCEDURE HOOME ON THE STIME THAT THE PATIENT IS NOT A MOUNT WHICH A PROCEDURE HOOME ON THE STIME THAT THE PATIENT IS NOT A MOUNT WHITH A PATIENT OF THAT THE PATIENT IS NOT A MOUNT WHITH A PATIENT OF THAT THE PATIENT IS NOT A PATIENT OF THAT THE PATIENT OF THAT THE PATIENT IS NOT A PATIENT OF THAT THE CHRIST BY THE PATIENT OF THAT THE PATIENT OF THAT THE CHRIST BY THAT THE PATIENT OF THAT THE CHRIST BY THE PATIENT OF THAT THE PATIENT OF THAT THE CHRIST BY THE PATIENT OF THAT THE PATIENT OF			
FOLLOWING CRITER'S WERE ESTABLISHED BASED ON ANALYSIS OF HISTORICAL DATA OF CHING TO SCORE ANALYSIS OF HISTORICAL DATA OF CHING IS USE HALL AND ITS RELATED ORGANIZATIONS 1 PARO SCORE DEPRING THE LIKELY SOCIECOMOWN COMDITION FOR THE PATIENT, 2 ESTIMATED FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL OF LIEST HAN OR EQUAL TO 226%, WHICH IS BASED ON BETIMATED HOUSEH OLD SIZE AND DO AND ANALALBEBE ON PATHETY ACCOUNTS WHICH IS BASED ON BETIMATED HOUSEH OLD SIZE AND DO AND ANALALBEBE ON PATHETY ACCOUNTS WHICH IN HOUSEAST HAT THE PATIENT IS NOT A HOMEOWERE A PROBABLE HOMEOWERE FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2011, THE ORGANIZATION REPORT HALF JOWN SHAD DEBT EMPRISE WEED ATTRIBUTY FRANCISLA ASSISTANCE BUT WERE NOT RESPONSIVE HALF JOWN SHAD DEBT EMPRISE WEED ATTRIBUTY FRANCISLA ASSISTANCE BUT WERE NOT RESPONSIVE HALF JOWN SHAD DEBT SERVISING AND THE PARO ANALYSIS AN ESTIMATED FOR PATIENTS PETABLICAL RECEIPS HALL BY THE PATIENT OF THE PAT			
AND ITS RELATED ORGANIZATIONS I PARO SCORE LESS THAN OR EQUAL TO SES, WHICH IS A PREDICT DEFINING THE LIKELY SOCIOECONOMIC CONDITION OR THE PATTERT, 2 STITUME TO DEFENDED. PARTHERS AS SED ON ESTIMATED HOUSEH OLD SIZE AND HOUSEH OLD SIZE AND HOUSEHOLD SIZE AND HOUSE SIZE AND HOUSEHOLD SIZE AND			FOLLOWING CRITERIA WERE ESTABLISHED BASED ON AN
LESS THAN OR FOULD TO SAG, WHILCH IS A PREDICTION DEFINING THE LIKELY SOCIOECOMOMIC COMDITION FOR THE PATIENT, 2 ESTIMATED PEDRIAL POVERTY EVEL OF ILLESS THAN OR SOQUAL TO 226%, WINCH IS SHOUSEHOLD ESTIMATED INCOME, AND 3 THISD PAR DATA AVAILABLE ON PATIENT ACCOUNTS WHICH IS HOUSEHOLD ESTIMATED INCOME, AND 3 THISD PAR DATA AVAILABLE ON PATIENT ACCOUNTS WHICH IS NOT A HOMEOWHER A PROBABLE HOMEOWER FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2011, THE ORGANIZATION REPORTY THAT THE PATIENT IS NOT A HOMEOWHER A PROBABLE HOMEOWER FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2011, THE ORGANIZATION REPORTY THAT ALL ASSISTANCE BUT WERE NOT RESPONSIVE HEAD THAT AND THE PATIENT IS WERE CHARACTERIST FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE BUT WERE NOT RESPONSIVE HEAD THAT AND AND THAT A			ANALYSIS OF HISTORICAL DATA OF CHRI STUS HEALTH
DEFINING THE LIKELY SOCIOECOMOMIC CONDITION FOR THE PATIENT, 2. ESTIMAT DE PEDEBAL POWERTY LEVEL OF LESS THAN OR EQUAL TO 226%, WHICH IS BASSED THAN OR EQUAL TO 100%, WHICH IS BASSED THAN OR EQUAL TO 100%, WHICH IS BASSED THAN OR THE PATIENT IS NOT A HOMEOWAFF A PROBABLE HOMEOWAFF A PATIENT ACCOUNTS WHICH INDICATE THAT THE PATIENT IS NOT A HOMEOWAFF A PROBABLE HOMEOWAFF A PROBABLE THAN THAN THAN OR THAN THAN THAN THAN THAN THAN THAN THAN			
FOR THE PATIENT, 2 ESTIMATE DECEMBAL POVERTY LEVEL OF LESS THAN OR EQUAL TO 25, WHICH IS BASED ON ESTIMATED HOUSEH OLD SIZE AND MOUSEMED LESTIMATED INCOME, AND 3T HID PARA NOTICE THAT THE PATIENT IS NOT A HOMEOWER AND AND AND AND THE PARA NOTICE THAT THE PATIENT IS NOT A HOMEOWER A ROBBALH OMEOWER FOR THE FIGAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2011, THE ORGANIZATION REPORT THAT 30% OF PAD DEET EXPRESSES WE REA TITEIUT TO PATIENTS WHO MAY HAVE BEEN ELIGIBLE FOR HEAD AND THAT AND			,
BASED ON ESTIMATED HOUSER HOLD SIZE AND HOUSEHOLD ESTIMATED INCOME, AND 3 THIRD PAR DATA AVAILABLE ON PATIENT AGGO UNTS WHICH INDICATE THAT THE PATEURY IS NOT MOROWHER AND			FOR THE PATIENT, 2 ESTIMAT ED FEDERAL POVERTY
HOUSEHOLD ESTIMATED INCOME, AND 3 THEND PAR DATA AVAILABLE ON PATIENT ACCOUNTS WHICH INDICATE THAT THE PATIENT IS NOT A HOMEOWER A ROBABLE HOMEOWER FORTHER TOTAL THE MODE OWER A ROBABLE HOMEOWER FORTHER TOTAL THE MODE OWER ENDINGS JUB 50, 2011, THE ORGANIZATION REPORT TO PATIENTS WHO ANY JANAY BEER HE LIGIBLE FOR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE BUT WERE NOT RESPONSIVE HE APPLICATION PROCESS EXISTING HAT THAT TIMI THIS FIGURE WAS BASED ON THE PARO ANALYSIS SETIMATES OF PATIENTS THANCIAL REPOST THAT THE HINS HE WAS BASED ON THE PARO ANALYSIS FOR THE WAS WHO HISTORICALLY QUALIFIED FOR ANALYSIS FOR THE WAS HORD STORY AND THE PARO ANALYSIS FOR THE WAS HORD STORY AND THE PARO ANALYSIS FOR THE WAS HORD STORY AND THE PARO ANALYSIS FOR THE WAS HORD STORY AND THE PARO ANALYSIS FOR THE WAS HORD STORY AND THE PARO ANALYSIS FOR THE WAS HORD STORY AND THE PARO ANALYSIS FOR THE WAS HORD STORY AND THE PARO ANALYSIS FOR THE WAS HORD STORY AND THE PARO ANALYSIS FOR THE WAS HORD STORY AND THE PARO ANALYSIS FOR THE WAS HORD THE PATIENT SO WERE CHARACTERIS FOR THE WAS HORD THE PATIENT SO WERE CHARACTERIS FOR THE WAS HORD THE PATIENT SO WERE CHARACTERIS FOR THE WAS HORD THE PATIENT SO WERE CHARACTERIS FOR THE WAS HORD THE PATIENT SO WERE CHARACTERIS FOR THE PATIENT SO WERE CHARACTERIS FOR THE PATIENT SO WERE CHARACTERIS FOR THE WAS HORD THE PATIENT SO WERE CHARACTERIS FOR THE STORY AND THE PATIENT SO WERE CHARACTERIS FOR THE STORY AND THE PATIENT SO WERE CHARACTERIS FOR THE PATIENT SO WE WAS THE PATIENT SO WERE THE PATIENT SO WERE THE PATIENT SO WE SO WE SHARK THE PATIENT SO WERE THE PATIENT SO WERE THE PATIENT			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
DATA AVAILABLE ON PATIENT ACCOUNTS WHILE INDICATE HANT THE PATIENT IS NOT A HOMEOWRE A PROBABLE HOMEOWRE FOR THE FISCALYEAR REDNING JOINE 32, 2011, THE ORGANIZATION REPORT HE PAGE AND			
A PROBABLE HOMEOWISE FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ROINING JUNE 30, 2011, THE ORGANIZATION REPORT THAT 30% OF BAD DEBT EXPENSES WERE ATTRIBUTE TO PATIENTS WHO MAY HAVE SEEN THE HOT RESPONSIVE HISTORY OF THE PROPERTY			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
EMDING JUNE 30, 2011, THE ORGANIZATION REPORT THAT JOW GOF BAD OBET EXPENSES WERE ATTRIBUTE TO PATIENTS WHO MAY HAVE BEEN ELIGIBLE FOR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE BUT WERE ATTRIBUTE TO PATIENTS WHO MAY HAVE BEEN ELIGIBLE FOR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE BUT WERE ATTRIBUTE THE STAML SHAPPLICATION PROCESS EXISTING AT THAT TIM HIS FIGURE WAS BASED ON THE PARO ANALYSIS AND EXAMINED WHETHER PATIENT S WERE GHARACTERIS OF OTHERS WHO HISTORICALLY DIVINE AND EXAMINED WHETHER PATIENT S WERE GHARACTERIS OF OTHERS WHO HISTORICALLY CARE ANALYSIS OF OTHERS WHO HISTORICALLY CARE ANALYSIS OF OTHERS WHO HISTORICALLY CARE ANALYSIS PERFORMED FOR THE PRIOR FISCAL YEAR DETERMINE BENCHMARK OF BAD DEBT ACCOUNTS IN THE CHRIST HEALTH SYSTEM THAT LACKED THE INFORMATION TO QUALITY FOR CHARMY CARE WHOSE HE FILLING ORGANIZATION SCUSTOMA RY PROCESS BUT WOULD HISTORICALLY CARE WHO HE HE HISTORICALLY FISCAL WEAR ENDING; UNE 30, 212, THE ORGANIZATION SCUSTOMA RY PROCESS BUT WOULD HISTORICALLY CARE WHO HE HE HISTORICALLY HISTORICALLY CARE WHO HE HE HISTORICALLY HISTORICALLY CARE WHO HE HE HE HISTORICALLY HISTORICALLY CARE HE HE HE HE HISTORICALLY HISTORICALLY CARE HE HE HE HE HE HISTORICALLY HISTORICALLY CARE HE			INDICATE THAT THE PATIENT IS NOT A HOMEOWNER OR
THAT 30% OF BAD DEBT EXPENSES WERE ATTRIBUTE TO PATIENTS WHO MAY HAVE BEEL IGIBLE FOR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE BUT WERE NOT RESPONSIVE THE APPLICATION PROCESS ENTING AT THAT TIME THIS FIGURE WAS BASE ON THE PARC MANUALIST AND EXAMINED WHETHER PATIENT'S WERE CHARACTERIS OF OTHERS WHO HISTORICALLY QUALIFIED FOR ASSISTANCE UNDER THE TRADIT DATA PERCENTED FROCESS THE PRESUMPTIVE CHARITY CARE ANALYS PERFORMED FOR THE PRIOR FISCAL YEAR DETERMIN BENCHMARK OF BAD DEBT ACCOUNTS IN THE CHRIST HEALTH SYSTEM THAT LACKED THE PRIOR FISCAL YEAR DETERMIN BENCHMARK OF BAD DEBT ACCOUNTS IN THE CHRIST HEALTH SYSTEM THAT LACKED THE PRIOR FISCAL YEAR DETERMIN BENCHMARK OF BAD DEBT ACCOUNTS IN THE CHRIST HEALTH SYSTEM THAT LACKED THE PROPORTION OF THE PRIOR FISCAL YEAR PETERMIN BENCHMARK OF BAD DEBT ACCOUNTS IN THE CHRIST HEALTH SYSTEM THAT LACKED THE PROPORTION OF THE PRIOR FISCAL YEAR PETERMIN BENCHMARK OF BAD DEBT ACCOUNTS IN THE CHRIST HEALTH SYSTEM THAT LACKED THE PROPORTION OF THE PRIOR PRIOR PROPORTION OF THE PRIOR PROPORTION OF THE PRIOR PRIOR PROPORTION OF THE PRIOR PROPORTION OF THE PRIOR PRIOR PROPORTION OF THE PR			
TO PATIENTS WHO MAY HAVE BEEN ELIGIBLE FOR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE BUT WERE NOT RESPONSIVI THE APPLICATION PROCESS EXISTING AT THAT TIMI HIS FIGURE WAS BASED ON THE AGE ON ANALYSIS AS ESTIMATES OF PATIENTS THAN CIAL REEDS THAT EXAMINED WHETHER PATIENT S WERE CHARACTERIS ON ASSISTANCE UNDER THE TRADITIONAL APPLICATIO PROCESS THE PRESUMETIVE CHARITY CARE MANLYSIS ON ASSISTANCE UNDER THE TRADIT IONAL APPLICATIO PROCESS THE PRESUMETIVE CHARITY CARE MANLYSIS FEROMED FOR THE PRIOR FISCAL YEAR DETERMIN BENCHMARK OF BAD DEBT ACCUMENT THE FILMS ORGANIZATION'S CUSTOMA RY PROCESS BUT WOULD HAVE LIKELY QUALIFIED FOR ASSISTANCE DURING FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE BO, 2012, THE FILMS ORGANIZATION'S CUSTOMA RY PROCESS BUT WOULD HAVE LIKELY QUALIFIED FOR ASSISTANCE DURING FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE BO, 2012, THE FILMS ORGANIZATION'S CUSTOMA RY PROCESS BUT WOULD HAVE LIKELY GOUNT OF THE PRIOR OF THE FILMS ORGANIZATION'S CUSTOMA RY PROCESS BUT WOULD HAVE LIKELY GOUNT OF THE PRIOR OF THE FILMS ORGANIZATION'S CUSTOMA RY PROCESS BUT WOULD HAVE LIKELY BUSINESS OF THE PRIOR OF THE FILMS ORGANIZATION'S CONTROLLED THE PRIOR ORGANIZATION ORGANIZATION HAVE LIKELY ELIGIBLE FOR FILMS CLAIM OF SUCH ACCOUNTS WERE LIKELY ELIGIBLE CATTON, AND SUCH ACCOUNTS WERE LIKELY ELIGIBLE CONTROLLED THE PRIOR ORGANIZATION ORGANI			
FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE BUT WERE NOT RESPONSIVI THE APPLICATION PROCESS EXISTING AT THAT TIMI THIS FIGURE WAS BASED ON THE PAR OR ANALYSIS AN ESTIMATES OF PATIENTS' FINANCIAL NEEDS THAT EXAMINED WHETHER PATIENTS WERE CHRACTERIS OF OTHERS WHO HISTORICALLY QUALIFIED FOR ASSISTANCE UNDER THE TRADITIONAL PRICESTORY PROFORMED FOR THE PRIVATE TISCAL YEAR DETERMINE BENCHMARK OF RAD DEET ACCOUNTS IN THE CRISTS HEALTH SYSTEM THAT LACKED HE INFORMATION TO QUALIFY FOR CHARITY CARE UNDER THE FILING ORGANIZATION'S CUSTOMA RY PROCESS BUT WOULD HAVE LIKELY QUALIFIED FOR ASSISTANCE DURING' FISCAL YEAR ROUNDING JUNE 3) 2012. THE ORGANIZATION UTILIZED THE PARA SCORE TO IDEN THE ACCOUNTS OF INDIVIDUOUS HEAVENING THAT WAS LIKELY ELIGIBLE FOR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE DESPIT HAVING NOT COMPLETE DA HAPPLICATION, AND SU ACCOUNTS WERE LIKELY E LIGIBLE FOR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE THE ORGANIZATION GRANTED PRESUMPTIVE ELIGIBLITY FOR THE SE ACCOUNTS A SISTANCE THE ORGANIZATION GRANTED PRESUMPTIVE ELIGIBLITY FOR THE SE ACCOUNTS A SHORT WERE RECLASSIFIED HOURT SWERN FOR THE FRENCH THE WERE RECLASSIFIED HOURT SWERN FOR THE FRENCH ESTABLISHED IN THE PREVIOUS FISCA CHER HOURT SWERN FOR THE SEA COUNTS A SHORT SWERN FRENCH THE SEA COUNTS A SHORT SWERN FROM THE SEA COUNTS A SHORT SWERN FROM THE SEA COUNTS A SHORT SWERN FROM THE SEA COUNTS A SHORT SWER			
THIS FIGURE WAS BASED ON THE PARO NANLYSIS AN ESTIMATES OF PATIENTS' FINANCIAL NEEDS THAT EXAMINED WHETHER PATIENT'S WERE CHARACTERIS OF OTHERS WHO HISTORICALLY QUALIFIED FOR ASSISTANCE UNDER THE TRADIT IONAL APPLICATIO PROCESS THE PRION FISCAL YEAR DETERMIN BENCHMARK OF BAD DEBT ACCOUNTS IN THE CHRIST HEALTH SYSTEM THAT LACKED THE INFORMATION TO QUALIFY FOR CHARACTY CARE MUDER THE FILING ORGANIZATION SCUSTOMA MY PROCESS BUT WOULD HAVE LIKELY QUALIFIED FOR ASSISTANCE DURING SOME CONTROL OF THE PARO SCORE TO IDEN THE ACCOUNTS OF INDIVIDUAL PATIENTS THAT WE LIKELY ELIGIBLE FOR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE DURING SOME CONTROL OF THE PARO SCORE TO IDEN THE ACCOUNTS OF INDIVIDUAL PATIENTS THAT WE LIKELY ELIGIBLE FOR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE DOWN ANALYSIS DETERMINED THAT 26,21% OF SUCH ACCOUNTS WERE LIKELY ELIGIBLE FOR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE THE ORGANIZATION GRANTED PRESUMPTIVE ELIGIBLITY FOR THE SE ACCOUNTS A THEY WERE RECLASSIFIED UNDER OUR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE TO FOLICY THE AMOUNTS WERE NOT REPORTED AS BAD DEBT THE AMOUNTS WERE NOT REPORTE			FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE BUT WERE NOT RESPONSIVE TO
ESTIMATES OF PATIENTS' FINANCIAL NEEDS THAT EXAMINED WHETHER PATIENTS' EN WER CHARACTERIS OF OTHERS WHO HISTORICALLY QUALIFIED FOR ASSISTANCE UNDER THE TRAD IT IONAL APPLICATIO PROCESS THE PRESUMPTIVE CHARITY CARE ANALYS' PERFORMED FOR THE PRIOR BY ISCAL YEAR DETERMIN BENCHMARK OF BAD DEET ACCOUNTS IN THE CHRIST'S HEALTH SYSTEM THAT LACKED THE INFORMATION TO QUALIFY FOR CHARITY CARE UNDER THE FILMS OF COMMINION OF THE PRIOR OF SISTANCE DURING HEAVEL LIKELY QUALIFIED FOR ASSISTANCE DURING FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2012, THE ORGANIZATION UTILIZED THE PROSCORE TO IDEN THE ACCOUNTS OF INDIVIDUAL PATIENTS THAT WE LIKELY ELIGIBLE FOR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE DURING FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2012, THE ORGANIZATION OF THE PROSCORE TO IDEN THE ACCOUNTS OF INDIVIDUAL PATIENTS THAT WE LIKELY ELIGIBLE FOR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE THE ORGANIZATION GRANTED PRESUMPTIVE ELIGIBLE FOR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE THE ORGANIZATION GRANTED PRESUMPTIVE ELIGIBLE FOR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE FOLICY THE AMOUNTS WERE NOT ORGANIZATION OF THE SEA CCOUNTS A THEY WERE RECLASSIFIED UNDER FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE FOLICY THE AMOUNTS WERE NOT ORGANIZATION OF THE AMOUNTS WERE NOT ORGANIZATION OF THE AMOUNTS WERE NOT ORGANIZATION OF THE PRESUMPTIVE CHARITY CARE BENCHM ESTABLISHED IN THE PRESUMPTIVE CHARITY CARE THAT THE FIGURE CALCULATED FOR FISCAL YEAR END DUNE 30, 2012 THUS, THE ORGANIZATION ESTIMATE THAT ONLY 37 9% OF THE BAD DEBT EXPENSES IN FISCAL YEAR BOUND OF THE BAD DEBT EXPENSES IN FISCAL YEAR BOUND OF THE BAD DEBT EXPENSES IN FISCAL YEAR BOUND OF THE BAD DEBT EXPENSES IN FISCAL YEAR BOUND OF THE BAD DEBT EXPENSES IN FISCAL YEAR BOUND OF THE BAD DEBT EXPENSES IN FISCAL YEAR BOUND OF THE BAD DEBT EXPENSE IN			THE APPLICATION PROCESS EXISTING AT THAT TIME
EXAMINED WHETHER PATIENTS SWERE CHARACTERIS OF OTHERS WHO HISTORICALLY QUALIFIED FOR ASSISTANCE UNDER THE TRADITIONAL APPLICATIO PROCESS THE PRESUMPTIVE CHARITY CARE ANALYS PERFORMED FOR THE PRIOR FISCAL YEAR DETERMIN BENCHMARK OF BAD DEBT INCOUNTS IN THE CHRIS' HEALTH SYSTEM THAT LACKED THE INFORMATION TO QUALIFY FOR CHARITY CARE UNDER THE FLING ORGANIZATION'S CUSTOMA RY PROCESS BUT WONLD HAVE LIKELY QUALIFIED FOR ASSISTANCE DURING' FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2012, THE TO 10 PM ORGANIZATION'S CUSTOMA RY PROCESS BUT WONLD HE AND THE STATEM OF THE STATEM THAT WE LIKELY ELIGIBLE FOR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE DESPI HAVING NOT COMPLETE FOR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE DESPI HAVING NOT COMPLETE FOR THE STATEM OF THE STATEM			
ASSISTANCE UNDER THE TRADIT ONAL APPLICATION PROCESS THE PRESUMPTIVE CHARITY CARE ANALYS PERFORMED FOR THE PRESUMPTIVE CHARITY CARE ANALYS PERFORMED FOR THE PRIOR F ISCAL YEAR DETERMIN BENCHMARK OF BAD DEBT INFORMATION TO QUALLEY FOR CHARITY CARE UNDER THE FILING ORGANIZATION'S CUSTOMA RY PROCESS BUT WOULL HAVE LIKELY QUALIFIED FOR ASSISTANCE DURING' FISCAL YEAR ENDING J UNE 30, 2012, THE ORGANIZATION UTILIZED THE PARO SCORE TO IDEN THE ACCOUNTS OF INDIVID UAL PATIENTS THAT WE LIKELY ELIGIBLE FOR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE DESPI HAVING NOT COMPLET ED AN APPLICATION, AND SU ANALYSIS DETERMINED THE ZE 21% OF SUCH ACCOUNTS WERE LIKELY E LIGIBLE FOR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE THE ORGANIZATION GRANTED PRESUMPTIVE ELIGIBLITY FOR THE SE ACCOUNTS A THEY WERE RECLASSIFIED UNDER OUR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE POLICY THE AMOUNTS WERE NOT REPORTED AS BAD DEBT THE AMOUNT REPORTED OF SCHEDULE H, PART III, LINE 3 IS THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE PRESUMPTIVE HEADING THE AMOUNT REPORTED OF SCHEDULE H, PART III, LINE 3 IS THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE PRESUMPTIVE HEADING THE AMOUNT REPORTED OF SCHEDULE H, PART III, LINE 3 IS THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE PRESUMPTIVE HEADING THE AMOUNT REPORTED OF SCHEDULE H, PART III, LINE 3 IS THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE PRESUMPTIVE HEADING THE AMOUNT REPORTED OF SCHEDULE H, PART III, LINE 3 IS THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE PRESUMPTIVE HEADING THE AMOUNT REPORTED OF SCHEDULE H, PART III, LINE 3 IS THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE PRESUMPTIVE HEADING TO THE PRESUMPTIVE AND THE PRESUMPTIVE HEADING TO THE PRESUMPT HEADING TO THE PRESUMPT HEADING TO THE PRES			EXAMINED WHETHER PATIENT S WERE CHARACTERISTIC
PROCESS THE PRESUMPTIVE CHARITY CARE ANALYS PERFORMED FOR THE PRIOR FISCAL YEAR DETERMIN BENCHMARK OF BAD DEBT ACCOUNTS IN THE CHRIS' HEALTH SYSTEM THAT LACKED THE INFORMATION TO QUALIFY FOR CHARITY CARE UNDER THE FILING ORGANIZATION'S CUSTOM RY PROCESS BUT WOULD HAVE LIKELY QUALIFIED FOR ASSISTANCE DURING' FISCAL YEAR RIDNING JURG 30, 2012, THE ORGANIZATION TUILIZED THE PARG SCORE TO DURING' FISCAL YEAR RIDNING JURG 30, 2012, THE ORGANIZATION UNITLIZED THE PARG SCORE TO DURING' FISCAL YEAR RIDNING JURG PATHENTS THAT WE LIKELY ELIGIBLE FOR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE DESPI HAVING NOT COMPLETE DA NA APPLICATION, AND SU ANALYSIS DETERMINED THAT 26, 21% OF SUCH ACCOUNTS WERR LIKELY ELIGIBLE FOR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE THE ORGANIZATION GRANTED FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE THE ORGANIZATION GRANTED FINENCIAL FINENCIAL STATES OF THE AMOUNT REPORTED OF FINENCIAL STATES OF THE AMOUNT REPORTED OF SCHEDULE H, PART III, LINE 3 IS THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE PRESUMPTIVE HARDING WARRENCE BETWEEN THE PRESUMPTIVE TO HARDING THE PRESUMPTIVE TO REPORTED AS COUNTS FOR WHICH THE ORGANIZATION GRANTED JURE 30, 2011 AND THE AGREGATE OF INDIVIDUAL ACCOUNTS FOR WHICH THE ORGANIZATION RESTIMATE THAT ONLY 37% OF THE BEFORESET IN FISCAL YEAR RIDNING JUNE 30, 2012 ARE ATTRIBUTA TO PATIENTS WHO WOULD LATED FOR FISCAL YEAR RENDING FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE IT IS IMPORTANT TO NOTE THAT THE FIGURE CALCULATED FOR FISCAL YEAR RENDING FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE IT IS INFORTANT TO NOTE THAT THE FIGURE CALCULATED FOR FISCAL YEAR RENDING JUNE 30, 2012 ARE ATTRIBUTA TO PATIENTS WHO WOULD LATED FOR FISCAL YEAR RENDING JUNE 30, 2012 ARE ATTRIBUTA FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE IT IS INFORTANT TO NOTE THAT THE FIGURE CALCULATED FOR FISCAL YEAR RENDING JUNE 30, 2012 ARE ATTRIBUTA FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE IT IS INFORTANT TO NOTE THAT THE FISCAL YEAR REDDING JUNE 30, 2012 ARE ATTRIBUTA FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE OF PRESUMPTIVE CHARITY CAR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE OF THE FOR FISCAL YEAR FOOTOM THE FOR THE ADD THE FISCAL YEAR REDDING JUNG 30, 2012 FART THE ADD THE FOR FISCAL YEAR REDDING JUNG 30, 2012 FOR THE F			· ·
PERFORMED FOR THE PRIOR FISCAL YEAR DETERMIN BENCHMARK OF BAD DEAD ACCOUNTS IN THE CHRIS' HEALTH SYSTEM THAT LACKED THE INFORMATION TO QUALIFY FOR CHARITY CARE UNDER THE FILING ORGANIZATION'S CUSTOMA RY PROCESS BUT WOULD HAVE LIKELY QUALIFIED FOR ASSISTANCE DURING: FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2012, THE ORGANIZATION UTILIZED THE PARO SCORE TO IDEN THE ACCOUNTS OF INDIVID UAL PATIENTS THAT WE LIKELY ELIGIBLE FOR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE DESPI HAVYING NOT COMPLET ED AN APPLICATION, AND SU ANALYSIS DETERMINED HAT 26 21% OF SUCH ACCOUNTS WERE LIGIBLE FOR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE THE ORGANIZATION GRANTED PRESUMPTIVE ELIGIBLITY FOR THE SE ACCOUNTS A THEY WERE RECLASSIFIED UNDER OUR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE THE ORGANIZATION GRANTED PRESUMPTIVE ELIGIBLITY FOR THE SE ACCOUNTS A THEY WERE RECLASSIFIED UNDER OUR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE FOLICY THE AMOUNTS WERE NOT REPORTED AS BAD DEET AND THE AMOUNTS WERE NOT REPORTED AS BAD DEET AND THE AMOUNTS WERE NOT REPORTED AS BAD DEET AND THE PREVIOUS FISCAL YEAR ENDIN JUNE 30, 2011 AND THE AGGREGATE OF INDIVIDUAL ACCOUNTS FOR WHIGHLITY IN THE FISCAL YEAR ENDIN JUNE 30, 2011 AND THE AGGREGATE OF INDIVIDUAL ACCOUNTS FOR WHIGHLITY IN THE FISCAL YEAR ENDIN JUNE 30, 2012 THUS, THE ORGANIZATION RESTIMATE THAT ONLY 3 79% OF THE BAD DEBT EXPENSES IN FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2012 ARE ATTRIBUTA THAT THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2012 ARE ATTRIBUTA THAT THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2012 ARE ATTRIBUTA THAT THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2012 ARE ATTRIBUTA THAT THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2012 ARE ATTRIBUTA THAT THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2012 ARE ATTRIBUTA THAT THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2012 ARE ATTRIBUTA THAT THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2012 ARE ATTRIBUTA THAT THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2012 ARE ATTRIBUTA THAT THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2012 ARE ATTRIBUTA THAT THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2012 ARE ATTRIBUTA THAT THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2012 ARE ATTRIBUTA THAT THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2012 ARE ATTRIBUTA THAT THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2012 ARE ATTRI			ASSISTANCE UNDER THE TRADIT IONAL APPLICATION PROCESS THE PRESUMPTIVE CHARITY CARE ANALYSIS
BENCHMARK OF BAD DEBT ACCOUNTS IN THE CHRIST HEALTH SYSTEM THAT LORGE UNDER THE FILING ORGANIZATION'S CUSKED THE INFORMATION TO QUALIFY FOR CHARITY CARE UNDER THE FILING ORGANIZATION ARY PROCESS BUT WOULD HAVE LIKELY QUALIFIED FOR ASSISTANCE DURING' FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2012, THE ORGANIZATION UTILIZED THE PARO SCORE TO IDEN THE ACCOUNTS OF INDID VALP PATIENTS THAT WE LIKELY ELIGIBLE FOR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE DESPI HAVING NOT COMPLET LIGIBLE FOR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE DESPI HAVING NOT COMPLET LIGIBLE FOR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE THE ORGANIZATION GRANTED PRESUMPTIVE ELIGIBLITY FOR THE SE ACCOUNTS A THEY WERE RECLASSIFIED UNDER OUR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE THE ORGANIZATION GRANTED PRESUMPTIVE ELIGIBLE FOR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE POLICY THE AMOUNTS WERE NOT REPORTED AS BAD DEBT THE AMOUNT REPORTED OF SCHEDULE H, PART III, BE 31S THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE PRESUMPTIVE CHARITY CARE BENCHM ESTABLISHED IN THE PRESUMPTIVE CHARITY CARE BENCHM ESTABLISHED IN THE PROGNAIZATION GRANTED JUNE 30, 2011 AND THE AGGREGATE OF INDIVIDUAL ACCOUNTS FOR WHICH RORGANIZATION ESTIMATE PRESUMPTIVE FLIGIBLITY IN THE FISCAL YEAR END JUNE 30, 2012 THUS, THE ORGANIZATION ESTIMATE THAT ONLY 3 79% OF THE BAD DEBT EXPENSES IN FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2012 ARE ATTRIBUTA TO PATTENTS WHO WOULD LIKELY HAVE QUALIFIED I FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE THE ORGANIZATION ESTIMATE TO PATTENTS WHO WOULD LIKELY HAVE QUALIFIED I FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE TO RESUMPTIVE CHARITY CC IN AMY FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2012 ARE ATTRIBUTA TO PATTENTS WHO WOULD LIKELY HAVE QUALIFIED I FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE TO RESUMPTIVE CHARITY CC IN AMY FISCAL YEAR ROM AND AND TO THE ACCOUNTING TO THE ACCOMPANY INC CONSOLIDA STABLISHED IN FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2012 PART III, SECTION A, LINE 4 BAD DEBT EXPENSE FOOTNOTE THE FOOTNOTE TO THE CHRISTUS HEALT ONDS LIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, INCLUDIOR THE NOTE THE UNITED STATES FROM THE BENCHMA ESTABLISHED IN FISCAL WERE MANAGEMENT OF THE SYSTEM TO MAKE ASSUMPTIONS, ESTIMATES, AND DUDGMENTS THAT AFFECT THE AMOUNTS REPORTED THE UNITED STATES FOR THE			PERFORMED FOR THE PRIOR F ISCAL YEAR DETERMINED A
QUALIFY FOR CHARITY CARE UNDER THE FILING ORGANIZATION'S CUSTOMA RY PROCESS BUT WOULD HAVE LIKELY QUALIFIED FOR ASSISTANCE DURING' FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2012, THE ORGANIZATION UTILIZED THE PARO SCORE TO IDEN THE ACCOUNTS OF INDIVIDUAL PATIENTS THAT WE LIKELY ELIGIBLE FOR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE DESP! HAVING NOT COMPLEY LIGIBLE FOR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE DESP! HAVING NOT COMPLEY LIGIBLE FOR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE THE ORGANIZATION GRANTED PRESUMPTIVE ELIGIBLITY FOR THE SE ACCOUNTS A THEY WERE RECLASSIFIED UNDER OUR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE THE AMOUNTS WERE NOT REPORTED AS BAD DEBT THE AMOUNTS WERE NOT REPORTED AS BAD DEBT THE AMOUNT REPORTED OF SCHEDULE H, PART ILI, BE 31S THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE PRESUMPTIVE CHARITY CARE BENCHM ESTABLISHED IN THE PREVIOUS FISCA LY FAR ENDIN JUNE 30, 2011 AND THE AGGREGATE OF INDIVIDUAL ACCOUNTS FOR WHICH FORGANIZATION GRANTE PRESUMPTIVE FIGHBILITY IN THE FISCAL YEAR END JUNE 30, 2012 THUS, THE ORGANIZATION STANTAT PRESUMPTIVE FIGHBILITY IN THE FISCAL YEAR END JUNE 30, 2012 THUS, THE ORGANIZATION ESTIMATE THAT ONLY 3 79% OF THE BAD DEBT EXPENSES IN FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2012 ARE ATTRIBUTA TO PATIENTS WHO WOULD LIKELY HAVE QUALIFIED I FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE THE IS IS IMPORTANT TO NOT THAT THE FIGURE CALCULATED FOR FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2012 AND STANTANT TO NOT THAT THE FIGURE CALCULATED FOR FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2011 WAS ESTIMATED AND NOT EX AND THEREFORE THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE AMOUNTS QUALIFIE TO A PER ENDING JUNE 30, 2012 PART III, SECTION A, LINE 4 BAD DEBT EXPENSE FOOTNOTE THE FOOTNOTH TO NOT THE ACCOMPANYING CONSOLIDA FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, INCLUDING THE ACCOMPANYING CONSOLIDA FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, INCLUDING THE NOT THE SYSTEM ON SIGNER OR FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, INCLUDING THE NOT THE SYSTEM ON SIGNER OR FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, INCLUDING THE NOT			BENCHMARK OF BAD DEBT ACCOUNTS IN THE CHRISTUS
ORGANIZATION'S CUSTOMA RY PROCESS BUT WOULD HAVE LIKELY QUALIFIED FOR ASSISTANCE DURING' FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2012, THE ORGANIZATION UTILED THE PARG SCORE TO IDEN THE ACCOUNTS OF INDIVID UAL PATIENTS THAT WE LIKELY ELIGIBLE FOR INANCIAL ASSISTANCE DESP! HAVING NOT COMPLET ED AN APPLICATION, AND SU ANALYSIS DETERMINED THAT 26, 21% OF SUCH ACCOUNTS WERE LIKELY ELIGIBLE FOR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE THE ORGANIZATION GRANTED PRESUMPTIVE ELIGIBLITY FOR THE SE ACCOUNTS A THEY WERE RECLASSITANCE THE OWN INTENDITY REPORTED AS SAD OBTE THE AMOUNT REPORTED OF SCHEDULE H, PART III, LINE 3 IS THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE PRESUMPTIVE CHARTIY CARE BENCHM ESTABLISHED IN THE PREVIOUS FISC AL YEAR ENDIN JUNE 30, 2011 AND THE AGGREGATE OF INDIVIDUAL ACCOUNTS FOR WHICH THE ORGANIZATION ESTIMATE THAT ONLY 3 75% OF THE BAD DEST EXPENSES IN FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2012 ARE ATTRIBUTA TO PATIENTS WHO WOULD LIKELY HAVE QUALIFIED I FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE IT IS IMPORTANT TO NOTE THAT THE FIGURE CALCULATE FOR FISCAL YEAR ENDING SUME 30, 2012 THOSE THAS END THAT THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING INFORMATION FOR THE SECRET OF INDIVIDUAL COUNTS FOR OWN			HEALTH SYSTEM THAT LACKED THE INFORMATION TO
HAVE LIKELY QUALIFIED FOR ASSISTANCE DURING: FISCAL YEAR NOING JUNE 30, 2012, THE ORGANIZATION JUNE 30, 2012, THE ORGANIZATION UTILIZED THE PARO SCORE TO IDEN THE ACCOUNTS OF INDIVIOUAL PATIENTS THAT WE LIKELY ELIGIBLE FOR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE DESP. HAVING NOT COMPET ED AN APPLICATION, AND ANALYSIS DETERMINED THAT 26 21% OF SUCH ACCOUNTS WERE LIKELY E LIGIBLE FOR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE THE ORGANIZATION GRANTED PRESUMPTIVE ELIGIBLITY FOR THE SE ACCOUNTS A THEY WERE RECLASSIFIED UNDER OUR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE POLICY THE AMOUNTS WER NOT REPORTED AS BAD DEBT THE AMOUNT REPORTED OF SCHEDULE H, PART III, LINE 31 IS THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE PRESUMPTIVE CHARITY CARE BENGME ESTABLISHED IN THE PREVIOUS FISC AL YEAR ENDIN JUNE 30, 2011 AND THE AGGREGATE OF INDIVIDUAL ACCOUNTS FOR WHICH THE ORGANI ZATION GRANTID PRESUMPTIVE ELIGIBLITY IN THE FISCAL YEAR ENDIN PRESUMPTIVE ELIGIBLITY IN THE FISCAL YEAR ENDIN ONLY 3 79% OR THE BAD DEBT EXPENSES IN FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2012 ARE ATTRIBUTA TO PATIENTS WHO WOULD LIKELY HAVE QUALIFIED I FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE IT IS IMPORTANT TO NOT THAT THE FIGURE COLULATED FOR FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2011 WAS ESTIMATED AND NOT EX AND THEREFORE THE FIFERENCE BETWEEN THE AMOUNTS QUALIFIE DA SPRESUMPTIVE CHARITY CA IN ANY FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2012 PART III, SECTION ANY VARY FROM THE BETWEEN FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE IT IS IMPORTANT TO NOT THAT THE FIGURE COLULATED FOR FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2011 WAS ESTIMATED AND NOT EX AND THEREFORE THE FIFERENCE BETWEEN THE AMOUNTS QUALIFIE DA SPRESUMPTIVE CHARITY CA IN ANY FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2012 PART III, SECTION ANY VARY FROM THE BETWEEN FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE IT ANY FIRE ARBOTT OF THE AMOUNTS QUALIFIE FOR FIRE SET WERE SET OF THE CHRISTUS HEALT CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS SAYS, "THE PREPARAT ION OF THE ACCOMPANYING CONSOLIDA FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, INCLUDING THE FOR THE PROPORTION OF THE PROP			15
ORGANIZATION UTILIZED THE PARO SCORE TO IDEN THE ACCOUNTS OF INDIVIDUAL PATIENTS THAT WE LIKELY ELIGIBLE FOR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE DESP! HAVING NOT COMPLET ED AN APPLICATION, AND SU ANALYSIS DETERMINED THAT 26 21% OF SUCH ACCOUNTS WERE INEVELY ELIGIBLE FOR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE THE ORGANIZATION GRANTED PRESUMPTIVE ELIGIBLITY FOR THE SE ACCOUNTS A THEY WERE RECLASSIFIED UNDER OUR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE POLICY THE AMOUNTS WERE NOT REPORTED AS BAD DEST THE AMOUNTS WERE NOT REPORTED AS BAD DEST THE AMOUNT REPORTED OF SCHEDULE H, PART III, LINE 3 IS THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE PRESUMPTIVE CHARITY CARE BENCHM ESTABLISHED IN THE PRESUMPTIVE CHARITY CARE BUNDAL THE ORGANIZATION ESTIMATE THAT ONLY 3 79% OF THE BAD DEST EXPRESS IN FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2012 ARE ATTRIBUTA TO PATIENTS WHO WOULD LIKELY HAVE QUALIFIED IF FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE IT IS IMPORTANT TO NOTE THAT THE FIFIGURE CALCULATED FOR FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2011 WAS ESTIMATED AND NOTE X AND THEREFORE THE AMOUNTS QUALIFIED TO FREE SHEME ENDING JUNE 30, 2011 WAS ESTIMATED AND NOTE X AND THEREFORE AMY VARY FROM THE BENCHMA ESTABLISHED IN FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2012 PART III, SECTION ALINE ABOUNTS REPORTED THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS SAYS, "THE PREPARATION OF THE ACCOMPANYING CONSOLIDA EINANCIAL STATEMENTS IN COMPONING REPORTED THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS IN COMPONING PREPARATION UNDEMENTS THAT AFFECT THE AMOUNTS REPORTED THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, INCLUDING THE NOTE THERETO, AND RELATED DISCLOSURES OF COMMITMENTS AND ESTIMATES IN THE PREPARATION OF THE RECOMPANYING CONSOLIDA			HAVE LIKELY QUALIFIED FOR ASSISTANCE DURING THE
THE ACCOUNTS OF INDIVIDUAL PATIENTS THAT WE LIKELY ELIGIBLE OR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE DESPI HAVING NOT COMPLET ED AN APPLICATION, AND SU ANALYSIS DETERMINED THAT 26 21% OF SUCH ACCOUNTS WERE LIKELY E LIGIBLE FOR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE THE ORGANIZATION GRANTED PRESUMPTIVE ELIGIBLITY FOR THE SE ACCOUNTS A THEY WERE RECLASSIFIED UNDER OUR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE POLITY THE AMOUNTS WERE NOT REPORTED AS BAD DEST THE AMOUNT SERE NOT REPORTED AS BAD DEST THE AMOUNT REPORTED OT SCHEDULE H, PARTIL, LINE 3 IS THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE PRESUMPTIVE CHARITY CARE BENCHM ESTABLISHED IN THE PRESUMPTIVE CHARITY CARE BENCHM BETABLISHED IN THE PRESUMPTIVE CHARITY CARE BENCHM BETABLISHED IN THE PRESUMPTIVE CHARITY CARE SENDY JUNE 30, 2011 AND THE AGGREGATE OF INDIVIDUAL ACCOUNTS FOR WHICH THE ORGANIZATION RESTIMATE PRESUMPTIVE ELIGIBILITY IN THE FISCAL YEAR ENDI JUNE 30, 2012 THUS, THE ORGANIZATION ESTIMATE THAT ONLY 3 79% OF THE BAD DEBT EXPENSES IN FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2012 ARE ATTRIBUTA TO PATIENTS WHO WOULD LIKELY HAVE QUALIFIED IN FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE IT IS IMPORTANT TO NOTE THAT THE FIGURE CALCULATED FOR FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2011 WAS ESTIMATED AND NOT THAT THE FIGURE CALCULATED FOR FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2011 WAS ESTIMATED AND NOT THE AMOUNTS QUALIFIE ED AS PRESUMPTIVE CHARITY CA IN ANY FISCAL YEAR MAY VARY FROM THE BENCHMA ESTABLISHED IN FISCAL YEAR REDDING JUNE 30, 201: PART III, SECTION A, LINE 4 BAD DEET EXPENSE FOOTNOTE THE FOOTNOTE TO THE CHRISTUS HEALT CONSOLIDATED THANCIAL STATEMENTS SAYS, "THI PREPARAT ION OF THE ACCOMPANYING CONSOLIDA FINANCIAL STATEMENTS IN CORPORMITY WITH ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES GENERALLY ACCEPTED IN THE UNITED STATE FEECT THE AMOUNTS REPORTED THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, INCLUDING THE NOT THERETO, AND RELATED DISCLOSURES OF COMMITMENTS AND ESTIMATES, AND UNDERNIS THAT FRECT THE AMOUNTS REPORTED THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, INCLUDING THE NOT THERETO, AND RELATED DISCLOSURES OF COMMITMENTS AND ESTIMATES HAVE THE SYSTEM CONSIDERS CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICI TO BE THOSE THAT REQUIRE MORE SIGNIFI			
LIKELY ELIGIBLE FOR PIRANCIAL ASSISTANCE DESPIHAVING NOT COMET ED AN APPLICATION, AND SU ANALYSIS DETERMINED THAT 26 21% OF SUCH ACCOUNTS WERE LIKELY ELIGIBLE FOR PINANCIAL ASSISTANCE THE ORGANIZATION GRANTED PRESUMPTIVE ELIGIBLITY FOR THE SE ACCOUNTS A THEY WERE RECLASSIFIED UNDER OUR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE POLICY THE AMOUNT SERO NOT REPORTED OF SCHEOULE H, PART III, LINE 3 IS THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE PRESUMPTIVE CHARITY CARE BENCHMESTABLISHED IN THE PREVIOUS FISC AL YEAR ENDIN JUNE 30, 2011 AND THE AGGREGATE OF INDIVIDUAL ACCOUNTS FOR WHICH THE ORGANIZATION GRANTI PRESUMPTIVE ELIGIBLITY IN THE FISCAL YEAR ENDIN JUNE 30, 2012 AND THE AGGREGATE OF INDIVIDUAL ACCOUNTS FOR WHICH THE ORGANIZATION ESTIMATE THAT ONLY 3 79% OF THE BAD DEST EXPENSES IN FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2012 AND LIKELY HAVE QUALIFIED IN FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2012 AND LIKELY HAVE QUALIFIED IN FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE IT IS IMPORTANT TO NOTE THAT THE FIGURE CALCULATED FOR FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2011 WAS ESTIMATED AND NOT EX AND THEREFORE THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE AMOUNTS QUALIFIED IS AND THE BENCHMA ESTABLISHED IN FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2011 WAS ESTIMATED AND NOT EX AND THEREFORE THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE AMOUNTS QUALIFIED AS PRESUMPTIVE CHARITY CALLY AND THE BENCHMA ESTABLISHED IN FISCAL YEAR MAY VARY FROM THE BENCHMA ESTABLISHED IN FISCAL YEAR MAY VARY FROM THE BENCHMA ESTABLISHED IN FISCAL YEAR MAY VARY FROM THE BENCHMA ESTABLISHED IN FISCAL YEAR MAY VARY FROM THE BENCHMA ESTABLISHED IN FISCAL YEAR MAY VARY FROM THE BENCHMA ESTABLISHED IN FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2011 PART III, SECTION A, LINE 4 BAD DEST EXPENSE FOOTNOTE THE FOOTNOTE TO THE CHRISTUS HEAD. THE PREPARATION OF THE ACCOMPANYING CONSOLIDA FINANCIAL STATEMENTS SAYS, "THIS PREPARATION OF THE ACCOMPANYING CONSOLIDA FINANCIAL STATEMENTS SAYS, "THIS PREPARATION OF THE ACCOMPANYING CONSOLIDA FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, INCLUDING THE SYSTEM CONSIDERS CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICION THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, INCLUDING THE SYSTEM CONSIDERS CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICION THE FINANCI			
ANALYSIS DETERMINED THAT 26 21% OF SUCH ACCOUNTS WERE LIKELY ELIGIBLE FOR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE THE ORGANIZATION GRANTED PRESUMPTIVE ELIGIBILITY FOR THE SE ACCOUNTS A THEY WERE RECLASSIFIED UNDER OUR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE POLICY THE AMOUNTS WERE NOT REPORTED AS BAD DEBT THE AMOUNTS WERE NOT REPORTED AS BAD DEBT THE AMOUNTS WERE NOT SCHEDULE H, PART III, LINE 3 IS THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE PRESUMPTIVE CHARITY CARE BENCHM ESTABLISHED IN THE PRESUMPTIVE CHARITY CARE BENCHM ESTABLISHED IN THE PREVIOUS FISC AL YEAR ENDIN JUNE 30, 2011 AND THE AGGREGATE OF INDIVIDUAL ACCOUNTS FOR WHICH THE ORGANIZATION GRANTI PRESUMPTIVE ELIGIBILITY IN THE FISCAL YEAR ENDIN JUNE 30, 2012 THUS, THE ORGANIZATION ESTIMATE THAT ONLY 3 79% OF THE BAD DEBT EXPENSES IN FISCAL YEAR REDNING JUNE 30, 2012 ARE ATTRIBUTA TO PATIENTS WHO WOULD LIKELY HAVE QUALIFIED I FINANCIAL ASSIS TANCE IT IS IMPORTANT TO NOTE THAT THE FIGURE CALCULATED FOR FISCAL YEAR RONING JUNE 30, 2011 WAS ESTIMATED AND NOT EX AND THEREFORE THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE AMOUNTS QUALIFIE DE AS PRESUMPTIVE CHARITY CA IN ANY FISCAL YEAR RAMY VARY FROM THE BENCHMA ESTABLISHED IN ISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 20: PART III, SECTION A, LINE 4 BAD DEBT EXPENSE FOOTMOTE THE FOOTMOTE TO THE CHRISTUS HEALT CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS SAYS, "TH PREPARATION OF THE ACCOMPANYING CONSOLIDA FINANCIAL STATEMENTS IN CONFORMITY WITH ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES GENERALLY ACCEPTED IN THE UNITED STATES REQUIRES MANAGEMENT OF TH SYSTEM TO MAKE ASSUMPTIONS, ESTIMATES, AND JUDGMENTS THAT AFFECT THE AMOUNTS REPORTED THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, INCLUDING THE NOTE THEFETO, AND RELATE DISCLOSURES OF COMMITMENTS AND CONTING ENCIES, IF ANY THE SYSTEM TO MAKE ASSUMPTIONS, ESTIMATES, AND JUDGMENTS THAT REQUIRE MORE SIGNIFICANT JUDGMENTS AND ESTIMATES IN THE PREPARATION OF THERETO, AND RELATED DISCLOSURES OF COMMITMENTS AND CONTING ENCIES, IF ANY THE SYSTEM CONSIDERS CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICI TO SE THOSE THAT REQUIRE MORE SIGNIFICANT JUDGMENTS AND ESTIMATES IN THE PREPARATION O ITS FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, INCLUDING TH			LIKELY ELIGIBLE FOR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE DESPITE
ACCOUNTS WERE LIKELY E LIGIBLE FOR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE THE ORGANIZATION GRANTED PRESUMPTIVE ELIGIBILITY FOR THE SE ACCOUNTS A THEY WERE RECLASSIFIED UNDER OUR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE POLICY THE AMOUNTS WERE NOT REPORTED AS BAD DEBT THE AMOUNT REPORTED OF SCHEDULE H, PART III, LINE 3 IS THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE PRESUMPTIVE CHARITY CARE BENCHM ESTABLISHED IN THE PRESUMPTIVE CHARITY CARE BENCHM DUNE 30, 2012 THUS, THE ORGANIZATION ESTIMATE THAT ONLY 37% OF THE BAD DEBT EXPENSES IN FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2012 ARE ATTRIBUTA TO PATIENTS WHO WOULD LIKELY HAVE QUALIFIED IN FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2011 WAS ESTIMATED AND NOT EX AND THE FIGURE CALCULATED FOR FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2011 WAS ESTIMATED AND NOT EX AND THEREFORE THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE AMOUNTS QUALIFIE DO AS PRESUMPTIVE CHARITY CA IN ANY FISCAL YEAR MAY VARY FROM THE BENCHMA ESTABLISHED IN FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2012 PART III, SECTION A, LINE 4 BAD DEBT EXPENSE FOOTNOTE THE FOOTNOTE TO THE CHRISTUS HEALT CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS SAYS, "THE PREPARAT ION OF THE ACCOMPANYING CONSOLIDA FINANCIAL STATEMENTS IN CONFORMITY WITH ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES GENERALLY ACCEPTED IN THE UNITED STATES REQUIRES MANAGEMENT OF TH SYSTEM TO MAKE ASSUMPTIONS, ESTIMATES, AND UDDGMENTS THAT AFFECT THE AMOUNTS REPORTED THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, INCLUDING THE NOT THEREFO, AND RELATED DISCLOSURES OF COMMITMENTS AND CONTING ENCIES, IF ANY THE SYSTEM CONSIDERS CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICI TO BE THOSE THAT REQUIRE MORE SIGNIFICANT UDDGMENTS AND ESTIMATES, IN THE PREPARATION C ITS FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, INCLUDING THE			HAVING NOT COMPLET ED AN APPLICATION, AND SUCH
ASSISTANCE THE ORGANIZATION GRANTED PRESUMPTIVE ELIGIBILITY FOR THE SE ACCOUNTS A THEY WERE RECLASSIFIED UNDER OUR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE POLICY THE AMOUNTS WERE NOT REPORTED AS BAD DEBT THE AMOUNT REPORTED OF SCHEDULE H, PART III, LINE 3 IS THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE PRESUMPTIVE CHARITY CARE BENCHM ESTABLISHED IN THE PRESUMPTIVE CHARITY CARE BENCHM ESTABLISHED IN THE PRESUMPTIVE CHARITY CARE BENCHM ESTABLISHED IN THE PREVIOUS FISC AL YEAR ENDIN JUNE 30, 2011 AND THE AGGREGATE OF INDIVIDUAL ACCOUNTS FOR WHICH THE ORGANIZATION ESTIMATE THAT ONLY 3 79% OF THE BAD DEBT EXPENSES IN FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2012 ARE ATTRIBUTA TO PATIENTS WHO WOULD LIKELY HAVE QUALIFIED I FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE IT IS IMPORTANT TO NOTE THAT THE FIGURE CALCULATED FOR FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2011 WAS ESTIMATED AND NOT EX AND THEREFORE THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE AMOUNTS QUALIFIED AS PRESUMPTIVE CHARITY CA IN ANY FISCAL YEAR MAY VARY FROM THE BENCHMA ESTABLISHED IN FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 201: PART III, SECTION A, LINE 4 BAD DEBT EXPENSE FOOTNOTE THE FOOTNOTE TO THE CHRISTUS HEALT CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS SAYS, "THI PREPARATION OF THE ACCOMPANYING CONSOLIDA FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, INCLUDING THE ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES GENERALLY ACCEPTED IN THE UNITED STATES REQUIRES MANAGEMENT OF TH SYSTEM TO MAKE ASSUMPTIONS, ESTIMATES, AND JUDGMENTS THAT AFFECT THE AMOUNTS REPORTED THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, INCLUDING THE NOTE THE SYSTEM CONSIDERS CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICI TO BE THOSE THAT ES IN THE PREPARATION OF			
PRESUMPTIVE ELIGIBILITY FOR THE SE ACCOUNTS A THEY WERR ECLASSIFIED UNDER OUR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE POLICY THE AMOUNTS WERE NOT REPORTED AS BAD DEBT THE AMOUNT SETENCE BETWEEN THE PRESUMPTIVE CHARITY CARE BENCHM ESTABLISHED IN THE PREVIOUS FISC AL YEAR ENDIN JUNE 30, 2011 AND THE AGGREGATE OF INDIVIDUAL ACCOUNTS FOR WHICH THE ORGANIZATION GRANTI PRESUMPTIVE ELIGIBILITY IN THE FISCAL YEAR END JUNE 30, 2012 THUS, THE ORGANIZATION ESTIMATE THAT ONLY 37% OF THE BAD DEBT EXPENSES IN FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2012 ARE ATTRIBUTA TO PATIENTS WHO WOULD LIKELY HAVE QUALIFIED I FINANCIAL ASSIS TANCE IT IS IMPORTANT TO NOTE THAT THE FIGURE CALCULATED FOR FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2011 WAS ESTIMATED AND NOT EX AND THEREFORE THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE AMOUNTS QUALIFIED AS PRESUMPTIVE CHARITY CA IN ANY FISCAL YEAR MAY VARY FROM THE BENCHMA ESTABLISHED IN FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 201: PART III, SECTION A, LINE 4 BAD DEBT EXPENSE FOOTNOTE THE FOOTNOTE TO THE CHRISTUS HEALT CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS IN CONFORMITY WITH ACCOUNTING PR INCIPLES GENERALLY ACCEPTED IN THE UNITED STATES REQUIRES MANAGEMENT OF TH SYSTEM TO MAKE ASSUMPTION, ESTIMATES, AND JUDGMENTS THAT AFFECT THE AMOUNTS REPORTED THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, IN CLUDING THE NOTE THE FOO AND RELATED DISCLOSURES OF COMMITMENTS AND CONTING ENCIES, IF ANY THE SYSTEM TO MAKE ASSUMPTION, ESTIMATES, AND JUDGMENTS THAT REPORTED THE SYSTEM TO MAKE ASSUMPTION, ESTIMATES, AND JUDGMENTS THAT REPORTED THE FOOTNOTE FOR THE AMOUNTS REPORTED THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, IN CLUDING THE NOTE THEFOO, AND RELATED DISCLOSURES OF COMMITMENTS AND CONTING ENCIES, IF ANY THE SYSTEM CONSIDERS CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICI TO BE THOSE THAT REQUIRE MORE SIGNIFICANT JUDGMENTS AND ESTIMATES; IN THE PREPARATION OF ITS FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, INCLUDING THE SYSTEM CONSIDERS CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICI TO BE THOSE THAT REQUIRE MORE SIGNIFICANT JUDGMENTS AND ESTIMATES; IN THE PREPARATION OF ITS FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, INCLUDING THE			
ASSISTANCE POLICY THE AMOUNTS WERE NOT REPORTED AS BAD DEBT THE AMOUNT REPORTED OF SCHEDULE H, PART III, LINE 3 IS THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE PRESUMPTIVE CHARITY CARE BENCHM ESTABLISHED IN THE PREVIOUS FISC AL YEAR ENDIN JUNE 30, 2011 AND THE AGGREGATE OF INDIVIDUAL ACCOUNTS FOR WHICH THE ORGANI ZATION GRANTI PRESUMPTIVE ELIGIBILITY IN THE FISCAL YEAR END JUNE 30, 2012 THUS, THE ORGANIZATION ESTIMATE THAT ONLY 379% OF THE BAD DEBT EXPENSES IN FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2012 ARE ATTRIBUTA TO PATIENTS WHO WOULD LIKELY HAVE QUALIFIED IF FINANCIAL ASSIS TANCE IT IS IMPORTANT TO NOTE THAT THE FIGURE CALCULATED FOR FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2011 WAS ESTIMATED AND NOT EX AND THEREFORE THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE AMOUNTS QUALIFIE DEAP SERVEN HE AMOUNTS QUALIFIED AS PRESUMPTIVE CHARITY CA IN ANY FISCAL YEAR RODING JUNE 30, 201: IN ANY FISCAL YEAR RODING JUNE 30, 201: PART III, SECTION A, LINE 4 BAD DEBT EXPENSE FOOTNOTE THE FOOTNOTE TO THE CHRISTUS HEALT CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS SAYS, "THI PREPARATION OF THE ACCOMPANYING CONSOLIDA FINANCIAL STATEMENTS SAYS," THIS PREPARATION OF THE ACCOMPANYING CONSOLIDA FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, INCLUDING THE NOTE THE UNITED STATES REQUIRES MANAGEMENT OF THE SYSTEM TO MAKE ASSUMPTIONS, ESTIMATES, AND JUDGMENTS THAT AFFECT THE AMOUNTS REPORTED THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, INCLUDING THE NOTE THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, INCLUDING THE NOTE THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, INCLUDING THE NOTE THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS IN THE PREPARATION OF THE ROOT OF AND RELATED DISCLOSURES OF COMMITMENTS AND CONTING PRICIES, IF ANY THE SYSTEM CONSIDERS CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICITOR BETHOM TEST IN THE PREPARATION OF THE ROOT OF AND ESTIMATES IN THE PREPARATION OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, INCLUDING THE SYSTEM CONSIDERS CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICITOR BETHOM TEST IN THE PREPARATION OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS. INCLUDING THE			PRESUMPTIVE ELIGIBILITY FOR THE SE ACCOUNTS AND
REPORTED AS BAD DEBT THE AMOUNT REPORTED OF SCHEDULE H, PART III, LINE 3 IS THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE PRESUMPTIVE CHARITY CARE BENCHM ESTABLISHED IN THE PREVIOUS FISC AL YEAR ENDIN JUNE 30, 2011 AND THE AGGREGATE OF INDIVIDUAL ACCOUNTS FOR WHICH THE ORGANI ZATION GRANTE PRESUMPTIVE ELIGIBILITY IN THE FISCAL YEAR END JUNE 30, 2012 THUS, THE ORGANIZATION ESTIMATE THAT ONLY 3 79% OF THE BAD DEBT EXPENSES IN FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2012 ARE ATTRIBUTA TO PATIENTS WHO WOULD LIKELY HAVE QUALIFIED I FINANCIAL ASSIS TANCE IT IS IMPORTANT TO NOTE THAT THE FIGURE CALCULATED FOR FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2011 WAS ESTIMATED AND NOT EX AND THEREFORE THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE AMOUNTS QUALIFIED AS PRESUMPTIVE CHARITY CA IN ANY FISCAL YEAR MAY VARY FROM THE BENCHMA ESTABLISHED IN FISCAL YEAR REDING JUNE 30, 201: PART III, SECTION A, LINE 4 BAD DEBT EXPENSE FOOTNOTE THE FOOTNOTE TO THE CHRISTUS HEALT CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS SAYS, "THI PREPARAT ION OF THE ACCOMPANYING CONSOLIDA FINANCIAL STATEMENTS IN CONFORMITY WITH ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES GENERALLY ACCEPTED IN THE UNITED STATES REQUIRES MANAGEMENT OF TH SYSTEM TO MAKE ASSUMPTIONS, ESTIMATES, AND JUDGMENTS THAT AFFECT THE AMOUNTS REPORTED THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, INCLUDING THE NOTE THERETO, AND RELATED DISCLOSURES OF COMMITMENTS AND CONTING ENCIES, IF ANY THE SYSTEM CONSIDERS CRITICAL ACCOUNTING PHOTE SYSTEM CONSIDERS CRITICAL ACCOUNTING PHOTE THERETO, AND RELATED DISCLOSURES OF COMMITMENTS AND CONTING ENCIES, IF ANY THE SYSTEM CONSIDERS CRITICAL ACCOUNTING PHOTE THERETO, AND RELATED DISCLOSURES OF COMMITMENTS AND CONTING ENCIES, IF ANY THE SYSTEM CONSIDERS CRITICAL ACCOUNTING PHOTE THERETO, AND RELATED DISCLOSURES OF COMMITMENTS AND ESTIMATES IN THE PREPARATION OF			
SCHEDULE H, PART III, LINE 3 IS THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE PRESUMPTIVE CHARITY CARE BENCHM ESTABLISHED IN THE PREVIOUS FISC AL YEAR ENDIN JUNE 30, 2011 AND THE AGGREGATE OF INDIVIDUAL ACCOUNTS FOR WHICH THE ORGANI ZATION GRANTI PRESUMPTIVE ELIGIBILITY IN THE FISCAL YEAR END JUNE 30, 2012 THUS, THE ORGANIZATION ESTIMATE THAT ONLY 3 79% OF THE BAD DEBT EXPENSES IN FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2012 ARE ATTRIBUTA TO PATIENTS WHO WOULD LIKELY HAVE QUALIFIED I FINANCIAL ASSIS TANCE IT IS IMPORTANT TO NOTE THAT THE FIGURE CALCULATED FOR FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2011 WAS ESTIMATED AND NOT EX AND THEREFORE THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE AMOUNTS QUALIFIE DA SP RESUMPTIVE CHARITY CA IN ANY FISCAL YEAR MAY VARY FROM THE BENCHMA ESTABLISHED IN FISCAL YEAR MAY VARY FROM THE BENCHMA ESTABLISHED IN FISCAL YEAR MAY VARY FROM THE BENCHMA ESTABLISHED IN FISCAL STATEMENTS SAYS, "THI PREPARAT ION OF THE ACCOMPANYING CONSOLIDA FINANCIAL STATEMENTS IN CONFORMITY WITH ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES GENERALLY ACCEPTED IN THE UNITED STATES REQUIRES MANAGEMENT OF TH SYSTEM TO MAKE ASSUMPTIONS, ESTIMATES, AND JUDGMENTS THAT AFFECT THE AMOUNTS REPORTED THE UNITED STATES REQUIRES MANAGEMENT OF TH SYSTEM TO MAKE ASSUMPTIONS, ESTIMATES, AND JUDGMENTS THAT AFFECT THE AMOUNTS REPORTED THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, INCLUDING THE NOTE SYSTEM CONSIDERS CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POINTING P			
BETWEEN THE PRESUMPTIVE CHARITY CARE BENCHM ESTABLISHED IN THE PREVIOUS FISC AL YEAR ENDIN JUNE 30, 2011 AND THE AGGREGATE OF INDIVIDUAL ACCOUNTS FOR WHICH THE ORGANI ZATION GRANTI PRESUMPTIVE ELIGIBILITY IN THE FISCAL YEAR END JUNE 30, 2012 THUS, THE ORGANIZATION ESTIMATE THAT ONLY 3 79% OF THE BAD DEBT EXPENSES IN FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2012 ARE ATTRIBUTA TO PATIENTS WHO WOULD LIKELY HAVE QUALIFIED I FINANCIAL ASSIS TANCE IT IS IMPORTANT TO NOTE THAT THE FIGURE CALCULATED FOR FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2011 WAS ESTIMATED AND NOT EX AND THEREFORE THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE AMOUNTS QUALIFIED AS PRESUMPTIVE CHARITY CA IN ANY FISCAL YEAR MAY VARY FROM THE BENCHMA ESTABLISHED IN FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 201: PART III, SECTION A, LINE 4 BAD DEBT EXPENSE FOOTNOTE THE FOOTNOTE TO THE CHRISTUS HEALT CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS SAYS, "THI PREPARAT ION OF THE ACCOMPANYING CONSOLIDA FINANCIAL STATEMENTS IN CONFORMITY WITH ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES GENERALLY ACCEPTED IN THE UNITED STATES REQUIRES MANAGEMENT OF TH SYSTEM TO MAKE ASSUMPTIONS, ESTIMATES, AND JUDGMENTS THAT AFFECT THE AMOUNTS REPORTED THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, INCLUDING THE NOTE THERETO, AND RELATED DISCLOSURES OF COMMITMENTS AND CONTING ENCIES, IF ANY THE SYSTEM CONSIDERS CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICI TO BE THOSE THAT REQUIRE MORE SIGNIFICANT JUDGMENTS AND ESTIMATES IN THE PREPARATION OF ITS FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, INCLUDING THE SYSTEM CONSIDERS CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICI TO BE THOSE THAT REQUIRE MORE SIGNIFICANT JUDGMENTS AND ESTIMATES IN THE PREPARATION OF ITS FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, INCLUDING THE			
JUNE 30, 2011 AND THE AGGREGATE OF INDIVIDUAL ACCOUNTS FOR WHICH THE ORGANI ZATION GRANTI PRESUMPTIVE ELIGIBILITY IN THE FISCAL YEAR END JUNE 30, 2012 THUS, THE ORGANIZATION ESTIMATE THAT ONLY 3 79% OF THE BAD DEBT EXPENSES IN FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2012 ARE ATTRIBUTA TO PATIENTS WHO WOULD LIKELY HAVE QUALIFIED IN FINANCIAL ASSIS TANCE IT IS IMPORTANT TO NOTE THAT THE FIGURE CALCULATED FOR FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2011 WAS ESTIMATED AND NOT EX AND THEREFORE THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE AMOUNTS QUALIFIE DAS PRESUMPTIVE CHARITY CA IN ANY FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2011 WAS ESTIMATED AND NOT EX AND THEREFORE THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE AMOUNTS QUALIFIE DAS PRESUMPTIVE CHARITY CA IN ANY FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2012 PART III, SECTION A, LINE 4 BAD DEBT EXPENSE FOOTNOTE THE FOOTNOTE TO THE CHRISTUS HEALT CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS SAYS, "THE PREPARATION OF THE ACCOMPANYING CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS SAYS, "THE PREPARATION OF THE ACCOMPANYING CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS SAYS, "THE PREPARATION OF THE ACCOMPANYING CONSOLIDATED THE UNITED STATES REQUIRES MANAGEMENT OF THE SYSTEM TO MAKE ASSUMPTIONS, ESTIMATES, AND JUDGMENTS THAT AFFECT THE AMOUNTS REPORTED THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, INCLUDING THE NOTE THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, INCLUDING THE POTE OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, INCLUDING THE NOTE THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, INCLUDING THE SYSTEM CONSIDERS CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICITOR BETHOSE THAT REQUIRE MORE SIGNIFICANT JUDGMENTS AND ESTIMATES IN THE PREPARATION OUT TO BE THOSE THAT REQUIRE MORE SIGNIFICANT JUDGMENTS AND ESTIMATES IN THE PREPARATION OUT TO BE THOSE THAT REQUIRE MORE SIGNIFICANT JUDGMENTS AND ESTIMATES IN THE PREPARATION OUT TO BE THOSE THAT REQUIRE MORE SIGNIFICANT JUDGMENTS AND ESTIMATES IN THE PREPARATION OUT TO BE THOSE THAT REQUIRE MORE SIGNIFICANT JUDGMENTS AND ESTIMATES IN THE PREPARATION OUT TO BE THOSE THAT REQUIRE MORE SIGNIFICANT JUDGMENTS AND ESTIMATES IN THE PREPARATION OUT TO BE THOSE THAT REQUIRE MORE SIGNIFICANT JUDGMENTS AND ESTIMATES IN THE PREPARATION OUT TO BE T			BETWEEN THE PRESUMPTIVE CHARITY CARE BENCHMARK
ACCOUNTS FOR WHICH THE ORGANI ZATION GRANTI PRESUMPTIVE ELIGIBILITY IN THE FISCAL YEAR END JUNE 30, 2012 THUS, THE ORGANIZATION ESTIMATE THAT ONLY 3 79% OF THE BAD DEBT EXPENSES IN FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2012 ARE ATTRIBUTA TO PATIENTS WHO WOULD LIKELY HAVE QUALIFIED I FINANCIAL ASSIS TANCE IT IS IMPORTANT TO NOTE THAT THE FIGURE CALCULATED FOR FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2011 WAS ESTIMATED AND NOT EX AND THEREFORE THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE AMOUNTS QUALIFIED AS PRESUMPTIVE CHARITY CA IN ANY FISCAL YEAR MAY VARY FROM THE BENCHMA ESTABLISHED IN FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 201: PART III, SECTION A, LINE 4 BAD DEBT EXPENSE FOOTNOTE THE FOOTNOTE TO THE CHRISTUS HEALT CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS SAYS, "THI PREPARAT ION OF THE ACCOMPANYING CONSOLIDA FINANCIAL STATEMENTS IN CONFORMITY WITH ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES GENERALLY ACCEPTED IN THE UNITED STATES REQUIRES MANAGEMENT OF TH SYSTEM TO MAKE ASSUMPTIONS, ESTIMATES, AND JUDGMENTS THAT AFFECT THE AMOUNTS REPORTED THE FINANCIAL S TATEMENTS, INCLUDING THE NOTE THERETO, AND RELATED DISCLOSURES OF COMMITMENTS AND CONTING ENCIES, IF ANY THE SYSTEM CONSIDERS CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICI TO BE THOSE THAT REQUIRE MORE SIGNIFICANT JUDGMENTS AND ESTIMATES IN THE PREPARATION O ITS FINANCIAL S TATEMENTS, INCLUDING THE			ESTABLISHED IN THE PREVIOUS FISC AL YEAR ENDING
PRESUMPTIVE ELIGIBILITY IN THE FISCAL YEAR END JUNE 30, 2012 THUS, THE ORGANIZATION ESTIMATE THAT ONLY 3 79% OF THE BAD DEBT EXPENSES IN FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2012 ARE ATTRIBUTA TO PATIENTS WHO WOULD LIKELY HAVE QUALIFIED IN FINANCIAL ASSIS TANCE IT IS IMPORTANT TO NOTE THAT THE FIGURE CALCULATED FOR FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2011 WAS ESTIMATED AND NOT EX AND THEREFORE THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE AMOUNTS QUALIFIED AS PRESUMPTIVE CHARITY CA IN ANY FISCAL YEAR MAY VARY FROM THE BENCHMA ESTABLISHED IN FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 201: PART III, SECTION A, LINE 4 BAD DEBT EXPENSE FOOTNOTE THE FOOTNOTE TO THE CHRISTUS HEALT CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS SAYS, "THI PREPARAT ION OF THE ACCOMPANYING CONSOLIDA FINANCIAL STATEMENTS IN CONFORMITY WITH ACCOUNTING PR INCIPLES GENERALLY ACCEPTED IN THE UNITED STATES REQUIRES MANAGEMENT OF TH SYSTEM TO MAKE ASSUMPTIONS, ESTIMATES, AND JUDGMENTS THAT AFFECT THE AMOUNTS REPORTED THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, INCLUDING THE NOTE TO BE THOSE THAT REQUIRE MORE SIGNIFICANT JUDGMENTS AND ESTIMATES IN THE PREPARATION O ITS FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, INCLUDING THE			
THAT ONLY 3 79% OF THE BAD DEBT EXPENSES IN FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2012 ARE ATTRIBUTA TO PATIENTS WHO WOULD LIKELY HAVE QUALIFIED IN FINANCIAL ASSIS TANCE IT IS IMPORTANT TO NOTE THAT THE FIGURE CALCULATED FOR FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2011 WAS ESTIMATED AND NOT EXAND THEREFORE THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE AMOUNTS QUALIFIED AS PRESUMPTIVE CHARITY CAIN ANY FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2011 WAS ESTABLISHED IN FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2012 FOR THE FOOTNOTE TO THE CHRISTUS HEALT CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS SAYS, "THIS PREPARATION OF THE ACCOMPANYING CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS SAYS, "THIS PREPARATION OF THE ACCOMPANYING CONSOLIDA FINANCIAL STATEMENTS IN CONFORMITY WITH ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES GENERALLY ACCEPTED IN THE UNITED STATES REQUIRES MANAGEMENT OF THE SYSTEM TO MAKE ASSUMPTIONS, ESTIMATES, AND JUDGMENTS THAT AFFECT THE AMOUNTS REPORTED THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, INCLUDING THE NOTE THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, INCLUDING THE NOTE THERETO, AND RELATED DISCLOSURES OF COMMITMENTS AND CONTING ENCIES, IF ANY THE SYSTEM CONSIDERS CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICI TO BE THOSE THAT REQUIRE MORE SIGNIFICANT JUDGMENTS AND ESTIMATES IN THE PREPARATION OF THE SYSTEM CONSIDERS CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICI TO BE THOSE THAT REQUIRE MORE SIGNIFICANT JUDGMENTS AND ESTIMATES IN THE PREPARATION OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, INCLUDING THE			PRESUMPTIVE ELIGIBILITY IN THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING
FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2012 ARE ATTRIBUTA TO PATIENTS WHO WOULD LIKELY HAVE QUALIFIED IF INANCIAL ASSIS TANCE IT IS IMPORTANT TO NOTE THAT THE FIGURE CALCULATED FOR FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2011 WAS ESTIMATED AND NOT EX AND THEREFORE THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE AMOUNTS QUALIFIED AS PRESUMPTIVE CHARRITY CA IN ANY FISCAL YEAR MAY VARY FROM THE BENCHMA ESTABLISHED IN FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 201: PART III, SECTION A, LINE 4 BAD DEBT EXPENSE FOOTNOTE THE FOOTNOTE TO THE CHRISTUS HEALT CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS SAYS, "THI PREPARAT ION OF THE ACCOMPANYING CONSOLIDA FINANCIAL STATEMENTS IN CONFORMITY WITH ACCOUNTING PR INCIPLES GENERALLY ACCEPTED IN THE UNITED STATES REQUIRES MANAGEMENT OF TH SYSTEM TO MAKE ASSUMPTIONS, ESTIMATES, AND JUDGMENTS THAT AFFECT THE AMOUNTS REPORTED THE FINANCIAL S TATEMENTS, INCLUDING THE NOTE THE FINANCIAL S TATEMENTS, INCLUDING THE NOTE THERETO, AND RELATED DISCLOSURES OF COMMITMENTS AND CONTING ENCIES, IF ANY THE SYSTEM CONSIDERS CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICI TO BE THOSE THAT REQUIRE MORE SIGNIFICANT JUDGMENTS AND ESTIMATES IN THE PREPARATION OF JUDGMENTS AND MOTOR AND ADDRESSED JUDGMENTS AND ADDRESSED JUDGMENTS			JUNE 30, 2012 THUS, THE ORGANIZATION ESTIMATES
TO PATIENTS WHO WOULD LIKELY HAVE QUALIFIED I FINANCIAL ASSIS TANCE IT IS IMPORTANT TO NOTE THAT THE FIGURE CALCULATED FOR FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2011 WAS ESTIMATED AND NOT EX AND THEREFORE THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE AMOUNTS QUALIFIED AS PRESUMPTIVE CHARITY CA IN ANY FISCAL YEAR MAY VARY FROM THE BENCHMA ESTABLISHED IN FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 201: PART III, SECTION A, LINE 4 BAD DEBT EXPENSE FOOTNOTE THE FOOTNOTE TO THE CHRISTUS HEALT CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS SAYS, "THE PREPARAT ION OF THE ACCOMPANYING CONSOLIDA FINANCIAL STATEMENTS IN CONFORMITY WITH ACCOUNTING PR INCIPLES GENERALLY ACCEPTED IN THE UNITED STATES REQUIRES MANAGEMENT OF TH SYSTEM TO MAKE ASSUMPTIONS, ESTIMATES, AND JUDGMENTS THAT AFFECT THE AMOUNTS REPORTED THE FINANCIAL S TATEMENTS, INCLUDING THE NOTE THERETO, AND RELATED DISCLOSURES OF COMMITMENTS AND CONTING ENCIES, IF ANY THE SYSTEM CONSIDERS CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICI TO BE THOSE THAT REQUIRE MORE SIGNIFICANT JUDGMENTS AND ESTIMATES IN THE PREPARATION OF ITS FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, INCLUDING THE			
THAT THE FIGURE CALCULATED FOR FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2011 WAS ESTIMATED AND NOT EX AND THEREFORE THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE AMOUNTS QUALIFI ED AS PRESUMPTIVE CHARITY CA IN ANY FISCAL YEAR MAY VARY FROM THE BENCHMA ESTABLISHED IN FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 201: PART III, SECTION A, LINE 4 BAD DEBT EXPENSE FOOTNOTE THE FOOTNOTE TO THE CHRISTUS HEALT CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS SAYS, "THI PREPARAT ION OF THE ACCOMPANYING CONSOLIDA FINANCIAL STATEMENTS IN CONFORMITY WITH ACCOUNTING PR INCIPLES GENERALLY ACCEPTED IN THE UNITED STATES REQUIRES MANAGEMENT OF TH SYSTEM TO MAKE ASSUMPTIONS, ESTIMATES, AND JUDGMENTS THAT AFFECT THE AMOUNTS REPORTED THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, INCLUDING THE NOTE THERETO, AND RELATED DISCLOSURES OF COMMITMENTS AND CONTING ENCIES, IF ANY THE SYSTEM CONSIDERS CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICI TO BE THOSE THAT REQUIRE MORE SIGNIFICANT JUDGMENTS AND ESTIMATES IN THE PREPARATION OF ITS FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, INCLUDING THE			TO PATIENTS WHO WOULD LIKELY HAVE QUALIFIED FOR
ENDING JUNE 30, 2011 WAS ESTIMATED AND NOT EX AND THEREFORE THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE AMOUNTS QUALIFI ED AS PRESUMPTIVE CHARITY CA IN ANY FISCAL YEAR MAY VARY FROM THE BENCHMA ESTABLISHED IN FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 201: PART III, SECTION A, LINE 4 BAD DEBT EXPENSE FOOTNOTE THE FOOTNOTE TO THE CHRISTUS HEALT CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS SAYS, "THE PREPARAT ION OF THE ACCOMPANYING CONSOLIDA FINANCIAL STATEMENTS IN CONFORMITY WITH ACCOUNTING PR INCIPLES GENERALLY ACCEPTED IN THE UNITED STATES REQUIRES MANAGEMENT OF TH SYSTEM TO MAKE ASSUMPTIONS, ESTIMATES, AND JUDGMENTS THAT AFFECT THE AMOUNTS REPORTED THE FINANCIAL S TATEMENTS, INCLUDING THE NOTE THERETO, AND RELATED DISCLOSURES OF COMMITMENTS AND CONTING ENCIES, IF ANY THE SYSTEM CONSIDERS CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICI TO BE THOSE THAT REQUIRE MORE SIGNIFICANT JUDGMENTS AND ESTIMATES IN THE PREPARATION OF ITS FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, INCLUDING THE			FINANCIAL ASSIS TANCE IT IS IMPORTANT TO NOTE
AND THEREFORE THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE AMOUNTS QUALIFIED AS PRESUMPTIVE CHARITY CA IN ANY FISCAL YEAR MAY VARY FROM THE BENCHMA ESTABLISHED IN FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 201: PART III, SECTION A, LINE 4 BAD DEBT EXPENSE FOOTNOTE THE FOOTNOTE TO THE CHRISTUS HEALT CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS SAYS, "THI PREPARAT ION OF THE ACCOMPANYING CONSOLIDA FINANCIAL STATEMENTS IN CONFORMITY WITH ACCOUNTING PR INCIPLES GENERALLY ACCEPTED IN THE UNITED STATES REQUIRES MANAGEMENT OF TH SYSTEM TO MAKE ASSUMPTIONS, ESTIMATES, AND JUDGMENTS THAT AFFECT THE AMOUNTS REPORTED THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, INCLUDING THE NOTE THERETO, AND RELATED DISCLOSURES OF COMMITMENTS AND CONTING ENCIES, IF ANY THE SYSTEM CONSIDERS CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICI TO BE THOSE THAT REQUIRE MORE SIGNIFICANT JUDGMENTS AND ESTIMATES IN THE PREPARATION OF ITS FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, INCLUDING THE			
AMOUNTS QUALIFIED AS PRESUMPTIVE CHARITY CATIN ANY FISCAL YEAR MAY VARY FROM THE BENCHMAN ESTABLISHED IN FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2013 PART III, SECTION A, LINE 4 BAD DEBT EXPENSE FOOTNOTE THE FOOTNOTE TO THE CHRISTUS HEALT CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS SAYS, "THE PREPARAT ION OF THE ACCOMPANYING CONSOLIDA FINANCIAL STATEMENTS IN CONFORMITY WITH ACCOUNTING PR INCIPLES GENERALLY ACCEPTED IN THE UNITED STATES REQUIRES MANAGEMENT OF TH SYSTEM TO MAKE ASSUMPTIONS, ESTIMATES, AND JUDGMENTS THAT AFFECT THE AMOUNTS REPORTED THE FINANCIAL S TATEMENTS, INCLUDING THE NOTE THERETO, AND RELATED DISCLOSURES OF COMMITMENTS AND CONTING ENCIES, IF ANY THE SYSTEM CONSIDERS CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICITOR BE THOSE THAT REQUIRE MORE SIGNIFICANT JUDGMENTS AND ESTIMATES IN THE PREPARATION OF ITS FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, INCLUDING THE			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
ESTABLISHED IN FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 201: PART III, SECTION A, LINE 4 BAD DEBT EXPENSE FOOTNOTE THE FOOTNOTE TO THE CHRISTUS HEALT CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS SAYS, "THE PREPARAT ION OF THE ACCOMPANYING CONSOLIDA FINANCIAL STATEMENTS IN CONFORMITY WITH ACCOUNTING PR INCIPLES GENERALLY ACCEPTED IN THE UNITED STATES REQUIRES MANAGEMENT OF TH SYSTEM TO MAKE ASSUMPTIONS, ESTIMATES, AND JUDGMENTS THAT AFFECT THE AMOUNTS REPORTED THE FINANCIAL S TATEMENTS, INCLUDING THE NOTE THERETO, AND RELATED DISCLOSURES OF COMMITMENTS AND CONTING ENCIES, IF ANY THE SYSTEM CONSIDERS CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICI TO BE THOSE THAT REQUIRE MORE SIGNIFICANT JUDGMENTS AND ESTIMATES IN THE PREPARATION OF ITS FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, INCLUDING THE			AMOUNTS QUALIFIED AS PRESUMPTIVE CHARITY CARE
PART III, SECTION A, LINE 4 BAD DEBT EXPENSE FOOTNOTE THE FOOTNOTE TO THE CHRISTUS HEALT CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS SAYS, "THE PREPARAT ION OF THE ACCOMPANYING CONSOLIDA FINANCIAL STATEMENTS IN CONFORMITY WITH ACCOUNTING PR INCIPLES GENERALLY ACCEPTED IN THE UNITED STATES REQUIRES MANAGEMENT OF TH SYSTEM TO MAKE ASSUMPTIONS, ESTIMATES, AND JUDGMENTS THAT AFFECT THE AMOUNTS REPORTED THE FINANCIAL S TATEMENTS, INCLUDING THE NOTE THERETO, AND RELATED DISCLOSURES OF COMMITMENTS AND CONTING ENCIES, IF ANY THE SYSTEM CONSIDERS CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICI TO BE THOSE THAT REQUIRE MORE SIGNIFICANT JUDGMENTS AND ESTIMATES IN THE PREPARATION O ITS FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, INCLUDING THE			IN ANY FISCAL YEAR MAY VARY FROM THE BENCHMARK
FOOTNOTE THE FOOTNOTE TO THE CHRISTUS HEALT CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS SAYS, "THE PREPARAT ION OF THE ACCOMPANYING CONSOLIDA FINANCIAL STATEMENTS IN CONFORMITY WITH ACCOUNTING PR INCIPLES GENERALLY ACCEPTED IN THE UNITED STATES REQUIRES MANAGEMENT OF TH SYSTEM TO MAKE ASSUMPTIONS, ESTIMATES, AND JUDGMENTS THAT AFFECT THE AMOUNTS REPORTED THE FINANCIAL S TATEMENTS, INCLUDING THE NOTE THERETO, AND RELATED DISCLOSURES OF COMMITMENTS AND CONTING ENCIES, IF ANY THE SYSTEM CONSIDERS CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICI TO BE THOSE THAT REQUIRE MORE SIGNIFICANT JUDGMENTS AND ESTIMATES IN THE PREPARATION OF ITS FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, INCLUDING THE			•
CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS SAYS, "THE PREPARAT ION OF THE ACCOMPANYING CONSOLIDA FINANCIAL STATEMENTS IN CONFORMITY WITH ACCOUNTING PR INCIPLES GENERALLY ACCEPTED IN THE UNITED STATES REQUIRES MANAGEMENT OF TH SYSTEM TO MAKE ASSUMPTIONS, ESTIMATES, AND JUDGMENTS THAT AFFECT THE AMOUNTS REPORTED THE FINANCIAL S TATEMENTS, INCLUDING THE NOTE THERETO, AND RELATED DISCLOSURES OF COMMITMENTS AND CONTING ENCIES, IF ANY THE SYSTEM CONSIDERS CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICI TO BE THOSE THAT REQUIRE MORE SIGNIFICANT JUDGMENTS AND ESTIMATES IN THE PREPARATION OF ITS FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, INCLUDING THE			FOOTNOTE THE FOOTNOTE TO THE CHRISTUS HEALTH
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS IN CONFORMITY WITH ACCOUNTING PR INCIPLES GENERALLY ACCEPTED IN THE UNITED STATES REQUIRES MANAGEMENT OF TH SYSTEM TO MAKE ASSUMPTIONS, ESTIMATES, AND JUDGMENTS THAT AFFECT THE AMOUNTS REPORTED THE FINANCIAL S TATEMENTS, INCLUDING THE NOTE THERETO, AND RELATED DISCLOSURES OF COMMITMENTS AND CONTING ENCIES, IF ANY THE SYSTEM CONSIDERS CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICI TO BE THOSE THAT REQUIRE MORE SIGNIFICANT JUDGMENTS AND ESTIMATES IN THE PREPARATION OF			CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS SAYS, "THE
ACCOUNTING PR INCIPLES GENERALLY ACCEPTED IN THE UNITED STATES REQUIRES MANAGEMENT OF TH SYSTEM TO MAKE ASSUMPTIONS, ESTIMATES, AND JUDGMENTS THAT AFFECT THE AMOUNTS REPORTED THE FINANCIAL S TATEMENTS, INCLUDING THE NOTE THERETO, AND RELATED DISCLOSURES OF COMMITMENTS AND CONTING ENCIES, IF ANY THE SYSTEM CONSIDERS CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICI TO BE THOSE THAT REQUIRE MORE SIGNIFICANT JUDGMENTS AND ESTIMATES IN THE PREPARATION OF			PREPARATION OF THE ACCOMPANYING CONSOLIDATED
THE UNITED STATES REQUIRES MANAGEMENT OF TH SYSTEM TO MAKE ASSUMPTIONS, ESTIMATES, AND JUDGMENTS THAT AFFECT THE AMOUNTS REPORTED THE FINANCIAL S TATEMENTS, INCLUDING THE NOTE THERETO, AND RELATED DISCLOSURES OF COMMITMENTS AND CONTING ENCIES, IF ANY THE SYSTEM CONSIDERS CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICI TO BE THOSE THAT REQUIRE MORE SIGNIFICANT JUDGMENTS AND ESTIMATES IN THE PREPARATION OF ITS FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, INCLUDING THE			ACCOUNTING PR INCIPLES GENERALLY ACCEPTED IN
JUDGMENTS THAT AFFECT THE AMOUNTS REPORTED THE FINANCIAL S TATEMENTS, INCLUDING THE NOTE THERETO, AND RELATED DISCLOSURES OF COMMITMENTS AND CONTING ENCIES, IF ANY THE SYSTEM CONSIDERS CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICI TO BE THOSE THAT REQUIRE MORE SIGNIFICANT JUDGMENTS AND ESTIMATES IN THE PREPARATION OF ITS FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, INCLUDING THE			THE UNITED STATES REQUIRES MANAGEMENT OF THE
THE FINANCIAL S TATEMENTS, INCLUDING THE NOTE THERETO, AND RELATED DISCLOSURES OF COMMITMENTS AND CONTING ENCIES, IF ANY THE SYSTEM CONSIDERS CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICI TO BE THOSE THAT REQUIRE MORE SIGNIFICANT JUDGMENTS AND ESTIMATES IN THE PREPARATION OF THE PROPERTION OF THE PRO			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
THERETO, AND RELATED DISCLOSURES OF COMMITMENTS AND CONTING ENCIES, IF ANY THE SYSTEM CONSIDERS CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICI TO BE THOSE THAT REQUIRE MORE SIGNIFICANT JUDGMENTS AND ESTIMATES IN THE PREPARATION OF THE PROPERTION OF			
COMMITMENTS AND CONTING ENCIES, IF ANY THE SYSTEM CONSIDERS CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICI TO BE THOSE THAT REQUIRE MORE SIGNIFICANT JUDGMENTS AND ESTIMATES IN THE PREPARATION O ITS FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, INCLUDING THE			'
TO BE THOSE THAT REQUIRE MORE SIGNIFICANT JUDGMENTS AND ESTIMATES IN THE PREPARATION O ITS FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, INCLUDING THE			COMMITMENTS AND CONTING ENCIES, IF ANY THE
JUDGMENTS AND ESTIMATES IN THE PREPARATION O ITS FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, INCLUDING THE			SYSTEM CONSIDERS CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES
ITS FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, INCLUDING THE			JUDGMENTS AND ESTIMATES IN THE PREPARATION OF
I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I			ITS FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, INCLUDING THE
			FOLLOWING RECOGNITION OF NET PATIENT REVENUES,
WHICH INCLUDE CONTRACTUAL AL LOWANCES, PROVISIONS FOR BAD DEBT. RESERVES FOR LOSSES.			WHICH INCLUDE CONTRACTUAL AL LOWANCES, PROVISIONS FOR BAD DEBT, RESERVES FOR LOSSES AND
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			EXPENSES RELATED TO HEALTH CARE PROFESSIONAL
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			AND GENERAL LIABILITIES, DETERMINATION OF FAIR
VALUES OF CERTAIN FINANCIAL I NSTRUMENTS,			VALUES OF CERTAIN FINANCIAL I NSTRUMENTS, DETERMINATION OF FAIR VALUE OF CERTAIN GOODWILL
AND LONG-LIVED ASSETS, AND RIS KS AND			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			ASSUMPTIONS FOR MEASUREMENT OF PENSION AND
			RETIREE MEDICAL LIABILITIES MANAGEMENT RELIES ON
HISTORICAL EXPERIENCE AND ON OTHER ASSUMPTI BELIEVED TO BE REASONABLE UNDER THE			HISTORICAL EXPERIENCE AND ON OTHER ASSUMPTIONS
BELIEVED TO BE REASONABLE UNDER THE CIRCUMSTANCES IN MAKING ITS JUDGMENT AND			
			ESTIMATES ACTUAL RESULTS COULD DIFFER MATERIA
			LLY FROM THESE ESTIMATES " PART III, SECTION B, LINE
			8 EXTENT TO WHICH SHORTFALL SHOULD BE TREATED AS
COMMUNITY BENEFIT COSTING METHODOLOGY THE SHORTFALL ON PART III, LINE 7 IS NOT COUNTED AS			SHORTFALL ON PART III, LINE 7 IS NOT COUNTED AS A
COMMUNITY BENEFIT THE AMOUNT ON SCH			
			Schedule H (Form 990) 201

Identifier	ReturnReference	Explanation
Identifier PART III, SECTION A, LINE 3	ESTIMATE OF BAD DEBT EXPENSE ATTRIBUTABLE TO PATIENTS ELIGIBLE	EDULE H, PART III, LINE 6 IS DETERMINED BY CALCULATING MEDICARE ALLOWABLE COST SUSING WORK SHEET A OF THE MEDICARE COST REPORT MOORKSHEET A OF THE MEDICARE COST REPORT REQUIRES THE ORGANIZATION TO REMOVE NON- ALLOWABLE EXPENSES FROM TOTAL EXPENSES VIA THE ADJUSTMENTS TO E XPENSES WORKSHEETS WITHIN THE MEDICARE COST REPORT THE AMOUNT REPORTED ON SCHEDULE H, PART III, LINE 6 DOES NOT TAKE INTO ACCOUNT ALL COSTS INCURRED BY THE FILING ORGANIZATION ASS OCIATED WITH THE FILING ORGANIZATION'S PROVISIONS OF SERVICES TO MEDICARE PATIENTS SCHEDU LE H, PART III, LINE 7 WOULD EQUAL A SHORTFALL OF (\$\$35,744,190) IF TOTAL EXPENSES ALLOCABLE TO MEDICARE SERVICES WERE SUBSTITUTED ON SCHEDULE H, PART III, LINE 6 PART III, SECTION C, LINE 9 COLLECTION POLICY IT IS THE POLICY OF THE ORGANIZATION TO PURSUE COLLECTIONS OF PATIENT BALANCES FROM PATIENTS WHO HAVE THE ABILITY TO PAY FOR THESE SERVICES CHRISTUS H EALTH APPLIES ITS COLLECTION EFFORTS CONSISTENTLY AND FAIRLY TO ALL PATIENTS REGARDLESS OF INSURANCE IF A PATIENT OUTSTANDING BA LANCES, THE GOAL OF THE ORGANIZATION IS TO QUALIFY THESE PATIENTS THROUGH THE ORGANIZATION'S CHARITY POLICY OR SCREEN THE PATIENTS THROUGH ORGANIZATION'S PRESUMPTIVE CHARITY TESTS IF THE PATIENT QUALIFIES UNDER EITHER POLICY THE ACCOUNT WILL BE WRITTEN OFF BASED UPON L EVEL OF QUALIFICATION THESE POLICIES SUPPORT THE MISSION AND VISION OF THE ORGANIZATION A ND ARE APPROVED BY SENIOR LEADERSHIP PART V, SECTION B, LINE 10 DETERMINATION OF ELIGIBILITY FOR DISCOUNTED CARE TESPONSE THE HOSPITAL FACILITY PROVIDES DISCOUNTED CARE TO ALL UN INSURED PATIENTS IN ORDER TO DETERMINE DISCOUNTS FOR UNINSURED PATIENTS, THE FACILITY UTI LIZES A SLIDING SCALE BASED ON FEDERAL POVERTY GUIDELINES AND OTHER CRITTERIA APPLIED TO A UNIFORM FEE SCHEDULE THE FACILITY HAS IMPLEMENTED A 3 TIER UNINSURED FEES CHEDULE WHEREIN INDIVIDUALS BETWEEN 201-300% OF THE FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL RECEIVE A SPECIFIC DISCOUNTED PRICE, INDIVIDUALS BETWEEN 301%- 400% OF THE FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL RECEIVE A SPECIFIC DISCOUNT PER CENTAGE OFF OF TH
		PATIENTS IN ORDER TO DETERMINE DISCOUNTS FOR UNINSURED PATIENTS, THE FACILITY UTI LIZES A SLIDING SCALE BASED ON FEDERAL POVERTY GUIDELINES AND OTHER CRITERIA APPLIED TO A UNIFORM FEE SCHEDULE THE FACILITY HAS IMPLEMENTED A 3 TIER UNINSURED FEE SCHEDULE WHEREIN INDIVIDUALS BETWEEN 201-300% OF THE FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL RECEIVE A SPECIFIC DISCOUNT PER CENTAGE OFF OF THE UNINSURED DISCOUNTED PRICE, INDIVIDUALS BETWEEN 301%-400% OF THE FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL RECEIVE A SPECIFIC DISCOUNT PERCENTAGE OFF OF THE
		IS NOT POSTED IN THE FACILITY'S EMERGENCY ROOMS, WAITING ROOMS OR ADMISSIONS OFFICES, HOWEVER, A NOTICE THAT THE FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE POLICY IS AVAILABLE AT THE BUSINESS OFFICE AND ONLINE IS POSTED IN THE FACILITY'S EMERGENCY ROOMS, WAITING ROOMS AND ADMISSION OFFICES PART V, SECTION B, LI NE 14 DID THE HOSPITAL FACILITY HAVE IN PLACE DURING THE TAX YEAR A SEPARATE BILLING AND C OLLECTIONS POLICY, OR A WRITTEN FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE POLICY THAT EXPLAINED ACTION THE HOSP ITAL FACILITY MAY TAKE UPON NON-PAYMENT?
		THE ORGANIZATION DOES NOT HAVE A POLICY THAT ADDR ESSES ACTIONS IN THE EVENT OF NON-PAYMENT THE ORGANIZATION DOES NOT PURSUE ANY OF THE LIS TED ACTIONS AT LINES 15 OR 16 IN PURSUIT OF COLLECTIONS FROM INDIVIDUALS PRIOR TO MAKING A REASONABLE EFFORT TO DETERMINE THE PATIENT'S ELIGIBILITY FOR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE UNDER C HRISTUS HEALTH MANAGEMENT DIRECTIVE 11 Schedule H (Form 990) 2011

Schedule H (Form 990) 2011		Page 8
Identifier	ReturnReference	Explanation
PART V, SECTION B, LINE 19D	DETERMINE THE MAXIMUM	INDIVIDUALS FOR EMERGENCY OR OTHER MEDICALLY
	AMOUNTS THAT CAN BE	NECESSARY CARE THE HOSPITAL FACILITY USED THE AVERAGE MANAGED CARE REIMBURSEMENT RATE FROM
	CHARGED TO FAP-ELIGIBLE	THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING 6-30-09 IN ORDER TO D
		ETERMINE THE MAXIMUM AMOUNTS THAT CAN BE
		CHARGED TO FAP-ELIGIBLE PATIENTS THE AVERAGE MAN AGED CARE RATE IS THE AVERAGE REIMBURSEMENT
		RECEIVED FOR SPECIFIC CATEGORIES OF SERVICES F
		ROM THE COLLECTIVE GROUP OF PRIVATE INSURERS THAT REIMBURSE ALL HOSPITAL FACILITIES WITHIN THE
		CHRISTUS HEALTH SYSTEM EXCEPT ST VINCENT
		HOSPITAL AND CHRISTUS CONTINUING CARE PART VI,
		LINE 2 NEEDS ASSESSMENT IN 2010, CHRISTUS SPOHN HEALTH SYSTEM CORPORATION PARTICIPATE D IN A
		COMMUNITY NEEDS ASSESSMENT OF THE 15-COUNTY
		SERVICE AREA KNOWN AS THE COASTAL BEND THE PARTICIPANTS INCLUDED CHRISTUS SPOHN HEALTH
		SYSTEM CORPORATION, DRISCOLL CHILDREN'S H
		OSPITAL, DRISCOLL CHILDREN'S HEALTH PLAN, CORPUS
		CHRISTI MEDICAL CENTER, NUECES COUNTY MED ICAL SOCIETY, UNITED WAY OF THE COASTAL BEND, AND
		TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY AT CORPUS CHRISTI AN
		ONGOING COASTAL BEND COMMUNITY HEALTH TASK FORCE WAS FORMED BY THE PARTICIPANTS OF THE 2010
		COMMUNITY NEEDS ASSESSMENT TO ADDRESS THE
		ONGOING HEALTH NEEDS OF THE REGION THE "TA SK FORCE" IS COMPRISED OF THE ORIGINAL GROUP WHO
		CONDUCTED THE 2010 NEEDS ASSESSMENT AS WE LL AS
		THE FOLLOWING ORGANIZATIONS THE NUECES COUNTY
		MEDICAL SOCIETY, NUECES COUNTY/CORPUS CHRISTI HEALTH DEPARTMENT, SAN PATRICIO HEALTH
		DEPARTMENT, DELMAR COLLEGE, CORPUS CHRISTI
		INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT, NUECES COUNTY SOCIAL WORKERS ASSOCIATION, THE SIX FEDERALLY
		QUALIFIED HEALTH CENTERS IN THE REGION, COASTAL
		BEND RURAL HEALTH PARTNERSHIP, AND THE MIS SION OF MERCY THIS TASK FORCE ENSURES THAT THE
		CHRISTUS SPOHN COMMUNITY BENEFIT PLAN ACCU
		RATELY REFLECTS THE NEEDS OF THE COMMUNITY, DEVELOPS ACTION PLANS TO ADDRESS THOSE NEEDS,
		AND IS COMMUNITY OWNED CHRISTUS SPOHN HEALTH
		SYSTEM CORPORATION BOARD MEMBERS REVIEWED AN D APPROVED THE ACTION PLANS DEVELOPED BY THE TASK
		FORCE THE TASK FORCE IS COMMITTED TO CONTINUED
		WORK RELATED TO THE COMMUNITY HEALTH NEEDS OF THE COASTAL BEND REGION FOR THE LONG -TERM PART
		VI, LINE 3 PATIENT EDUCATION OF ELIGIBILITY FOR
		ASSISTANCE CHRISTUS SPOHN HEAL TH SYSTEM
		CORPORATION MAKES EVERY EFFORT TO EDUCATE PATIENTS ON ITS CHARITY AND DISCOUNT POLICY AND
		ABOUT THEIR ELIGIBILITY FOR ASSISTANCE UNDER
		FEDERAL, STATE, OR LOCAL GOVERNMENT PROGRAMS DURING REGISTRATION, PRE REGISTRATION (FOR
		SCHEDULED TESTS AND SURGERIES), POST
		REGISTRATION (DURING THEIR HOSPITALIZATION) AND FOLLOWING DISCHARGE (TELEPHONE OR WRITTEN
		INQUIRY) IN LANGUAGES APPROPRIATE FOR THE
		POPULATION BEING SERVED PATIENTS ARE GIVEN INFO RMATION AND FORMS BY A FINANCIAL COUNSELOR WHO
		HELPS THEM COMPLETE THE FORMS DURING THEIR
		INPATIENT AND OUTPATIENT VISITS PATIENTS ARE ASKED TO BRING OR MAIL SUPPORTING DOCUMENTAT
		ION TO DETERMINE INCOME, ASSETS AND HOUSEHOLD
		EXPENSES THE BUSINESS OFFICE REVIEWS THE AP PLICATION BASED ON THE INFORMATION PROVIDED BY
		THE PATIENT IF THE PATIENT QUALIFIES FOR C HARITY
		CARE OR A DISCOUNT, A NEW BILL IS GENERATED PATIENTS WHO DO NOT PROVIDE THE REOUIR ED
		DOCUMENTATION ARE CONSIDERED INELIGIBLE AND
		ARE BILLED ACCORDINGLY IF THE DOCUMENTATION IS
		PROVIDED AT A LATER TIME, THE PATIENT MAY THEN BE DETERMINED TO BE ELIGIBLE FOR CHARI TY CARE OR A
		DISCOUNT DOCUMENTATION IS RETAINED BY THE
		BILLING OFFICE FOR SEVEN YEARS A PUBLIC NOTICE REGARDING THE CHARITY CARE POLICY IS POSTED IN
		PROMINENT PLACES THROUGHOUT THE HOSPITALS,
		INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO THE EMERGENCY ROOM WAITING AREAS AND THE ADMISS IONS OFFICE WAITING
		AREAS, AS REQUIRED BY BOTH THE STATE OF TEXAS
		COMMUNITY BENEFIT STANDA RD (WHICH ADDRESSES THE DUTIES AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF NONPROFIT
		HOSPITALS) AND CHRISTUS H EALTH COMMUNITY
		BENEFIT GUIDELINES #050 IN ADDITION, A PUBLIC NOTICE REGARDING THE CHARIT Y CARE POLICY AND
		INFORMATION ON FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE ARE ALSO
		POSTED ON THE CHRISTUS HEAL TH WEBSITE THE INFORMATION ON FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE INCLUDES
		EXPLANATION ON FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE INCLUDES EXPLANATIONS ON THE AVAILABIL ITY OF FINANCIAL
		ASSISTANCE, WHO QUALIFIES, AND HOW TO APPLY FOR
		FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE PAR T VI, LINE 4 COMMUNITY INFORMATION CHRISTUS SPOHN HEALTH SYSTEM
		CORPORATION IS LOCATED ALO NG THE LOWER TEXAS
		COASTAL AREA (OFTEN CALLED THE COASTAL BEND), AND ITS SERVICE AREA INCL UDES A 14-COUNTY AREA
		WITH A POPULATION OF MORE THAN 602,000
		INDIVIDUALS OVERALL, POPULAT ION GROWTH IS FLAT IN THE REGION WITH THE EXCEPTION OF ARANSAS
		COUNTY AND THE 78414 ZIP CO DE, WHERE CHRISTUS
		SPOHN HOSPITAL CORPUS CHRISTI -SOUTH IS LOCATED THE POPULATION BY AGE IS ALLOCATED AS FOLLOWS 0-
		18 (24 5%), 19-64 (59 7%), 65+ (15 8%) POPULATION
		DECLINES ARE EXPECTED TO CONTINUE IN THE RURAL
		AREAS FLAT GROWTH IS EXPECTED IN THE PEDIATRIC AGE RAN GE AND THE POPULATION UNDER 45 YEARS OF
		AGE, WITH THE LARGEST GROWTH IN THE POPULATION
		OF 65 YEARS OF AGE OR OLDER THE REGION IS COMPRISED OF 61% HISPANICS, 33% CAUCASIAN, 4%
		AFRI CAN AMERICAN, 1% AMERICAN INDIAN, AND 1%
		ASIAN APPROXIMATELY 20% OF PERSONS IN THE
		REGION LIVE AT OR BELOW THE FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL, WITH
		Schedule H (Form 990) 2011

Schedule H (Form 990) 2011 Page **8**

Identifier	ReturnReference	Explanation
PART V, SECTION B, LINE 19D	CHARGED TO FAP-ELIGIBLE	THE MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME IN THE REGION BEING APPROXIMATELY \$40,500 NEARLY 50 PERCENT OF THE POPULATION IS ON MEDICAID OR UNINSURED LOOKING AT THE REGION'S DEMOGRAPHICS AND THE "HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS" RELATIVE TO OTHER COUNTIES ACROSS THE COUNTRY, THE FOLLOWING HEALTH INDICATORS APPEAR "UNFAVORABLE" RELATIVE TO PEER COUNTIES PREMA TURE BIRTH WEIGHTS, BIRTHS BY UNMARRIED TEENS, HISPANIC INFANT MORTALITY IN RURAL AREAS, O BESITY, DIABETES, STROKE, CORONARY HEART DISEASE, COLON CANCER, AND BREAST CANCER IN ADDITION TO THE SIX FACILITIES THAT COMPRISE CHRISTUS SPOHN HEALTH SYSTEM CORPORATION, THERE A RE FIVE OTHER HOSPITALS IN THE REGION CORPUS CHRISTI MEDICAL CENTER'S THREE CAMPUSES (BAY AREA HOSPITAL, HEART HOSPITAL AND DOCTOR'S REGIONAL HOSPITAL) WHICH ARE FOR-PROFIT ENTITI ES OWNED BY HCA, DRISCOLL CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL, A NONPROFIT HOSPITAL, AND COASTAL BEND SURG ICAL HOSPITAL, A

Schedule H (Form 990) 2011		Page
I dentifier PART VI, LINE 5	ReturnReference	Explanation CHRISTUS SPOHN HEALTH SYSTEM CORPORATION
	PROMOTION OF COMMUNITY HEALTH	INCLUDES CHRISTUS SPOHN HOSPITAL-CORPUS CHRIST: WITH THREE CAMPUSES THE 557-BED SHORELINE
		CAMPUS, THE 341-BED MEMORIAL CAMPUS, AND THE 158
		-BED SOUTH CAMPUS ADDITIONALLY, WE SERVE OUR COMMUNITIES THROUGH CHRISTUS SPOHN HOSPITAL
		KLEBERG WITH 96 BEDS IN KINGSVILLE, CHRISTUS SPOHI HOSPITAL ALICE WITH 135 BEDS IN ALICE, AND
		CHRISTUS SPOHN HOSPITAL BEEVILLE IN BEEVILLE WITH
		69 BEDS CHRISTUS SPOHN HEALTH SYSTE M CORPORATION COLLABORATES WITH COMMUNITIES,
		CHURCHES, BUSINESSES, AND OTHER HEALTH CARE O RGANIZATIONS TO FACILITATE AND STRENGTHEN
		ACCESSIBILITY OF QUALITY COMPREHENSIVE HEALTH
		CA RE SERVICES FOR ALL, INCLUDING THOSE AMONG VULNERABLE AND UNDERSERVED POPULATIONS
		CHRISTU S SPOHN HEALTH SYSTEM CORPORATION'S OTHER HEALTH CARE FACILITIES INCLUDE INVESTMENTS
		IN TWO AMBULATORY SURGERY CENTERS- CORPUS
		CHRISTI SURGICARE, LTD AND SHORELINE SURGERY CENTER, L L P AS WELL AS INVESTMENTS IN TWO
		IMAGING CENTERS- PORTLAND IMAGING CENTER, LTD AND C OASTAL BEND P E T SCAN, LTD CHRISTUS SPOHN
		HEALTH SYSTEM CORPORATION ALSO PARTIALLY OWNS CORPUS CHRISTI CARDIOVASCULAR CENTER, LLC
		CHRISTUS SPOHN HEALTH SYSTEM CORPORATION PROVI
		DES A FULL RANGE OF INPATIENT AND OUTPATIENT SERVICES TO THE PEOPLE FROM THE COMMUNITIES I T
		SERVES IT CONDUCTS ITS ACTIVITIES AND SERVES ITS HEALTHCARE PURPOSE WITHOUT REGARD TO R ACE,
		COLOR, CREED, RELIGION, GENDER, ORIENTATION,
		DISABILITY, AGE OR NATIONAL ORIGIN EACH OF THE SICCAMPUSES OF CHRISTUS SPOHN HEALTH SYSTEM
		CORPORATION PROVIDES A 24 HOUR EMERGE NCY ROOM THAT IS OPEN TO SERVE ALL THOSE IN NEED OF
		EMERGENT CARE, REGARDLESS OF THEIR ABI LITY TO
		PAY IN FY2012, CHRISTUS SPOHN WAS PRIVILEGED TO SERVE HUNDREDS OF THOUSANDS OF IN DIVIDUALS IN
		VARIOUS WAYS, INCLUDING 184,714 VISITS TO ITS EMERGENCY DEPARTMENTS, 11,064 S URGERY
		PROCEDURES WITHIN ITS HOSPITALS, 10,766
		OUTPATIENT SURGERY PROCEDURES, 45,318 PATIE NTS WHO WERE ADMITTED TO ITS HOSPITALS FOR CARE, AND
		444,775 PATIENTS WHO RECEIVED OUTPATI ENT CARE AT ITS FACILITIES CHRISTUS SPOHN HEALTH SYSTEM
		CORPORATION ALSO SUPPORTS MANY LO CAL
		COMMUNITY HEALTH SERVICES, OFFERING CONVENIENT LOCATIONS FOR PRIMARY CARE THROUGH THE CHRISTU:
		SPOHN FAMILY HEALTH CENTERS AND A MOBILE OUTREACH CLINIC IN ADDITION, CHRISTUS S POHN
		COLLABORATES WITH THE SIX FEDERALLY QUALIFIED HEALTH CENTERS (FOHC'S) IN ITS REGION, AS WELL AS
		OTHER NONPROFIT HEALTH CLINICS IN THE AREA THAT
		ARE COMMITTED TO CREATING GREAT ER ACCESS TO PRIMARY CARE ACTIVITIES UNDERTAKEN BY CHRISTUS
		SPOHN HEALTH SYSTEM CORPORATI ON TO IMPROVE ACCESS TO HEALTH SERVICES, ENHANCE PUBLIC HEALTH
		AND ADVANCE KNOWLEDGE INCLU DE (1) AGREEMENTS
		WITH FIVE PRIMARY CARE PHYSICIANS AND FOUR MID- LEVEL PROVIDERS IN THE FOUR CHRISTUS SPOHN
		FAMILY HEALTH CENTERS CHRISTUS SPOHN AIDS IN THE RECRUITING OF PHYSICI ANS WHO ULTIMATELY
		CONTRACT WITH CHRISTUS PROVIDER NETWORK, WHICH
		IN TURN CONTRACTS WITH C HRISTUS SPOHN HEALTH SYSTEM CORPORATION TO PROVIDE THE PHYSICIAN
		SERVICES IN THE CHRISTUS SPOHN FAMILY HEALTH CENTERS MOST OF THE CLIENTS WHO RECEIVE PRIMARY
		CARE AND CHRONIC DISE ASE MANAGEMENT ARE UNINSURED OR RECEIVE COVERAGE FROM
		MEDICAID/CHIP/NUECES COUNTY HEALTH D ISTRICT
		(NCHD) (2)IMPLEMENTATION OF THE COMMUNITY HEALTH WORKER (CHW) AND CARE MANAGEMEN T
		CONCEPT AT ALL SPOHN FAMILY HEALTH CENTERS TO HELP PATIENTS WITH CHRONIC ILLNESS PREVENT OVER-
		UTILIZATION OF THE EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT AND AVOID PREVENTABLE HOSPITALIZATIONS (3) THE
		MOBILE UNIT DELIVERS OB/GYN CARE TO THE REGION'S
		UNINSURED WOMEN INCLUDING MAMMOGRAMS AND PRE NATAL CARE IN FY2012, 1,238 PATIENTS WERE SERVED
		AT AN UNREIMBURSED EXPENSE OF \$3 60,201 TO CHRISTUS SPOHN HEALTH SYSTEM CORPORATION THIS
		PREVENTATIVE AND WELLNESS CARE HE LPS WITH EARLY
		DETECTION OF CONDITIONS THAT OTHERWISE MIGHT RESULT IN MORE SERIOUS HEALTH ISSUES THAT COULD
		REQUIRE LENGTHIER HOSPITALIZATIONS IN THE FUTURE
		(4) THE HECTOR P GARC IA AND THE CHRISTUS MEDICAL GROUP FACULTY PRACTICE CLINICS ARE LOCATED
		ONSITE AT CHRISTUS SPOHN HOSPITAL CORPUS CHRIST MEMORIAL AN AVERAGE OF 125 TO 150 PATIENTS ARE
		SEEN ON A DA ILY BASIS MOST OF THESE PATIENTS HAVE MEDICAID COVERAGE OR LACK INSURANCE (5)
		SPECIALTY CLINICS ARE LOCATED ON THE SEVENTH
		FLOOR AT CHRISTUS SPOHN HOSPITAL CORPUS CHRISTI MEMORIA L THESE CLINICS EXIST FOR FOLLOW UP CARE
		AND SPECIALTY CARE PHYSICIANS/PROVIDERS OFFER A CENTRALIZED AREA FOR EASY ACCESS TO CARE FOR
		THOSE WHO WOULD NOT NORMALLY BE ABLE TO ACCE SS
		SPECIALTY CARE OUTSIDE OF THIS TYPE OF CONTROLLED SETTING (6) THE REVAMPING OF THE NUE
		CES COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT'S (NCHD) INDIGENT CARE PROGRAM HAS PROVIDED A REORGANIZATION OF
		SERVICES, ONE KEY GOAL OF WHICH IS TO ROUTE
		ELIGIBLE PARTICIPANTS TO THE APPROPRIATE SE TTINGS THE FOLLOWING PROGRAMS ARE INCLUDED (A)
	I	· ·
		UM/CM PLAN, (B) PRE-APPROVAL OF SERVICES WITH VERIFICATION OF MEDICAL NECESSITY AND A TIE-IN TO
		VERIFICATION OF MEDICAL NECESSITY AND A TIE-IN TO THE CARE MANAGEMENT PROGRAM, (C) AN
		VERIFICATION OF MEDICAL NECESSITY AND A TIE-IN TO

Identifier	ReturnReference	Explanation
PART VI, LINE 5	PROMOTION OF COMMUNITY HEALTH	Explanation L SERVICE TRACK, (E) WEEKLY MULTIDISCIPLINARY DIABETIC CLINIC TO MEET TARGET POPULATION'S NEED, (F) PHARMACEUTICAL CASE MANAGEMENT, (G) PREFERRED PROVIDER LIST WITH CM/JWM MANAGEMENT, AND (H) MEDICAL DIRECTOR FOR INDIGENT CARE PLAN (7) CHRISTUS SPOHN HOSPITAL CORPUS CHR ISTI MEMORIAL IS THE DESIGNATED CONTRACT PROVIDER WITH NUECES COUNTY MHMR IT PROVIDES PSY CHIATRIC ONSITE TRIAGE AS PART OF ITS CONTRACTUAL RELATIONSHIPS WITH MHMR THIS PREVENTS P ATIENTS FROM VISITING THE EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT AS A FIRST RESORT (8) CHRISTUS SPOHN HEALT H SYSTEM CORPORATION HAS RURAL CLINICS IN BEEVILLE AND FREER THE CHRISTUS SPOHN WOMEN'S C LINIC- BEEVILLE PROVIDES OB/GYN SERVICES TO THE COMMUNITY WITH AN EMPHASIS ON THE UNINSURE D AND UNDER INSURED POPULATION IN THE AREA THE CHRISTUS SPOHN FAMILY HEALTH CENTER-FREER PROVIDES PRIMARY CARE TO THIS UNDERSERVED AND RURAL COMMUNITY THE NURSE PRACTITIONERS, NU RSES, AND OFFICE STAFF IN THESE CLINICS ARE EMPLOYED BY CHRISTUS SPOHN HEALTH SYSTEM CORPORATION HOSPITALS IN ALICE, BEEVILLE, AND KLE BERG COLLABORATE WITH THE COMMUNITY ACTION CORPORATION HOSPITALS IN ALICE, BEEVILLE, AND KLE BERG COLLABORATE WITH THE COMMUNITY ACTION CORPORATION HOSPITALS IN ALICE, BEEVILLE, CARE TO UNINSURED AND UNDER INSURED PATIENTS THE PREVENTS PATIENTS FROM UTILIZING THE HOSPITAL EMERGENCY DEPARTMENTS AS THEIR MEDICAL HOME (10) CHRISTUS SPOHN HEALTH SYSTEM CORPORATION ACTIVELY PARTICIPATES IN A JAIL DIVERSION N PROGRAM IN CONJUNCTION WITH NUECES COUNTY THROUGH BEHAVIORAF MEDICAL MEDICAL HOME (11) CHRISTUS SPOHN HEALTH SYSTEM CORPORATION ACTIVELY PARTICIPATES IN A JAIL DIVERSION N PROGRAM IN CONJUNCTION WITH NUECES COUNTY THROUGH BEHAVIORAF MEDICAL MEDICAL HOSPITAL EMERGENCY DEPARTMENTS AS THEIR MEDICAL HOME (10) CHRISTUS SPOHN HEALTH SYSTEM CORPORATION PROSTORY THE PROVIDES FREE PRIMARY CARE TO UNINSURED PATIENTS THEIR FIVE LOCATION S (CORPORATION OF MERCY, A NATIONAL MEDICAL HEALTH PROVIDERS TO HELP KEEP PSYCHIATRIC PATIENTS OUT OF THE E MERGENCY DEPARTMENT (12) IN CONJUNCTION WITH HISSION
		Schedule H (Form 990) 2011

Schedule H (Form 990) 2011		Page 8
Identifier	ReturnReference	Explanation
(14) THROUGH THE FIRST FRIDAY		CORPORATION PERFORMED OVER 1,300 FREE
PROGRAM, CHRISTUS SPOHN HEALTH SYSTEM		MAMMOGRAMS IN FISCAL YEAR 2012 TO ASSIST PERSONS WHO WOULD NOT ORDINARILY HAVE ACCESS
HEALIN STSTEM		TO MAMMOGRAMS FOR EARLY DIAGNOSIS OF BREAST
		CANCER (15) THE CHRISTUS SPOHN CAREVAN IS A
		MOBILE VAN DESIGNED TO PROVIDE PUBLIC HEALTH EDUCATION AN D MEDICAL SCREENINGS TO THE
		UNDERSERVED AND BROADER COMMUNITY THE
		CAREVAN PLACES A SPECIA L EMPHASIS ON RURAL
		TOWNS IN THE REGION THAT HAVE LIMITED ACCESS TO HEALTH RESOURCES IN FY2012, THE CAREVAN SERVED
		OVER 1,800 CLIENTS WITH 558 CHOLESTEROL
		SCREENINGS AND 128 SCREENINGS FOR DIABETES THE
		CAREVAN ALSO SERVES AS A REFERRAL SOURCE TO THE 6 FQHC IN THE REGI ON AND THE 5 CHRISTUS SPOHN
		FAMILY HEALTH CENTERS "COMMUNITY SERVICES FOR A
		BROADER COMMU NITY" IS ALSO A PART OF CHRISTUS SPOHN HEALTH SYSTEM CORPORATION'S OVERALL
		COMMUNITY BENEFIT THE GREATEST SHARE OF THESE
		EXPENSES IS FOR EDUCATING HEALTH PROFESSIONALS
		(GRADUATE M EDICAL EDUCATION, NURSING STUDENTS, ALLIED HEALTH PROFESSIONALS, PHARMACISTS, ETC)
		HELPIN G TO PREPARE FUTURE HEALTH CARE
		PROFESSIONALS IS A DISTINGUISHING CHARACTERISTIC OF NONPRO FIT HEALTH CARE AND
		CONSTITUTES A SIGNIFICANT COMMUNITY BENEFIT
		CHRISTUS SPOHN HEALTH CAR E CORPORATION
		PROVIDED OVER \$7,391,000 IN HEALTH PROFESSIONS EDUCATION DURING FY2012, INCL UDING CLINICAL
		EXPERIENCES AND OTHER EDUCATION FOR PHYSICIANS
		AND NURSES CHRISTUS HEALTH ALSO USED CASH
		DONATIONS AS A VEHICLE TO HELP OUR COMMUNITIES THE CHRISTUS SPOHN HEALTH S YSTEM CORPORATION
		MADE CASH DONATIONS, IN ADDITION TO GRANTS
		AWARDED THROUGH THE CHRISTUS FUND, TO SUPPORT
		CAUSES LIKE THE FIGHT AGAINST CANCER, DIABETES, HEART DISEASE, PROVISION OF A CONTINUUM OF CARE
		FOR OUR ELDERLY, SUPPORT FOR THOSE SUFFERING
		FROM HIV/AIDS, AND FOR MANY OTHER EQUALLY WORTHY PURPOSES AS A NONPROFIT ORGANIZATION
		AND AS A PART OF CHRISTUS HEALTH, A REGIONAL
		GOVERNING BOARD COMPRISED LARGELY OF INDEPENDENT COMMUNITY MEMBERS REP RESENTING
		THE MAKEUP OF THE AREA WE SERVE GUIDES CHRISTUS
		SPOHN HEALTH SYSTEM CORPORATION WE ARE
		PRIVILEGED TO HAVE AN OPEN MEDICAL STAFF COMPRISED OF QUALIFIED PHYSICIANS WHO WOR K
		WITH US TO PROVIDE CARE TO OUR COMMUNITIES ALL
		QUALIFIED PHYSICIANS WHO ARE GRANTED PRI VILEGES
		TO SERVE WITH US IN OUR HOSPITALS MUST UNDERGO A THOROUGH AND COMPREHENSIVE CREDEN TIALING AND
		ORIENTATION PROCESS ALL PERSONS EMPLOYED AND
		AFFILIATED WITH CHRISTUS SPOHN H EALTH SYSTEM CORPORATION ARE REQUIRED TO COMPLETE ANNUAL
		CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENTS CHRISTUS HEALTH
		REINVESTS ALL SURPLUS FUNDS BACK INTO THE
		COMMUNITIES IT SERVES THROUGH EX PANDED HEALTH SERVICES, NEW TECHNOLOGIES, AND BETTER
		FACILITIES DURING FY 2012, CHRISTUS HEALTH
		ADVOCATED FOR IMPROVEMENT IN PUBLIC POLICIES AND WORKED TO ESTABLISH, AND IN SOME I NSTANCES
		AUGMENT, GRASSROOTS ADVOCACY FOR GREATER
		ACCESS TO HEALTH CARE SERVICES FOR ALL PART VI,
		LINE 6 AFFILIATED HEALTH CARE SYSTEM CHRISTUS SPOHN HEALTH SYSTEM CORPORATION IS PART OF
		CHRISTUS HEALTH, AN INTERNATIONAL, CATHOLIC,
		FAITH BASED, NONPROFIT HEALTH SYSTEM COMPRISED
		OF ALMOST 350 SERVICES AND FACILITIES INCLUDING MORE THAN 60 HOSPITALS AND LONG TERM CARE
		FACILITIES, 175 CLINICS AND OUTPATIENT CENTERS,
		AND OTHER COMMUNITY HEALTH MINIS TRIES AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT VENTURES CHRISTUS
		SERVICES CAN BE FOUND IN ARKANSAS, GEO RGIA,
		IOWA, LOUISIANA, MISSOURI, NEW MEXICO, TEXAS, AND
		IN SIX PROVINCES OF MEXICO A COMM ON MISSION, CORE VALUES, AND VISION UNITE THE HEALTH SYSTEM
		EACH REGION, INCLUDING CHRIST US SPOHN HEALTH
		SYSTEM CORPORATION, DEVELOPS FIVE-YEAR AND TEN- YEAR STRATEGIC PLANS THAT H ELP SET THE YEARLY
		OPERATIONAL PLANS AND BUDGETS REGIONAL
		STRATEGIC GOALS ARE SET IN COLL ABORATION WITH
		CHRISTUS HEALTH AND INCLUDE METRICS THAT WILL BE USED TO MEASURE COMMUNITY BENEFIT, CLINICAL
		OUTCOMES, PATIENT SATISFACTION, AND ASSOCIATE
		ENGAGEMENT CHRISTUS HEALTH PROVIDES UPDATED
		MARKET, DEMOGRAPHICS, AND HEALTH INDICATOR DATA ON AN ANNUAL BASIS THE DATA SUPPLIED FROM
		CHRISTUS HEALTH ALONG WITH THE SYSTEM WIDE
		STRATEGIC INITIATIVES ARE C ONSISTENT WITH THE COMMUNITY NEEDS ASSESSMENT OF THE REGION
		CHRISTUS SPOHN HEALTH SYSTEM CORPORATION, IN
		TURN, PARTNERS WITH OTHER NONPROFIT GROUPS
		(CHURCHES, HEALTH CARE PROVIDER S, AND GOVERNMENT AGENCIES) TO CREATE COLLABORATIONS
		WHERE HEALTH NEEDS CAN BE ADDRESSED A ND THE
		GENERAL HEALTH OF INDIVIDUALS AND THE COMMUNITY IS IMPROVED PART VI, LINE 7 COMMUN ITY BENEFIT
		REPORT A COMMUNITY BENEFIT REPORT IS FILED FOR
		THE STATE OF TEXAS IN THE FORM OF THE ANNUAL
		STATEMENT OF COMMUNITY BENEFITS STANDARD (ASCBS) FORM AS REQUIRED BY THE HEA LTH AND
		SAFETY CODE, SECTIONS 311 045 AND 311 046 THE
		CODE REQUIRES NONPROFIT HOSPITALS TO FILE THE
		ASCBS FORM AND ANNUAL REPORT OF THE COMMUNITY BENEFITS PLAN WITH THE TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF STATE
		HEALTH SERVICES (DSHS) THE 2012 ASCBS FORM IS
		EXPANDED TO COLLECT THE INFORMATION ON CHARITY
		CARE POLICIES AND COMMUNITY BENEFITS IN A
		STANDARDIZED FORMAT ALL CHR ISTUS HEALTH ENTITIES INCLUDING FACILITIES LOCATED IN STATES
		THAT DO NOT REQUIRE ANNUAL CO MMUNITY BENEFIT
<u>j</u>		REPORTING (I E , LOUISIANA, AND NE
		Schedule H (Form 990) 201

Schedule H (Form 990) 2011 Page **8**

Identifier	ReturnReference	Explanation
(14) THROUGH THE FIRST FRIDAY PROGRAM, CHRISTUS SPOHN HEALTH SYSTEM		W MEXICO), FOLLOW THE SAME REPORTING RULES AS OUTLINED IN THE CATHOLIC HEALTH ASSOCIATION GUIDE TO PLANNING AND REPORTING COMMUNITY BENEFIT, COPYRIGHT 2008 TOTAL COMMUNITY BENEFIT FOR CHRISTUS HEALTH IS ALSO REPORTED IN THE ANNUAL REPORT PREPARED AND DISTRIBUTED BY THE SYSTEM OFFICE STATE FILING OF COMMUNITY BENEFIT REPORT TX

efile GRAPHIC print - DO NOT PROCESS | As Filed Data -

Schedule I (Form 990)

Grants and Other Assistance to Organizations, Governments and Individuals in the United States

OMB No 1545-0047

2011

DLN: 93493130027613

Open to Public

Department of the Treasury Internal Revenue Service Complete if the organization answered "Yes," to Form 990, Part IV, line 21 or 22.

Attach to Form 990

Open to Public Inspection

Name of the organization						Employer ident	ification number
Christus Spohn Health System	Corporation					74-1109836	
Part I General Inform	nation on Grants	and Assistance				I	
 Does the organization mathematic the selection criteria use Describe in Part IV the organization 	d to award the grants	orassistance?					
Form 990, Part 1	IV, line 21 for any	o Governments and recipient that receive (0) if additional space	d more than \$5,000	. Check this box if n	o one recipient re	ceived more than \$5	,000. Use
(a) Name and address of organization or government	(b) EIN	(c) IRC Code section if applicable	(d) Amount of cash grant	(e) Amount of non- cash assistance	(f) Method of valuation (book, FMV, appraisal, other)	(g) Description of non-cash assistance	(h) Purpose of grant or assistance
(1) CHRISTUS Spohn Health System Development Fdn600 Elizabeth Street Suite 203 Corpus Christi, TX 78404	74-1906005	501(c)(3)	2,131,425				FIGHT CARDIOVASCULAR DISEASE AND STROKES
(2) Bexar County Clinical Services Inc2801 Via Fortuna Ste 500 Austin,TX 78746	87-0781228	501(C)(3)	1,255,240				SERVICES TO PEOPLE IN NEED AND ADDRESS SOCIAL ILLS
(3) American Heart Association500 N Shoreline Blvd Corpus Christi, TX 78401	13-5613797	501(c)(3)	11,500				PROMOTE RECOVERY FROM ADDICTION
(4) American Diabetes Association500 N Shoreline Blvd Corpus Christi, TX 78401	13-1623888	501(c)(3)	10,500				ART ENRICHMENT TO THE COMMUNITY
(5) American Cancer Society4101 South Alameda Street Suite 600 Corpus Christi, TX 78411	13-1788491	501(c)(3)	9,000				PROGRAM SUPPORT & PURCHASE CAPITAL ITEMS
(6) Its Your Life Foundation POBox 60183 Corpus Christi, TX 78466	26-2968061	501(c)(3)	6,000				SUPPORT CANCER RESEARCH
(7) TX A&M Univ Coastal Bend Health Edu Ctr6300 Ocean Drive Unit 5861 NRC Suite 3500 Corpus Christi, TX 78412	74-2907553	Govt	12,500				PROGRAM SUPPORT
2 Enter total number of sec	tion 501(c)(3) and go	overnment organizations	listed in the line 1 tab	e			6

Enter total number of other organizations listed in the line 1 table

Part III Grants and Other Assistance to Individuals in the United States. Complete if the organization answered "Yes" to Form 990, Part IV, line 22. Use Schedule I-1 (Form 990) if additional space is needed.

(b) Number of recipients	(c) A mount of cash grant	(d)A mount of non-cash assistance	(e)Method of valuation (book, FMV, appraisal, other)	(f)Description of non-cash assistance
296		170,952	FM∨	MED DEVICES HOMECARE
	recipients	recipients cash grant	recipients cash grant non-cash assistance	recipients cash grant non-cash assistance FMV, appraisal, other)

Part IV Supplemental Information. Complete this part to provide the information required in Part I, line 2, and any other additional information.

Identifier	Return Reference	Explanation
Description of	Form 990, Schedule I, Part I,	THE ORGANIZATION FOLLOWS CHRISTUS HEALTH MANAGEMENT DIRECTIVE NO 0006,
Organization's	Line 2	"CONTRIBUTIONS/DONATIONS TO OTHER ORGANIZATIONS" BEFORE ANY DONATION IS MADE, TWO CRITERIA
Procedures for Monitoring		ARE ADDRESSED (1)ORGANIZATION TEST AND (2)IRS TEST THE ORGANIZATION TEST ENSURES THAT
the Use of Grants		DONATIONS ARE EXCLUSIVELY FOR CHARITABLE, SCIENTIFIC, EDUCATIONAL, AND RELIGIOUS PURPOSES, AND
		IN FURTHERANCE OF OUR PURPOSE OF SUPPORTING THE HEALING MINISTRY OF JESUS CHRIST AND
		ADVANCING, PROMOTING, AND SUPPORTING THE HEALTHCARE MINISTRIES OF THE SPONSORING
		CONGREGATIONS CONTRIBUTIONS CAN BE MADE TO SUPPORT CHRISTUS SYSTEM MEMBERS AND TO OTHER
		QUALIFYING TAX-EXEMPT ORGANIZATIONS, PARTICULARLY THOSE DESIGNED TO SUPPORT AND BENEFIT THE
		POOR AND UNDERSERVED THE ORGANIZATION CONSIDERED FOR DONATIONS MUST BE AN IRS SECTION 501(C)
		(3) ORGANIZATION AND DOCUMENTATION TO THAT EFFECT OBTAINED TO SATISFY THE IRS TEST
		CONTRIBUTIONS GIVEN MUST BE DEDICATED TO ACHIEVING CHARITABLE PURPOSES NOT FOR PERSONAL
		BENEFIT BUT FOR PUBLIC BENEFIT CONTRIBUTIONS ARE PROHIBITED TO ORGANIZATIONS THAT CONTRIBUTE
		TO POLITICAL CAMPAIGNS, CANDIDATES FOR OFFICE, OR CONDUCT MORE THAN INCIDENTAL LOBBYING
		DOCUMENTATION MUST SUPPORT HOW THE DONATION MEETS ORGANIZATIONAL PURPOSES AND
		FURTHERANCE OF MISSION DONATIONS SHOULD BE MODEST IN SCOPE THE FILING ORGANIZATION PROVIDES
		INDIGENT FUNDING GRANTS TO THE COUNTIES IN WHICH CHRISTUS HEALTH SYSTEM AFFILIATES SERVE VIA
		GRANTS PAID TO OTHER HOSPITALS AND HEALTHCARE ORGANIZATIONS LOCATED WITHIN SUCH COUNTIES
		THIS CHARITABLE DONATION HELPS RELIEVE THE ADDITIONAL EXPENSE OF HEALTHCARE FOR THE INDIGENT
		POPULATION WITHIN OUR COMMUNITIES THAT THE FILING ORGANIZATION MAY NOT DIRECTLY SERVE IN ONE
		OF ITS HOSPITALS THIS IS A RESULT OF OUR MISSION TO EXTEND THE HEALING MINISTRY OF JESUS CHRIST,
		ESPECIALLY TO THE POOR AND UNDERSERVED

DLN: 93493130027613

Employer identification number

OMB No 1545-0047

Schedule J (Form 990)

Department of the Treasury Internal Revenue Service

Name of the organization

Compensation Information

For certain Officers, Directors, Trustees, Key Employees, and Highest **Compensated Employees**

► Complete if the organization answered "Yes" to Form 990, Part IV, question 23.

► Attach to Form 990. ► See separate instructions.

Open to Public Inspection

Chri	stus Spohn Health System Corporation 74-1109836			
Pa	rt I Questions Regarding Compensation			
			Yes	Νo
1a	Check the appropriate box(es) if the organization provided any of the following to or for a person listed in Form 990, Part VII, Section A, line 1a Complete Part III to provide any relevant information regarding these items			
	First-class or charter travel Housing allowance or residence for personal use			
	▼ Travel for companions			
	Tax idemnification and gross-up payments Health or social club dues or initiation fees			
	Discretionary spending account Personal services (e.g., maid, chauffeur, chef)			
b	If any of the boxes in line 1a are checked, did the organization follow a written policy regarding payment or reimbursement orprovision of all the expenses described above? If "No," complete Part III to explain	1b	Yes	
2	Did the organization require substantiation prior to reimbursing or allowing expenses incurred by all officers, directors, trustees, and the CEO/Executive Director, regarding the items checked in line 1a?	2	Yes	
3	Indicate which, if any, of the following the organization uses to establish the compensation of the organization's CEO/Executive Director Check all that apply Compensation committee Written employment contract Independent compensation consultant Compensation survey or study Form 990 of other organizations Approval by the board or compensation committee			
4	During the year, did any person listed in Form 990, Part VII, Section A, line 1a with respect to the filing organization or a related organization			
а	Receive a severance payment or change-of-control payment?	4a	Yes	
b	Participate in, or receive payment from, a supplemental nonqualified retirement plan?	4b	Yes	
С	Participate in, or receive payment from, an equity-based compensation arrangement?	4 c		Νo
	If "Yes" to any of lines 4a-c, list the persons and provide the applicable amounts for each item in Part III			
	Only 501(c)(3) and 501(c)(4) organizations only must complete lines 5-9.			
5	For persons listed in form 990, Part VII, Section A, line 1a, did the organization pay or accrue any compensation contingent on the revenues of			
а	The organization?	5a		No
b	Any related organization?	5b		Νo
	If "Yes," to line 5a or 5b, describe in Part III			
6	For persons listed in form 990, Part VII, Section A, line 1a, did the organization pay or accrue any compensation contingent on the net earnings of			
а	The organization?	6a		No
b	Any related organization?	6b		Νo
	If "Yes," to line 6a or 6b, describe in Part III			
7	For persons listed in Form 990, Part VII, Section A, line 1a, did the organization provide any non-fixed payments not described in lines 5 and 6? If "Yes," describe in Part III	7		No
8	Were any amounts reported in Form 990, Part VII, paid or accured pursuant to a contract that was subject to the initial contract exception described in Regs section 53 4958-4(a)(3)? If "Yes," describe in Part III	8		No
9	If "Yes" to line 8, did the organization also follow the rebuttable presumption procedure described in Regulations	-		110

section 53 4958-6(c)?

Part II Officers, Directors, Trustees, Key Employees, and Highest Compensated Employees. Use Schedule J-1 if additional space needed.

For each individual whose compensation must be reported in Schedule J, report compensation from the organization on row (i) and from related organizations, described in the instructions on row (ii) Do not list any individuals that are not listed on Form 990, Part VII

Note. The sum of columns (B)(I)-(III) for each listed individual must equal the total amount of Form 990, Part VII, Section A, line 1a, columns (D) and (E) for that individual

	_						
(A) Name	(B) Breakdown o	f W-2 and/or 1099-MI	SC compensation	(C) Retirement and	(D) Nontaxable	(E) Total of columns	(F) Compensation
	(i) Base compensation	(ii) Bonus & incentive compensation	(iii) Other reportable compensation	other deferred compensation	benefits	(B)(ı)-(D)	reported in prior Form 990 or Form 990-EZ
See Additional Data Table							

Part III Supplemental Information

Complete this part to provide the information, explanation, or descriptions required for Part I, lines 1a, 1b, 4c, 5a, 5b, 6a, 6b, 7, and 8 Also complete this part for any additional information

Ident if ier	Return Reference	Explanation
Supplemental Compensation Information	Schedule J, Part I, Line 1a, Companion Travel	METHINGS FOR MY SO, PART YIL, LINE IA AND SCHEDULE, JARRI ID DIRECTORS AND EX-OPTICIO DIRECTORS POVIDE THEIR SERVICES AS MEMBERS OF THE BOARD WITHOUT COMMENSATION OR BENEFITS ANY COMPENSATION AND BENEFITS DISCLOSED FOR SUCH PERSONS IS REARRED IN THE RESPECTIVE INDIVIDUAL'S ROLE AS AN OFFICIE OR REFORM OF THE RESPECTIVE INDIVIDUAL'S ROLE AS AN OFFICIE OR REFORM OF THE RESPECTIVE INDIVIDUAL'S ROLE AS AN OFFICIE OR THE MODIFICE OF THE MODIFICATION OF THE INDIVIDUAL'S ROLE AS A BOARD HEMBER OF THE RESPECTIVE INDIVIDUAL'S ROLE AS A BOARD HEMBER OF THE RESPECTIVE INDIVIDUAL'S ROLE AS A BOARD HEMBER OF THE RESPECTIVE INDIVIDUAL'S ROLE AS A BOARD HEMBER OF THE RESPECTIVE INDIVIDUAL'S ROLE AS A BOARD HEMBER OF THE RESPECTIVE INDIVIDUAL'S ROLE AS A BOARD HEMBER OF THE PROPERTY OF THE RESPECTIVE OR AND RESPECTIVE OR AND RESPONSE SUBJECT OF SYCHIATTIC ORGANIZATION. HE RECEIVED NO COMPENSATION FOR SERVING AS A DIRECTOR CHANGRA'S INDIVIDUAL OF THE RELATED COMPENSATION OF SERVING AS A DIRECTOR OF THE RELATED COMPENSATION OF SERVING AS A DIRECTOR OF THE RELATED COMPENSATION FOR SERVING AS A DIRECTOR OF THE RELATED COMPENSATION AS A SHAPP OF THE PROPERTY OF
	-	Schedule 1 (Form 990) 2011

Software ID: Software Version:

EIN: 74-1109836

Name: Christus Spohn Health System Corporation

Form 990, Schedule J, Part II - Officers, Directors, Trustees, Key Employees, and Highest Compensated Employees

(A) Name		(B) Breakdown of	W-2 and/or 1099-MIS	SC compensation	(C) Deferred	(D) Nontaxable	(E) Total of columns	(F) Compensation
		(i) Base Compensation	(ii) Bonus & incentive compensation	(iii) O ther compensation	compensation	benefits	(B)(ı)-(D)	reported in prior Form 990 or Form 990-EZ
Pamela Robertson	(1) (11)	468,090 0	0 63,039	55,453 0	135,451 0	5,825 0	664,819 63,039	46,253 0
Richard Davis	(I) (II)		0	568,549 0	55,439 0	6,682 0	875,384 0	420,990 0
Pamela Brower	(1) (11)		4,127 12,072	609 0	41,682 0	7,359 0	232,777 12,072	0
Mark Casanova	(I) (II)		0 14,579	1,039	29,726 0	18,874		0
Marıa E Chapa	(I) (II)		0 22,199	17,949 0	74,275 0	13,974 0	392,661 22,199	0
Steven Daniel	(I) (II)		0 16,337	28,823 0	48,521 0	27,719 0		28,823
Paul Gaden	(I) (II)		0 18,989	500 0	48,395 0	23,228	322,604 18,989	0
Mary LaFrancois	(I) (II)		0 13,674	28,086 0	39,764 0	22,430 0	282,810 13,674	22,974 0
Scott Merryman	(ı) (ıı)	218,892 73,979	0 48,300	5,900 28,109	39,738 21,834	7,710 2,449		0
Julie Pina	(ı) (ıı)		0 12,496	0 0	42,098 0	1,045 0	228,589 12,496	0
Francis Pommett Jr	(I) (II)		0 24,499	140,829 0	4,900 0	22,793 0	424,504 24,499	67,993 0
Raymundo Ramos Jr	(I) (II)		4,538 6,851	5,484 0	36,154 0	5,386 0	207,464 6,851	0
Brian Smith	(I) (II)		0 13,495	11,059 0	36,501 0	9,119 0	223,501 13,495	3,359 0
Norman McBride	(I) (II)	161,935 0	0	995 0	36,889 0	14,508	214,327 0	0
Leslie Gembol	(I) (II)		0 13,310	120,933	35,230 0	14,849 0	339,044 13,310	83,568 0
Bruce Holstien	(I) (II)		0	603,095 0	967 0	568 0	634,369 0	284,465 0
Madhup Gupta	(I) (II)	220,404 0	0	0	18,149 0	24,603 0	263,156 0	0
Geronimo M Rodriguez	(1)	0 242,371	0 34,779	0 67,082	0 51,393	0 8,314	0 403,939	0 30,435

Form 990, Schedule J, Part II - Officers, Directors, Trustees, Key Employees, and Highest Compensated Employees

ſ	(A) Name	(B) Breakdown of	f W-2 and/or 1099-MIS	SC compensation	(C) Deferred	(D) Nontaxable	(E) Total of columns	(F) Compensation reported in prior Form 990 or Form 990-EZ	
		(i) Base Compensation	(ii) Bonus & incentive compensation	(iii) Other compensation	compensation	benefits	(B)(ı)-(D)		
	Charles T Volk (1)) 228,050) 0	0	6,240	′ - 1	61 0	253,130 0	0	

efile GRAPHIC print - DO NOT PROCESS

As Filed Data -

DLN: 93493130027613

Employer identification number

Schedule L

(Form 990 or 990-EZ)

Name of the organization

Department of the Treasury

Internal Revenue Service

Transactions with Interested Persons

► Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, lines 25a, 25b, 26, 27, 28a, 28b, or 28c, or Form 990-EZ, Part V lines 38a or 40b.

► Attach to Form 990 or Form 990-EZ. ► See separate instructions.

OMB No 1545-0047

2011

Open to Public Inspection

Christus Spohn Health System Corporation								4-11098					
Part I Excess Benefit Trar Complete if the organizati										ıne 40b			
1 (a) Name of disqualified perso									·		(c) rected?		
										Yes	No		
											+		
											+		
											+-		
2 Enter the amount of tax impose	ed on ti	he orgai	nization man	aners or	disqualified ners	ons du	ing the v	zear unde	r				
section 4958	, on line	e 2, abo	ve, reimburs	ed by th				.	\$ — \$ —				
Part II Loans to and/or F Complete if the organiz). Part IV. line 26	. or For	m 990-l	EZ. Part V	. line 38	а			
(a) Name of interested person and purpose	(b) L or fro	oan to om the zation?	(c)Orig	ıınal	(d)Balance due	(e) defai	In App				ved d or	(g)Writt	
	То	From				Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No		
							+						
				. .									
otal	ce Be	nefitt	ing Intere			l Line	27						
(a) Name of interested person			b) Relationsh	ııp betwe	een interested per ganization			nount of g	rant or ty	pe of assis	tance		
			411	01	J 4 (1 0 1)								
						1							

Part IV Business Transactions Involving Interested Persons.

Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 28a, 28b, or 28c.

Complete if the organization	ili alisweled Tes Oli	roilli 330, Fait IV, III	ie zoa, zob, oi zoc.		
(a) Name of interested person	(b) Relationship between interested person and the	(c) A mount of transaction	(d) Description of transaction	(e) Sharing of organization's revenues?	
	organization			Yes	No
(1) PSYCHIATRIC CONSULTING SERVICES	NESTOR PRADERIO BRD MBR	180,923	SEE SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION		No

Part V Supplemental Information

Complete this part to provide additional information for responses to questions on Schedule L (see instructions)

Identifier	Return Reference	Explanation
BUSINESS TRANSACTIONS WITH INTERESTED PERSONS		FEES OF \$54,400 PAID TO PSYCHIATRIC CONSULTING SERVICES FOR PHYSICIAN SERVICES FEES OF \$126,523 PAID TO PSYCHIATRIC CONSULTING SERVICES FOR MEDICAL DIRECTOR FEES PROVIDED BY DR PRADERIO DR PRADERIO IS OWNER OF PSYCHIATRIC CONSULTING SERVICES

Schedule L (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2011

efile GRAPHIC print - DO NOT PROCESS

As Filed Data -

DLN: 93493130027613

OMB No 1545-0047

2011

Open to Public Inspection

Supplemental Information to Form 990 or 990-EZ

Department of the Treasury
Internal Revenue Service

Complete to provide information for responses to specific questions on Form 990 or to provide any additional information.

Attach to Form 990 or 990-EZ.

Name of the organization Christus Spohn Health System Corporation

SCHEDULE 0

(Form 990 or 990-EZ)

Employer identification number

74-1109836

Identifier	Return	Evaluation
luentiner	Reference	Explanation
DOING BUSINESS AS	FORM 990, PART I, LINE C	INAMES CHRISTUS SPOHN FAMILY HEALTH CENTER REPOCKS COUNTY CHRISTUS SPOHN LIVEN WELL CENTER CHRIST LS PROIN HEART INSTITUTE CHRISTUS SPOHN HOSPITAL CORRUS CHRIST. MEMORIAL CHRISTUS SPOHN HOSPITAL ORPUS CHRISTUS SPOHN HOSPITAL ALICE CHRISTUS SPOHN HOSPITAL SYSTEM CHRISTUS SPOHN HOSPITAL ALICE CHRISTUS SPOHN HOSPITAL KLEBURST LSHOPELING CHRISTUS SPOHN HOSPITAL DERVILLE CHRISTUS SPOHN HOSPITAL KLEBURS DESCRIPTION OF OTHER PROGRAM SERVICES FORM 390 PART III, LINE 40 COMMUNITY SERVICES FOR A BROADED COMMUNITY THE GREATEST SHARE OF THESE EXPENSES IS FOR BULCATING HEALTH PROFESSIONALS HELPING TO PREPARE FUTURE HEALTH CARE PROFESSIONALS IS A DISTRICUSHING CHRARCHERISTIC OF NOT-PORPORT HEALTH CARE AND CONSTITUTES A SIGNIFICANT IC COMMUNITY SERVICES FOR PROVIDE PLUIC HEALTH EDUCATION AND SCREENINGS TO THE BROADER COMMUNITY. THE CAREVAN IR ACISS A SPECIAL POCUS ON SMALLER TOWNS IN THE REGION THAT HAVE LIMITED ACCESS TO HEALTH RESOURCES IN PY2012 THE CHRISTUS SPOHN CAREVAN SERVED OVER 1,800 CLEDTS WITH 558 CHOLESTEROL SCREENINGS TO COMMUNITIES WE MADE CASH DOWNSTON IN THE REGION THAT HAVE LIMITED ACCESS TO HEALTH RESOURCES IN PY2012 THE CHRISTUS SPOHN CAREVAN SERVED OVER 1,800 CLEDTS WITH 558 CHOLESTEROL SCREENINGS FOR AND 127 SCREENINGS FOR DIABETES THE CAREVAN ALSO SERVES AS A REFERRAL RESOURCE TO RRIMARY PHY SICANS AND CLINICS IN THE REGION CHRISTUS HEALTH ALSO USED CASH DONATIONS TO FILEP OUR COMMUNITIES WE MADE CASH DONATIONS, IN ADDITION TO GRANTS AW ARDED THROUGH THE CHRISTUS FUND, TO SUPPORT CAUSES LIKE THE FIGHT AGAINST CANCER, DUBBITES, HEART DISEASE, HIVIAD DIS FROVISON OF A CONTINUING OF CARE FOR OUR ELEBELY, AND FOR MANY OTHER COLLILLY WORTHY PURPOSES DURING FY 2012, CHRISTUS HEALTH ADVOCATED FOR IMPROVING FUSI IC POLICIES, WORKING TO ESTABLISH AND IN SOME INSTANCES AUGURD THE GRANTS AWOOD OF A CONTINUING OF CARE FOR OUR ELEBELY AND SOME ASSESSMENT WORD FOR THE FINDINGS OF HEALTH CASK STORE SERVICES FOR THE CONSTITUENTS WE SERVE IN PY2012, CHRISTUS S POHN HEALTH TASK FORCE ESTABLISH AND IN SOME INSTANCES OF THE

Identifier Return Reference	Explanation
DOING FORM 990, PART I, LIN C	IS CONSIDERED A COMMUNITY BENEFIT FOR REPORTING PURPOSES THE COST OF THE INVESTMENTS IS NOT INCLUDED IN THE PROGRAM SERVICE EXPENSES FOR CHRISTUS SPOHN HEALTH SYSTEM CORPORATION THOUGH OUTSTANDING LOAN BALANCES VARY THROUGHOUT THE YEAR, THE OUTSTANDING LOAN BALANCE A T THE END OF FISCAL YEAR 2012 WAS \$0 CHRISTUS HEALTH HAS ESTABLISHED THE CHRISTUS FUND TO PROVIDE RESOURCES TO NOT-FOR-PROFIT AGENCIES AND GROUPS WHOSE VISION, MISSION AND GOALS A RE CONSISTENT WITH CHRISTUS HEALTH'S MISSION, VALUES AND PHILOSOPHY OF A HEALTHY COMMUNITY WE BELIEVE THAT BY WORKING TOGETHER, WE CAN MAKE A PROFOUND DIFFERENCE IN THE QUALITY OF PEOPLES' LIVES AND CREATE SUSTAINABLE HEALTH IN OUR COMMUNITIES DURING FY2012, THE TOTAL GRANT MONEY DISTRIBUTED BY CHRISTUS HEALTH TO THE SPOHN REGION WAS \$144,531 THE COST OF THESE GRANTS IS NOT INCLUDED IN THE PROGRAM SERVICE EXPENSES FOR CHRISTUS SPOHN HEALTH SYS TEM CORPORATION A LARGE PERCENTAGE OF THE GRANTS WERE USED FOR COMMUNITY HEALTH WORKERS A ND A CARE MANAGEMENT PROGRAM (CARE PARTNERS) AIMED AT CHRONIC DISEASE MANAGEMENT FOR UNDER SERVED PATIENTS IN OUR FAMILY HEALTH CENTERS AND CLINICS EARLY RESULTS ARE PROMISING, SHO WING FEWER VISITS TO THE EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT AND A DECREASE IN PREVENTABLE HOSPITALIZATIO NS FOR THESE PATIENTS THIS MODEL IS HELPING CREATE A "MEDICAL HOME" FOR PATIENTS THAT TRA DITIONALLY HAVE RELIED ON THE EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT FOR PRIMARY AND ROUTINE CARE. TOTAL OTH ER PROGRAM EXPENSES - \$4,760,565 GRANTS AND ALLOCATIONS - \$1,426,192 REVENUE - \$0

ldentifier	Return	Explanation
	Reference	·
DESCRIPTION OF CLASSES OF MEMBERS OR STOCKHOLDERS	FORM 990, PART VI, LINE 6	CHRISTUS HEALTH IS THE SOLE CORPORATE MEMBER OF THE FLING ORGANIZATION DESCRIPTION OF CLASSES OF FERSONS AND THE NATURE OF THEIR RIGHTS FORM 990, PART VI, LINE 73 CHRISTUS HEALTH, THE SOLE CORPORATE MEMBER OF THE FLING ORGANIZATIONS ORGANIZATION, HAS THE POWER TO A PROTIST ALL MEMBERS OF THE FLING ORGANIZATIONS ON PRINTING ROBANIZATION, HAS THE POWER TO A PROTIST ALL MEMBERS OF THE FLING ORGANIZATIONS FORM 990, PART VI, LINE 78 CHRISTUS HEALTH SEORADO PDIRECTORS HAS THE FOLLOWING POWERS APPROVE, CHANGE AND/OR INTERRET THE FLING ORGANIZATIONS PRILOSOPHY, MISSION AND VISION, APPROVE THE ADDOPTION OR MEMBERS OF THE FLING ORGANIZATIONS ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION AND BYLAWS, APPOINT AND REMOVE MEMBERS OF THE FLING ORGANIZATIONS ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION AND BYLAWS, APPOINT AND REMOVE MEMBERS OF THE FLING ORGANIZATIONS ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION AND VICE CHARGER ON FOR SOME MEMBERS OF THE FLING ORGANIZATION APPROVE THE MEMBERS OF THE FLING ORGANIZATION AND FROM THE FLING ORGANIZATION APPROVE THE MEMBERS OR THE INCORPORATION AND REMOVE THE FLING ORGANIZATION APPROVE THE MEMBERS OR THE INCORPORATION APPROVE THE MEMBERS OR THE INCORPORATION APPROVE AND MEMBERS OR STEEL-WISE CONSOLIDATION, ACCUSION ON SUBJECT AND THE FLING ORGANIZATION APPROVE THE INCORPORATION APPROVE THE INCORPORATION APPROVE THE INCORPORATION APPROVE THE INCORPORATION APPROVE ORGANIZATION APPROVE THE INCORPORATION APPROVE ORGANIZATION APPROVE AND AUTOMORPORATION APPROVE AND AU

ldentifier	Return Reference	Explanation
DESCRIPTION OF CLASSES OF MEMBERS OR STOCKHOLDERS	FORM 990, PART VI, LINE 6	AND THAT NO POTENTIAL OR IDENTIFIED CONFLICT IS DISCLOSED OR EXISTS THE ORGANIZATIONS B OARD OF DIRECTORS IS RESPONSIBLE FOR ENFORCEMENT OF THE CONFLICT OF INTEREST POLICY OF THE ORGANIZATION COMPENSATION DETERMINATION PROCESS FORM 990, PART VI, LINES 15A & 15B THE EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION COMMITTEE OF CHRISTUS HEALTH DETERMINAS THE COMPENSATION OF THE CEO (OR EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, AS APPLICABLE), OFFICERS AND KEY EMPLOYESS OF CHRISTUS HEALTH AND CERTAIN OTHER OFFICERS AND KEY EMPLOYESS OF CHRISTUS HEALTH AND CERTAIN OTHER OFFICERS AND KEY EMPLOYESS OF RELATED ORGANIZATIONS, INCLUDING CHRISTUS SPOH IN HEALTH SYSTEM CORPORATION THE EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION COMMITTEE IS COMPOSED OF INDIVIDUA LS WHO HAVE NO CONFLICT OF INTEREST WITH THE COMPENSATION ARRANGEMENTS AT HAND THE EXECUT IVE COMPENSATION COMMITTEE OF THE CHRISTUS HEALTH ARRANGEMENTS AT HAND THE EXECUT IVE COMPENSATION COMMITTEE OF THE CHRISTUS HEALTH ARRANGEMENTS AND INDEPENDENT EXTERNAL FIRM TO PERFORM AN INDEPENDENT COMPENSATION REVIEW, TO BISIZE THAT CALL COMPENSATION IS REASO NABLE AND COMPARABLE TO OTHER SWILLARLY SITUATED ORGANIZATIONS, FOR SIMILARLY QUALLIFIED PERSONS IN FUNCTIONALLY COMPARABLE POSITIONS, AND TO PROVIDE SUPPORTING INFORMATION OF COMPENSATION DECISIONS ON AN ANNUAL BASIS THE EXTERNAL CONSULTANT 1 DEVELOYES THE MERNI INCREE AS EXPENDED AND AN ANNUAL BASIS THE EXTERNAL CONSULTANT 1 DEVELOYES THE MERNI INCREE AS EXCOMMENDS THE CHANGES IN THE COMPENSATION STRUTURE (GRADES) BASIS ON THE MARKET CHANGES 3 COMPLETES A REVIEW AND EVALUATION OF NEWLY CREATED POSITIONS TO RECOMMEND A GRADE FLA CEMENT TO THE COMMITTEE FOR TSD SIGNS ON AND APPROVAL ON A BHANNUAL BASIS, THE EXTERNAL CONSULTANT COMPLETES A DETAILED REVIEW OF ALL OTHER DESIGNATED SYSTEM EXCUTIVE COMPENSATION DENSITY OF REVIEW OF ALL OTHER DESIGNATED SYSTEM EXCUTIVE COMPENSATION COMMITTEE FOR THE CROMPENSATION DECISIONS OFFICIALLY FROM THE COMPENSATION COMMITTEE FOR THE AREA OF THE FILING ORGANIZATIONS TO THE COMMITTEE ON A NY CHANGES NECESSARY IN EITHER SPECIFIC COMPENSATION FOR AND ANO

ldentifier	Return Reference	Explanation
AVAIL OF GOV DOCS, CONFLICT OF INTEREST POLICY, & FIN STMTS TO GEN PUBLIC	FORM 990, PART VI, LINE 19	THE CONSOLIDATED AUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS OF CHRISTUS HEALTH ARE MADE AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC VIA THE CHRISTUS HEALTH WEBSITE. THE ORGANIZATIONS GOVERNING DOCUMENTS AND CONFLICT OF INTEREST POLICY ARE NOT MADE AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC. HOURS WORKED FOR RELATED ORGANIZATION FORM 990, PART VII, SECTION A AVERAGE HOURS PER WEEK LISTED ON FORM 990, PART VII, SECTION A ARE THE AVERAGE HOURS DEVOTED TO THE RESPECTIVE PERSONS POSITION WHILE SERVING THE ORGANIZATION EFFECTIVE 11/29/10, GERONIMO M RODRIGUEZ DEVOTES AN AVERAGE OF 40 HOURS PER WEEK TO CHRISTUS SANTA ROSA HEALTH CARE CORPORATION, A RELATED ORGANIZATION OF THE FILING ENTITY EFFECTIVE 11/29/10, GERONIMO MS ECAME THE CHIEF NURSE EXECUTIVE/CHIEF OPERATING OF THE FILING ENTITY SETCENTS SANTA ROSA HEALTH CARE CORPORATION SISTER ROSANNE DOPP, MD DEVOTES AN AVERAGE OF CHRISTUS SANTA ROSA HEALTH CARE CORPORATION SISTER ROSANNE DOPP, MD DEVOTES AN AVERAGE OF THE FILING ENTITY SISTER ROSANNE IS A BOARD MEMBER OF CHRISTUS STEHLIN FOUNDATION FOR CANCER RESEARCH, STEHLIN FOUNDATION FOR CANCER RESEARCH SISTER ROSANNE DEVOTES AN AVERAGE OF 38 HOURS PER WEEK TO CHRISTUS STEHLIN FOUNDATION FOR CANCER RESEARCH SISTER ROSANNE DEVOTES AN AVERAGE OF 38 HOURS PER WEEK TO CH WILKINSON PHY SICIAN NETWORK. A RELATED ORGANIZATION OF THE FILING ENTITY SISTER ROSANNE DEVOTES AN AVERAGE OF 38 HOURS PER WEEK TO CHRISTUS HEALTH FULLY SISTER ROSANNE DEVOTED AN AVERAGE OF 11 HOUR PER WEEK TO CHRISTUS HEALTH PLAN, A RELATED ORGANIZATION OF THE FILING ENTITY SCOTT WAS THE TREASURER OF CHRISTUS HEALTH PLAN, A RELATED ORGANIZATION OF THE FILING ENTITY SCOTT WAS THE TREASURER OF CHRISTUS HEALTH PLAN, A RELATED ORGANIZATION OF THE FILING ENTITY SCOTT IS THE CFO OF CHRISTUS HEALTH NOTHERN LOUISIANA LOUISIANA, A RELATED ORGANIZATION OF THE FILING ENTITY SCOTT IS THE CFO OF CHRISTUS HEALTH NOTHERN LOUISIANA LOUISIANA, A RELATED ORGANIZATION OF THE FILING ENTITY SCOTT IS THE CFO OF CHRISTUS HEALTH NOTHERN LOUISIANA EFFECTIVE 91911, SCOTT DEVOTES AN AVERAGE OF 15 HOURS PER WEEK TO CHRISTUS HEALTH

efile GRAPHIC print - DO NOT PROCESS | As Filed Data SCHEDULE R | Related Or

Related Organizations and Unrelated Partnerships

► Complete if the organization answered "Yes" to Form 990, Part IV, line 33, 34, 35, 36, or 37.

► Attach to Form 990. ► See separate instructions.

OMB No 1545-0047

DLN: 93493130027613

Open to Public

Department of the Treasury Internal Revenue Service

(Form 990)

Internal Revenue S	Service					Ir	ıspectio	n
	e organization Health System Corporation					lentification number		
Part I	Identification of Disregarded Entities (Comp	lete if the organization	on answered "Yes'	" on Form 990, Pa	74-11098: art IV, line 33.)	36		
	(a) Name, address, and EIN of disregarded entity	(b) Primary activity	(c) Legal domicile (state or foreign country)	(d) Total income	(e) End-of-year assets	(f) Direct controlling entity		
Part II	Identification of Related Tax-Exempt Organ or more related tax-exempt organizations during		ıf the organization	n answered "Yes"	on Form 990, P	art IV, line 34 becau	se it had	one
	(a) Name, address, and EIN of related organization	(b) Primary activity	(c) Legal domicile (state or foreign country)	(d) Exempt Code section	(e) Public charity status (if section 501(c)(3)	(f) Direct controlling entity	Section 5 cont	g) 512(b)(13) rolled nization
Coo Additional	Date Table						Yes	No
See Additional	Data Table							
For Privacy	Act and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the Instruct	ions for Form 990.	Cat No 50	0135Y		Schedule R (Form 990	2011

Part III Identification of Related Organizations Taxable as a Partnership (Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 34 because it had one or more related organizations treated as a partnership during the tax year.)

(k) Percentage ownership					·
ral or iging	No				
(j) Genera mana <u>c</u> partn	Yes				
(i) Code V—UBI amount in box 20 of Schedule K-1 (Form 1065)					
tionate	No				
(h) Dispropr allocatio	Yes				
(g) Share of end-of- year assets					
(f) Share of total Income					
(e) Predominant income (related, unrelated, excluded from tax under sections 512- 514)					
(d) Direct controlling entity					
(c) Legal domicile (state or foreign country)					
Primary activity					·
(a) Name, address, and EIN of related organization					

Part IV Identification of Related Organizations Taxable as a Corporation or Trust (Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 34 because it had one or more related organizations treated as a corporation or trust during the tax year.)

(a) Name, address, and EIN of related organization	(b) Primary activity	(c) Legal domicile (state or foreign country)	(d) Direct controlling entity	(e) Type of entity (C corp, S corp, or trust)	(f) Share of total Income	(g) Share of end-of-year assets	(h) Percentage ownership
(1) SPOHN HEALTH NETWORK 600 ELIZABETH STREET CORPUS CHRISTI, TX 78404 74-2616328	HLTH PLAN ADM	ТХ	CSPHSC	C corp	402,998	1,336,877	100 000 %
(2) SPOHN INVESTMENT CORPORATION 600 ELIZABETH STREET CORPUS CHRISTI, TX 78404 74-2322574	Rental	ТХ	CSPHSC	C CORP	1,243,397	15,593,673	100 000 %
(3) CHRISTUS Muguerza SAPI de CV HIDALGO PTE 2525 COL OBISPADO, MONTERREY, N L 64060 MX	HLTHCARE SVC	МХ	СН	C corp			
(4) EMERALD ASSURANCE CAYMAN LTD 98-0407545	INSURANCE	CJ	СН	C CORP			

(6)

Par	t V	Transactions With Related Organizations (Complete if the organization answered "Yes	" on Form 990, Par	t IV, line 34, 35, 3	5A, or 36.)		
	Note.	Complete line 1 if any entity is listed in Parts II, III or IV				Ye	No
1 Du	rıng th	e tax year, did the orgranization engage in any of the following transactions with one or more related organi	zations listed in Parts	s II-IV?			
а	Recei	ot of (i) interest (ii) annuities (iii) royalties (iv) rent from a controlled entity			1.	Ye	5
b	Gıft, g	rant, or capital contribution to related organization(s)			1	ye:	5
c	Gıft, g	rant, or capital contribution from related organization(s)			10	Ye	5
d	Loans	or loan guarantees to or for related organization(s)			10	1	No
e	Loans	or loan guarantees by related organization(s)			10	e	No
f	Sale o	fassets to related organization(s)			11	f	No
g	Purch	ase of assets from related organization(s)			19	3	No
h	Excha	nge of assets with related organization(s)			1	h	No
i	Lease	of facilities, equipment, or other assets to related organization(s)			1	<u> </u>	No
j	Lease	of facilities, equipment, or other assets from related organization(s)			1		
k	Perfor	mance of services or membership or fundraising solicitations for related organization(s)			11	k Ye	5
I	Perfor	nance of services or membership or fundraising solicitations by related organization(s)			1	I Ye	5
m	Sharır	g of facilities, equipment, mailing lists, or other assets with related organization(s)			1		No
n	Sharır	g of paid employees with related organization(s)			11	n Ye	•
0	Reimb	ursement paid to related organization(s) for expenses			10	Ye	
р	Reımb	ursement paid by related organization(s) for expenses			1	y Ye	<u> </u>
q	Other	transfer of cash or property to related organization(s)			<u> </u>	7 Ye	
r	Other	transfer of cash or property from related organization(s)			1	r	No
2	Ifthe	answer to any of the above is "Yes," see the instructions for information on who must complete this line, in		onships and transact			
		(a)	(b) Transaction	(c) Amount involved	(d) Method of determ	ıınıng a	nount
(1) Co.	- A d d .+	Name of other organization	type(a-r)	Amount involved	ınvolv	ed	
(2)	e Additio	TIAL DATA TABLE					
•							
(3)							
(4)							
(5)							
(5)							
				1	l		

Part VI Unrelated Organizations Taxable as a Partnership (Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 37.)

Provide the following information for each entity taxed as a partnership through which the organization conducted more than five percent of its activities (measured by total assets or gross revenue) that was not a related organization. See instructions regarding exclusion for certain investment partnerships

(a) Name, address, and EIN of entity	(b) Primary activity	(c) Legal domicile (state or foreign country)	(d) Predominant income(related, unrelated, excluded from tax under sections 512- 514)	org	(e) Are all partners section 501(c)(3) janizations?	(f) Share of total income	(g) Share of end-of-year assets	(h) Disproprtionate alloc		(i) Code V—UBI amount in box 20 of Schedule K-1 (Form 1065)	Gene man part	j) eral or aging ener?	(k) Percentage ownership
			,	Yes	No			Yes	No		Yes	No	

Schedule R (Form 990) 2011

Part VII Supplemental Information

Complete this part to provide additional information for responses to questions on Schedule R (see instructions)

Identifier Return Reference Explanation

Schedule R (Form 990) 2011

Software ID: Software Version:


EIN: 74-1109836

Name: Christus Spohn Health System Corporation

Form 990, Schedule R, Part II - Ide	entification of R	elated Tax-	Exempt Orga	anizations			1
(a) Name, address, and EIN of related organization	(b) Primary Activity	(c) Legal Domicile (State or Foreign Country)	(d) Exempt Code section	(e) Public charity status (if 501(c) (3))	(f) Direct Controlling Entity	Sectio (b)(contr organi	n 512 13) olled
CHRISTUS HEALTH ARK-LA-TEX							\Box
2600 ST MICHAEL DRIVE TEXARKANA, TX 75503 75-2796815	HLTHCARE SVCS	тх	501(c) (3)	3	СН	Yes	
CHRISTUS HEALTH CENTRAL LOUISIANA 3330 MASONIC DRIVE ALEXANDRIA, LA 71301	HLTHCARE SVCS	LA	501(c) (3)	3	СН	Yes	
72-0408984 CHRISTUS HEALTH GULF COAST PO BOX 922037 HOUSTON, TX 77292 76-0591592	HLTHCARE SVCS	тх	501(c) (3)	3	СН	Yes	
CHRISTUS HEALTH NORTHERN LOUISIANA ONE SAINT MARY PLACE SHREVEPORT, LA 71101	HLTHCARE SVCS	LA	501(c) (3)	3	СН	Yes	
72-0408982 CHRISTUS Health 919 HIDDEN RIDGE DRIVE IRVING, TX 75038 76-0590551	SUPT HLTH SVC	тх	501(c) (3)	11 - TYPE I	NΑ		No
CHRISTUS HEALTH SOUTHEAST TEXAS 2830 CALDER STREET BEAUMONT, TX 77726 76-0591590	HLTHCARE SVCS	тх	501(c) (3)	3	СН	Yes	
CHRISTUS HEALTH SOUTHWESTERN LOUISIANA 524 DR MICHAEL DEBAKEY DR LAKE CHARLES, LA 70601 72-0411322	HLTHCARE SVCS	LA	501(c) (3)	3	СН	Yes	
CHRISTUS SANTA ROSA HEALTH CARE CORP 333 N SANTA ROSA STREET SAN ANTONIO, TX 78207	HLTHCARE SVCS	тх	501(c) (3)	3	СН	Yes	
74-1109665 CHRISTUS HEALTH UTAH 919 HIDDEN RIDGE DRIVE IRVING, TX 75038 87-0231682	HLTHCARE SVCS	UT	501(c) (3)	9	СН	Yes	
CHRISTUS CONTINUING CARE 1700 WEST LOOP SOUTH STE 1100 HOUSTON, TX 77027 74-2898615	HLTHCARE SVCS	тх	501(c) (3)	3	СН	Yes	
CH WILKINSON PHYSICIAN NETWORK 1700 WEST LOOP SOUTH STE 400B HOUSTON, TX 77027 76-0422435	HLTHCARE SVCS	тх	501(c) (3)	11 - Type I	СН	Yes	
ST JOSEPH COMMUNITY FOUNDATION 2800 LAMAR AVE CAPITAL ONE 2ND F PARIS, TX 75460 42-1619230	SUPT HLTH SVC	тх	501(c) (3)	11 - Type I	СН	Yes	
CHRISTUS STEHLIN FDN FOR CANCER RESEARCH 10301 STELLA LINK SUITE A HOUSTON, TX 77025 74-1622404	CANCER RSCH	тх	501(c) (3)	9	СН	Yes	
DUBUIS HEALTH SYSTEM INC 1700 W LOOP SOUTH STE 1100A HOUSTON, TX 77027 72-1270964	HLTHCARE SVCS	тх	501(c) (3)	3	СН	Yes	
CHRISTUS HEALTH FOUNDATION 919 HIDDEN RIDGE DRIVE IRVING, TX 75038 61-1500100	SUPT HLTH SVC	тх	501(c) (3)	11 - Type I	СН	Yes	
CHRISTUS SPOHN HLTH SYSTEM DEVELOP FDTN 600 ELIZABETH STREET CORPUS CHRISTI, TX 78404 74-1906005	SUPT HLTH SVC	тх	501(c) (3)	7	Spohn HS Crp	Yes	
Christus Health Liability Retention Trst 919 HIDDEN RIDGE DRIVE IRVING, TX 75038 76-0259623	Self Ins Trst	тх	501(c) (3)	11 - Type I	СН	Yes	
CHRISTUS HEALTH PLAN 600 ELIZABTH ST CORPUS CHRISTI, TX 78404 45-2106295	MEDICAID HMO	тх	501(c) (3)	9	SPOHN HS CRP	Yes	

Form 990, Schedule R, Part V - Transactions With Related Organizations

	(a) Name of other organization	(b) Transaction type(a-r)	(c) A mount Involved (\$)	(d) Method of determining amount involved
(1)	CHRISTUS SPOHN HEALTH SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT FDN	В	2,580,812	ACCRUAL
(2)	CHRISTUS SPOHN HEALTH SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT FDN	N	366,639	ACCRUAL
(3)	CHRISTUS SPOHN HEALTH SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT FDN	A(IV)	15,411	ACCRUAL
(4)	CHRISTUS SPOHN HEALTH SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT FDN	С	3,187,900	ACCRUAL
(5)	CH WILKINSON PHYSICIAN NETWORK	A(IV)	1,257,141	ACCRUAL
(6)	CH WILKINSON PHYSICIAN NETWORK	L	2,350,184	ACCRUAL
(7)	CH WILKINSON PHYSICIAN NETWORK	Р	78,842	ACCRUAL
(8)	SPOHN INVESTMENT CORPORATION	A(I)	438	ACCRUAL
(9)	CHRISTUS HEALTH LIABILITY RETENTION TRUST	0	643,067	ACCRUAL
(10)	CHRISTUS HEALTH LIABILITY RETENTION TRUST	Р	306,139	ACCRUAL



CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

CHRISTUS Health Years Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 With Report of Independent Auditors

Errer & Young LET



Consolidated Financial Statements and Supplementary Information

Years Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011

Contents

Independent Auditors' Report	1
Consolidated Financial Statements	
Consolidated Balance Sheets	2
Consolidated Statements of Operations and Changes in Net Assets	
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	
Supplementary Information	
Independent Auditors' Report on Supplementary Information	53
Community Benefit (Unaudited)	



Ernst & Young ULP One Microry Pack Suite 2000 2923 Victory Avenue Codes ID 752±11

To 15 251 969 3000 Tax 11 714 969 3557 Www.ey com

Independent Auditors' Report

The Board of Directors CHRISTUS Health

We have audited the consolidated balance sheets of CHRISTUS Health as of June 30, 2012 and 2011, and the related consolidated statements of operations and changes in net assets and cash flows for the years then ended. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of CHRISTUS Health's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. We were not engaged to perform an audit of CHRISTUS Health's internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of CHRISTUS Health's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of CHRISTUS Health at June 30, 2012 and 2011, and the results of its consolidated operations and changes in its net assets and its cash flows for the years then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States.

September 27, 2012

1207-1376065

Ernst + Young LLP

Consolidated Balance Sheets

	Jun	ie 30	0
	2012		2011
	(In The	วนรส	ınds)
Assets			
Current assets:			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 366,043	\$	418,376
Short-term investments	366,527		444,893
Equity investments in managed funds	163,211		100,721
Assets whose use is limited or restricted, required for current			
liabilities	56,903		71,367
Patient accounts receivable, net of allowance for uncompensated care of \$200,508 and \$171,310 at June 30, 2012 and 2011,			
respectively	334,971		313,652
Notes and other receivables	57,499		125,705
Inventories	89,658		88,432
Assets held for sale	617		2,150
Securities pledged to creditors	46,518		40,211
Security lending collateral	46,257		39,155
Other current assets	51,167		38,690
Total current assets	1,579,371		1,683,352
Assets whose use is limited or restricted, less current portion	907,962		812,963
Property, plant, and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation	1,719,060		1,786,833
Other assets:			
Investments in unconsolidated organizations	209,938		188,550
Derivative financial instruments	_		12,375
Goodwill	101,858		91,569
Other assets	74,472		81,074
Other restricted assets	 15,540		13,453
Total other assets	401,808		387,021
Total assets	\$ 4,608,201	\$	4,670,169

	Jur	ie 3	0
	 2012		2011
	(In The	ousa	ınds)
Liabilities and net assets			
Current liabilities:			
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$ 358,097	\$	365,852
Accrued employee compensation and benefits	172,633		163,645
Accrued pension benefits, current portion	7,755		6,927
Estimated third-party settlements	33,267		42,081
Current portion of long-term debt	48,405		57,904
Payable under security lending agreement	 47,288		39,462
Total current liabilities	667,445		675,871
Long-term debt, less current portion	1,124,556		1,175,036
Accrued pension benefits, long-term portion	197,177		116,446
Derivative financial instruments	136,786		72,348
Other long-term obligations – primarily related to self-funded			
liabilities, less current portion	176,799		180,428
Total liabilities	 2,302,763		2,220,129
Net assets:			
Unrestricted:			
Attributable to CHRISTUS Health	2,115,411		2,261,844
Attributable to noncontrolling interest	119,299		113,249
Total unrestricted	2,234,710		2,375,093
Temporarily restricted	54,183		61,396
Permanently restricted	16,545		13,551
Total net assets	2,305,438		2,450,040
Total liabilities and net assets	\$ 4,608,201	\$	4,670,169

See accompanying notes

Consolidated Statements of Operations and Changes in Net Assets

	Year F June	
	2012	2011
	(In Thos	usands)
Unrestricted revenues:		
Net patient service revenue	\$ 3,417,777	\$ 3,410,683
Premium revenue	174,283	164,735
Other revenue	182,736	172,118
Equity in income of unconsolidated organizations	27,539	33,417
Total revenues	3,802,335	3,780,953
Expenses:		
Employee compensation and benefits	1,625,972	1,629,067
Services and other	1,021,156	984,578
Supplies	638,700	631,169
Depreciation and amortization	218,352	220,933
Provision for uncollectible accounts	205,977	172,703
Interest	42,166	42,803
Total expenses	3,752,323	3,681,253
Operating gain	50,012	99,700
Nonoperating investment (loss) gain	(91,519)	114,785
Other nonoperating gain (loss)	112	(18,435)
Revenues in (deficit) excess of expenses	(41,395)	196,050
Less revenues in excess of expenses attributable to		
noncontrolling interest	12,601	18,717
Revenues in (deficit) excess of expenses attributable to CHRISTUS Health	(53,996)	177,333

Consolidated Statements of Operations and Changes in Net Assets (continued)

	Year En June 3	
	 2012	2011
	(In Thous	ands)
Changes in unrestricted net assets:		
Revenues in (deficit) excess of expenses attributable to		
CHRISTUS Health	\$ (53,996) \$	177,333
Net change in unrealized (loss) gain on investments	(6,390)	5,823
Change in pension liabilities	(89,525)	100,462
Change in noncontrolling interest	6,050	9,535
Other	3,478	939
Changes in unrestricted net assets before effect of change in		
accounting principle	(140,383)	294,092
Effect of change in accounting principle (<i>Note 3</i>)	 _	(34,752)
Changes in unrestricted net assets	(140,383)	259,340
Tanananaila mataista la atauanta		
Temporarily restricted net assets: Contributions	2 220	11.022
	3,229	11,823
Net change in unrealized (loss) gain on investments	(2,190)	1,943
Net assets released from restrictions and other	 (8,252)	(10,276)
Changes in temporarily restricted net assets	(7,213)	3,490
Changes in permanently restricted net assets	2,994	431
Changes in net assets	(144,602)	263,261
Net assets – beginning of year	2,450,040	2,186,779
Net assets – end of year	\$ 2,305,438 \$	2,450,040

See accompanying notes

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

	Year Ended June 30		
		2012	2011
	(In Thousands)		
Operating activities Changes in net assets:	\$	(144,602) \$	263,261
Adjustments to reconcile changes in net assets to net cash	Ф	(144,002)	205,201
provided by operating activities:			
Change in pension liabilities		80,731	(100,702)
Contributions of temporarily restricted net assets		(3,229)	(11,823)
Equity in income of unconsolidated organizations		(27,539)	(33,417)
Equity earnings on investments in managed funds		5,493	(10,591)
Depreciation and amortization		218,352	220,933
Provision for uncollectible accounts		205,977	172,703
Change in derivative fair value		76,813	(29,803)
Loss on early extinguishment of debt		_	508
Gain on disposal of property, plant, and equipment		(2,716)	(3,779)
Impairment of goodwill			34,752
Impairment of long-lived assets		_	7,041
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of acquisitions:			
Increase in net patient accounts receivable		(227,296)	(180,236)
Decrease (increase) in trading securities		1,084	(387,208)
Decrease (increase) in notes and other receivables		68,206	(36,220)
(Increase) decrease in inventories		(1,226)	995
Decrease in other current assets		234	6,342
Increase in accounts payable, accrued expenses, and accrued employee compensation and benefits		4,193	66,221
(Decrease) increase in net third-party payor settlements		(8,815)	27,599
Increase in liability for self-funded liabilities		3,782	14,773
Net cash provided by operating activities		249,442	21,349
Investing activities			
Purchases of property, plant, and equipment		(166,264)	(143,707)
Proceeds from sale or disposal of property, plant, and equipment		8,633	24,119
(Increase) decrease in equity investments in managed funds		(71,234)	5,110
Decrease in investments in unconsolidated organizations		6,151	19,447
(Increase) decrease in securities pledged to creditors		(6,307)	8,445
(Increase) decrease in other assets		(6,120)	10,650
(Increase) decrease in security lending collateral	_	(7,102)	9,982
Net cash used in investing activities		(242,243)	(65,954)

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (continued)

	Year Ended June 30			
		2011		
		(In Thousa	nds)	
Financing activities				
Contributions of temporarily restricted net assets	\$	3,229 \$	11,823	
Other costs associated with debt refinancing/conversion		(859)	(1,295)	
Proceeds from debt issuance	- 99,617			
Payments on long-term debt		(69,728)	(161,323)	
Increase (decrease) in payable under security lending agreements		7,826	(10,104)	
Net cash used in financing activities		(59,532)	(61,282)	
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents		(52,333)	(105,887)	
Cash and cash equivalents – beginning of year		418,376	524,263	
Cash and cash equivalents – end of year	\$	366,043 \$	418,376	
Noncash investing and financing transactions				
Capital lease obligations incurred for property, plant, and equipment	<u>\$</u>	1,064 \$	15,022	
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information				
Cash paid during the year for interest (net of amount capitalized)	\$	45,372 \$	43,736	

See accompanying notes

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

June 30, 2012

1. Mission, Vision, and Organization of CHRISTUS Health

CHRISTUS Health was incorporated as a Texas nonprofit corporation on December 15, 1998. CHRISTUS Health (CHRISTUS or the System) is sponsored by the Congregation of the Sisters of Charity of the Incarnate Word of Houston, Texas, and the Congregation of the Sisters of the Incarnate Word of San Antonio, Texas. The Congregation of the Sisters of Charity of the Incarnate Word of Houston, Texas, sponsors the Sisters of Charity Health Care System (SCH), and the Congregation of the Sisters of Charity of the Incarnate Word of San Antonio, Texas, sponsors the Incarnate Word Health System (IWHS). SCH and IWHS continue to exist and carry out their ministries.

The mission of CHRISTUS Health is to extend the healing ministry of Jesus Christ. The Gospel values underlying the mission statement challenge CHRISTUS Health to make choices that respond to the economically disadvantaged and the underserved with health care needs. The growth and development of CHRISTUS Health are determined by the health care needs of the communities that CHRISTUS Health serves, its available resources, and the interrelationship of those serving and those being served. Responsible stewardship mandates that CHRISTUS searches out new, effective means to deliver quality health care and to promote wholeness in the human person.

The vision of CHRISTUS Health is to be a leader, a partner, and an advocate in the creation of innovative health and wellness solutions that improve the lives of individuals and communities so that all may experience God's healing presence and love.

The consolidated financial statements of CHRISTUS Health include activities of its affiliated market-based organizations and other related entities, all of which are wholly or majority-owned and commonly referred to as regions or entities. For purposes of these consolidated financial statements, the "System" is defined as CHRISTUS Health's affiliated market-based organizations and other related entities. The other related entities include, but are not limited to, hospital foundations, professional office buildings, management services organizations, physician groups, outpatient surgery centers, a collection agency, self-insurance trusts, an offshore captive insurance company, health plans, integrated community health networks, and diagnostic imaging companies.

CHRISTUS Health controls or owns, directly or indirectly, or manages various nonprofit and for-profit corporations and other organizations that currently operate in the states of Texas, Arkansas, Georgia, Louisiana, Missouri, New Mexico, Iowa, and in the Republic of Mexico.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

1. Mission, Vision, and Organization of CHRISTUS Health (continued)

CHRISTUS Health and certain affiliated nonprofit corporations are generally exempt from federal income taxes under Section 501(a) of the Internal Revenue Code, as organizations described in Section 501(c)(3).

2. Community Health

In accordance with its mission and philosophy, the System commits significant resources to improving the health of the communities it serves. In support of its mission, the System provides programs and services for entire communities, with a special consideration for those who are poor and underserved.

Programs and Services for the Poor and Underserved – These programs and services represent the financial commitment to serve those who have inadequate resources and/or are uninsured or underinsured. Services are offered with the conviction that health care is a basic human right and all deserve access. The categories included as programs and services for the poor and the underserved are as follows:

Charity Care – In accordance with the Catholic Health Association (CHA) guidelines, charity care represents the unpaid costs of free or discounted health services provided to persons who cannot afford to pay and who meet the organization's criteria for financial assistance. Traditional charity care is defined by the state of Texas as the unreimbursed costs of providing, funding, or otherwise financially supporting the health care services provided to a person with income at or below 200% of the federal poverty level. Charity care services provided to these patients are not reported as revenue in the consolidated statements of operations and changes in net assets as there is minimal or no expectation of payment. The amount of traditional charity care provided, determined on the basis of cost, excluding the provision for bad debt expense, was approximately \$168,645,000 and \$172,473,000 for the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Unpaid Costs of Medicaid and Other Public Programs for the Indigent – This category represents the cost of providing services to beneficiaries of public programs, including state Medicaid and indigent care programs, in excess of any payments received from all sources.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

2. Community Health (continued)

Community Services for the Poor and Underserved – This category represents the unpaid cost of services provided for which a patient is not billed, or for which a fee has been assessed that recovers only a portion of the cost of the rendered service. This category includes services to those in need through community health programs. The programs cover a broad spectrum of services, from community health centers to immunizations for children and seniors, Meals on Wheels, transportation services, home repair projects, and a variety of other social services. These programs may also seek justice for the vulnerable and work to bring about changes in political and economic systems.

Examples of CHRISTUS Health Community Benefits accounted for under Community Services for the Poor and Underserved include:

- The CHRISTUS Community Direct Investment (CDI) Program was established to support community-driven initiatives primarily for affordable housing and economic development by providing financing at below-market interest rates. The majority of the support is provided to programs in the CHRISTUS operating regions. The amount the System would have earned on these monies is the forgone income that is considered a community benefit.
- The CHRISTUS Fund was established for the purpose of providing grants to support community planning, healthy community initiatives, and community-based programs, with a focus on the poor and underserved areas where CHRISTUS Health ministries and sponsoring congregations are involved.

Community Services Provided for the Broader Community – This category represents the unpaid cost of services provided for the benefit of the entire community. The majority of these expenditures are for graduate medical education programs, either through CHRISTUS-sponsored or affiliated programs. Other benefits for the broader community include health promotion and wellness programs, health screenings, newsletters, and radio or television programs intended for health education. These programs are not intended to be financially self-supporting.

Education and Research – This category represents the direct costs associated with medical education and other health professional educational programs in excess of governmental payments.

Other Community Services – This category represents leadership activities, community planning, and advocacy.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

3. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of all entities of the System (see Note 1). All significant inter-entity transactions and accounts have been eliminated in consolidation.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of the accompanying consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management of the System to make assumptions, estimates, and judgments that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements, including the notes thereto, and related disclosures of commitments and contingencies, if any. The System considers critical accounting policies to be those that require more significant judgments and estimates in the preparation of its financial statements, including the following: recognition of net patient revenues, which include contractual allowances; provisions for bad debt; reserves for losses and expenses related to health care professional and general liabilities; determination of fair values of certain financial instruments; determination of fair value of certain goodwill and long-lived assets; and risks and assumptions for measurement of pension and retiree medical liabilities. Management relies on historical experience and on other assumptions believed to be reasonable under the circumstances in making its judgments and estimates. Actual results could differ materially from these estimates.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash equivalents include short-term, highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less.

Investments

The System's investment portfolio is classified as trading, with unrealized gains and losses included in revenues in excess of expenses. Investments in equity securities and funds with readily determinable fair values and all investments in debt securities are measured at fair value in the consolidated balance sheets. Investments also include equity investments in managed funds structured as limited liability corporations or partnerships. These investments are accounted for under the equity method. Investment income or loss (including equity investment

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

3. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

earnings on managed funds; realized and unrealized gains and losses, computed on the average-cost basis of the security at the time of sale; and interest and dividends) is included in revenues in (deficit) excess of expenses unless the income or loss is restricted by donor or law.

Investment income earned on assets held by trustees under bond indenture agreements, assets held by foundations, assets deposited in trust funds for self-insurance purposes, and funds held by insurance subsidiaries in accordance with industry practices are included in other revenue in the consolidated statements of operations and changes in net assets.

Derivative Financial Instruments

The System utilizes interest rate swaps to hedge interest rate exposures. Changes in the fair value of the System's interest rate swaps are recorded as a component of nonoperating investment gain (loss) in the consolidated statements of operations and changes in net assets. The expense representing the net of the payments made and received under the swap agreements is also recorded as a component of nonoperating investment gain (loss).

Inventories

The System values inventories, which consist principally of medical supplies and pharmaceuticals, at the lower of cost (first-in, first-out, or weighted-average cost valuation method) or market basis.

Property, Plant, and Equipment

Property, plant, and equipment acquisitions are recorded at cost or, if donated, at fair value at the time of donation. Expenditures that materially increase values, change capacities, or extend useful lives are capitalized. Routine maintenance, repairs, and minor equipment replacement costs are charged against operations.

Depreciation is calculated and recorded over the estimated useful life of each class of depreciable assets using the straight-line method. The *American Hospital Association – Estimated Useful Lives of Depreciable Hospital Assets* is used as a general guide in establishing depreciable lives. Amortization of capital leases is included in depreciation expense.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

3. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

Assets Held for Sale

A long-lived asset or disposal group of assets and liabilities that is expected to be sold, and for which it is probable that the sale will be completed within one year, is classified as held for sale. For long-lived assets held for sale, an impairment charge is recorded if the carrying amount of the asset exceeds its fair value less costs to sell. Such valuations include estimates of fair values generally based upon discounted cash flows less incremental direct costs to transact a sale.

Asset Impairment

The System periodically evaluates the carrying value of its operating long-lived assets and assets held for sale for impairment when indicators of impairment are identified. These evaluations are primarily based on the estimated recoverability of the assets' carrying value. Impairment write-downs are recognized as a reduction in operating gain for the operating long-lived assets and as a reduction in nonoperating gain for the assets held for sale at the time the impairment is identified

Investments in Unconsolidated Organizations

The System has investments in certain organizations for which it does not have a majority ownership interest or significant control, and, therefore, these organizations do not require consolidation. Generally, these investments are recorded using the equity method of accounting for those organizations in which the System owns greater than 20%. The cost method of accounting is used for organizations in which the System owns 20% or less.

Securities Lending

The System participates in securities lending transactions facilitated by the lending agent. Such loans are secured by collateral of 102% of the market value of domestic securities at the time of the loan. Per the securities lending agreement, such collateral will typically be cash or debt securities issued by the U.S. government or any of its agencies. Cash collateral received in connection with these loans is currently invested in a pooled fund of securities that mature in no more than 13 months and is maintained by the lending agent. The securities on loan and invested collateral are marked to market throughout the duration of the loan.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

3. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

Goodwill

The System records goodwill arising from a business combination as the excess of purchase price over the fair value of identifiable tangible and intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed. At June 30, 2012 and 2011, the System had goodwill of \$101,858,000 and \$91,569,000, respectively. Beginning in fiscal year 2011 with the adoption of Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2010-07, *Not-for-Profit Entities: Mergers and Acquisitions*, the amortization of goodwill ceased and goodwill is tested at least annually for impairment at the reporting unit level. Impairment is the condition that exists when the carrying amount of goodwill exceeds its implied fair value. The System has determined that its reporting units are the various geographic Regions of the System. The first step in the impairment process is to determine the fair value of the reporting unit and then compare it to the carrying value, including goodwill. If the fair value exceeds the carrying value, no further action is required and no impairment loss is recognized.

The System determined that the use of the income and market approaches were the most appropriate methods of measuring fair value of the reporting units. These are considered Level 3 valuations in the valuation hierarchy described in Note 6. Under the income approach, fair value is estimated using a discounted cash flow analysis. Under the market approach, fair value is estimated using a guideline company method and a comparable transaction method. Both the income approach and the market approach require significant assumptions to determine the fair value of each reporting unit. The significant assumptions used in the income approach include estimates of future revenues, profits, capital expenditures, working capital requirements, operating plans, industry data, and an appropriate discount rate for each reporting unit. The significant assumptions utilized in the market approach include the determination of appropriate market comparables and estimated multiples of net revenue and earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, and amortization.

Additional impairment assessments may be performed on an interim basis if the System encounters events or changes in circumstances that would indicate that it is more likely than not that the carrying value of goodwill has been impaired. The System performed a transitional impairment test as of July 1, 2010, upon adoption of the guidance in ASU 2010-07 As a result of the transitional impairment test, goodwill at the Northern Louisiana, Central Louisiana, and Spohn Regions totaling \$34,752,000 was written off, which is recorded as the effect of a change in accounting principle in the consolidated statement of operations and changes in net assets in fiscal year 2011.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

3. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

The System elected early adoption of ASU 2011-08, *Intangibles – Goodwill and Other: Testing Goodwill for Impairment*. A qualitative impairment analysis concluded that it was more likely than not that the carrying value exceeded the fair value of the applicable reporting units. Therefore, no two-step impairment analysis was required and no impairment charges were recorded in fiscal year 2012.

Deferred Financing Costs

Deferred financing costs, net of accumulated amortization, included in other assets at June 30, 2012 and 2011, are \$18,576,000 and \$20,019,000, respectively, which are being amortized using the interest method over the terms of the indebtedness to which they relate.

Temporary and Permanently Restricted Net Assets

Temporarily restricted net assets are those whose use by the System has been limited by donors to a specific time period or purpose. Permanently restricted net assets have been restricted by donors to be maintained by the System in perpetuity.

Patient Accounts Receivable, Estimated Payables to Third-Party Payors, and Net Patient Service Revenue

The System has agreements with third-party payors that provide for payments to the System at amounts different from established rates. Patient accounts receivable and net patient service revenue are reported at the estimated net realizable amounts from third-party payors and others for services rendered. Estimated retroactive adjustments under reimbursement agreements with third-party payors are included in net patient service revenue and estimated third-party payor settlements. Retroactive adjustments are accrued on an estimated basis in the period the related services are rendered and adjusted in future periods, as final settlements are determined.

The System has adopted an uncompensated care policy whereby revenue from services provided to the uninsured is recognized at the time of payment, rather than at the time of service. This policy is a result of the lack of reasonable assurance of collection for services provided to the uninsured due to the System's historically low collection rate. Management has estimated that the difference between recording this revenue on the cash basis versus the accrual basis is immaterial to net patient service revenue for fiscal years 2012 and 2011. Accordingly, all

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

3. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

accounts receivable from the uninsured have been fully reserved in the allowance for uncompensated care. The resulting adjustment is recorded as revenue deductions from gross charges to arrive at net patient service revenue.

Electronic Health Record Incentive Payments

The American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA) included provisions for implementing health information technology under the Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health Act (HITECH). The provisions were designed to increase the use of electronic health record (EHR) technology and establish the requirements for a Medicare and Medicaid incentive payment program beginning in 2011 for eligible providers that adopt and meaningfully use certified EHR technology. Eligibility for annual Medicare incentive payments is dependent on providers demonstrating meaningful use of EHR technology in each period over a four-year period. Initial Medicaid incentive payments are available to providers that adopt, implement, or upgrade certified EHR technology. Providers must demonstrate meaningful use of such technology in subsequent years to qualify for additional Medicaid incentive payments.

CHRISTUS accounts for HITECH incentive payments under the grant accounting model as grants related to income. Income from Medicare incentive payments is recognized as revenue after CHRISTUS has determined it is reasonably assured to comply with the meaningful use criteria over the entire applicable compliance period and the cost report period that will be used to determine the final incentive payment has ended. CHRISTUS recognized revenue from Medicaid incentive payments after it adopted certified EHR technology. Incentive payments totaling \$27,668,000 and \$4,233,000 for the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, are included in other operating revenue in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations and changes in net assets. Income from incentive payments is subject to retrospective adjustment as the incentive payments are calculated using Medicare cost report data that is subject to audit. Additionally, CHRISTUS's compliance with the meaningful use criteria is subject to audit by the federal government.

Accounts Receivable Allowance

The System's recorded allowance for uncompensated care is based on expected net collections, after contractual adjustments, primarily from patients. Management routinely assesses these recorded allowances relative to changes in payor mix, cash collections, write-offs, recoveries, and market dynamics.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

3. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

Premium Revenue and Associated Costs

Premium revenue represents revenues derived under capitated arrangements with third parties. In return for these premiums, the contracting entity is responsible for providing essentially all health care services to enrolled participants. Costs for providing these services, including services provided by other health care providers, are included as operating expenses in the consolidated financial statements. At June 30, 2012 and 2011, the respective contracting entities have accrued expenses for incurred but not reported claims based upon claims experience. The contracting entities maintain stop-loss insurance coverage to limit exposure for certain catastrophic claims.

Other Revenue

Other revenue is derived from services other than providing health care services or coverage to patients, residents, or enrollees. This revenue typically includes investment income from all funds held by a trustee, malpractice funds, or other miscellaneous investment activities; rental of health care facility space; sales of medical and pharmaceutical supplies to employees, physicians, and others; proceeds from sales of cafeteria meals and guest trays to employees, medical staff, and visitors; and proceeds from sales at gift shops, snack bars, newsstands, parking lots, vending machines, or other service facilities operated by the health care organization.

Donor-Restricted Gifts

Unconditional promises to give cash and other assets are reported at fair value at the date the promise is received. Conditional promises to give and indications of intentions to give are reported at fair value at the date the gift is received. The gifts are reported as either temporarily or permanently restricted support if they are received with donor stipulations that limit the use of the donated assets. When a donor restriction expires, that is, when a stipulated time restriction ends or purpose restriction is accomplished, temporarily restricted net assets are reclassified as unrestricted net assets and reported in the consolidated statements of operations and changes in net assets as net assets released from restrictions. Donor-restricted contributions whose restrictions are met within the same year as received are reported as unrestricted contributions in the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

3. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

International Operations

The System owns a 64.6% interest in CHRISTUS Muguerza, S.A. de C.V. (CHRISTUS Muguerza), headquartered in Monterrey, Mexico. CHRISTUS Muguerza is a private health care system and is subject to taxes in accordance with the regulations of the Republic of Mexico. The financial statements of CHRISTUS Muguerza are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States and are consolidated in the CHRISTUS Health consolidated financial statements.

Effective May 3, 2012, CHRISTUS Health increased its ownership interest in CHRISTUS Muguerza from 59.6% to 64.6% by purchasing 11,300,168 additional shares for cash consideration in the amount of \$6,000,000.

Minimum Revenue Guarantees

CHRISTUS enters into agreements with non-employed physicians that include minimum revenue guarantees. These guarantees primarily arise through physician recruiting efforts and vary by physician specialty. Generally, the term of these guarantees ranges from one to two years, with the majority including a forgiveness period that begins during the second year of the guarantees. The estimated amount of the liability for CHRISTUS' obligation under these guarantees was \$9,129,000 and \$6,798,000 at June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and is included in accrued expenses and other long-term obligations in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

The maximum amount of future payments that the System could be required to make under all existing guarantees is approximately \$25,814,000.

Asset Retirement Obligations

CHRISTUS estimates the conditional asset retirement obligation primarily associated with asbestos abatement to be \$7,483,000 and \$7,430,000 as of June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

3. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

Uncertainty in Income Taxes

The authoritative guidance in Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 740, *Income Taxes*, creates a single model to address uncertainty in tax positions and clarifies the accounting for income taxes by prescribing the minimum recognition threshold a tax position is required to meet before being recognized in the financial statements. Under the requirements of this guidance, tax-exempt organizations could be required to record an obligation as the result of a tax position they have historically taken on various tax exposure items. There are no material unrecorded tax liabilities as of June 30, 2012 and 2011.

New and Pending Accounting Pronouncements

In August 2010, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued ASU 2010-23, *Health Care Entities: Measuring Charity Care for Disclosure*. ASU 2010-23 is intended to reduce the diversity in practice regarding the measurement basis used in the disclosure of charity care. ASU 2010-23 requires that cost be used as the measurement basis for charity care disclosure purposes and that cost be identified as the direct and indirect costs of providing the charity care, and requires disclosure of the method used to identify or determine such costs. This ASU was effective for CHRISTUS on July 1, 2011. The adoption of this ASU resulted in the disclosure of the cost of providing charity care in Note 3.

In August 2010, the FASB issued ASU 2010-24, *Health Care Entities (Topic 954): Presentation of Insurance Claims and Related Insurance Recoveries*. The amendments in the ASU clarify that a health care entity may not net insurance recoveries against related claim liabilities. In addition, the amount of the claim liability must be determined without consideration of insurance recoveries. This ASU was effective for CHRISTUS on July 1, 2011. Due to the level of self-retention for such claims, the adoption of this ASU did not have a material effect on CHRISTUS's consolidated financial position at June 30, 2012.

In September 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-08. The amendments in the ASU permit an entity to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount as a basis for determining whether it is necessary to perform the two-step goodwill impairment test described in ASC Topic 350, *Intangibles – Goodwill and Impairment*. This ASU is effective for CHRISTUS on July 1, 2012, with early adoption permitted. CHRISTUS early adopted the guidance in this ASU for purposes of its annual goodwill impairment test performed on April 1, 2012.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

3. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

In July 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-07, Health Care Entities (Topic 954): Presentation and Disclosure of Patient Service Revenue, Provision for Bad Debts, and the Allowance for Doubtful Accounts for Certain Health Care Entities. The amendments in the ASU require CHRISTUS to change the presentation of its consolidated statement of operations and changes in net assets by reclassifying the provision for bad debts associated with patient service revenue from an operating expense to a deduction from patient service revenue (net of contractual allowances and discounts). Additionally, the ASU requires enhanced disclosure about CHRISTUS's policies for recognizing revenue and assessing bad debts, patient service revenue (net of contractual allowances and discounts), and qualitative and quantitative information about changes in the allowance for doubtful accounts. This ASU is effective for CHRISTUS on July 1, 2012, with early adoption permitted. CHRISTUS does not believe its adoption of ASU 2011-07 will have a material effect on its consolidated financial position and results of operations from the adoption of this pronouncement.

4. Net Patient Service Revenue

Net patient service revenue is reported at the estimated net realizable amounts from third-party payors and others for services rendered, including estimated retroactive adjustments under reimbursement agreements with third-party payors. Amounts subject to retroactive adjustments are estimated and recorded in the period the related services are rendered and adjusted in future periods as final settlements are determined. The estimated settlements recorded at June 30, 2012 and 2011, could differ from actual settlements. Inpatient acute care services and outpatient services rendered to Medicare program beneficiaries are paid at prospectively determined rates. These rates vary according to a patient diagnosis-related group classification system that is based on clinical, diagnostic, and other factors.

For fiscal years 2012 and 2011, net patient service revenue increased approximately \$16,629,000 and \$16,403,000, respectively, related to changes in estimates for cost report re-openings, appeals, and tentative and final cost report settlements on filed cost reports, of which some are still subject to audit, additional re-opening, and/or appeal.

Inpatient and outpatient services rendered to Medicaid program beneficiaries are paid under cost reimbursement methodologies, prospectively determined rates per discharge, and prospectively determined or negotiated rates.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

4. Net Patient Service Revenue (continued)

Revenue from the Medicare and Medicaid programs accounted for approximately 26.8% and 2.4%, respectively, of the System's net patient revenue for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012, and 26.6% and 3.5%, respectively, of the System's net patient revenue for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011. Laws and regulations governing the Medicare and Medicaid programs are extremely complex and subject to interpretation. As a result, there is at least a reasonable possibility that recorded estimates will change by a material amount in the near term.

The System has also entered into payment agreements with certain commercial insurance carriers, health maintenance organizations, and preferred provider organizations. The basis for payment to the System under these agreements includes prospectively determined rates per discharge, discounts from established charges, and negotiated daily rates.

Federal law requires that state Medicaid programs make special payments to hospitals that serve a disproportionately large number of Medicaid and low-income patients. Such hospitals are called disproportionate share hospitals and receive disproportionate share funding under the program known as "DSH." DSH funds are different from most other Medicaid payments because they are not tied to specific services for Medicaid-eligible patients. There were 172 and 182 Texas hospitals that qualified to receive such payments in 2012 and 2011, respectively. The state of Texas has been making DSH payments to providers since 1987.

Additionally, the federal Medicaid rules allow for hospitals to be reimbursed for some of the uncompensated cost of treating Medicaid and uninsured patients up to an Upper Payment Limit (UPL) as modified by the 1115(b) demonstration waiver further discussed below. UPL programs act as mechanisms to draw federal Medicaid dollars into local communities. The Texas Medicaid program has chosen a county-specific UPL strategy to receive supplemental federal matching funds. Under these programs, various hospital participants in the respective counties have elected to provide health care services to the indigent population in the county as charity services and, as such, no third party has an obligation to pay for these services. Separately, and with no legal obligation or link to the hospitals' provision of charity services, the tax-supported governmental entity may choose, entirely at its discretion, to contribute a portion of its tax revenues into the state's Medicaid program. The amounts transferred by the governmental entity to the state Medicaid program are then matched at the federal level, and the total amount (the amount transferred to the state Medicaid program by the governmental entity and the related federal match) is then paid to the hospital participants based upon each hospital's individual applicable funding entitlement. In addition to the allocations received by the Medicaid program, hospital participants in some communities may make charity community benefit transfers to each other throughout the year to reach a previously agreed-upon sharing ratio.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

4. Net Patient Service Revenue (continued)

Medicaid supplemental payments, which include Medicaid DSH and UPL payments, net of settlements and amounts transferred between program participants, of approximately \$252,756,000 and \$334,267,000 were recorded in 2012 and 2011, respectively. Net patient service revenue in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations and changes in net assets includes all Medicaid supplemental funds.

The Texas Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC) filed an application with the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) to approve a 1115(b) demonstration waiver (the 1115(b) waiver) from traditional Medicaid financial and eligibility rules. CMS approved the five-year waiver in December 2011 and it allowed for one transition year to ensure proper transitions for providers. The transition year ends and the mechanics of the waiver program (year two) begin October 1, 2012. The waiver could impact the amount of revenue the System receives under the Medicaid supplemental payments, and the amounts of future reimbursements under these programs cannot be assured beyond September 30, 2012. While DSH and UPL funds are separate, the implementation of the 1115b waiver created a disincentive for transferring hospitals to participate in the DSH program, jeopardizing the full funding of the program. These funds helped to offset the uncompensated cost of care due to the State of Texas's limited Medicaid funding and the growing uninsured population.

The health care industry is subject to numerous laws and regulations of federal, state, and local governments. These laws and regulations include, but are not necessarily limited to, matters such as licensure, accreditation, participation requirements of government health care programs, reimbursement for patient services, and Medicare and Medicaid fraud and abuse. Government activity has continued with respect to investigations and allegations concerning possible violations of fraud and abuse statutes and regulations by health care providers. Violations of these laws and regulations could result in expulsion from government health care programs, together with the imposition of significant fines and penalties, as well as significant repayments for patient services previously billed. There are matters currently under audit and/or review by regulatory and government officials. These reviews and audits may or may not result in revisions to cost reports, resubmitted billings, unasserted possible claims that are probable of assertion, or loss contingencies. Compliance with such laws and regulations may be subject to future government review and interpretation, as well as regulatory actions.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

5. Cash and Investments

Total cash and investments for the System at June 30, including assets whose use is limited, are as follows (in thousands):

	2012	2011
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 549,591	\$ 615,867
Certificates of deposit	9,428	25,465
Domestic equities and equity funds	240,732	283,297
Preferred stocks	494	1,712
Fixed-income securities and fixed-income funds	705,134	547,914
International equities	97,290	110,466
U.S. government securities	115,257	158,907
Equity in managed funds	188,642	144,806
Other investments	596	97
	\$ 1,907,164	\$ 1,888,531

Cash and cash equivalents include cash, money market bank accounts, interest-bearing bank accounts, and debt securities with original maturities of less than three months. United States government securities include debt securities issued by the U.S. government or a U.S. government agency. Fixed-income securities include corporate debt securities and common collective trusts. Domestic equities and equity funds, preferred stocks, and international equities include domestic and foreign stocks, as well as mutual funds and common collective trusts. Equity in managed funds includes investments in limited liability partnerships or corporations and other alternative investments. Other investments include municipal and foreign fixed-income instruments and restricted investments held by the System's philanthropic foundations.

The System's equity investments in managed funds are recorded based on the System's share of the underlying value of marketable securities held by these funds, as reported to the System. Equity-method investments include reported changes in value as reported to the System by the fund custodians. These investments are recorded at amounts confirmed by fund custodians. These amounts cannot be validated by the management of the System, and there can be no assurance such reported amounts will be ultimately realized.

The System's investments are subject to various types of risks, as explained below.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

5. Cash and Investments (continued)

Fixed Income

This investment class includes investments in various fixed-income instruments that include investment-grade and high-yield domestic and international bonds, preferred stocks, mortgage pools, master limited partnership units, and bonds issued by U.S. government agencies. This investment class also includes investments in common trust funds, mutual funds, and exchange-traded funds that hold investments in fixed-income securities. The fixed-income investments are exposed to various kinds and levels of risk, including interest rate risk, credit risk, foreign exchange risk, and liquidity risk.

Equities

This investment class consists primarily of common and preferred equity securities of domestic and foreign companies. These securities trade through the major public domestic and international exchanges. This investment class also includes investments in common trust funds, mutual funds, and exchange-traded funds that hold investments in equity securities. The equity securities investments are exposed to various risks, including market risk, individual security risk, foreign exchange risk, and, for common equity of companies with a small market capitalization, liquidity risk.

Equity Investments in Managed Funds

These funds are invested with external investment managers who invest primarily in various alternative categories, including long and short equity positions, managed futures, emerging markets, distressed enterprises, and arbitrage positions. These investments are domestic and international in nature, are illiquid, and may not be realized for a period of several years after the investments are made. The risks associated with these investments are numerous, resulting in a greater likelihood of losing invested capital. The risks include the following:

Nonregulation Risk – Some of these funds are not required to register with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) and are not subject to regulatory controls.

Managerial Risk – Fund managers may fail to produce the intended returns and are not subject to oversight.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

5. Cash and Investments (continued)

Minimal Liquidity – Many funds impose lock-up periods that prevent investors from redeeming their shares or impose penalties to redeem.

Limited Transparency – As unregistered investment vehicles, funds are not required to disclose the holdings in their portfolios to investors.

Investment Strategy Risk – The funds often employ sophisticated, risky investment strategies, are speculative, and may use leverage, which could result in volatile returns.

The System has no commitments for funding to equity investments in managed funds as of June 30, 2012.

Assets whose use is limited or restricted consisted of the following at June 30 (in thousands):

		2012	2011
Assets whose use is limited or restricted, required for current bond indenture and self-insurance liabilities.	\$	56,903	\$ 71,367
Other investments, internally designated for capital expansion and other purposes Under bond indenture agreement – held by trustee		577,105 83,555	499,205 81,475
Under liability retention and self-insurance funding arrangement – held by trustee Under Emerald Assurance funding arrangements		12,572 177,423	10,968 158,930
Restricted cash and investments Total assets whose use is limited or restricted	<u> </u>	57,307 964,865	\$ 62,385 884,330

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

5. Cash and Investments (continued)

Investment returns and gains and (losses) for assets limited as to use, cash equivalents, and other unrestricted investments consisted of the following for the years ended June 30 (in thousands):

	2012	2011
Operating interest and dividend income Operating gain, realized and unrealized Equity investment (loss) earnings on managed funds	\$ 5,189 \$ 5,881 (894)	5,094 17,413 250
Total operating investment income	10,176	22,757
Nonoperating interest and dividend income Nonoperating (loss) gain, realized and unrealized Equity investment (loss) earnings on managed funds Net swap agreement activity	13,191 (14,327) (3,994) (86,389)	12,555 80,170 9,850 12,210
Total nonoperating investment (loss) gain	(91,519)	114,785
Total investment (loss) gain	\$ (81,343) \$	137,542

6. Fair Value Measurements

The three-level valuation hierarchy for disclosure of fair value measurements is based on the transparency of inputs to the valuation of an asset or liability as of the measurement date. The three levels are defined as follows:

- Level 1 Inputs to the valuation methodology are quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets.
- Level 2 Inputs to the valuation methodology include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets and inputs that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the financial instrument.
- Level 3 Inputs to the valuation methodology are unobservable and significant to the fair value measurement.

A financial instrument's categorization within the valuation hierarchy is based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

6. Fair Value Measurements (continued)

The following tables present the financial instruments carried at fair value as of June 30 (in thousands) by the valuation hierarchy (as described above):

	2012					
		Level 1		Level 2	Level 3	Total
Assets						
Investments:						
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	545,853	\$	3,738	\$ - \$	549,591
Certificates of deposit		_		9,428	_	9,428
Domestic equities and equity						
funds		240,732		_	_	240,732
Preferred stocks		494		_	_	494
Fixed-income securities and fixed-						
income funds		_		705,134	_	705,134
International equities		97,290		_		97,290
U.S. government securities		_		115,257	_	115,257
Other investments		_		596	_	596
Total investments		884,369		834,153	_	1,718,522
Total assets at fair value	\$	884,369	\$	834,153	\$ - \$	1,718,522
Liabilities						
Interest rate swap agreements	\$	_	\$	136,786	\$ - \$	136,786
Total liabilities at fair value	\$	_	\$	136,786	\$ - \$	136,786

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

6. Fair Value Measurements (continued)

	Level 1	Level 2		Level 3	Total
Assets					
Investments:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 613,726	\$ 2,141	\$	- \$	615,867
Certificates of deposit	_	25,465		_	25,465
Domestic equities and equity					
funds	201,054	82,243		_	283,297
Preferred stocks	1,712	_		_	1,712
Fixed-income securities and fixed-					
income funds	_	547,914		_	547,914
International equities	110,466	_		_	110,466
U.S. government securities	_	158,907		_	158,907
Other investments	_	97		_	97
Total investments	926,958	816,767		_	1,743,725
Interest rate swap asset	_	12,375		_	12,375
Total assets at fair value	\$ 926,958	\$ 829,132	\$	- \$	1,756,100
Liabilities					
Interest rate swap agreements	\$ _	\$ 72,348	\$	- \$	72,348
Total liabilities at fair value	\$ _	\$ 72,348	\$	- \$	72,348

The valuation methodologies used for instruments measured at fair value as presented in the tables above are as follows:

- Investments Investments valued at quoted prices available in an active market are classified within Level 1 of the valuation hierarchy. Investments valued based on evaluated bid prices provided by third-party pricing services where quoted market prices are not available are classified within Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy.
- Interest rate swap agreements Interest rate swap agreements are valued using third-party models that use observable market conditions as their input and are classified within Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

6. Fair Value Measurements (continued)

At June 30, 2012 and 2011, the System's financial instruments included cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, assets limited as to use, accounts payable and accrued expenses, estimated third-party payor settlements, and long-term debt. The carrying amounts reported in the consolidated balance sheets for these financial instruments, except for long-term debt, approximate their fair values.

The System's fixed-rate debt is enhanced with bond insurance. The estimated fair value of the fixed-rate debt, if it were not enhanced by insurance, approximates \$627,055,000 at June 30, 2012, as compared to its carrying value of \$562,587,000.

At June 30, 2012, the System has several issues of variable-rate demand and auction-rate bonds outstanding. The System's continued participation in these debt programs depends on its ability to extend or replace the existing credit facilities supporting the respective standby purchase agreements. If these credit facilities are not available, the System will likely refund these outstanding series with available funds or funds derived from fixed-rate series proceeds. It is not practicable to estimate the fair value of the variable-rate demand and auction-rate bonds separate from the value supported by the credit facilities.

7. Property, Plant, and Equipment

Property, plant, and equipment at June 30, consisted of the following (in thousands):

	2012	2011
Land	\$ 211,243	\$ 217,307
Land improvements	73,229	73,391
Buildings and fixed equipment	2,373,120	2,330,211
Major movable equipment	1,868,107	1,822,097
Less accumulated depreciation	(2,861,319)	(2,710,751)
	1,664,380	1,732,255
Construction-in-progress (estimated cost to complete is \$82 million and \$75 million at June 30, 2012		
and 2011, respectively)	54,680	54,578
Total	\$ 1,719,060	\$ 1,786,833

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

7. Property, Plant, and Equipment (continued)

Depreciation expense for the System for fiscal years 2012 and 2011 totaled \$209,018,000 and \$210,668,000, respectively.

8. Investments in Unconsolidated Organizations

The System has unrestricted investments in unconsolidated organizations of \$209,938,000 and \$188,550,000 at June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The following investments account for 95% of the System's total investments in unconsolidated organizations in both 2012 and 2011:

Baptist St Anthony's Health System

CHRISTUS Health has a 50% membership interest in Baptist St. Anthony's Health System (BSAHS), a Texas nonprofit corporation. BSAHS is a market leader in the Amarillo area. CHRISTUS Health's recorded investment in BSAHS, accounted for under the equity method, was \$184,367,000 and \$162,488,000 at June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The 2012 and 2011 balances include \$11,592,000 and \$8,648,000, respectively, of restricted net assets that are included in other restricted assets. CHRISTUS Health recorded its share of BSAHS's gains from operations of \$19,906,000 and \$24,707,000 in 2012 and 2011, respectively. The System also recorded its share of the change in unrealized losses on investments of \$538,460 at June 30, 2012, and unrealized gains on investments of \$249,000 at June 30, 2011, as changes in unrestricted net assets. The System is currently marketing its membership interest in BSAHS for sale.

Preferred Professional Insurance Company

CHRISTUS Health has a 13.1% ownership interest in Preferred Professional Insurance Company (PPIC), a taxable Nebraska corporation. This corporation, formed in 1988, was established to provide excess professional and general liability insurance. CHRISTUS Health's recorded investment in PPIC, accounted for under the cost method, was \$17,059,000 at both June 30, 2012 and 2011. The System recorded income from its investment in PPIC in fiscal years 2012 and 2011 of \$296,000 and \$789,000, respectively.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

8. Investments in Unconsolidated Organizations (continued)

CS/USP Surgery Centers, LP

CHRISTUS Spohn Health System Corporation has a 50% ownership interest in a Texas limited liability partnership with United Surgical Partners International, Inc. for the purpose of owning and operating ambulatory surgery centers in Corpus Christi, Texas. The venture consists of two surgery centers near the campus of Spohn Shoreline, specifically Corpus Christi Outpatient Surgery and SurgiCare. CHRISTUS Health's recorded investment, accounted for under the equity method, was \$5,755,000 and \$5,762,000 at June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The System recorded its share of income from operations in fiscal years 2012 and 2011 of \$754,000 and \$645,000, respectively.

Omega Lab Joint Venture

CHRISTUS Health has a 40% ownership interest in Omega Lab Joint Venture. At June 30, 2012 and 2011, the System's recorded investment in Omega Lab Joint Venture, accounted for under the equity method, was \$3,088,000 and \$3,152,000, respectively. The System recorded its share of Omega Lab Joint Venture income from operations in fiscal years 2012 and 2011 of \$702,000 and \$520,000, respectively.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

9. Long-Term Debt

Long-term debt at June 30, consisted of the following (in thousands):

		2012	2011
Revenue bonds, in variable-rate demand mode, with weighted-average trading rates of .16% and .25% in fiscal 2012 and 2011, respectively, due in 2047	\$	269 705 \$	269,250
fiscal 2012 and 2011, respectively, due in 2047 Revenue bonds, in auction mode, with weighted-average interest rates of .64% and 1.17% in fiscal 2012 and 2011,	3	268,795 \$	
respectively, due in 2031 Revenue bonds, in fixed-rate mode, bearing interest from		212,150	219,125
3.00% to 6.50%		562,587	592,506
Capital lease payable to Nueces County Hospital District, bearing interest at a fixed rate of 6.9%, with annual principal payments through 2026, secured by the assets of CHRISTUS Spohn Hospital Memorial Capital lease payable to Bee County, bearing interest at a fixed rate of 6.0%, with annual principal payments through 2030, secured by the assets of CHRISTUS		45,043	46,907
Spohn Hospital Beeville		9,033	9,367
Nonobligated bank notes and capital leases related to			
CHRISTUS Muguerza investment		41,672	55,263
Other note and capital lease note obligations		33,681	40,522
		1,172,961	1,232,940
Less current portion		(48,405)	(57,904)
Total	\$	1,124,556 \$	1,175,036

According to the terms of the CHRISTUS Health Master Trust Indenture, the Obligated Group consists of CHRISTUS Health and eight of the System's regions as follows: CHRISTUS Spohn Health System, CHRISTUS Health Gulf Coast, CHRISTUS Health Southeast Texas, CHRISTUS Santa Rosa Health Care Corporation, CHRISTUS Health Ark-La-Tex, CHRISTUS Health Northern Louisiana, CHRISTUS Health Central Louisiana, and CHRISTUS Health Southwestern Louisiana.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

9. Long-Term Debt (continued)

Certain entities of CHRISTUS Health that are otherwise included in the consolidated financial statements of CHRISTUS Health are excluded from the CHRISTUS Health Obligated Group. These entities include, but are not limited to, the CHRISTUS Health Liability Retention Trust, Emerald Assurance, CHRISTUS St. Vincent Regional Medical Center, CHRISTUS Health Utah, CHRISTUS Provider Network, CHRISTUS Continuing Care, CHRISTUS Muguerza, S.A. de C.V., and various philanthropic foundations.

Under the provisions of the Master Trust Indenture, the obligations of CHRISTUS Health and the other members of the Obligated Group are secured by a pledge of gross revenues. Additionally, each member of the Obligated Group has undertaken certain covenants, including the following: to ensure the payment of debt service; to ensure the payment of taxes and other claims; to deliver compliance statement(s); to preserve corporate existence; to maintain books and records subject to inspection by the Master Trustee; to maintain insurance; to conform to defined lien limitations; to establish adequate service rates; to maintain a sufficient debt service coverage and indebtedness ratio; to maintain a required aggregate amount of unrestricted cash and investments; and to adhere to certain defined conditions with respect to consolidation, merger, conveyance, or transfer, and admission or withdrawal of Obligated Group members pursuant to the Master Trust Indenture, insurer, and letter of credit bank agreements.

CHRISTUS Health has letter of credit bank agreements on Series 2008C and 2009B variable-rate demand bonds (VRDB). The Series 2009B VRDBs are supported by letters of credit issued by The Bank of New York Mellon that expire on July 31, 2014. The outstanding Series 2008C VRDBs are supported by letters of credit issued by Bank of America that expire on December 17, 2015. In December 2010, CHRISTUS Health converted \$93,380,000 of auction-rate securities to fixed-rate bonds through a reoffering of the Series 2005 A-5 and 2005 A-6 bonds. The fixed-rate bonds are insured by Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp (AGMC).

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

9. Long-Term Debt (continued)

Principal payments for all long-term debt for the next five years and thereafter are as follows (in thousands):

2013	\$ 48,405
2014	60,048
2015	56,608
2016	59,314
2017	59,927
Thereafter	888,659
Total debt	\$ 1,172,961

10. Derivative Financial Instruments

Interest rate swap contracts between the System and third parties (counterparties) provide for the periodic exchange of payments between the parties based on changes in a defined index and a fixed rate and expose the System to market risk and credit risk. Credit risk is the risk that contractual obligations of the counterparties will not be fulfilled. Concentrations of credit risk relate to groups of counterparties that have similar economic or industry characteristics that would cause their ability to meet contractual obligations to be similarly affected by changes in economic or other conditions. Counterparty credit risk is managed by requiring high credit standards for the System's counterparties. The counterparties to these contracts are financial institutions that carry investment-grade credit ratings.

The interest rate swap contracts contain certain collateral provisions applicable to both parties to mitigate credit risk. CHRISTUS has complied with these provisions as required. No collateral was posted at June 30, 2012. The System does not anticipate nonperformance by its counterparties.

Market risk is the adverse effect on the value of a financial instrument that results from a change in interest rates. The market risk associated with interest rate changes is managed by establishing and monitoring parameters that limit the types and degrees of market risk that may be undertaken. Management also mitigates risk through periodic reviews of their derivative positions in the context of their blended cost of capital. As of June 2012, CHRISTUS Health has interest rate swap agreements to manage interest rate risk exposure, not designated as hedging instruments, with a total notional amount of \$961,435,000.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

10. Derivative Financial Instruments (continued)

At June 30, 2012, the fair value of these swap agreements was a liability of \$136,786,000 recorded as a liability on the balance sheet. At June 30, 2011, the fair value of these swap agreements was a net liability of \$59,973,000, with \$72,348,000 recorded as a liability and \$12,375,000 recorded as an asset on the consolidated balance sheet. The change in fair value of (\$76,813,000) and \$29,803,000 for the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, is combined with the payments, net of receipts made under the agreements, of \$9,576,000 and \$17,592,000 for the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. This total is included in nonoperating investment (loss) gain in the statements of operations and changes in net assets.

On August 26, 2011, CHRISTUS terminated two swaps with counterparty Citigroup, N.A. CHRISTUS terminated one of the 2005 Fixed Payor Swaps with an original notional amount of \$54,075,000 and a termination date of July 1, 2022, and the Constant Maturity Swap with a notional amount of \$200,000,000 and a termination date of June 1, 2022. CHRISTUS received net proceeds of \$5,785,000 as a result of the terminations.

On December 28, 2011, CHRISTUS Health entered into a swap novation with Citibank, N.A. as transferor and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. as transferee of the Fixed Payer Swap with a notional of \$212,175,000 and had an effective date of January 4, 2012. The original transaction with Citibank, N.A. had a notional amount of \$225,925,000, a trade date of July 13, 2005, and an effective date of November 8, 2005. The original transaction was insured by FSA (now – Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.). The swap insurance was terminated in connection with the novation. The payment terms, expiration, events of default and termination events remain substantially the same. The collateral posting threshold under the novated swap increased from \$15 million to \$45 million.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

10. Derivative Financial Instruments (continued)

The following tables summarize the fair value at June 30, 2012 and 2011, and the income (loss) recorded related to the interest rate swap agreements as of and for the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, in thousands.

		Termination	Interest Rate	Notional Fair Value		Change in Fair Value	Paid/(Received)		
Counterparty	Description	Date	Agreements	Amount	6/30/2012 6/30/2011	6/30/2012 6/30/2011	6/30/2012 6/30/2011		
Merrill Lynch	Variable basis	2021-2023	6	\$ 470 000	\$ (7910) \$ (7093)	\$ (818) \$ 7317	\$ (197) \$ 248		
*Wells Fargo	Fixed payor	2022 and 2031	12	212 175 217 805	(35 107) (23 084)	(12 022) 4 427	13 649 8 264		
Citigroup	**Constant maturity	2022	0.1	0 200 000	- 12 375	(12 375) 7 551	(12 975) –		
Citigroup	Fixed payor	2047	2	166 100	(56 095) (25 414)	(30 681) 6 242	5 435 5 423		
Citigroup	Fixed payor	2047	1	113 160	(37 674) (16 757)	(20 917) 4 266	3 665 3 657		
				\$ 961 435			•		
			12	1 167 065	\$(136 786) \$ (59 973)	\$ (76 813) \$ 29 803	\$ 9577 \$ 17592		

^{*}Citigroup was the counterparty prior to the novation effective January 4 2012. The swap originally scheduled to terminate in 2022 was early terminated August 26 2011.

CHRISTUS Health is required to post collateral for negative valuations on each of its swaps according to the terms of (i) the swap insurance agreements, where applicable and (ii) the agreement with each counterparty. CHRISTUS Health has complied with this requirement. At June 30, 2011 and 2012, no collateral was posted. The fixed payor swaps are insured by either AMBAC (terminated August 26, 2011), Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp, previously known as FSA (insurance terminated in connection with novation effective January 4, 2012), or MBIA.

CHRISTUS Health currently voluntarily posts, on a quarterly basis, selected information relating to its outstanding interest rate swap transactions on the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board's (MSRB) Electronic Municipal Market Access system (EMMA) and the Texas State Repository – Texas Municipal Advisory Council (MAC). No assurances can be given that CHRISTUS Health will continue to post such information in the future.

^{**}CHRISTUS amended and restated the Constant Maturity Swap which was modified to include suspension of cash flows from June 5 2009 through September I 2012

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

11. Cash Balance Plan and Postretirement Health Care Benefits

Cash Balance Plan

The System has established a noncontributory, defined-benefit retirement plan that operates as a cash balance plan and covers substantially all CHRISTUS Health employees who meet age and service requirements. The plan benefits are calculated based on a cash balance formula wherein participants earn an annual accrual of 6% of compensation and participant account balances accrue interest at a rate that tracks 10-year treasury notes; the maximum rate is 8% and the minimum rate is 2%.

Postretirement Health Care Benefits

Comprehensive medical benefits are provided to eligible active employees who, immediately upon retirement and attainment of the age of 55, will receive a pension under the CHRISTUS Health retirement plan. Postretirement benefits are also provided to former employees who are currently receiving pension benefits. The comprehensive medical program, which is self-insured, provides reimbursement benefits until the participant attains the age of 65. The program also covers dependents of retirees, in addition to former employees. Contributions are required. Retirees may choose one of two self-insured indemnity plan options. Effective February 1, 1999, the CHRISTUS Health postretirement benefit plan was curtailed prospectively. As of the effective date, new employees or employees that had not vested as of that date are not eligible for the postretirement health care benefits. The liability associated with the postretirement plan will be reduced as employee participation decreases.

Simplified Early Retirement Plan (SERP)

Prior to the formation of CHRISTUS, a plan for executives was curtailed prospectively. Under this plan, eligible participants receive a cash benefit payment until death and participate in the System's retiree health, dental, and group term life program. Fewer than two dozen participating retirees currently maintain benefit payment status. Benefits are recalculated when participants attain the age of 65 and remain constant thereafter. At June 30, 2012 and 2011, the total liability recorded pertaining to this SERP was \$5,215,000 and \$5,175,000, respectively.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

11. Cash Balance Plan and Postretirement Health Care Benefits (continued)

Restoration Plan

The restoration plan, a nonqualified, deferred compensation plan, was designed to restore benefits that are lost under the cash balance plan due to the statutory limit on recognizable compensation. Eligibility is limited to designated executives. The plan provides benefits upon termination of employment to qualifying participants. Plan benefits are calculated using the same methodology for the cash balance plan; vesting requirements are also the same. The restoration plan is unfunded.

The measurement date for all plans is June 30. Components of net periodic benefit cost, recorded as a component of employee compensation and benefits, for the years ended June 30, consisted of the following (in thousands):

	Cash Balance Plan				Postretirement			SERP				Restorati	Restoration Plan		
		2012		2011		2012		2011		2012		2011	2012	2011	
Service cost	\$	41,937	\$	43,135 \$	6	512	\$	578	S	_	\$	- \$	187	\$ 203	
Interest cost		40,431		37,887		1,039		1,056		_		_	135	103	
Expected return on assets		(41,929)		(44,720)		_		_		_		_	_	_	
Amortization of prior		, , ,		, ,											
service cost		(642)		(775)		(3)		_		-		_	103	103	
Recognized net actuarial loss (gain)		7,897		19,589		_		_		40		(306)	_	(67)	
Net benefit cost	\$	47,694	\$	55,116 \$	S	1,548	\$	1,634	\$	40	\$	(306) \$	425	\$ 342	

At June 30, 2012 and 2011, unrestricted net assets have been reduced by \$223,045,000 and \$133,519,000, respectively, of amounts arising from defined-benefit plans that have not yet been recognized in net periodic benefit cost. Amounts recognized in unrestricted net assets expected to be recognized in net periodic benefit cost during fiscal 2013 are \$18,575,000.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

11. Cash Balance Plan and Postretirement Health Care Benefits (continued)

The following table sets forth the changes in benefit obligations, changes in plan assets, and funded status of the plans measured as of June 30 (in thousands):

		Cash Bal	and	e Plan		Postretire	ment		SERP		Restoration Plan	
		2012		2011		2012	2011		2012	2011	2012	2011
Changes in benefit obligation Benefit obligations – beginning of year Service cost Interest cost Actuarial loss (gain)	\$	802,683 41,937 40,431 49,355	\$	800.271 43.135 37.887 (45.342)	\$	21,507 \$ 512 1,039 (3,582)	578 1,056 (1,567)	s	5,175 \$ - - 40	5.481 \$ - - (306)	\$2,877 \$ 187 135 1,076	2.374 203 103 407
Benefits paid Benefit obligation – end of	_	(33,632)		(33,268)		(388)	(547)		_		(161)	(210)
year	\$	900,774	\$	802,683	S	19,088 \$	21,507	\$	5,215 \$	5,175 \$	4,114 \$	2,877
Change in plan assets Fair value of plan assets – beginning of year Actual return on plan assets Employer contributions Benefits paid Fair value of plan assets –	\$	688,606 (4,523) 56,400 (33,632)	\$	585.018 80.991 55.865 (33.268)	\$	- \$ - 388 (388)	- 547 (547)	\$	- \$ - - -	- S	- \$ - 161 (161)	- 210 (210)
end of year	\$	706,851	\$	688,606	\$	- \$	-	S	- \$	- \$	- \$	<u> </u>
Funded status of the plans Underfunded Unrecognized net actuarial loss (gain) Unrecognized prior service cost	s	(193,923) 223,449 (1,605)	\$	(114.078) 135.538 (2.247)	\$	(19,088) \$ (5,760) -	(21.506) (2.181)	\$	(5,215) \$ - -	(5,175) \$ - -	(4,114) \$ 841 360	(2.878) (234) 463
Prepaid (accrued) benefit cost	<u>\$</u>	27,921	\$	19,213	\$	(24,848) \$	(23,687)	\$	(5,215) \$	(5,175) \$	(2,913) \$	(2,649)

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

11. Cash Balance Plan and Postretirement Health Care Benefits (continued)

As of June 30, 2012 and 2011, the CHRISTUS cash balance plan had accumulated benefit obligations of \$848,224,000 and \$767,586,000, respectively. Assumptions used to determine benefit obligations and net periodic benefit cost for the fiscal years were as follows:

	Cash Balance Plan		Postretir	ement	SER	P	Restoration Plan		
_	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011	
Benefit obligations Discount rate Rate of compensation	4.21%	5 15° o	3.79%	4 960 0	4.21%	5 15° o	4.21%	5 15° o	
increase	4.09	4 09	N/A	N/A	N/A	4 09	4.09	4 09	
Net periodic benefit cost									
Discount rate	5.15	4 83	4.96	4 92	5.15	4 83	5.15	4 83	
Expected long-term return on plan assets Rate of compensation	6.00	7 50	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
increase	4.09	4 61	N/A	N/A	N/A	4 61	4.09	4 61	

The investment objective with regard to the plan assets is one of long-term capital appreciation and generation of a stream of current income. This balanced approach is expected to earn long-term total returns, consisting of capital appreciation and current income, that are commensurate with the expected rate of return used by the plans.

The following information pertains only to the CHRISTUS Health postretirement plan. The first table details information pertaining to assumed health care cost trend rates. The second table depicts the effect of a 1% point change in assumed health care cost trend rates.

				Postre	tirement
				1% Point	1% Point
	Postreti	rement	_	Increase	Decrease
_	2012	2011	<u> </u>	(In Th	ousands)
Assumed health care cost trend rates at					
June 30	8.5%	9 0° o			
Health care cost trend rate assumed for			Effect on total of service cost		
next year	7.75	8 0	and interest cost components	\$ 121	\$ (107)
Ratio to which the cost trend rate is assumed to decline (the ultimate					
trend rate)	5.5	5 5			
Year that the rate reaches the ultimate			Effect on postretirement benefit		
trend rate	2016	2015	obligation	1.589	(1.424)

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

11. Cash Balance Plan and Postretirement Health Care Benefits (continued)

Investment Policy and Asset Allocations

The investment policies and strategies for the assets of the cash balance plan incorporate a well-diversified approach that is expected to generate long-term returns from capital appreciation and a growing stream of current income. This approach recognizes that assets are exposed to risk and the market value of the plan assets may fluctuate from year to year. Risk tolerance is determined based on the plan's financial stability and the ability to withstand return volatility. In developing the expected return on plan assets, the System evaluates the historical performance of total plan assets, the relative weighting of plan assets, interest rates, economic indicators, and industry forecasts. In line with the investment return objective and risk parameters, the mix of assets includes a diversified portfolio of equity, fixed income, and alternative investments. Equity investments include international stocks and a blend of domestic growth and value stocks of various sizes of capitalization. The aggregate asset allocation is rebalanced as needed, but not less than on an annual basis.

The asset allocations for the cash balance plan at June 30, by asset category, are detailed below (in thousands). The postretirement plan, SERP, and restoration plan are unfunded.

	2012			2011		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	86,985	\$	98,516		
Domestic common stocks		108,304		165,710		
Fixed-income securities		210,713		203,298		
International equities		66,015		39,217		
Equity investments in managed funds		233,568		179,676		
Other		1,266		2,189		
Total	\$	706,851	\$	688,606		

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

11. Cash Balance Plan and Postretirement Health Care Benefits (continued)

The allocation of plan assets by asset category for the cash balance plan as of June 30 is as follows:

	2012	2011
Allocation of plan assets by asset category:		_
Cash and cash equivalents	12.0%	14.0%
Equity investments in managed funds		
(as discussed in <i>Note 5</i>)	33.0	27.0
Fixed-income securities	30.0	29.0
Equity securities	25.0	30.0
Total	100.0%	100.0%

The value of the plan assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis was determined using the following inputs, as described in Note 6, at June 30, 2012 (in thousands):

Level 1		Level 2		Level 3	Total
\$ 416	\$	86,569	\$	- \$	86,985
108,304		_		_	108,304
_		210,713		_	210,713
66,015		_		_	66,015
_		_		233,568	233,568
1,266		_		_	1,266
176,001		297,282		233,568	706,851
\$ 176,001	\$	297,282	\$	233,568 \$	706,851
_	\$ 416 108,304 - 66,015 - 1,266 176,001	\$ 416 \$ 108,304	\$ 416 \$ 86,569 108,304 - - 210,713 66,015 - - 1,266 - 176,001 297,282	\$ 416 \$ 86,569 \$ 108,304	\$ 416 \$ 86,569 \$ - \$ 108,304 210,713 233,568

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

11. Cash Balance Plan and Postretirement Health Care Benefits (continued)

The following table is a rollforward of the pension plan assets classified within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy (in thousands):

	He	dge Funds	Private Equity	R	Real Estate	Natural esources
Fair value at June 30, 2011 Purchases, issuances, and	\$	100,760 \$	61,340	\$	12,453	\$ 5,123
settlements		42,685	17,473		509	2,066
Actual return on plan assets		(5,835)	(5,633)		1,405	1,222
Fair value at June 30, 2012	\$	137,610 \$	73,180	\$	14,367	\$ 8,411

The cash balance plan has \$56,354,000 of funding commitments to equity investments in managed funds as of June 30, 2012.

Contributions

In fiscal year 2012, CHRISTUS expects to contribute \$60,000,000 to the cash balance plan based on asset values for the plan year beginning January 1, 2012. Contributions to the cash balance plan of \$56,400,000, and \$55,865,000 were made for plan years beginning January 1, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Since the postretirement plan, SERP, and restoration plan are unfunded, no cash contributions are expected.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

11. Cash Balance Plan and Postretirement Health Care Benefits (continued)

Benefit Payments

The following benefit payments, which reflect expected future service relative to the cash balance plan and expected benefit payments for services previously rendered relative to the postretirement plan and the SERP, are expected to be paid as follows (in thousands):

	Cas	sh Balance Plan	Post etirement	SERP	Re	estoration Plan
2013	\$	39,205	\$ 990	\$ 588	\$	880
2014		42,411	1,132	569		345
2015		44,848	1,299	548		369
2016		47,335	1,413	525		388
2017		51,076	1,594	501		404
Years 2018–2020		300,613	8,370	2,089		1,886

Defined-Contribution Plans

The System has a defined-contribution plan (the Matched Savings Plan) covering substantially all CHRISTUS Health employees. Annual employee contributions are limited to 50% of compensation, up to the Internal Revenue Service dollar limits. The System will match 50% of employee contributions, not to exceed 4% of annual compensation. Employer contributions vest to the employee over a five-year period. For the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, expenses attributable to the Matched Savings Plan amounted to \$8,059,023 and \$8,173,381, respectively.

CHRISTUS St. Vincent Regional Medical Center (St. Vincent) has two 403(b) defined-contribution plans for union and nonunion employees. St. Vincent makes a set lump-sum contribution per year for nurse union employees and a contribution of 3.5% of gross salaries for nonunion employees, as defined by the plans' agreements. For fiscal years 2012 and 2011, St. Vincent has incurred approximately \$3,885,000 and \$3,960,000 in expenses related to the plans, respectively.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

11. Cash Balance Plan and Postretirement Health Care Benefits (continued)

ExecuFLEX Benefit Plan

The System established an ExecuFLEX plan (ExecuFLEX), which is limited to designated executives. Plan participants receive an ExecuFLEX allowance to be allocated among four different components: CHRISTUS Health ExecuFLEX Individual Long-Term Disability Plan, CHRISTUS Health ExecuFLEX Supplemental Survivor Plan, CHRISTUS Health ExecuFLEX Spouse Survivor Plan, and CHRISTUS Health ExecuFLEX Deferred Income Account (DIA) Plan.

CHRISTUS Health maintains a collateral interest in the individual life insurance policies under the supplemental survivor plan and the spouse survivor plan to the extent of the cumulative advanced premiums paid on behalf of the participant(s). Upon termination of employment, a participant is required to surrender any policy with a cash surrender value less than the advanced premiums.

The DIA Plan is a nonqualified, deferred compensation plan; eligibility is limited to designated executives. Benefits vest based on certain qualifying events and are paid to participants when fully vested. The funds contributed by participants to this component of the ExecuFLEX Plan are held in a Rabbi Trust until vesting requirements have been satisfied. The System has an asset recorded for the investments in the Rabbi Trust with a corresponding liability. As of June 30, 2012 and 2011, the total asset and the corresponding liability were \$6,717,000 and \$9,044,000, respectively.

12. Self-Funded Liabilities

The System self-funds and insures for primary professional and general liability, workers' compensation, and employee medical benefits. A wholly owned, captive insurance company, Emerald Assurance Cayman Ltd. (Emerald), is used to fund primary professional and general liability. Additionally, the System internally sets aside funds for workers' compensation and employee medical benefits. Funding amounts are based on actuarial recommendations.

The assets of the captive insurance company, internally designated funds, and the estimated liability for losses are reported in the consolidated balance sheets. Investment income from the assets and the provision for estimated self-funded losses and administrative costs are reported in the consolidated statements of operations and changes in net assets. The estimated self-funded

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

12. Self-Funded Liabilities (continued)

losses include expected claim payments, including settlement costs for reported claims and an actuarial determination of expected losses related to claims that have been incurred but not reported.

Emerald was incorporated in the Cayman Islands on June 27, 2003, and operates subject to the provisions of the Companies Law (2003 Revision) of the Cayman Islands. Emerald was granted an Unrestricted Class "B" Insurer's license on June 30, 2003, which it holds subject to the provisions of the Insurance Law (2003 Revision) of the Cayman Islands. Emerald has received an undertaking from the Cayman Islands government exempting it from local income, profits, and capital gains taxes until July 29, 2023. No such taxes are currently levied in the Cayman Islands.

13. Concentrations of Credit Risk

The System grants credit without collateral to its patients, most of whom are local residents of the geographies of the various System health care centers and are insured under third-party payor agreements. The mix of gross accounts receivables from patients and third-party payors at June 30, was as follows:

	2012	2011
Medicare	23.2%	24.6%
Medicaid	6.1	5.3
Managed care organizations	37.3	36.6
Commercial insurance	6.4	4.6
Self-pay	16.9	15.9
Others	10.1	13.0
	100.0%	100.0%

14. Commitments and Contingencies

Operating Leases

The System leases various equipment and facilities under noncancelable operating leases expiring at various dates through May 20, 2045. Total rental expense in 2012 and 2011 for all operating leases was approximately \$47,617,000 and \$46,948,000, respectively.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

14. Commitments and Contingencies (continued)

The System's leases have varying terms, which may include renewal or purchase options and escalation clauses that are factored into determining minimum lease payments. The following is a schedule by year of future minimum lease payments under operating leases as of June 30, 2012, that have initial or remaining lease terms in excess of one year (in thousands):

2013	\$ 33,981
2014	29,128
2015	24,883
2016	18,558
2017	20,575
Thereafter	30,291
Total	\$ 157,416

From time to time, the System is subject to litigation in the ordinary course of operations. In management's opinion, any future settlements or judgments on asserted or unasserted claims will not have a material effect on the System's consolidated financial statements.

CHRISTUS Health has received notification that several regions have been identified as part of the nationwide Department of Justice (DOJ) investigation to determine whether, in certain cases, implantable cardioverter defibrillators (ICD) were provided to certain Medicare beneficiaries in accordance with national coverage criteria. Through September 27, 2012, the DOJ has not asserted any claims against the System. The System continues to fully cooperate with the DOJ in its investigation. While maximum penalties could have a material impact on CHRISTUS Health's financial condition, management anticipates that any final settlement will not have a material adverse impact on its financial condition.

Because the government's enforcement efforts presently are widespread within the industry and may vary from region to region, there can be no assurance that the compliance program will significantly reduce or eliminate the exposure of the System to civil or criminal sanctions or adverse administrative determinations.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

15. Functional Expenses

The System provides general health care services to residents throughout various geographic locations. Expenses related to providing these services at June 30, are as follows (in thousands):

	2012	2011
Health care services Physician services	\$ 2,716,421 232,584	\$ 2,721,502 188,017
General and administrative	803,318	771,734
Total	\$ 3,752,323	\$ 3,681,253

16. Temporarily and Permanently Restricted Net Assets

Temporarily restricted net assets at June 30, are available for the following purposes (in thousands):

		2012	2011
Purchase of equipment/capital improvement	\$	11,123 \$	13,263
Indigent care	J	2,536	2,744
Health education		1,959	1,985
Health care services		4,413	7,076
Community outreach		13,057	13,281
Other		21,095	23,047
Total	\$	54,183 \$	61,396

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

16. Temporarily and Permanently Restricted Net Assets (continued)

Permanently restricted net assets at June 30, are restricted as follows (in thousands):

	 2012	2011
Investments to be held in perpetuity, the income from		
which is expendable to support health care services		
(reported as operating income)	\$ 7,801	\$ 7,699
Endowment requiring income to be added to original gift	6,866	3,922
Other	 1,878	1,930
Total	\$ 16,545	\$ 13,551

17. Assets Held for Sale

During fiscal year 2009, the System implemented a plan to monetize nonoperational assets where appropriate. As a result, several properties were identified and marketing plans were developed. The System classified certain long-lived assets as held for sale in fiscal years 2012 and 2011. The System has classified \$617,000 and \$2,150,000 as assets held for sale at June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Approximately \$353,000 of the assets held for sale balance at June 30, 2012, is land. The remaining \$264,000 represents nonoperational buildings in several Regions. Approximately \$617,000 of the assets held for sale at June 30, 2011, continue to be classified as held for sale at June 30, 2012. This classification is considered appropriate due to market conditions and length of time required to execute sale transactions. The assets are recorded at the lower of the book or estimated fair value at June 30, 2012.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

18. Changes in Consolidated Unrestricted Net Assets

Changes in consolidated unrestricted net assets that are attributable to the System and the noncontrolling interests in subsidiaries are as follows:

	Controlling Interest	Noncontrolling Interest	Total
	Interest	THEFEST	10141
Balance, June 30, 2010	\$ 2,012,039	\$ 103,714	\$ 2,115,753
Unrestricted revenues, gains, and other			
support in excess of expenses	177,333	18,717	196,050
Distributions	_	(11,592)	(11,592)
Other activities	72,472	2,410	74,882
Balance, June 30, 2011	2,261,844	113,249	2,375,093
Unrestricted revenues, gains, and other			
support in excess of expenses	(53,996)	12,601	(41,395)
Distributions	_	(5,858)	(5,858)
Other activities	(92,437)	(693)	(93,130)
Balance, June 30, 2012	\$ 2,115,411	\$ 119,299	\$ 2,234,710

19. Significant Events

Start-up of New CHRISTUS Health Plan

The Texas Department of Health and Human Services awarded a contract to CHRISTUS Health Plan to provide managed care services to Medicaid managed care members and Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP) members in Nueces County and surrounding areas. In November 2011, the Texas Department of Insurance issued a license to CHRISTUS Health Plan as a managed care organization to begin accepting members effective March 1, 2012. CHRISTUS Health Plan was capitalized with an initial investment of \$12,500,000 as of June 30, 2012. Membership in CHRISTUS Health Plan was 7,796 as of June 30, 2012.

Relocation of Corporate Office

In January 2012, CHRISTUS Health announced the corporate functions located primarily in Irving, Houston, and San Antonio, Texas, would be consolidated into a new location in Irving, Texas. The relocation process resulted in the recognition of approximately \$24,000,000 of

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

19. Significant Events (continued)

relocation related costs for the year ended June 30, 2012. This relates primarily to lease termination costs and certain employee severance costs, which are included in services and other expenses and employee compensation and benefits, respectively, in the consolidated statement of operations. Of these amounts, approximately \$2,000,000 has been paid and the remaining balance is recorded in accounts payable and accrued expenses in the consolidated balance sheet at June 30, 2012. Other relocation costs, including moving and employee relocation expenses, will be recognized as incurred. The transition is scheduled to begin in August 2012 and be substantially complete by the spring of 2013. CHRISTUS does not expect to incur additional costs related to the relocation in fiscal year 2013 that will have a material effect on the System's consolidated financial statements.

St Joseph Villa

On February 4, 2011, substantially all the operating assets of CHRISTUS St. Joseph Villa in Salt Lake City, Utah, were sold to The Ensign Group for a sale price of \$16,500,000. CHRISTUS St. Joseph Villa is a continuing care retirement facility with 327 beds/units offering independent and assisted living, long-term care, post-acute care and geri-psych services. The gain from operations for the year ended June 30, 2011, was \$647,000, which is included in other nonoperating loss on the accompanying consolidated statement of operations and changes in net assets. The transaction resulted in a gain from the sale of \$4,300,000, which is included in other nonoperating activity in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations and changes in net assets.

20. Subsequent Events

The System evaluated events and transactions occurring subsequent to June 30, 2012, and through September 27, 2012, the date of issuance of the accompanying consolidated financial statements. During this period, there were no subsequent events requiring recognition in the consolidated financial statements.

CHRISTUS Santa Rosa City Center

In April 2012, CHRISTUS Santa Rosa announced plans to transform the City Center campus in downtown San Antonio into a free-standing children's hospital. In July 2012, CHRISTUS Santa Rosa ceased adult services in the City Center facility.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

20. Subsequent Events (continued)

The \$135,000,000 facility expansion and renovation program is expected to be completed in 24 months. Once completed, the CHRISTUS Santa Rosa Children's Hospital will be licensed for 275 beds.

CHRISTUS Spohn and Nueces County Hospital District Relationship

CHRISTUS Spohn Health System (Spohn) is in negotiation with Nueces County Hospital District (NCHD) to enter into a co-membership agreement. The agreement will allow NCHD to have a percentage membership in Spohn entitling NCHD to a portion of the patient receipts, as well as change the current obligations regarding lease payments and funds flow for services delivered to the Nueces County indigent care patients.

Assurance | Tax | Transactions | Advisory

The first section of the section of

TO BOTH PROPERTY CHARLES FOR A WELLING

The size of the size of the graph of any and a size of the property of the size of the siz

Software ID: Software Version:

EIN: 74-1109836

Name: Christus Spohn Health System Corporation

Form 990, Part VII - Compensation of Officers, Directors, Trustees, Key Employees, Highest Compensated Employees, and Independent Contractors

Compensated Employees, and Independent Contractors										
(A) Name and Title	(B) (C) A verage hours that apply) per						_	(D) Reportable compensation from the	(E) Reportable compensation from related	(F) Estimated amount of other compensation
	week	Individual trustee or director	Institutional Trustee	Officei	Key employee	Highest compensated employee	Former	organization (W- 2/1099-MISC)	organizations (W- 2/1099- MISC)	from the organization and related organizations
Elizabeth Chu Richter Board Member	1 0	×								
Sr Mary Margaret Bright Board Member (term 12/31/11)	1 0	Х								
Rosalında Sosa-Bonilla Board Member	1 0	Х							889	
Al B Jones Chairperson	1 0	Х		х					889	
Karen Urban Board Member	1 0	Х							889	
Leon S Loeb	10	x								
Board Member (Term 5/8/12) Anna Marie Silvas	1 0								889	
Board Member Rosie Garcia MD									009	
Board Member (Term 9/23/11)	1 0	Х								
Martın C Davıs Board Member	1 0	Х							889	
Ben A Donnell Vice Chairperson	1 0	х		х					889	
Robert Bosquez II Board Member (Term 12/31/11)	1 0	Х								
Sr Rosanne Mary Popp Board Member	1 0	х							73,084	1,751
Chandra Katragadda MD Board Member (Term 4/27/12)	1 0	Х						875	889	
Nestor Praderio MD Board Member (term 12/31/11)	10	Х						72,523		
Pamela Robertson President and CEO	400	Х		х				523,543	63,039	141,276
Anthony LaMantıa Board Member	1 0	Х								
Frank Brogan Board Member (Eff 1/1/12)	1 0	Х								
Lında Walker Board Member (Eff 1/1/12)	1 0	Х								
Brent Hagemeister MD Board Member (Eff 1/1/12)	1 0	Х								
Stephen Kleberg Board Member (Eff 1/1/12)	1 0	Х								
Sr Teresa Stanley Board Member (Eff 1/1/12)	1 0	Х								
Laura E Martinez Corporate Secretary	400			х				50,599		11,357
Pamela Brower CFO (Eff 12/4/11)	39 0			х				183,736	12,072	49,041
Scott Merryman CFO (TRANSFER 9/19/11)	39 0			Х				224,792	150,388	71,731
Richard Davis CMO/VP Med Aff (Term 7/1/11)	400				х			813,263	0	62,121

Form 990, Part VII - Compensation of Officers, Directors, Trustees, Key Employees, Highest Compensated Employees, and Independent Contractors

(A) Name and Title	Name and Title Average Position (check all hours that apply)						(D) Reportable compensation	(E) Reportable compensation	(F) Estimated amount of other	
	per week	Individual trustae or director	Institutional Trustee	Officei	Key employee	Highest compensated employee	Former	from the organization (W- 2/1099-MISC)	from related organizations (W- 2/1099- MISC)	compensation from the organization and related organizations
Mark Casanova COO South (Eff 10/9/11)	40 0				х			183,131	14,579	48,600
Maria E Chapa COO (EFF 5/8/11)	39 0				х			304,412	22,199	88,249
Steven Daniel COO Alc/Rrl Fac Eff (10/9/11)	40 0				х			254,085	16,337	76,240
Paul Gaden COO SHORE/MEMRL (EFF 10/9/11)	40 0				х			250,981	18,989	71,623
Francis Pommett Jr COO SHORE/MEMRL (TERM 10/8/11)	40 0				х			396,811	24,499	27,693
Raymundo Ramos Jr COO BEEVILLE (EFF 3/27/11)	40 0				х			165,924	6,851	41,540
Norman McBride COO Kleberg	40 0				х			162,930	0	51,397
Charles T Volk CMO (EFF 9/25/11)	39 0				х			234,290	0	18,840
Mary LaFrancois VP Human Resources	40 0					Х		220,616	13,674	62,194
Julie Pina Asst Administrator-Shoreline	40 0					Х		185,446	12,496	43,143
Brian Smith VP Mission Integration	40 0					Х		177,881	13,495	45,620
Leslie Gembol CNO SHORELINE (TERM 10/8/11)	40 0					Х		288,965	13,310	50,079
Madhup Gupta CHIEF MEDICAL PHYSICIST	40 0					Х		220,404	0	42,752
Bruce Holstien Former President & CEO	0 0						х	632,834	0	1,535
Geronimo M Rodriguez Former CNE/COO Beeville	0 0						Х	0	344,232	59,707