

See a Social Security Number? Say Something! Report Privacy Problems to https://public.resource.org/privacy Or call the IRS Identity Theft Hotline at 1-800-908-4490



DLN: 93493133004303

Form **990**

Department of the Treasury Internal Revenue Service

Return of Organization Exempt From Income Tax

Under section 501(c), 527, or 4947(a)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code (except black lung benefit trust or private foundation)

Open
► The organization may have to use a copy of this return to satisfy state reporting requirements

This

2011

OMB No 1545-0047

Open to Public Inspection

| A F | or the 2 | 2011 ca | ılendar year, or tax year beginning 07 | -01-2011 and ending 06-30-20 | 012 | | <u> </u> | | | |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | heck ıf aı | | C Name of organization | | | D Employ | er identification number | | | |
| | ddress ch | | ST LUKE'S HOSPITAL OF BETHLEHEM PA | | | 23-13! | | | | |
| Γ_{N} | ame cha | nge | Doing Business As St Luke's University Hospital | | | E Telepho | ne number | | | |
| ┌ Ir | nitial retui | m | Number and street (or P O box if mail is r | not delivered to street address) Room | /suite | (484)5 | 526-4000 | | | |
| _ | erminate | | 801 OSTRUM STREET | | | G Gross receipts \$ 688,485,650 | | | | |
| _ | mended i pplication | return n pending | City or town, state or country, and ZIP + 4 BETHLEHEM, PA 180151000 | 1 | | | | | | |
| | | | F Name and address of principal | officer | H(a) Is the | ı sagroupı | return for | | | |
| | | | THOMAS P LICHTENWALNER 801 OSTRUM STREET | | affilia | | ┌ Yes ┌ No | | | |
| | | | BETHLEHEM, PA 180151000 | | H(b) Are al | l affiliates i | ncluded? | | | |
| | | | | | ` ´ | | a list (see instructions) | | | |
| I T | ax-exem | npt status | √ 501(c)(3) | no) | | | on number 🕨 | | | |
| J V | Vebsite | e:► WW | /W SLHN ORG | | | | | | | |
| <u></u> | rm of ord | ganızatıon | Corporation Trust Association C | Other 🕨 | L Year of fo | rmation 187 | 2 M State of legal domicile PA | | | |
| | art I | | mary | | l . | | | | | |
| | 1 1 | Briefly de | escribe the organization's mission or | most significant activities | | | | | | |
| | 7 | TO PRO | VIDE COMPASSIONATE, EXCELLE | NT QUALITY AND COST EFFE | | | | | | |
| ሧ | | COMMU PAY | NITIES WE SERVE REGARDLESS O | FRACE, COLOR, CREED, SEX, | NATIONALOR | IGIN, REL | IGION OR ABILITY TO | | | |
| Governance | | | | | | | | | | |
| E . | - | | | | | | | | | |
| ò | 2 - | Check th | nis box 🔭 if the organization discont | inited its operations or dispose | d of more than 2 | 5% of its i | net assets | | | |
| | | | of voting members of the governing be | | | J 70 01 113 1 | 3 20 | | | |
| Activities & | | | of independent voting members of the | | | | 4 16 | | | |
| Ē | | | mber of individuals employed in calen | | • | · | 5 5,848 | | | |
| ទ្ធ | | | mber of volunteers (estimate if neces | | | - | 6 1,223 | | | |
| • | | | related business revenue from Part V | | - | 7a 0 | | | | |
| | | | lated business taxable income from F | | | - | 7b | | | |
| | B' | ivet unite | Tated business taxable income from t | offit 550-1, fille 54 | Prio | r Year | Current Year | | | |
| | 8 | Contri | butions and grants (Part VIII, line 1h | ١ | Pilo | 10,464,3 | | | | |
| 9 | 9 | | ım service revenue (Part VIII, line 20 | | 550,884,5 | | | | | |
| Rayenue | 10 | _ | :ment income (Part VIII, column (A), | | 16,790,0 | | | | | |
| 歪 | 111 | | revenue (Part VIII, column (A), lines | • | 4,755,5 | | | | | |
| | 12 | | revenue—add lines 8 through 11 (mus | | ıne | 1,700,0 | 3,000,00 | | | |
| | | | <u> </u> | | | 82,894,5 | 02 687,698,946 | | | |
| | 13 | Grants | s and similar amounts paid (Part IX, c | olumn (A), lines 1-3) | | 460,1 | 41 674,195 | | | |
| | 14 | | ts paid to or for members (Part IX, co | | | | 0 0 | | | |
| ø | 15 | Saları 5-10) | es, other compensation, employee bei | nefits (Part IX, column (A), lines | 5 | 306,730,2 | 25 311,278,579 | | | |
| Expenses | 16a | | sional fundraising fees (Part IX, colui | nn (Δ) line 11e) | | 0 | | | | |
| - Φ | b | | | | | | 0 0 | | | |
| Δ | | | ndraising expenses (Part IX, column (D), line expenses (Part IX, column (A), lines | | | 337,151,310 330,806,74 | | | | |
| | 17 18 | | expenses (Part Ix, column (A), lines expenses Add lines 13–17 (must eqi | | | 544,341,6 | | | | |
| | 19 | | ue less expenses Subtract line 18 fro | | ′ | 38,552,8 | | | | |
|) (7) | | | and the state of t | | Beainning | of Curren | * | | | |
| Net Assets or Fund Balances | | | | | Y | ear | End of Year | | | |
| 155. Hall | 20 | | assets (Part X, line 16) | | 1,1 | 16,306,8 | 29 1,292,517,730 | | | |
| 2 P | 21 | | iabilities (Part X, line 26) | | | 88,461,2 | | | | |
| | | | sets or fund balances Subtract line 2 | 1 from line 20 | . 4 | 27,845,6 | 28 361,566,029 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pa Und know | a rt III er penal | Sign Ities of po | ature Block erjury, I declare that I have examined thi f, it is true, correct, and complete. Decla | s return, including accompanying | schedules and s | tatements, a | and to the best of my | | | |
| | | **** | ** ature of officer | | | 13-05-06 | | | | |
| Sig | | ' | | | Da | ite | | | | |
| He | re | | MAS P LICHTENWALNER VP FINANCE/CFO or print name and title | | | | | | | |
| | | | <u>'</u> | Date | Charle of | | American of cores. | | | |
| | | Preparer signature | | Check if self- | Preparer's (see instru | taxpayer identification number ictions) | | | | |
| Paid | | - | • | employed 🕨 🦳 | | | | | | |
| - | parer's | Firm's na | ame (or yours WithumSmithBrown PC mployed), | | | EIN Þ | | | | |
| use | Only | address, | and ZIP + 4 465 South St Ste 200 | | | | | | | |
| | | Morristown, NJ 079606497 Phone no ▶ (973) 898-9494 | | | | | | | | |

| 1 0111 | JJ0 (2011) | | | | | ray |
|--------|--|---|---|---|---|---|
| Par | | t of Program Ser edule O contains a re | | | | |
| 1 | Briefly describe the | organization's missi | on | | | |
| COM | MUNITIES WE SERV | | RACE, COLOR, C | REED, SEX, NATION | EALTHCARE TO THE R AL ORIGIN, RELIGION FIT STATEMENT | |
| 2 | Did the organization the prior Form 990 | | īcant program serv | ices during the year | which were not listed on | └ Yes ✓ No |
| | | nese new services on | Schedule O | | |) 165 p 110 |
| 3 | Did the organization services? | n cease conducting, o | r make significant | changes in how it con | ducts, any program | ┌ Yes ┌ No |
| | If "Yes," describe th | nese changes on Sch | edule O | | | |
| 4 | expenses Section ! | 501(c)(3) and 501(c) | (4) organizations a | nd section 4947(a)(: | ee largest program servi 1) trusts are required to 1 program service report | report the amount of |
| 4a | (Code |) (Expenses \$ | 71,570,185 | ncluding grants of \$ | 0) (Revenue | \$ 69,998,123) |
| | placed on health prom | otion and disease prevent | ion [°] Preventive and hea | ılthy lıvıng medical educat | ion, routine care of common | ed by hospitalists Emphasis is also medical illnesses and ongoing NIZATION'S COMMUNITY BENEFIT |
| | (Code |) (Expenses \$ | 54,524,725 ı | ncluding grants of \$ | 0) (Revenue | \$ 51,795,502) |
| | metropolitan teaching has repeatedly earned Cardiovascular Hospita | hospitals The hospital's he the highest overall open- Is by Thomson Reuters T | eart care program has e heart surgery quality ra he National Committee | earned Chest Pain Center ting from the Society of T for Quality Assurance has | accreditation and Joint Comm | |
| | (Code |) (Expenses \$ | 52,603,245 | ncluding grants of \$ | 0) (Revenue | \$ 61,015,289) |
| 76 | General surgery hosp available today St Lu "guarantee" for robotic | ital surgeons, combined w ke's has one of the nation prostatectomy Other inn | ith available leading-ed 's oldest and most expo ovative advanced surgi | ge surgical technologies, perienced minimally invasiv cal techniques are offered | provide patients with some of e robotic surgery programs a | the most advanced surgical care nd was the first in the U S to offer ns, such as surgery resulting from |
| | Other program ser | vices (Describe in S | chedule O) | | | |
| | (Expenses \$ | • | ncluding grants of s | 674,1 | 95) (Revenue \$ | 469,898,207) |
| 4e | Total program serv | | 578,551,006 | <u></u> | | |

а

| art IV | Checklist of | Required | Schedules |
|--------|--------------|----------|-----------|
| | | | |

| | | | Yes | No |
|-----|---|-----|-----|-----|
| 1 | Is the organization described in section 501(c)(3) or 4947(a)(1) (other than a private foundation)? <i>If "Yes,"</i> complete Schedule A | 1 | Yes | |
| 2 | Is the organization required to complete Schedule B, Schedule of Contributors (see instructions)? 🕏 | 2 | Yes | |
| 3 | Did the organization engage in direct or indirect political campaign activities on behalf of or in opposition to candidates for public office? If "Yes," complete Schedule C, Part I | 3 | | N o |
| 4 | Section 501(c)(3) organizations. Did the organization engage in lobbying activities, or have a section 501(h) election in effect during the tax year? If "Yes," complete Schedule C, Part II | 4 | Yes | |
| 5 | Is the organization a section 501(c)(4), 501(c)(5), or 501(c)(6) organization that receives membership dues, assessments, or similar amounts as defined in Revenue Procedure 98-19? If "Yes," complete Schedule C, Part III | 5 | | |
| 6 | Did the organization maintain any donor advised funds or any similar funds or accounts for which donors have the right to provide advice on the distribution or investment of amounts in such funds or accounts? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part I | 6 | | No |
| 7 | Did the organization receive or hold a conservation easement, including easements to preserve open space, the environment, historic land areas or historic structures? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part II | 7 | | No |
| 8 | Did the organization maintain collections of works of art, historical treasures, or other similar assets? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part III | 8 | | No |
| 9 | Did the organization report an amount in Part X, line 21, serve as a custodian for amounts not listed in Part X, or provide credit counseling, debt management, credit repair, or debt negotiation services? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part IV | 9 | | No |
| 10 | Did the organization, directly or through a related organization, hold assets in temporarily restricted endowments, permanent endowments, or quasi-endowments? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part V | 10 | Yes | |
| 11 | If the organization's answer to any of the following questions is 'Yes,' then complete Schedule D, Parts VI, VII, VIII, IX, or X as applicable | | | |
| а | Did the organization report an amount for land, buildings, and equipment in Part X, line10? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part VI. | 11a | Yes | |
| b | Did the organization report an amount for investments—other securities in Part X, line 12 that is 5% or more of its total assets reported in Part X, line 16? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part VII. | 11b | | No |
| C | Did the organization report an amount for investments—program related in Part X, line 13 that is 5% or more of its total assets reported in Part X, line 16? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part VIII. | 11c | Yes | |
| d | Did the organization report an amount for other assets in Part X, line 15 that is 5% or more of its total assets reported in Part X, line 16? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part IX. | 11d | | No |
| e | Did the organization report an amount for other liabilities in Part X, line 25? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part X. | 11e | Yes | |
| f | Did the organization's separate or consolidated financial statements for the tax year include a footnote that addresses the organization's liability for uncertain tax positions under FIN 48 (ASC 740)? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part X. | 11f | | No |
| 12a | Did the organization obtain separate, independent audited financial statements for the tax year? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Parts XI, XII, and XIII | 12a | | No |
| b | Was the organization included in consolidated, independent audited financial statements for the tax year? If "Yes," and if the organization answered 'No' to line 12a, then completing Schedule D, Parts XI, XII, and XIII is optional | 12b | Yes | |
| 13 | Is the organization a school described in section 170(b)(1)(A)(ii)? If "Yes," complete Schedule E | 13 | | No |
| | Did the organization maintain an office, employees, or agents outside of the United States? | 14a | | No |
| b | Did the organization have aggregate revenues or expenses of more than \$10,000 from grantmaking, fundraising, business, investment, and program service activities outside the United States, or aggregate foreign investments valued at \$100,000 or more? If "Yes," complete Schedule F, Part I | 14b | | No |
| 15 | Did the organization report on Part IX, column (A), line 3, more than $$5,000$ of grants or assistance to any organization or entity located outside the U S? If "Yes," complete Schedule F, Part II and IV | 15 | | No |
| 16 | Did the organization report on Part IX, column (A), line 3, more than \$5,000 of aggregate grants or assistance to individuals located outside the U S ? If "Yes," complete Schedule F, Part III and IV | 16 | | No |
| 17 | Did the organization report a total of more than \$15,000, of expenses for professional fundraising services on Part IX, column (A), lines 6 and 11e? <i>If</i> " <i>Yes," complete Schedule G, Part I</i> | 17 | | No |
| 18 | Did the organization report more than \$15,000 total of fundraising event gross income and contributions on Part VIII, lines 1c and 8a? <i>If</i> "Yes," complete Schedule G, Part II | 18 | | No |
| 19 | Did the organization report more than \$15,000 of gross income from gaming activities on Part VIII, line 9a? If "Yes," complete Schedule G, Part III | 19 | | N o |
| 20a | Did the organization operate one or more hospitals? If "Yes," complete Schedule H | 20a | Yes | |
| b | If "Yes" to line 20a, did the organization attach its audited financial statement to this return? Note. All Form 990 filers that operated one or more hospitals must attach audited financial statements | 20b | Yes | |

| Par | t IV Checklist of Required Schedules (continued) | | | |
|-----|---|-----|-----|----|
| 21 | Did the organization report more than \$5,000 of grants and other assistance to governments and organizations in the United States on Part IX, column (A), line 1? If "Yes," complete Schedule I, Parts I and II | 21 | Yes | |
| 22 | Did the organization report more than \$5,000 of grants and other assistance to individuals in the United States on Part IX, column (A), line 2? If "Yes," complete Schedule I, Parts I and III | 22 | | No |
| 23 | Did the organization answer "Yes" to Part VII, Section A, questions 3, 4, or 5, about compensation of the organization's current and former officers, directors, trustees, key employees, and highest compensated employees? If "Yes," complete Schedule J | 23 | Yes | |
| 24a | Did the organization have a tax-exempt bond issue with an outstanding principal amount of more than \$100,000 as of the last day of the year, that was issued after December 31, 2002? If "Yes," answer questions 24b-24d and complete Schedule K. If "No," go to line 25 | 24a | Yes | |
| b | Did the organization invest any proceeds of tax-exempt bonds beyond a temporary period exception? | 24b | | Νo |
| c | Did the organization maintain an escrow account other than a refunding escrow at any time during the year to defease any tax-exempt bonds? | 24c | | No |
| d | Did the organization act as an "on behalf of" issuer for bonds outstanding at any time during the year? | 24d | | Νo |
| 25a | Section 501(c)(3) and 501(c)(4) organizations. Did the organization engage in an excess benefit transaction with a disqualified person during the year? If "Yes," complete Schedule L, Part I | 25a | | No |
| b | Is the organization aware that it engaged in an excess benefit transaction with a disqualified person in a prior year, and that the transaction has not been reported on any of the organization's prior Forms 990 or 990-EZ? If "Yes," complete Schedule L, Part I | 25b | | No |
| 26 | Was a loan to or by a current or former officer, director, trustee, key employee, highly compensated employee, or disqualified person outstanding as of the end of the organization's tax year? If "Yes," complete Schedule L, Part II | 26 | | No |
| 27 | Did the organization provide a grant or other assistance to an officer, director, trustee, key employee, substantial contributor, or a grant selection committee member, or to a person related to such an individual? If "Yes," complete Schedule L, Part III | 27 | | No |
| 28 | Was the organization a party to a business transaction with one of the following parties? (see Schedule L, Part IV instructions for applicable filing thresholds, conditions, and exceptions) | | | |
| а | A current or former officer, director, trustee, or key employee? If "Yes," complete Schedule L, Part IV | 28a | | No |
| b | A family member of a current or former officer, director, trustee, or key employee? If "Yes," complete Schedule L, Part IV | 28b | | No |
| C | An entity of which a current or former officer, director, trustee, or key employee (or a family member thereof) was an officer, director, trustee, or owner? If "Yes," complete Schedule L, Part IV | 28c | Yes | |
| 29 | Did the organization receive more than \$25,000 in non-cash contributions? If "Yes," complete Schedule M | 29 | | Νo |
| 30 | Did the organization receive contributions of art, historical treasures, or other similar assets, or qualified conservation contributions? If "Yes," complete Schedule M | 30 | | No |
| 31 | Did the organization liquidate, terminate, or dissolve and cease operations? If "Yes," complete Schedule N, Part I | 31 | | No |
| 32 | Did the organization sell, exchange, dispose of, or transfer more than 25% of its net assets? If "Yes," complete Schedule N, Part II | 32 | | No |
| 33 | Did the organization own 100% of an entity disregarded as separate from the organization under Regulations sections 301 7701-2 and 301 7701-3? If "Yes," complete Schedule R, Part I | 33 | Yes | |
| 34 | Was the organization related to any tax-exempt or taxable entity? If "Yes," complete Schedule R, Parts II, III, IV, and V, line 1 | 34 | Yes | |
| 35a | Is any related organization a controlled entity of the filing organization within the meaning of section 512(b)(13)? | 35a | Yes | |
| b | Did the organization receive any payment from or engage in any transaction with a controlled entity within the meaning of section 512(b)(13)? If "Yes," complete Schedule R, Part V, line 2 | 35b | | No |
| 36 | Section 501(c)(3) organizations. Did the organization make any transfers to an exempt non-charitable related organization? If "Yes," complete Schedule R, Part V, line 2 | 36 | | No |
| 37 | Did the organization conduct more than 5% of its activities through an entity that is not a related organization and that is treated as a partnership for federal income tax purposes? If "Yes," complete Schedule R, Part VI | 37 | | No |
| 38 | Did the organization complete Schedule O and provide explanations in Schedule O for Part VI, lines 11 and 19? | 38 | Yes | |

| Part V | Statements Regarding Other IRS Filings and Tax Compliance |
|--------|---|

| | Check if Schedule O contains a response to any question in this Part V | • | ٠١ | |
|-----|---|------------|-----|----------|
| | | | Yes | No |
| .a | Enter the number reported in Box 3 of Form 1096 Enter -0- if not applicable | | | |
| | | | | |
| b | Enter the number of Forms W-2G included in line 1a Enter -0- if not applicable 1b 0 | | | |
| С | Did the organization comply with backup withholding rules for reportable payments to vendors and reportable gaming (gambling) winnings to prize winners? | 1c | | |
| !a | Enter the number of employees reported on Form W-3, Transmittal of Wage and Tax Statements filed for the calendar year ending with or within the year covered by this | | | |
| h | return | | | |
| ט | Note. If the sum of lines 1a and 2a is greater than 250, you may be required to e-file (see instructions) | 2b | Yes | |
| a | Did the organization have unrelated business gross income of \$1,000 or more during the | | | |
| | year? | За | Yes | |
| | If "Yes," has it filed a Form 990-T for this year? If "No," provide an explanation in Schedule O | 3b | Yes | |
| а | At any time during the calendar year, did the organization have an interest in, or a signature or other authority over, a financial account in a foreign country (such as a bank account or securities account)? | 4a | | No |
| b | If "Yes," enter the name of the foreign country | | | |
| | See instructions for filing requirements for Form TD F 90-22 1, Report of Foreign Bank and Financial Accounts | | | |
| a | Was the organization a party to a prohibited tax shelter transaction at any time during the tax year? | 5a | | No |
| | Did any taxable party notify the organization that it was or is a party to a prohibited tax shelter transaction? | 5b | | No |
| | If "Yes" to line 5a or 5b, did the organization file Form 8886-T? | | | |
| a | Does the organization have annual gross receipts that are normally greater than \$100,000, and did the | 5c 6a | | No |
| | organization solicit any contributions that were not tax deductible? | | | |
| b | If "Yes," did the organization include with every solicitation an express statement that such contributions or gifts were not tax deductible? | 6b | | |
| | Organizations that may receive deductible contributions under section 170(c). | | | 1 |
| а | Did the organization receive a payment in excess of \$75 made partly as a contribution and partly for goods and services provided to the payor? | 7a | | Νo |
| b | If "Yes," did the organization notify the donor of the value of the goods or services provided? | 7b | | |
| C | Did the organization sell, exchange, or otherwise dispose of tangible personal property for which it was required to file Form 8282? | 7c | | No |
| d | If "Yes," indicate the number of Forms 8282 filed during the year | ,, | | 110 |
| e | Did the organization receive any funds, directly or indirectly, to pay premiums on a personal benefit | | | |
| | contract? | 7e | | Νo |
| | Did the organization, during the year, pay premiums, directly or indirectly, on a personal benefit contract? If the organization received a contribution of qualified intellectual property, did the organization file Form 8899 as | 7f | | No |
| g | required? | 7g | | |
| h | If the organization received a contribution of cars, boats, airplanes, or other vehicles, did the organization file a Form 1098-C? | 7h | | |
| | Sponsoring organizations maintaining donor advised funds and section 509(a)(3) supporting organizations. Did the supporting organization, or a donor advised fund maintained by a sponsoring organization, have excess business holdings at any time during the year? | 8 | | |
| ı | Sponsoring organizations maintaining donor advised funds. | • | | |
| а | Did the organization make any taxable distributions under section 4966? | 9a | | |
| | Did the organization make a distribution to a donor, donor advisor, or related person? | 9b | | |
| 0 | Section 501(c)(7) organizations. Enter | | | |
| | Initiation fees and capital contributions included on Part VIII, line 12 10a | | | |
| b | Gross receipts, included on Form 990, Part VIII, line 12, for public use of club facilities | | | |
| 1 | Section 501(c)(12) organizations. Enter | | | |
| | Gross income from members or shareholders | | | |
| b | Gross income from other sources (Do not net amounts due or paid to other sources against amounts due or received from them) | | | |
| .2a | Section 4947(a)(1) non-exempt charitable trusts. Is the organization filing Form 990 in lieu of Form 1041? | 12a | | |
| b | If "Yes," enter the amount of tax-exempt interest received or accrued during the year | | | |
| 3 | Section 501(c)(29) qualified nonprofit health insurance issuers. | | | |
| | Is the organization licensed to issue qualified health plans in more than one state? | | | |
| | Note. All 501(c)(29) organizations must list in Schedule O each state in which they are licensed to issue qualified health plans, the amount of reserves required by each state, and the amount of reserves the organization allocated to each state | 13a | | |
| b | Enter the aggregate amount of reserves the organization is required to maintain by | | | <u>I</u> |
| c | Enter the aggregate amount of reserves on hand | | | |
| A | Did the experience and new payments for indeer tenning confuses during the tay year? | ا ۔ م | | NI - |
| | Did the organization receive any payments for indoor tanning services during the tax year? | 14a 14b | | No |
| ט | in 163, has it lied a form 720 to report these payments of 100, provide an explanation in schedule 0 | T-AD | | |

Part VI Governance, Management, and Disclosure For each "Yes" response to lines 2 through 7b below, and for a "No" response to lines 8a, 8b, or 10b below, describe the circumstances, processes, or changes in Schedule O. See instructions.

Check if Schedule O contains a response to any question in this Part VI

| Se | ction A. Governing Body and Management | | | |
|-----|--|-----|-----|----|
| | | | Yes | No |
| | | | | |
| 1a | Enter the number of voting members of the governing body at the end of the tax | | | |
| Ia | year | | | |
| b | Enter the number of voting members included in line 1a, above, who are independent | | | |
| 2 | Did any officer, director, trustee, or key employee have a family relationship or a business relationship with any other officer, director, trustee, or key employee? | 2 | | No |
| 3 | 3 | | N o | |
| 4 | 4 | Yes | | |
| 5 | filed? Did the organization become aware during the year of a significant diversion of the organization's assets? . | 5 | | No |
| 6 | Did the organization have members or stockholders? | 6 | Yes | |
| 7a | Did the organization have members, stockholders, or other persons who had the power to elect or appoint one or more members of the governing body? | 7a | Yes | |
| b | Are any governance decisions of the organization reserved to (or subject to approval by) members, stockholders, or persons other than the governing body? | 7b | Yes | |
| 8 | Did the organization contemporaneously document the meetings held or written actions undertaken during the year by the following | | | |
| а | The governing body? | 8a | Yes | |
| b | Each committee with authority to act on behalf of the governing body? | 8b | Yes | |
| 9 | Is there any officer, director, trustee, or key employee listed in Part VII, Section A, who cannot be reached at the organization's mailing address? If "Yes," provide the names and addresses in Schedule O | 9 | | No |
| Se | ction B. Policies (This Section B requests information about policies not required by the Internal | | | |
| Re | venue Code.) | | | |
| | | | Yes | No |
| | Did the organization have local chapters, branches, or affiliates? | 10a | | No |
| b | If "Yes," did the organization have written policies and procedures governing the activities of such chapters, affiliates, and branches to ensure their operations are consistent with the organization's exempt purposes? | 10b | | |
| 11a | Has the organization provided a complete copy of this Form 990 to all members of its governing body before filing the form? | 11a | Yes | |
| b | Describe in Schedule O the process, if any, used by the organization to review the Form 990 | | | |
| 12a | Did the organization have a written conflict of interest policy? If "No," go to line 13 | 12a | Yes | |
| b | Were officers, directors or trustees, and key employees required to disclose annually interests that could give rise to conflicts? | 12b | Yes | |
| c | Did the organization regularly and consistently monitor and enforce compliance with the policy? If "Yes," describe in Schedule O how this was done | 12c | Yes | |
| 13 | Did the organization have a written whistleblower policy? | 13 | Yes | |
| 14 | Did the organization have a written document retention and destruction policy? | 14 | Yes | |
| 15 | Did the process for determining compensation of the following persons include a review and approval by independent persons, comparability data, and contemporaneous substantiation of the deliberation and decision? | | | |
| а | The organization's CEO, Executive Director, or top management official | 15a | Yes | |
| b | 15b | Yes | | |
| | If "Yes," to line 15a or 15b, describe the process in Schedule O (see instructions) | | | |
| 16a | Did the organization invest in, contribute assets to, or participate in a joint venture or similar arrangement with a taxable entity during the year? | 16a | Yes | |
| b | If "Yes," did the organization follow a written policy or procedure requiring the organization to evaluate its participation in joint venture arrangements under applicable federal tax law, and take steps to safeguard the | | | |
| | organization's exempt status with respect to such arrangements? | 16b | Yes | |
| Se | ction C. Disclosure | | | |
| 17 | List the States with which a copy of this Form 990 is required to be filed▶ | | | |
| 18 | Section 6104 requires an organization to make its Form 1023 (or 1024 if applicable), 990, and 990-T (501(c) | | | |

(3)s only) available for public inspection. Indicate how you made these available. Check all that apply

☐ O wn website ☐ A nother's website ☑ U pon request

- Describe in Schedule O whether (and if so, how), the organization made its governing documents, conflict of interest policy, and financial statements available to the public See Additional Data Table
- State the name, physical address, and telephone number of the person who possesses the books and records of the organization 🕨 20 THOMAS P LICHTENWALNER 801 OSTRUM STREET BETHLEHEM, PA 180151000 (484) 526-4000

Compensation of Officers, Directors, Trustees, Key Employees, Highest Compensated Employees, and Independent Contractors

Section A. Officers, Directors, Trustees, Key Employees, and Highest Compensated Employees

1a Complete this table for all persons required to be listed Report compensation for the calendar year ending with or within the organization's tax year

- List all of the organization's **current** officers, directors, trustees (whether individuals or organizations), regardless of amount of compensation, and **current** key employees Enter -0 in columns (D), (E), and (F) if no compensation was paid
- List all of the organization's current key employees, if any See instructions for definition of "key employee"
- ◆ List the organization's five **current** highest compensated employees (other than an officer, director, trustee or key employee) who received reportable compensation (Box 5 of Form W-2 and/or Box 7 of Form 1099-MISC) of more than \$100,000 from the organization and any related organizations
- List all of the organization's **former** officers, key employees, or highest compensated employees who received more than \$100,000 of reportable compensation from the organization and any related organizations
- List all of the organization's **former directors or trustees** that received, in the capacity as a former director or trustee of the organization, more than \$10,000 of reportable compensation from the organization and any related organizations

List persons in the following order individual trustees or directors, institutional trustees, officers, key employees, highest compensated employees, and former such persons

| (a) Name and Title A verage hours par week (describe hours for related organizations in Schedule O) See Additional Data Table (b) Position (do not check more than one box, unless person is both an officer and a director/trustee) (c) Position (do not check more than one box, unless person is both an officer and a director/trustee) (describe hours for related organizations is not should be a director/trustee) (describe hours for related organizations is not should be a director/trustee) (describe hours for related organizations is not should be a director/trustee) (describe hours for related organizations) (describe hours for related organizations) (describe hours for the director/trustee) (describe hours for related organizations) (describe hours for the director/trustee) (describe hours for related organizations) (describe hours for the director/trustee) (de | Check this box if neither the organiz | • | lated o | rganı | ızatı | ons | compe | ensat | ed any current or fo | ormer officer, direct | tor, or trustee | |
|--|---------------------------------------|---|--|-----------------------|---------|--------------|---|--|---|-----------------------|-----------------|--|
| for related organizations in Schedule Officer Schedule O) organizations | (A) | (B) Average hours per week | (C) Position (do not check more than one box, unless person is both an officer and a | | | | (D) Reportable compensation from the organization (W- | (E) Reportable compensation from related organizations | (F) Estimated amount of other compensation from the | | | |
| See Additional Data Table | | for related organizations in Schedule | Individual trustee or director | Institutional Trustee | Officei | Ke) emplojee | Highest compensated employee | Former | | MISC) | | |
| | See Additional Data Table | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Part VII Section A. Officers, Directors, Trustees, Key Employees, and Highest Compensated Employees (continued)

| (A) Name and Title | per unless person is both week an officer and a ord (describe director/trustee) 2, | | | | | | | | (D) (E) contable consation compensa from relationation (W- 199-MISC) (W- 2/109 | | | (F) Estimated amount of other compensation from the organization and | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|---------|--------------|------------------------------|--------|------------|---|-------------------------|----------|--|-----------|
| | for related organizations in Schedule O) | Individual trustee or director | Institutional Trustee | Officei | Key employee | Highest compensated employee | Former | | | MISC) | | organiza | |
| See Additional Data Table | | | | | | | | | | | + | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | \top | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | \perp | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | \dashv | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | \dashv | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | + | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | + | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | + | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | + | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | \top | | |
| 1b Sub-Total | | | | | | | • | • | | | I | | |
| d Total (add lines 1b and 1c) . | | | | | | | • | | 9,949,102 | | 0 | : | 1,305,066 |
| 2 Total number of individuals (incl | udıng but not lın | nited to | thos | e lıs | ted | |) who | o receive | d more tha | an | | | |
| \$100,000 of reportable compen | Sation from the | organiz | ationi | -20 | <i></i> | | | | | | | | |
| 3 Did the organization list any for on line 1a? If "Yes," complete Sci | | | | | ey e | mploy • | ee, d | or highest | compens | ated employee | 3 | Yes | No No |
| 4 For any individual listed on line in organization and related organization | | | | | | | | | | | 4 | Yes | |
| 5 Did any person listed on line 1a | | | | | | | | | | or individual for | _ | 163 | |
| services rendered to the organiz | ation? <i>If</i> "Yes," (| complet | e Sch | edul | e J f | or suci | h per | son . | | • | 5 | | No |
| Section B. Independent Con | | | | | | | _ | | | | | | |
| 1 Complete this table for your five \$100,000 of compensation from or within the organization's tax y | the organizatio | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <u>-</u> | (A) ne and business add | dress | | | | | | | Desc | (B) ription of services | | (C Comper | |
| JG PETRUCCI COMPANY INC 171 STATE ROUTE 173 SUITE 201 ASBURY, NJ 08802 | | | | | | | | Í | CONSTRUCT | | | | ,764,387 |
| NORTH STAR CONSTRUCTION 7562 PEN DRIVE SUITE 100 ALLENTOWN, PA 18106 CONSTRUCTION | | | | | | | | | 14 | ,636,677 | | | |
| PROGRESSIVE PHYSICIAN ASSOCIATES 3735 NAZARETH ROAD SUITE 206 EASTON, PA 18052 PROF PHYSICIAN SVCS | | | | | | | | | 12 | ,362,782 | | | |
| ANESTHESIA SPECIALISTS OF BETHLEHEM PO BOX 5520 BETHLEHEM, PA 18015 | | | | | | | | | PROF PHYS | ICIAN SVCS | | 5 | ,133,528 |
| SODEXO INC AFFILIATES PO BOX 360170 | | | | | | | | | FOOD/DIETA | ARY SVCS | | 4 | ,878,227 |
| PITTSBURGH, PA 152516170 | ractors (ıncludır | ng but n | ot lım | nited | l to | those | liste | | | | | | |
| 2 Total number of independent contractors (including but not limited to those listed above) who received more than \$100,000 of compensation from the organization ▶106 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Part v | | Statement of | Revenue | | | | | |
|--|-----------|--|-----------------------------|---------------|--|--|---|---|
| | ı | | | | (A) Total revenue | (B) Related or exempt function revenue | (C) Unrelated business revenue | (D) Revenue excluded from tax under sections 512,513,or 514 |
| ₽₽ | 1a | Federated campa | aigns 1a | | | | | |
| 亞黃 | ь | Membership due | s 1b | | | | | |
| ರ್≝ | l c | Fundraising ever | nts 1c | | | | | |
| <u>ज</u> ुक् | | _ | | 401,396 | | | | |
| 5.ਵ | d | Related organiza | | | | | | |
| ર્જે.≣ | e | Government grants | (contributions) 1e | 740,959 | | | | |
| ुङ् | f | All other contribution | | 4,592,972 | | ĺ | | |
| <u> </u> | _ | similar amounts not | utions included in | | | | | |
| <u>=</u> 5 | g | | | | | | | |
| Contributions, gifts, grants and other similar amounts | h | lines 1a-1f \$ | | ▶ | 5,735,327 | | | |
| O ® | | Totali Add IIIICS | | • • • | , , | | | |
| <u> 9</u> | | | | Business Code | | | | |
| E E | 2a | NET PATIENT SERVI | CE REVENUE | 541900 | 645,785,584 | 645,785,584 | | |
| جد وي | ь | OTHER HEATHCARE | RELATED REVENUE | 900099 | 6,921,537 | 6,921,537 | | |
| a T | l c | | | | | | | |
| <u>ک</u> | d | | | | | | | |
| 38 | | | | | | | | |
| Ē | e | | | | | | | |
| Program Serwce Revenue | f | All other progran | n service revenue | | | | | |
| Ě | g | Total. Add lines | 2a-2f | | 652,707,121 | | | |
| | 3 | | me (including dividend | | 552,7 57,121 | | | |
| | | | ramounts) | . F | 22,443,811 | | | 22,443,811 |
| | 4 | | ment of tax-exempt bond p | · · · | 0 | | | , -, |
| | | | · | · · · | 0 | | | |
| | 5 | Royalties | () 5 1 | | , and the second | | | |
| | | | (ı) Real 1,485,043 | (II) Personal | | | | |
| | 6a . | Gross rents | 1,465,045 | | | | | |
| | b | Less rental expenses | | | | | | |
| | С | Rental income | 1,485,043 | | | | | |
| | d | or (loss) Net rental incom | ne or (loss) | | 1,485,043 | | | 1,485,043 |
| | | Г | (ı) Securities | (II) Other | | | | |
| | 7a | Gross amount from sales of assets other | 1,960,624 | (ii) ciiici | | | | |
| | | than inventory | | | | | | |
| | b | Less cost or other basis and | 216,666 | 570,038 | | | | |
| | | sales expenses | 4 742 050 | 570.000 | | | | |
| | C | Gain or (loss) | 1,743,958 | -570,038 | | | | |
| | d | Net gain or (loss | 5) | ▶ | 1,173,920 | | | 1,173,920 |
| Other Revenue | 8a | Gross income from events (not inclusion) | ıdıng | | | | | |
| × | | | reported on line 1c) | | | | | |
| č | | See Part IV, line | a | 0.470 | | | | |
| ē | ь | Less direct exp | - | 8,479 | | | | |
| 둙 | c | | oss) from fundraising (| events - | 8,479 | | | 8,479 |
| ~ | 9a | | om gaming activities | | 5,5 | | | 3,3 |
| | Ja | See Part IV, line | | | | | | |
| | ь | Less direct exp | enses b | | | | | |
| | c | | ى oss) from gamıng actıv | vities | 0 | | | |
| | 10a | Gross sales of ir returns and allov | nventory, less | | | | | |
| | ь | Less cost of goo | - | entory • | 0 | | | |
| | С | Miscellaneous | | Business Code | | | | |
| | 11- | | | 722210 | 2,268,038 | | | 2,268,038 |
| | 11a | DIETARY REVE | | | · · · | | | |
| | Ь | TUITION REVE | NUE | 611600 | 1,724,859 | | | 1,724,859 |
| | c | CLEANING REV | ENUE | 812900 | 152,348 | | | 152,348 |
| | d | All other revenue | e | | | | | |
| | e | Total. Add lines | 11a-11d | | 4 145 245 | | | |
| | 12 | Total revenue. S | ee Instructions | * | 4,145,245 | (F2 707 (2) | | 20.255.155 |
| | I | | | | 687,698,946 | 652,707,121 | | 29,256,498 |

Part IX Statement of Functional Expenses

Section 501(c)(3) and 501(c)(4) organizations must complete all columns All other organizations must complete column (A) but are not required to complete columns (B), (C), and (D) Check if Schedule O contains a response to any question in this Part IX

| | leck if Schedule O contains a response to any question in this Part 1X | | | <u>· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · </u> | _ |
|----|---|-----------------------|--|---|---------------------------------------|
| | ot include amounts reported on lines 6b, o, 9b, and 10b of Part VIII. | (A) Total expenses | (B) Program service expenses | (C) Management and general expenses | (D) Fundraising expenses |
| 1 | Grants and other assistance to governments and organizations in the United States See Part IV, line 21 | 674,195 | 674,195 | | _ |
| 2 | Grants and other assistance to individuals in the United States See Part IV, line 22 | 0 | · | | |
| 3 | Grants and other assistance to governments, organizations, and individuals outside the United States See Part IV, lines 15 and 16 | 0 | | | |
| 4 | Benefits paid to or for members | 0 | | | |
| 5 | Compensation of current officers, directors, trustees, and key employees | 7,987,504 | 7,188,754 | 798,750 | 0 |
| 6 | Compensation not included above, to disqualified persons (as defined under section $4958(f)(1)$) and persons described in section $4958(c)(3)(B)$ | 0 | | | |
| 7 | Other salaries and wages | 239,697,958 | 215 720 162 | 22.060.706 | |
| 8 | Pension plan contributions (include section 401(k) and section 403(b) employer contributions) | 16,296,340 | 215,728,162 14,666,706 | 23,969,796 1,629,634 | |
| 0 | | <u> </u> | | , , | |
| 9 | Other employee benefits | 31,743,799 | 28,569,419 | 3,174,380 | |
| 10 | Payroll taxes | 15,552,978 | 13,997,680 | 1,555,298 | |
| 11 | Fees for services (non-employees) | | | | |
| а | Management | 2,373,917 | 2,136,525 | 237,392 | |
| b | Legal | 58,790 | 52,911 | 5,879 | |
| С | Accounting | 12,984 | 11,686 | 1,298 | |
| d | Lobbying | 382,293 | 344,064 | 38,229 | |
| е | Professional fundraising See Part IV, line 17 | 0 | | | |
| f | Investment management fees | 0 | | | |
| g | Other | 159,208 | 143,287 | 15,921 | |
| 12 | Advertising and promotion | 508,219 | 457,397 | 50,822 | |
| 13 | Office expenses | 17,740,372 | 15,966,335 | 1,774,037 | |
| 14 | Information technology | 881,260 | 793,134 | 88,126 | |
| 15 | Royalties | 0 | | | |
| 16 | Occupancy | 6,516,325 | 5,864,693 | 651,632 | |
| 17 | Travel | 1,145,017 | 1,030,515 | 114,502 | |
| 18 | Payments of travel or entertainment expenses for any federal, state, or local public officials | 0 | | | |
| 19 | Conferences, conventions, and meetings | 0 | | | |
| 20 | Interest | 16,101,707 | 14,491,536 | 1,610,171 | |
| 21 | Payments to affiliates | 0 | | | |
| 22 | Depreciation, depletion, and amortization | 43,908,639 | 39,517,775 | 4,390,864 | |
| 23 | Insurance | 7,087,156 | 6,378,440 | 708,716 | |
| 24 | Other expenses Itemize expenses not covered above (List miscellaneous expenses in line 24f If line 24f amount exceeds 10% of line 25, column (A) amount, list line 24f expenses on Schedule O) | | | | |
| a | MEDICAL SUPPLIES | 119,003,779 | 107,103,401 | 11,900,378 | |
| b | OTHER SERVICES/SUPPORT | 35,954,579 | 32,359,121 | 3,595,458 | |
| c | PURCHASED SERVICES | 26,103,466 | 23,493,119 | 2,610,347 | - |
| d | UTILITIES | 7,186,190 | 6,467,571 | 718,619 | |
| e | | | | | _ |
| f | All other expenses | 45,682,841 | 41,114,580 | 4,568,261 | |
| 25 | Total functional expenses. Add lines 1 through 24f | 642,759,516 | 578,551,006 | 64,208,510 | 0 |
| 26 | Joint costs. Check here F if following SOP 98-2 (ASC 958-720) Complete this line only if the organization reported in column (B) joint costs from a combined educational campaign and fundraising solicitation | ,, | | | rm 990 (2011) |
| | | | | Foi | rm uun (2011) |

Part X **Balance Sheet** (A) (B) Beginning of year End of year 17,220 20,635 1 1 74.090.443 54,922,289 2 2 981,228 3 3 2,707,995 104,476,336 123.943.919 4 Accounts receivable, net . 4 5 Receivables from current and former officers, directors, trustees, key employees, and highest compensated employees Complete Part II of Schedule L 0 5 0 6 Receivables from other disqualified persons (as defined under section 4958(f)(1)) and persons described in section 4958(c)(3)(B) Complete Part II of 0 0 Schedule L 6 241,329,351 62,305,139 7 11.106.608 8 13.768.109 11.572.834 9 7,593,169 9 Prepaid expenses and deferred charges 10a 960,705,039 Land, buildings, and equipment cost or other basis *Complete* Part VI of Schedule D 10a 10b 487,521,803 b Less accumulated depreciation 425,329,939 **10c** 473, 183, 236 11 0 11 0 12 12 Investments—other securities See Part IV, line 11 13 382,275,669 13 321,201,330 Investments—program-related See Part IV, line 11 . . 14 Intangible assets 68,466 14 43,466 48,062,612 15 49,824,566 15 1,116,306,829 1,292,517,730 16 Total assets. Add lines 1 through 15 (must equal line 34) . . . 16 169, 102, 010 17 205, 199, 052 17 Accounts payable and accrued expenses . 18 18 19 2,593,708 19 1,603,462 20 411.428.810 20 413.218.612 21 Escrow or custodial account liability Complete Part IV of Schedule D . . 21 0 Liabilities 22 Payables to current and former officers, directors, trustees, key employees, highest compensated employees, and disqualified 0 22 0 persons Complete Part II of Schedule L \ldots . \ldots . \ldots 0 0 23 Secured mortgages and notes payable to unrelated third parties . . . 23 24 Unsecured notes and loans payable to unrelated third parties 0 24 0 25 Other liabilities (including federal income tax, payables to related third parties, and other liabilities not included on lines 17-24) Complete Part X of Schedule 105,336,673 25 310,930,575 D 26 688,461,201 26 930,951,701 **Total liabilities.** Add lines 17 through 25 Organizations that follow SFAS 117, check here ▶ 🔽 and complete lines 27 Balances through 29, and lines 33 and 34. 27 388,069,392 27 321,053,773 Unrestricted net assets 19,708,039 28 18,983,273 28 Temporarily restricted net assets Fund 29 20,068,197 29 21,528,983 Permanently restricted net assets Organizations that do not follow SFAS 117, check here ▶ ☐ and complete lines 30 through 34. ö 30 Capital stock or trust principal, or current funds 30 Assets 31 31 Paid-in or capital surplus, or land, building or equipment fund 32 32 Retained earnings, endowment, accumulated income, or other funds ž 33 427.845.628 33 361.566.029 Total net assets or fund balances 34 Total liabilities and net assets/fund balances 1.116.306.829 1.292.517.730 34

| - Pa | Check if Schedule O contains a response to any question in this Part XI | | | . [~ | |
|------|--|---------|------------|--------|---------|
| 1 | Total revenue (must equal Part VIII, column (A), line 12) | 1 | | 687.6 | 598,946 |
| 2 | Total expenses (must equal Part IX, column (A), line 25) | 2 | | | 759,516 |
| 3 | Revenue less expenses Subtract line 2 from line 1 | 3 | | 44,9 | 39,430 |
| 4 | Net assets or fund balances at beginning of year (must equal Part X, line 33, column (A)) | 4 | | 427,8 | 345,628 |
| 5 | Other changes in net assets or fund balances (explain in Schedule O) | 5 | | -111,2 | 219,029 |
| 6 | Net assets or fund balances at end of year Combine lines 3, 4, and 5 (must equal Part X, line 33, column (B)) | 6 | | 361,5 | 566,029 |
| Pai | Financial Statements and Reporting Check if Schedule O contains a response to any question in this Part XII | | | F | |
| 1 | Accounting method used to prepare the Form 990 | | | Yes | No |
| 2a | Were the organization's financial statements compiled or reviewed by an independent accountant? | | 2a | | No |
| b | Were the organization's financial statements audited by an independent accountant? | | 2b | Yes | |
| c | If "Yes," to 2a or 2b, does the organization have a committee that assumes responsibility for oversight of taudit, review, or compilation of its financial statements and selection of an independent accountant? If the organization changed either its oversight process or selection process during the tax year, explain in Schedule O | | 2 c | Yes | |
| d | If "Yes" to line 2a or 2b, check a box below to indicate whether the financial statements for the year were is on a separate basis, consolidated basis, or both | ssued | | | |
| | Separate basis Consolidated basis Both consolidated and separated basis | | | | |
| За | As a result of a federal award, was the organization required to undergo an audit or audits as set forth in the Single Audit Act and OMB Circular A-133? | e | За | Yes | |
| b | If "Yes," did the organization undergo the required audit or audits? If the organization did not undergo the readily or audits, explain why in Schedule O and describe any steps taken to undergo such audits | equired | 3b | Yes | |

efile GRAPHIC print - DO NOT PROCESS

As Filed Data -

DLN: 93493133004303

OMB No 1545-0047

Inspection

SCHEDULE A

(Form 990 or 990EZ)

Department of the Treasury Internal Revenue Service

h

Complete if the organization is a section 501(c)(3) organization or a section 4947(a)(1) nonexempt charitable trust.

Public Charity Status and Public Support

Attach to Form 990 or Form 990-EZ. See separate instructions.

Name of the organization ST LUKE'S HOSPITAL OF BETHLEHEM PA **Employer identification number**

Reason for Public Charity Status (All organizations must complete this part.) See instructions The organization is not a private foundation because it is (For lines 1 through 11, check only one box) A church, convention of churches, or association of churches section 170(b)(1)(A)(i). 2 A school described in section 170(b)(1)(A)(ii). (Attach Schedule E) 3 A hospital or a cooperative hospital service organization described in section 170(b)(1)(A)(iii). A medical research organization operated in conjunction with a hospital described in section 170(b)(1)(A)(iii). Enter the hospital's name, city, and state An organization operated for the benefit of a college or university owned or operated by a governmental unit described in section 170(b)(1)(A)(iv). (Complete Part II) A federal, state, or local government or governmental unit described in section 170(b)(1)(A)(v). An organization that normally receives a substantial part of its support from a governmental unit or from the general public described in section 170(b)(1)(A)(vi) (Complete Part II) A community trust described in section 170(b)(1)(A)(vi) (Complete Part II) An organization that normally receives (1) more than 331/3% of its support from contributions, membership fees, and gross receipts from activities related to its exempt functions—subject to certain exceptions, and (2) no more than 331/3% of its support from gross investment income and unrelated business taxable income (less section 511 tax) from businesses acquired by the organization after June 30, 1975 See section 509(a)(2). (Complete Part III) 10 An organization organized and operated exclusively to test for public safety Seesection 509(a)(4). 11 An organization organized and operated exclusively for the benefit of, to perform the functions of, or to carry out the purposes of one or more publicly supported organizations described in section 509(a)(1) or section 509(a)(2) See section 509(a)(3). Check the box that describes the type of supporting organization and complete lines 11e through 11h c Type III - Functionally integrated Type III - Other Type I **b** Type II By checking this box, I certify that the organization is not controlled directly or indirectly by one or more disqualified persons other than foundation managers and other than one or more publicly supported organizations described in section 509(a)(1) or section 509(a)(2) If the organization received a written determination from the IRS that it is a Type I, Type II or Type III supporting organization, check this box Since August 17, 2006, has the organization accepted any gift or contribution from any of the following persons? (i) a person who directly or indirectly controls, either alone or together with persons described in (ii) Yes No and (III) below, the governing body of the the supported organization? 11g(i) (ii) a family member of a person described in (i) above? 11g(ii) (iii) a 35% controlled entity of a person described in (i) or (ii) above? 11g(iii)

| (i) Name of supported organization | (ii) EIN | (iii) Type of organization (described on lines 1- 9 above or IRC section (see | i voui dovernina i | | (v) Did you notify the organization in col (i) of your support? | | (vi) Is the organization in col (i) organized in the US? | | (vii) A mount of support? | |
|---|-------------|---|--------------------|----|---|----|---|----|---------------------------------|--|
| | | ınstructions)) | Yes | No | Yes | No | Yes | No | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total | | | | | | | | | | |

Provide the following information about the supported organization(s)

instructions

| Sch | edule A (Form 990 or 99 | 90-EZ)2011 | | | | | | Page 2 |
|--------|---|------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|---|---------------------|--------------------|
| | (Complet | e only if you | checked the | box on line 5, | 7, or 8 of Part | (b)(1)(A)(iv) I or if the orgar | nızatıon faıle | d to qualify |
| | | | <u>organızatıon f</u> | fails to qualify ι | <u>under the tests</u> | listed below, pl | <u>lease comple</u> | ete Part III.) |
| | ection A. Public Su | | | | | Т | 1 | |
| Cal | endar year (or fiscal ye in) | ar beginning | (a) 2007 | (b) 2008 | (c) 2009 | (d) 2010 | (e) 2011 | (f) Total |
| 1 | Gifts, grants, contribut | ions, and | | | | | | |
| | membership fees recei | | | | | | | |
| | ınclude any "unusual | | | | | | | |
| _ | grants ") Tax revenues levied fo | rtho | | | | | | |
| 2 | organization's benefit a | | | | | | | |
| | paid to or expended on | | | | | | | |
| | behalf | | | | | | | |
| 3 | The value of services of | | | | | | | |
| | furnished by a governme the organization withou | | | | | | | |
| 4 | Total. Add lines 1 thro | _ | | | | | | |
| 5 | The portion of total cor | - | | | | | | |
| - | by each person (other | than a | | | | | | |
| | governmental unit or p | • | | | | | | |
| | supported organization line 1 that exceeds 2% | | | | | | | |
| | amount shown on line 1 | | | | | | | |
| | (f) | 21,0014 | | | | | | |
| 6 | Public Support. Subtractine 4 | ct line 5 from | | | | | | |
| S | ection B. Total Sup | port | | | | | | |
| Cal | endar year (or fiscal yea | r beginning | (a) 2007 | (b) 2008 | (c) 2009 | (d) 2010 | (e) 2011 | (f) Total |
| _ | ın) | _ | (4) 2007 | (2) 2000 | (4) 2005 | (4) 2020 | (0) 2022 | (1) 1 3 4 4 |
| 7 8 | A mounts from line 4 Gross income from inte | rost – | | | | | | |
| 0 | dividends, payments re | | | | | | | |
| | securities loans, rents | | | | | | | |
| | and income from simila | ır | | | | | | |
| _ | sources | | | | | | | |
| 9 | Net income from unrela business activities, wh | | | | | | | |
| | not the business is reg | | | | | | | |
| | carried on | | | | | | | |
| 10 | Other income (Explain | | | | | | | |
| | IV) Do not include gai from the sale of capital | | | | | | | |
| 11 | Total support (Add line | | | | | | | |
| | through 10) | | | | | | | |
| 12 | Gross receipts from re | lated activities | s, etc (See inst | ructions) | | | 12 | |
| 13 | First Five Years If the | | r the organizati | on's first, second | l, thırd, fourth, or | fıfth tax year as a | 501(c)(3) or | |
| | check this box and sto | p here | | | | | | ▶ □ |
| S | ection C. Computat | ion of Publ | ic Support F | Percentage | | | | |
| 14 | Public Support Percen | tage for 2011 | (line 6 column | (f) dıvıded by lıne | 11 column (f)) | | 14 | |
| 15 | Public Support Percen | tage for 2010 | Schedule A , Pa | rt II, line 14 | | | 15 | |
| 16a | 33 1/3% support test- | | | | | line 14 is 33 1/3% | % or more, che | |
| h | and stop here. The org 33 1/3% support test | | | | | 6a and line 15 is | 33 1/20% or m | ore check this |
| D | box and stop here. The | | | | | oa, and inte 15 IS | 1/3%0 UI M | ore, check this |
| 17a | 10%-facts-and-circum | - | • | | - | ne 13, 16a, or 16 | b and line 14 | ٠, |
| | ıs 10% or more, and ıf | | | | | | | |
| | in Part IV how the orga | anızatıon meet | s the "facts and | d circumstances" | test The organiz | zatıon qualıfıes as | a publicly su | |
| b | organization 10%-facts-and-circum | stances test— | 2010. If the ora | anization did not | check a hov on li | ne 13, 16a 16b | or 17a and lin | ▶ □ |
| , | 15 is 10% or more, an | | | | | | | - |
| | Explain in Part IV how | the organizati | | | | | | |
| 10 | supported organization Private Foundation If t | | n did not chools | a hov on line 12 | 16a 16h 17a a | or 17h chack this | hov and coc | ► □ |

▶□

Schedule A (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2011 Page 3 Part III Support Schedule for Organizations Described in IRC 509(a)(2) (Complete only if you checked the box on line 9 of Part I or if the organization failed to qualify under Part II. If the organization fails to qualify under the tests listed below, please complete Part II.) Section A. Public Support Calendar year (or fiscal year beginning (a) 2007 **(b)** 2008 (c) 2009 (d) 2010 (e) 2011 (f) Total ın) Gifts, grants, contributions, and membership fees received (Do not include any "unusual grants ") Gross receipts from admissions, merchandise sold or services performed, or facilities furnished in any activity that is related to the organization's tax-exempt purpose Gross receipts from activities that are not an unrelated trade or business under section 513 Tax revenues levied for the organization's benefit and either paid to or expended on its behalf The value of services or facilities furnished by a governmental unit to the organization without charge Total. Add lines 1 through 5 7a Amounts included on lines 1, 2, and 3 received from disqualified persons Amounts included on lines 2 and 3 received from other than disqualified persons that exceed the greater of \$5,000 or 1% of the amount on line 13 for the year c Add lines 7a and 7b Public Support (Subtract line 7c from line 6) Section B. Total Support Calendar year (or fiscal year beginning (a) 2007 **(b)** 2008 (c) 2009 (d) 2010 (e) 2011 (f) Total ın) Amounts from line 6 Gross income from interest, 10a dividends, payments received on securities loans, rents, royalties and income from similar sources Unrelated business taxable income (less section 511 taxes) from businesses acquired after June 30, 1975 Add lines 10a and 10b C Net income from unrelated 11 business activities not included in line 10b, whether or not the business is regularly carried on Other income Do not include gain or loss from the sale of capital assets (Explain in Part IV) Total support (Add lines 9, 10c, 13 11 and 12) First Five Years If the Form 990 is for the organization's first, second, third, fourth, or fifth tax year as a 501(c)(3) organization, check this box and stop here Section C. Computation of Public Support Percentage Public Support Percentage for 2011 (line 8 column (f) divided by line 13 column (f)) 15 15 Public support percentage from 2010 Schedule A, Part III, line 15 16 16 Section D. Computation of Investment Income Percentage

Investment income percentage for 2011 (line 10c column (f) divided by line 13 column (f))

19a 33 1/3% support tests—2011. If the organization did not check the box on line 14, and line 15 is more than 33 1/3% and line 17 is not

18 is not more than 33 1/3%, check this box and stop here. The organization qualifies as a publicly supported organization Private Foundation If the organization did not check a box on line 14, 19a or 19b, check this box and see instructions

33 1/3% support tests-2010. If the organization did not check a box on line 14 or line 19a, and line 16 is more than 33 1/3% and line

more than 33 1/3%, check this box and stop here. The organization qualifies as a publicly supported organization

Investment income percentage from 2010 Schedule A, Part III, line 17

17

18

17

18

▶[

| Part IV | Supplemental Information. Supplemental Information. Complete this part to provide the explanation required by Part II, line 10; Part II, line 17a or 17b; or Part III, line 12. Also complete this part for any additional information. (See instructions). |
|---------|--|
| | |
| | Facts And Circumstances Test |
| | |
| | Explanation |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

Schedule A (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2011

DLN: 93493133004303

OMB No 1545-0047

SCHEDULE C (Form 990 or 990-EZ)

Department of the Treasury Internal Revenue Service

For Organizations Exempt From Income Tax Under section 501(c) and section 527 ► Complete if the organization is described below. ► Attach to Form 990 or Form 990-EZ. ► See separate instructions.

Political Campaign and Lobbying Activities

| f the organization answered "Yes," | to Form 990, Part IV, | Line 3, or Form 990- | EZ, Part V, line 46 (P | Political Campaign Activities | ٠), |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|-----|
| hen | | | | | |

- ◆ Section 501(c)(3) organizations Complete Parts I-A and B Do not complete Part I-C
- ◆ Section 501(c) (other than section 501(c)(3)) organizations Complete Parts I-A and C below Do not complete Part I-B
- Section 527 organizations Complete Part I-A only

If the organization answered "Yes," to Form 990, Part IV, Line 4, or Form 990-EZ, Part VI, line 47 (Lobbying Activities), then

- Section 501(c)(3) organizations that have filed Form 5768 (election under section 501(h)) Complete Part II-A Do not complete Part II-B
- ◆ Section 501(c)(3) organizations that have NOT filed Form 5768 (election under section 501(h)) Complete Part II-B Do not complete Part II-A

If the organization answered "Yes," to Form 990, Part IV, Line 5 (Proxy Tax) or Form 990-EZ, line 35c (Proxy Tax), then

◆ Section 501(c)(4), (5), or (6) organizations Complete Part III

| Name of | the | orga | nız | ation | |
|-----------|-----|-------|-----|--------------|------|
| ST LUKE'S | HOS | PITAL | OF | BETHL | EHEM |

Employer identification number

23-1352213

Part I-A Complete if the organization is exempt under section 501(c) or is a section 527 organization.

- Provide a description of the organization's direct and indirect political campaign activities on behalf of or in opposition to candidates for public office in Part IV
- 2 Political expenditures
- 3 Volunteer hours

| art I-B Complete if the organization is exempt under section 501(c)(3). |
|---|
|---|

- 1 Enter the amount of any excise tax incurred by the organization under section 4955
- Enter the amount of any excise tax incurred by organization managers under section 4955
- 3 If the organization incurred a section 4955 tax, did it file Form 4720 for this year?
- Was a correction made?
- b If "Yes," describe in Part IV

Part I-C Complete if the organization is exempt under section 501(c) except section 501(c)(3).

- Enter the amount directly expended by the filing organization for section 527 exempt function activities
- Enter the amount of the filing organization's funds contributed to other organizations for section 527 exempt funtion activities
- 3 Total exempt function expenditures Add lines 1 and 2 Enter here and on Form 1120-POL, line 17b
- Did the filing organization file Form 1120-POL for this year? Yes Enter the names, addresses and employer identification number (EIN) of all section 527 political organizations to which the filing

organization made payments. For each organization listed, enter the amount paid from the filing organization's funds. Also enter the amount of political contributions received that were promptly and directly delivered to a separate political organization, such as a separate segregated fund or a political action committee (PAC) If additional space is needed, provide information in Part IV

| (a) Name | (b) Address | (c) EIN | (d) A mount paid from filing organization's funds If none, enter -0- | (e) A mount of political contributions received and promptly and directly delivered to a separate political organization If none, enter - 0- |
|----------|---------------------|---------|--|--|
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

f Grassroots lobbying expenditures

| (The term "expenditures" means amounts paid or incurred.) Lia Total lobbying expenditures to influence public opinion (grass roots lobbying) b Total lobbying expenditures to influence a legislative body (direct lobbying) c Total lobbying expenditures (add lines 1a and 1b) d Other exempt purpose expenditures e Total exempt purpose expenditures (add lines 1c and 1d) f Lobbying nontaxable amount Enter the amount from the following table in both columns If the amount on line 1e, column (a) or (b) is: The lobbying nontaxable amount is: Not over \$500,000 but not over \$1,000,000 \$100,000 plus 15% of the excess over \$500,000 over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,500,000 \$175,000 plus 10% of the excess over \$1,000,000 over \$1,500,000 but not over \$17,000,000 \$225,000 plus 5% of the excess over \$1,500,000 over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,000,000 \$1,000,000 g Grassroots nontaxable amount (enter 25% of line 1f) Subtract line 1g from line 1a If zero or less, enter -0- i Subtract line 1f from line 1c If zero or less, enter -0- j If there is an amount other than zero on either line 1h or line 1i, did the organization file Form 4720 reporting section 4911 tax for this year? 4-Year Averaging Period Under Section 501(h) (Some organizations that made a section 501(h) election do not have to complete all columns below. See the instructions for lines 2a through 2f on page 4.) | ווטפ | edule C (F | 01111 9 9 0 01 9 9 0 - EZ) 2 0 1 1 | | | | | Page ∠ |
|--|------|--------------|--|---------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| A Check If the filing organization belongs to an affiliated group (and list in Part IV each affiliated group member expenses, and share of excess lobbying expenditures) Check If the filing organization checked box A and "limited control" provisions apply Limits on Lobbying Expenditures (The term "expenditures" means amounts paid or incurred.) Organization Total Incurred (The term "expenditures" means amounts paid or incurred.) Organization Total Incurred (The term "expenditures to influence a legislative body (direct lobbying) | Pa | rt II-A | | n is exempt under | section 501(| c)(3) and fi | iled Form 5768 | (election |
| expenses, and share of excess lobbying expenditures) Limits on Lobbying Expenditures (The term "expenditures" means amounts paid or incurred.) Limits on Lobbying Expenditures (The term "expenditures" means amounts paid or incurred.) Limits on Lobbying Expenditures (The term "expenditures to influence public opinion (grass roots lobbying) Total lobbying expenditures to influence a legislative body (direct lobbying) Total lobbying expenditures (add lines 1a and 1b) Other exempt purpose expenditures Total of Other exempt purpose expenditures (add lines 1c and 1d) Lobbying nontaxable amount Enter the amount from the following table in both columns If the amount on line 1e, column (a) or (b) is: If the amount on line 1e, column (a) or (b) is: Not over \$500,000 Over \$500,000 but not over \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,500,000 S10,000,000 Figure \$1,000,000 Figu | ١ | Check | | an affiliated group (and | lıst ın Part IV ea | ch affiliated gr | oup member's nam | e, address, EIN, |
| Limits on Lobbying Expenditures (The term "expenditures" means amounts paid or incurred.) Total lobbying expenditures to influence public opinion (grass roots lobbying) Total lobbying expenditures (add lines 1 aand 1b) Other exempt purpose expenditures (add lines 1 aand 1b) Lobbying nontaxable amount Enter the amount from the following table in both columns If the amount on line Le, column (a) or (b) is: If the amount on line Le, column (a) or (b) is: If the amount on line Le, column (a) or (b) is: Not over \$500,000 Over \$500,000 but not over \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,000,000 S10,000,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,000,000 S10,000,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,000,000 S10,000,000 If the excess over \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,000,000 S10,000,000 If the excess over \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,000,000 S10,000,000 If the excess over \$1,000,000 | | | expenses, and share of excess lob | bying expenditures) | | _ | • | |
| Total lobbying expenditures to influence public opinion (grass roots lobbying) b Total lobbying expenditures to influence a legislative body (direct lobbying) c Total lobbying expenditures (add lines 1a and 1b) d Other exempt purpose expenditures e Total exempt purpose expenditures f Lobbying nontaxable amount Enter the amount from the following table in both columns If the amount on line 1e, column (a) or (b) is: Not over \$500,000 Over \$500,000 but not over \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,000,000 S175,000 plus 10% of the excess over \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,000,000 S175,000 plus 10% of the excess over \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,000,000 S175,000 plus 10% of the excess over \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,000,000 S175,000 plus 10% of the excess over \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,000,000 S175,000 plus 10% of the excess over \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$ | 3 | Check | ıf the filing organization checked bo | ox A and "limited contro | ol" provisions app | ly | 1 | 1 |
| (The term "expenditures" means amounts paid or incurred.) Ital Total lobbying expenditures to influence public opinion (grass roots lobbying) b Total lobbying expenditures (add lines 1a and 1b) d Other exempt purpose expenditures e Total exempt purpose expenditures (add lines 1c and 1d) f Lobbying nontaxable amount Enter the amount from the following table in both columns If the amount on line 1e, column (a) or (b) is: The lobbying nontaxable amount is: Not over \$500,000 Over \$500,000 but not over \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,000,000 S1225,000 plus 10% of the excess over \$1,000,000 Over \$17,000,000 Over \$17,000,000 S11,000,000 S11,000,000 F11,000,000 | | | Limits on Lobbying | Expenditures | | | (a) Filing | (b) Affiliated |
| Total lobbying expenditures to influence public opinion (grass roots lobbying) b Total lobbying expenditures (add lines 1a and 1b) d Other exempt purpose expenditures Total exempt purpose expenditures (add lines 1c and 1d) f Lobbying nontaxable amount Enter the amount from the following table in both columns If the amount on line 1e, column (a) or (b) is: The lobbying nontaxable amount is: Not over \$500,000 Over \$500,000 but not over \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,000,000 S175,000 plus 15% of the excess over \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,000,000 S100,000 plus 15% of the excess over \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000 The lobbying nontaxable amount is: Not over \$500,000 Over \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,00 | | | | | l.) | | Organization's Totals | Group Totals |
| b Total lobbying expenditures to influence a legislative body (direct lobbying) c Total lobbying expenditures (add lines 1a and 1b) d Other exempt purpose expenditures e Total exempt purpose expenditures (add lines 1c and 1d) f Lobbying nontaxable amount Enter the amount from the following table in both columns If the amount on line 1e, column (a) or (b) is: Not over \$500,000 Over \$500,000 but not over \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,7000,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,7000,000 S225,000 plus 15% of the excess over \$1,000,000 Over \$1,7000,000 Over \$1,7000,000 F17,000,000 S225,000 plus 5% of the excess over \$1,500,000 Over \$1,7000,000 F17,000,000 S1,000,000 F17,000,000 F17,000, | | - | | | 1 \ | | 100013 | 1 ocars |
| c Total lobbying expenditures (add lines 1a and 1b) d Other exempt purpose expenditures e Total exempt purpose expenditures (add lines 1c and 1d) f Lobbying nontaxable amount Enter the amount from the following table in both columns If the amount on line 1e, column (a) or (b) is: If the amount on line 1e, column (a) or (b) is: Not over \$500,000 Over \$500,000 but not over \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,000,000 In the excess over \$1,000,000 F1,000,000 F1,000,0 | | | | · - | | | | |
| d O ther exempt purpose expenditures Total exempt purpose expenditures (add lines 1c and 1d) Lobbying nontaxable amount Enter the amount from the following table in both columns If the amount on line 1e, column (a) or (b) is: The lobbying nontaxable amount is: Not over \$500,000 Over \$500,000 but not over \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,500,000 Over \$1,500,000 but not over \$1,500,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000 | | | | | ying) | | | |
| Total exempt purpose expenditures (add lines 1c and 1d) Lobbying nontaxable amount Enter the amount from the following table in both columns If the amount on line 1e, column (a) or (b) is: | | | , | b) | | | | |
| f Lobbying nontaxable amount Enter the amount from the following table in both columns If the amount on line 1e, column (a) or (b) is: Not over \$500,000 Over \$500,000 but not over \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,500,000 Over \$1,500,000 but not over \$1,500,000 Over \$17,000,000 but not over \$1,7000,000 Over \$17,000,000 but not over \$1,500,000 S225,000 plus 10% of the excess over \$1,000,000 Over \$17,000,000 Over \$17,000,000 Over \$17,000,000 S225,000 plus 5% of the excess over \$1,000,000 Over \$17,000,000 Over \$17,000,000 S1,000,000 S1,000,00 | d | Otherexe | empt purpose expenditures | | | | | |
| Columns If the amount on line 1e, column (a) or (b) is: Not over \$500,000 Over \$500,000 but not over \$1,000,000 Over \$500,000 but not over \$1,500,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,500,000 Over \$1,500,000 but not over \$1,500,000 Over \$1,500,000 but not over \$1,7000,000 Over \$1,500,000 but not over \$1,500,000 Over \$1,500,000 but not over \$1,500,000 Over \$1,500,000 but not over \$1,500,000 Over \$1,000,000 Over \$1,500,000 but not over \$1,500,000 Over \$1,500,000 but not over \$1,500,000 Over \$1,500,000 but not over \$1,500,000 Over \$1,500,000 Over \$1,500,000 S1,000,000 | e | Total exe | mpt purpose expenditures (add lines 1 | .c and 1d) | | | | |
| Not over \$500,000 Over \$500,000 but not over \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,500,000 Over \$1,500,000 but not over \$1,7000,000 Over \$1,500,000 but not over \$1,7000,000 Over \$1,500,000 but not over \$1,000,000 Over \$1,500,000 but not over \$1,000,000 S225,000 plus 5% of the excess over \$1,500,000 Over \$17,000,000 S1,000,000 Grassroots nontaxable amount (enter 25% of line 1f) Subtract line 1g from line 1a If zero or less, enter -0- Subtract line 1f from line 1c If zero or less, enter -0- If there is an amount other than zero on either line 1h or line 1i, did the organization file Form 4720 reporting section 4911 tax for this year? 4-Year Averaging Period Under Section 501(h) (Some organizations that made a section 501(h) election do not have to complete all columns below. See the instructions for lines 2a through 2f on page 4.) Lobbying Expenditures During 4-Year Averaging Period Calendar year (or fiscal year beginning in) (a) 2008 (b) 2009 (c) 2010 (d) 20 Lobbying celling amount | f | | nontaxable amount Enter the amount | from the following table | in both | | | |
| Over \$500,000 but not over \$1,000,000 \$100,000 plus 15% of the excess over \$500,000 Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,000,000 \$175,000 plus 10% of the excess over \$1,000,000 Over \$1,500,000 but not over \$17,000,000 \$225,000 plus 5% of the excess over \$1,500,000 Over \$17,000,000 \$1,000,00 | | If the an | ount on line 1e, column (a) or (b) is: | The lobbying nontax | able amount is: | | | |
| Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,500,000 Over \$1,500,000 but not over \$1,500,000 Over \$1,500,000 but not over \$1,500,000 Section \$225,000 plus 5% of the excess over \$1,500,000 Over \$17,000,000 g Grassroots nontaxable amount (enter 25% of line 1f) h Subtract line 1g from line 1a If zero or less, enter -0- i Subtract line 1ffrom line 1c If zero or less, enter -0- j If there is an amount other than zero on either line 1h or line 1i, did the organization file Form 4720 reporting section 4911 tax for this year? 4-Year Averaging Period Under Section 501(h) (Some organizations that made a section 501(h) election do not have to complete all columns below. See the instructions for lines 2a through 2f on page 4.) Lobbying Expenditures During 4-Year Averaging Period Calendar year (or fiscal year beginning in) (a) 2008 (b) 2009 (c) 2010 (d) 20 2a Lobbying ceiling amount | | Not over \$5 | 500,000 | 20% of the amount on lii | ne 1e | | | |
| Over \$1,500,000 but not over \$17,000,000 \$225,000 plus 5% of the excess over \$1,500,000 Over \$17,000,000 \$1,00 | | Over \$500, | 000 but not over \$1,000,000 | \$100,000 plus 15% of the | e excess over \$500,0 | 000 | | |
| g Grassroots nontaxable amount (enter 25% of line 1f) h Subtract line 1g from line 1a If zero or less, enter -0- i Subtract line 1f from line 1c If zero or less, enter -0- j If there is an amount other than zero on either line 1h or line 1i, did the organization file Form 4720 reporting section 4911 tax for this year? 4-Year Averaging Period Under Section 501(h) (Some organizations that made a section 501(h) election do not have to complete all columns below. See the instructions for lines 2a through 2f on page 4.) Lobbying Expenditures During 4-Year Averaging Period Calendar year (or fiscal year beginning in) (a) 2008 (b) 2009 (c) 2010 (d) 20 Lobbying celling amount | | Over \$1,00 | 0,000 but not over \$1,500,000 | \$175,000 plus 10% of the | e excess over \$1,000 | ,000 | | |
| g Grassroots nontaxable amount (enter 25% of line 1f) h Subtract line 1g from line 1a If zero or less, enter -0- i Subtract line 1ffrom line 1c If zero or less, enter -0- j If there is an amount other than zero on either line 1h or line 1i, did the organization file Form 4720 reporting section 4911 tax for this year? 4-Year Averaging Period Under Section 501(h) (Some organizations that made a section 501(h) election do not have to complete all columns below. See the instructions for lines 2a through 2f on page 4.) Lobbying Expenditures During 4-Year Averaging Period Calendar year (or fiscal year beginning in) (a) 2008 (b) 2009 (c) 2010 (d) 20 Lobbying non-taxable amount | | Over \$1,50 | 0,000 but not over \$17,000,000 | \$225,000 plus 5% of the | excess over \$1,500, | | | |
| h Subtract line 1g from line 1a If zero or less, enter -0- i Subtract line 1f from line 1c If zero or less, enter -0- j If there is an amount other than zero on either line 1h or line 1i, did the organization file Form 4720 reporting section 4911 tax for this year? 4-Year Averaging Period Under Section 501(h) (Some organizations that made a section 501(h) election do not have to complete all columns below. See the instructions for lines 2a through 2f on page 4.) Lobbying Expenditures During 4-Year Averaging Period Calendar year (or fiscal year beginning in) (a) 2008 (b) 2009 (c) 2010 (d) 20 2a Lobbying ceiling amount | | Over \$17,0 | 00,000 | \$1,000,000 | | | | |
| h Subtract line 1g from line 1a If zero or less, enter -0- i Subtract line 1f from line 1c If zero or less, enter -0- j If there is an amount other than zero on either line 1h or line 1i, did the organization file Form 4720 reporting section 4911 tax for this year? 4-Year Averaging Period Under Section 501(h) (Some organizations that made a section 501(h) election do not have to complete all columns below. See the instructions for lines 2a through 2f on page 4.) Lobbying Expenditures During 4-Year Averaging Period Calendar year (or fiscal year beginning in) (a) 2008 (b) 2009 (c) 2010 (d) 20 2a Lobbying ceiling amount | | | | | | | | |
| i Subtract line 1f from line 1c If zero or less, enter -0- j If there is an amount other than zero on either line 1h or line 1i, did the organization file Form 4720 reporting section 4911 tax for this year? 4-Year Averaging Period Under Section 501(h) (Some organizations that made a section 501(h) election do not have to complete all columns below. See the instructions for lines 2a through 2f on page 4.) Lobbying Expenditures During 4-Year Averaging Period Calendar year (or fiscal year beginning in) (a) 2008 (b) 2009 (c) 2010 (d) 20 Lobbying non-taxable amount | | Grassroo | ts nontaxable amount (enter 25% of li | ne 1f) | | | | |
| i Subtract line 1f from line 1c If zero or less, enter -0- j If there is an amount other than zero on either line 1h or line 1i, did the organization file Form 4720 reporting section 4911 tax for this year? 4-Year Averaging Period Under Section 501(h) (Some organizations that made a section 501(h) election do not have to complete all columns below. See the instructions for lines 2a through 2f on page 4.) Lobbying Expenditures During 4-Year Averaging Period Calendar year (or fiscal year beginning in) (a) 2008 (b) 2009 (c) 2010 (d) 20 Lobbying non-taxable amount | h | Subtract | line 1a from line 1a If zero or less. en | ter -0 - | | | | |
| Jection 4911 tax for this year? 4-Year Averaging Period Under Section 501(h) (Some organizations that made a section 501(h) election do not have to complete all columns below. See the instructions for lines 2a through 2f on page 4.) Lobbying Expenditures During 4-Year Averaging Period Calendar year (or fiscal year beginning in) (a) 2008 (b) 2009 (c) 2010 (d) 20 Lobbying ceiling amount | | | | | | | | |
| 4-Year Averaging Period Under Section 501(h) (Some organizations that made a section 501(h) election do not have to complete al columns below. See the instructions for lines 2a through 2f on page 4.) Lobbying Expenditures During 4-Year Averaging Period Calendar year (or fiscal year beginning in) (a) 2008 (b) 2009 (c) 2010 (d) 20 Lobbying non-taxable amount | | | | | organization file | Form 4720 re | portina | |
| (Some organizations that made a section 501(h) election do not have to complete al columns below. See the instructions for lines 2a through 2f on page 4.) Lobbying Expenditures During 4-Year Averaging Period Calendar year (or fiscal year beginning in) (a) 2008 (b) 2009 (c) 2010 (d) 20 Lobbying non-taxable amount | | | | | | | F - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 | ┌ Yes ┌ No |
| Calendar year (or fiscal year beginning in) (a) 2008 (b) 2009 (c) 2010 (d) 2009 Lobbying non-taxable amount | | (Sor | ne organizations that made a | section 501(h) el | ection do not | have to co | | ne five |
| beginning in) (a) 2008 (b) 2009 (c) 2010 (d) 20 2a Lobbying non-taxable amount b Lobbying ceiling amount | | | Lobbying Exp | enditures During | 4-Year Avera | ging Period | d | |
| b Lobbying ceiling amount | | | | (a) 2008 | (b) 2009 | (c) 2010 | (d) 2011 | (e) Total |
| | 2a | Lobbyin | g non-taxable amount | | | | | |
| | b | | | | | | | |
| c Total lobbying expenditures | c | Total loi | obying expenditures | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| d Grassroots non-taxable amount | d | Grassro | ots non-taxable amount | | | | | |
| e Grassroots ceiling amount (150% of line 2d, column (e)) | e | | | | | | | |

| , | , | | ~ 3 |
|-----------|-----------------|--|-----|
| Part II-B | Complete if the | organization is exempt under section 501(c)(3) and has NOT filed Form 5768 | 3 |
| | (election under | section 501(h)). | |

| | | (6 | a) | (b) |
|----|--|-----|----|---------|
| | | Yes | No | Amount |
| 1 | During the year, did the filing organization attempt to influence foreign, national, state or local legislation, including any attempt to influence public opinion on a legislative matter or referendum, through the use of | | | |
| а | Volunteers? | | | |
| b | Paid staff or management (include compensation in expenses reported on lines 1c through 1i)? | Yes | | |
| C | Media advertisements? | | | |
| d | Mailings to members, legislators, or the public? | | | |
| e | Publications, or published or broadcast statements? | | | |
| f | Grants to other organizations for lobbying purposes? | | | |
| g | Direct contact with legislators, their staffs, government officials, or a legislative body? | Yes | | 355,714 |
| h | Rallies, demonstrations, seminars, conventions, speeches, lectures, or any similar means? | Yes | | 26,579 |
| i | Other activities? If "Yes," describe in Part IV | | | |
| j | Total lines 1c through 1i | | | 382,293 |
| 2a | Did the activities in line 1 cause the organization to be not described in section 501(c)(3)? | | | |
| b | If "Yes," enter the amount of any tax incurred under section 4912 | | | |
| c | If "Yes," enter the amount of any tax incurred by organization managers under section 4912 | | | |
| d | If the filing organization incurred a section 4912 tax, did it file Form 4720 for this year? | | | |

Complete if the organization is exempt under section 501(c)(4), section 501(c)(5), or section 501(c)(6).

| | | | Yes | No |
|---|--|---|-----|----|
| 1 | Were substantially all (90% or more) dues received nondeductible by members? | 1 | | |
| 2 | Did the organization make only in-house lobbying expenditures of \$2,000 or less? | 2 | | |
| 3 | Did the organization agree to carryover lobbying and political expenditures from the prior year? | 3 | | |

Complete if the organization is exempt under section 501(c)(4), section 501(c)(5), or section

| | 501(c)(6) if BOTH Part III-A, lines 1 and 2 are answered "No" OR if Part II answered "Yes". | II-A, | line 3 is |
|---|---|-------|-----------|
| 1 | Dues, assessments and similar amounts from members | 1 | |
| | | | |

- 2 Section 162(e) non-deductible lobbying and political expenditures (do not include amounts of political expenses for which the section 527(f) tax was paid).
- Current year
- b Carryover from last year
- c Total
- 3 $Aggregate\ amount\ reported\ in\ section\ 6033(e) (1) (A)\ notices\ of\ nondeductible\ section\ 162(e)\ dues$
- If notices were sent and the amount on line 2c exceeds the amount on line 3, what portion of the excess $does\ the\ organization\ agree\ to\ carry over\ to\ the\ reasonable\ estimate\ of\ nondeductible\ lobbying\ and$ political expenditure next year?
- Taxable amount of lobbying and political expenditures (see instructions)

Supplemental Information

Complete this part to provide the descriptions required for Part I-A, line 1, Part I-B, line 4, Part I-C, line 5, and Part II-B, line 1i Also, complete this part for any additional information

| Identifier | Return Reference | Explanation |
|---------------------|------------------------|---|
| LOBBYING ACTIVITIES | SCHEDULE C, PART II-B, | THE ORGANIZATION PAID A CONSULTING FIRM \$102,000 |
| | QUESTION 1 | IN ORDER TO INFORM AND EDUCATE LEGISLATORS |
| | | REGARDING MEDICARE AND MEDICAL ASSISTANCE |
| | | REIMBURSEMENT AS WELL AS OTHER HEALTHCARE |
| | | ISSUES IN ADDITION, THE ORGANIZATION IS A MEMBER |
| | | OF THE HOSPITAL AND HEALTHSYSTEM ASSOCIATION OF |
| | | PENNSYLVANIA WHICH ENGAGES IN LOBBYING EFFORTS |
| | | ON BEHALF OF ITS MEMBER HOSPITALS A PORTION OF |
| | | THE DUES PAID TO THIS ORGANIZATION HAS BEEN |
| | | ALLOCATED TO LOBBYING ACTIVITIES PERFORMED ON |
| | | BEHALF OF THE ORGANIZATION THIS ALLOCATION |
| | | AMOUNTED TO \$26,579 THE ORGANIZATION ALSO |
| | | ALLOCATES A PORTION OF TOTAL COMPENSATION OF |
| | | TWO ST LUKE'S UNIVERSITY HEALTH NETWORK SENIOR |
| | | MANAGEMENT PERSONNEL THE TOTAL AMOUNT OF THIS |
| | | EXPENSE ALLOCATED TO LOBBYING ACTIVITIES WAS |
| | | \$253,714 THIS ORGANIZATION PAYS ALL EXPENSES, |
| | | INCLUDING LOBBYING, ON BEHALF OF ALL AFFILIATES |
| | | WITHIN THE ST LUKE'S UNIVERSITY HEALTH NETWORK |
| | | AND CHARGES THESE AFFILIATES FOR THESE COSTS |
| | | LOBBYING EXPENDITURES TO ST LUKE'S UNIVERSITY |
| | | HEALTH NETWORK AFFILIATES REPRESENTED \$97,062 OF |
| | | THE \$382,293 REPORTED ON THIS FEDERAL FORM 990 |

2a

2b

2c 3

4

5

DLN: 93493133004303

OMB No 1545-0047

SCHEDULE D

(Form 990)

Department of the Treasury Internal Revenue Service

Supplemental Financial Statements

► Complete if the organization answered "Yes," to Form 990, Part IV, line 6, 7, 9, 10, 11a, 11b, 11c, 11d, 11e, 11f, 12a, or 12b ► Attach to Form 990. ► See separate instructions.

Open to Public Inspection

| | me of the organization LUKE'S HOSPITAL OF BETHLEHEM PA | | Emp | loyer ident if icat | ion numbe | er |
|--------|--|---|---------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------|---------------|
| 51 | LUKE'S HOSPITAL OF BETHLEHEM PA | | 23-1 | 1352213 | | |
| Ρā | rt I Organizations Maintaining Donor Ad | | | | Complet | e if the |
| | organization answered "Yes" to Form 99 | • | | TLX F | h | |
| | Tatal number at and af war in | (a) Donor advised funds | | b) Funds and ot | ner accou | nts |
| 1 | Total number at end of year | | | | | |
| 2 | Aggregate contributions to (during year) | | | | | |
| 3 | Aggregate grants from (during year) | | | | | |
| 4 - | Aggregate value at end of year | | | | | |
| 5 | Did the organization inform all donors and donor advifunds are the organization's property, subject to the | organization's exclusive legal control? | | | ☐ Yes | ┌ No |
| 6 | Did the organization inform all grantees, donors, and used only for charitable purposes and not for the ben | | | | ┌ Yes | ⊏ No |
| Da | rt II Conservation Easements. Complete | if the organization answered "Ves" to | Form | a QQN Dart IV | | |
| 1 2 | Purpose(s) of conservation easements held by the or Preservation of land for public use (e g , recreating Protection of natural habitat Preservation of open space Complete lines 2a-2d if the organization held a qualiceasement on the last day of the tax year | rganization (check all that apply) on or pleasure) | histori ertified | cally importantl d historic struct | y land area | a |
| | easement on the last day of the tax year | [| | Held at the I | End of the | Year |
| а | Total number of conservation easements | | 2a | ricia at the | Lila or the | Tear |
| Ь | Total acreage restricted by conservation easements | | 2b | | | |
| c | Number of conservation easements on a certified his | toric structure included in (a) | 2c | | | |
| d | Number of conservation easements included in (c) ac | equired after 8/17/06 | 2d | | | |
| 3 | Number of conservation easements modified, transfethe taxable year ▶ | rred, released, extinguished, or terminate | d by th | e organization d | uring | |
| 4 | Number of states where property subject to conserva | ation easement is located 🗠 | | | | |
| 5 | Does the organization have a written policy regarding enforcement of the conservation easements it holds? | | lling of | violations, and | ┌ Yes | ┌ No |
| 6 | Staff and volunteer hours devoted to monitoring, insp | ecting and enforcing conservation easem | ents di | uring the year 🛌 | | |
| 7 | A mount of expenses incurred in monitoring, inspectings \$\blue{\mathbb{k}}\$ | ng, and enforcing conservation easements | durıng | g the year | | |
| В | Does each conservation easement reported on line 2 170(h)(4)(B)(i) and 170(h)(4)(B)(ii)? | (d) above satisfy the requirements of sec | tion | | ┌ Yes | ┌ No |
| 9 | In Part XIV, describe how the organization reports cobalance sheet, and include, if applicable, the text of the organization's accounting for conservation easen | he footnote to the organization's financial | | | | |
| Pai | t IIII Organizations Maintaining Collectio Complete if the organization answered " | ns of Art, Historical Treasures, ('Yes" to Form 990, Part IV, line 8. | or Otl | ner Similar A | ssets. | |
| 1a | If the organization elected, as permitted under SFAS art, historical treasures, or other similar assets held provide, in Part XIV, the text of the footnote to its fin | 116, not to report in its revenue stateme for public exhibition, education or researc | :h ın fu | | | _ |
| b | If the organization elected, as permitted under SFAS historical treasures, or other similar assets held for provide the following amounts relating to these items | public exhibition, education, or research in | | | | |
| | (i) Revenues included in Form 990, Part VIII, line 1 | | | ► \$ | | |
| | (ii) Assets included in Form 990, Part X | | | ► \$ | | |
| 2 | If the organization received or held works of art, histofollowing amounts required to be reported under SFA | | r finan | | | |
| а | Revenues included in Form 990, Part VIII, line 1 | | | ► \$ | | |

b Assets included in Form 990, Part X

| Part | •••• Organizations Maintaining Co | <u>llections of Art,</u> | <u>, His</u> | <u>torica</u> | <u>l Trea</u> | sures, or O | <u>the</u> | <u>r Similar Ass</u> | ets (c | ontinued) |
|-------------|---|--------------------------|--------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------|------------|--|----------------|------------|
| 3 | Using the organization's accession and othe items (check all that apply) | r records, check any | of th | ne follow | ing that | t are a significa | ant u | se of its collection | on | |
| а | Public exhibition | | d | | oan or e | exchange progr | ams | | | |
| b | Scholarly research | | e | Гο | ther | | | | | |
| c | Preservation for future generations | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | Provide a description of the organization's co | ollections and explai | ın hov | v they fu | ırther th | ne organization | ı's ex | kempt purpose in | | |
| 5 | During the year, did the organization solicit of assets to be sold to raise funds rather than t | | | | | | | | Yes | ┌ No |
| Par | Escrow and Custodial Arrang Part IV, line 9, or reported an an | | | | | | d "Y | es" to Form 99 | 90, | |
| 1a | Is the organization an agent, trustee, custod included on Form 990, Part X? | ıan or other ınterme | dıary | for con | tributioi | ns or other ass | ets | | Yes | ┌ No |
| b | If "Yes," explain the arrangement in Part XIV | / and complete the f | follow | ıng tabl | e | Г | | Amo | ount | |
| С | Beginning balance | | | | | _ | 1c | | | |
| d | Additions during the year | | | | | | 1d | | | |
| e | Distributions during the year | | | | | | 1e | | | |
| f | Ending balance | | | | | | 1f | | | |
| 2a | Did the organization include an amount on Fo | orm 990. Part X line | 212 | | | L | | <u>. </u> | Yes | |
| | If "Yes," explain the arrangement in Part XIV | | . . | | | | | ' | 163 | , 140 |
| | rt V Endowment Funds. Complete | | anc | wered | "Yec" | to Form 990 | Pai | t IV line 10 | | |
| ГС | Endownient i anas. Complete | (a)Current Year | | Prior Ye | | | | | (e)Four ` | ears Back |
| 1a | Beginning of year balance | 39,776,236 | | 31,7 | 78,170 | - | Ť | | · · | |
| b | Contributions | 3,211,916 | | 3,99 | 98,132 | | | | | |
| С | Investment earnings or losses | 464,531 | | 6,54 | 19,596 | | | | | |
| d | Grants or scholarships | | | | | | | | | |
| e | Other expenditures for facilities and programs | 2,940,427 | | 2,54 | 19,662 | | | | | |
| f | Administrative expenses | | | | | | | | | |
| g | End of year balance | 40,512,256 | | 39,77 | 76,236 | | | | | |
| 2 | Provide the estimated percentage of the yea | r end balance held a | ıs | | | | | • | | |
| а | Board designated or quasi-endowment | 46 860 % | | | | | | | | |
| b | Permanent endowment ► 53 140 % | | | | | | | | | |
| С | Term endowment ► | | | | | | | | | |
| 3a | Are there endowment funds not in the posses | ssion of the organiza | ation 1 | that are | held ar | nd administere | d for | the | | |
| | organization by | J | | | | | | | Yes | No |
| | (i) unrelated organizations | | | | | | | 3a(i) | | No |
| | (ii) related organizations | | | | | | | 3a(ii |) | No |
| | If "Yes" to 3a(II), are the related organizatio | • | | | | | • | 3b | | <u> </u> |
| 4 | Describe in Part XIV the intended uses of th | | | | | | | | | |
| Par | t VI Land, Buildings, and Equipme | ent. See Form 99 | 0, Pa | | | | | | | |
| | Description of property | | | | t or othe vestmen | | | (c) Accumulated depreciation | (d) Bo | ok value |
| 1a l | _and | | | | | 73,836 | ,767 | | - | 73,836,767 |
| b i | Buildings | | | | | 447,679 | ,656 | 201,682,581 | 24 | 5,997,075 |
| c l | _easehold improvements | | • | | | 14,734 | ,194 | 6,096,796 | | 8,637,398 |
| d i | Equipment | | | | | 373,864 | ,684 | 278,479,151 | - | 5,385,533 |
| e (| Other | <u> </u> | | | | 50,589 | ,738 | 1,263,275 | | 19,326,463 |
| | I. Add lines 1a-1e <i>(Column (d) should equal Fo</i> | | nn (B) | , line 10 | (c).) • | | | ► | 47 | 73,183,236 |
| | | | | | | | | Schedule D | (Form 9 | 90) 2011 |

| Part VII Investments—Other Securities. Se | ee Form 990, Part X, line 12. | |
|--|---|--|
| (a) Description of security or category (including name of security) | (b) Book value | (c) Method of valuation Cost or end-of-year market value |
| (1)Financial derivatives | | Cost of the of your market value |
| (2)Closely-held equity interests | | |
| Other | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Total. (Column (b) should equal Form 990, Part X, col (B) line 12) | F 000 D 1 W 1 10 | |
| Part VIII Investments—Program Related. S | see Form 990, Part X, line 13. | (c) Method of valuation |
| (a) Description of investment type | (b) Book value | Cost or end-of-year market value |
| (1) MONEY MARKET FUNDS | 15,685,499 | <i>,</i> F |
| (2) GOVERNMENT SECURITIES | 9,743,751 | F |
| (3) CORPORATE BONDS | 34,214,016 | F |
| (4) COMMON & PREFERRED STOCK | 100,128 | F |
| (5) MUTUAL FUNDS | 257,017,116 | F |
| (6) CASH & EQUIVALENTS | 4,440,820 | F |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Total. (Column (b) should equal Form 990, Part X, col (B) line 13) | 321,201,330 | |
| Part IX Other Assets. See Form 990, Part X, | | T |
| (a) Desc | cription | (b) Book value |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | <u> </u> |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Total. (Column (b) should equal Form 990, Part X, col.(B) lin | e 15) | |
| Part X Other Liabilities. See Form 990, Par | | |
| 1 (a) Description of Liability | i i | |
| | | |
| Federal Income Taxes | (b) A mount | |
| | 0 | |
| ADVANCE FROM THIRD PARTY PAYOR | 2,099,500 | |
| ADVANCE FROM THIRD PARTY PAYOR DUE TO THIRD PARTIES | 0 2,099,500 10,598,585 | |
| ADVANCE FROM THIRD PARTY PAYOR DUE TO THIRD PARTIES CURRENT PORTION OF PENSION COS | 0 2,099,500 10,598,585 4,888,084 | |
| ADVANCE FROM THIRD PARTY PAYOR DUE TO THIRD PARTIES CURRENT PORTION OF PENSION COS DUE TO AFFILIATES | 0 2,099,500 10,598,585 4,888,084 187,312,265 | |
| ADVANCE FROM THIRD PARTY PAYOR DUE TO THIRD PARTIES CURRENT PORTION OF PENSION COS DUE TO AFFILIATES ASSET RETIREMENT OBLIGATION | 0 2,099,500 10,598,585 4,888,084 187,312,265 3,247,932 | |
| ADVANCE FROM THIRD PARTY PAYOR DUE TO THIRD PARTIES CURRENT PORTION OF PENSION COS DUE TO AFFILIATES ASSET RETIREMENT OBLIGATION CHARITABLE GIFT ANNUITIES | 0 2,099,500 10,598,585 4,888,084 187,312,265 3,247,932 7,858,399 | |
| ADVANCE FROM THIRD PARTY PAYOR DUE TO THIRD PARTIES CURRENT PORTION OF PENSION COS DUE TO AFFILIATES ASSET RETIREMENT OBLIGATION CHARITABLE GIFT ANNUITIES SWAP CONTRACT LIABILITY | 0 2,099,500 10,598,585 4,888,084 187,312,265 3,247,932 7,858,399 83,210,652 | |
| ADVANCE FROM THIRD PARTY PAYOR DUE TO THIRD PARTIES CURRENT PORTION OF PENSION COS DUE TO AFFILIATES ASSET RETIREMENT OBLIGATION CHARITABLE GIFT ANNUITIES SWAP CONTRACT LIABILITY SELF INSURANCE COSTS | 0 2,099,500 10,598,585 4,888,084 187,312,265 3,247,932 7,858,399 83,210,652 11,357,524 | |
| ADVANCE FROM THIRD PARTY PAYOR DUE TO THIRD PARTIES CURRENT PORTION OF PENSION COS DUE TO AFFILIATES ASSET RETIREMENT OBLIGATION CHARITABLE GIFT ANNUITIES SWAP CONTRACT LIABILITY | 0 2,099,500 10,598,585 4,888,084 187,312,265 3,247,932 7,858,399 83,210,652 11,357,524 357,634 | |

| | Reconciliation of Change in Net Assets from Form 990 to Financial Statemen | ILS | |
|----|--|-----|--------|
| 1 | Total revenue (Form 990, Part VIII, column (A), line 12) | 1 | |
| | Total expenses (Form 990, Part IX, column (A), line 25) | 2 | |
| | Excess or (deficit) for the year Subtract line 2 from line 1 | 3 | |
| | Net unrealized gains (losses) on investments | 4 | |
| | Donated services and use of facilities | 5 | |
| | Investment expenses | 6 | |
| | Prior period adjustments | 7 | |
| | | 8 | |
| | Other (Describe in Part XIV) | | |
| | Total adjustments (net) Add lines 4 - 8 | 9 | |
|) | Excess or (deficit) for the year per financial statements Combine lines 3 and 9 | 10 | |
| 14 | Reconciliation of Revenue per Audited Financial Statements With Revenue p | | eturn |
| | Total revenue, gains, and other support per audited financial statements | 1 | |
| | Amounts included on line 1 but not on Form 990, Part VIII, line 12 | | |
| | Net unrealized gains on investments | | |
|) | Donated services and use of facilities | | |
| : | Recoveries of prior year grants | | |
| ı | Other (Describe in Part XIV) | | |
| • | Add lines 2a through 2d | 2e | |
| | Subtract line 2e from line 1 | 3 | |
| | Amounts included on Form 990, Part VIII, line 12, but not on line 1 | | |
| | Investment expenses not included on Form 990, Part VIII, line 7b . 4a | | |
| | Other (Describe in Part XIV) | | |
| | Add lines 4a and 4b | 4c | |
| | Total Revenue Add lines 3 and 4c. (This should equal Form 990, Part I, line 12) | 5 | |
| rt | Reconciliation of Expenses per Audited Financial Statements With Expenses | per | Return |
| | Total expenses and losses per audited financial statements | 1 | |
| | A mounts included on line 1 but not on Form 990, Part IX, line 25 | | |
| | Donated services and use of facilities | | |
|) | Prior year adjustments | | |
| | Other losses | | |
| | Other (Describe in Part XIV) 2d | | |
| : | Add lines 2a through 2d | 2e | |
| | Subtract line 2e from line 1 | 3 | |
| | Amounts included on Form 990, Part IX, line 25, but not on line 1: | | |
| • | Investment expenses not included on Form 990, Part VIII, line 7b 4a | | |
| • | Other (Describe in Part XIV) | | |
| | Add lines 4a and 4b | 4c | |
| 3 | | | |
| | Total expenses Add lines 3 and 4c. (This should equal Form 990, Part I, line 18) | 5 | |

Identifier Return Reference Explanation

additional information

OMB No 1545-0047

Open to Public **Inspection**

SCHEDULE H (Form 990)

Department of the Treasury Internal Revenue Service

► Complete if the organization answered "Yes" to Form 990, Part IV, question 20. ► Attach to Form 990. ► See separate instructions.

Hospitals

Name of the organization ST LUKE'S HOSPITAL OF BETHLEHEM PA **Employer identification number**

| | | | | | 23- | 1352213 | | | |
|--------|--|---|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|------------------------------|----------|------------------|--------|
| Pä | ort I Charity Care and | l Certain O | ther Comn | nunity Benefits at | | | | | |
| | - | | | <u>-</u> | | | | Yes | No |
| 1a | Did the organization have a c | harity care po | olicy? If "No,' | 'skıp to questıon 6a . | | | 1a | Yes | |
| b | If "Yes," is it a written policy | ? | | | | | · · · 1b | | |
| 2 | If the organization had multip care policy to the various ho | | ındıcate whic | h of the following best o | lescribes application o | f the charity | arity | | |
| | Applied uniformly to all ho | ospitals | | Applied uniformly | to most hospitals | | | | |
| | Generally tailored to indiv | /idual hospita | | | · | | | | |
| 3 | Answer the following based o organization's patients during | | | y criteria that applies t | o the largest number o | f the | | | |
| а | Did the organization use Fed If "Yes," indicate which of the | • | | • | | are? | 3a | Yes | |
| | Г 100% Г 150% | — 20 | 00% ~ | Other | 300. % | | | | |
| L | | | ,,, | <u> </u> | | | | | |
| Ь | Did the organization use FPG "Yes," indicate which of the f | | | • | | | 21 | , | |
| | | _ | _ | | _ | | 3b | Yes | |
| | 200% 250% | ▼ 30 | 00% Г | 350% — 400 | 0% I Other_ | <u>%</u> | | | |
| С | If the organization did not us determining eligibility for free test or other threshold, regar | or discounte | d care Includ | de in the description wh | ether the organization | | | | |
| 4 | Did the organization's policy | provide free o | or discounted | care to the "medically | ındıgent"? | | 4 | Yes | |
| 5a | Did the organization budget a the tax year? | | | • | rıts fınancıal assıstar | ce policy during | 5a | Yes | |
| b | If "Yes," did the organization | 's charity car | e expenses e | xceed the budgeted am | nount? | | 5b | | Νo |
| c | If "Yes" to line 5b, as a resul | | | | | | | | |
| 6- | care to a patient who was elig | | | | | | 5c | | |
| | If "Yes," did the organization | | | | | | 6a | | No |
| OD | Complete the following table | | | | | | 6b | | |
| | worksheets with the Schedule | | Kaneeta piov | ided in the Schedule II | matructions borners | abilite these | | | |
| 7 | Charity Care and Certain C | ther Commu | nity Benefits | at Cost | | | | | |
| | Charity Care and Means-Tested Government Programs | (a) Number of activities or programs (optional) | (b) Persons served (optional) | (c) Total community benefit expense | (d) Direct offsetting revenue | (e) Net community be expense | enefit | (f) Perototal ex | |
| а | Charity care at cost (from Worksheet 1) | | | 12,044,755 | | 12,04 | 4,755 | 1 | 870 % |
| b | Medicaid (from Worksheet 3, column a) | | | 89,058,734 | 63,592,707 | | 6,027 | 3 | 960 % |
| С | Costs of other means-tested government programs (from Worksheet 3, column b) | | | | | | | | |
| d | Total Charity Care and Means-Tested Government Programs | | | 101,103,489 | 63,592,707 | 37,51 | 0,782 | 5 | 830 % |
| e | Other Benefits Community health improvement services and community benefit operations (from | | | | | | | | FF0 :: |
| f | (Worksheet 4) Health professions education | | | 6,363,416 | 2,819,442 | 3,54 | 3,974 | 0 | 550 % |
| g | (from Worksheet 5) Subsidized health services | | | 44,490,384 | 14,334,603 | 30,15 | 5,781 | 4 | 690 % |
| y | (from Worksheet 6) | | | 17,802,599 | 10,805,058 | | 7,541 | 1 | 090 % |
| h i | Research (from Worksheet 7) Cash and in-kind contributions | | | 16,452 | | 1 | 6,452 | | |
| i | for community benefit (from Worksheet 8) | | | 1,561,997 | | 1 56 | 1,997 | n | 240 % |
| j | Fotal Other Benefits | | | 70,234,848 | 27,959,103 | | 5,745 | | 570 % |
| k | Total Add lines 7d and 7i | | | 171 338 337 | 91 551 810 | 79.78 | 6 527 | 12 | 400 % |

| | activities. | (a) Number of activities or programs (optional) | (b) Persons served (optional) | (c) Total community building expense | 1 ' ' | rect offset revenue | ting | (e) Net communit building expense | | (f) Pero total ex | | | |
|-------------|---|---|---|---|-------------------------------------|------------------------|---------|--|--------------|---------------------------------|-------|--|--|
| 1 | Physical improvements and housing | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | Economic development | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Community support | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | Environmental improvements Leadership development and training | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | for community members | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | Coalition building Community health improvement | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | advocacy | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | Workforce development | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | Other Total | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | t IIII Bad Debt, Medicar | e & Collec | tion Practic | es | | | | | | | | | |
| <u> </u> | Dad Debt/ Ficultur | e, a conce | tion i ructio | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sect 1 | ion A. Bad Debt Expense Did the organization report bac Statement No 15? | | e ın accordance | with Heathcare Fi | nancıal M | anageme | ent As | ssociation [| 1 | Yes Yes | No | | |
| 2 | Enter the amount of the organi | | • | | | 2 | | 8,075,707 | | | | | |
| 3 | Enter the estimated amount of patients eligible under the org | anızatıon's cha | arity care policy | y | | 3 | | 807,571 | | | | | |
| 4 | Provide in Part VI the text of t In addition, describe the costi rationale for including a portio | ng methodolog | gy used in detei | rmining the amount | | | | | | | | | |
| Sect | ion B. Medicare | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | Enter total revenue received fi | rom Medicare | (ıncludıng DSH | and IME) | | 5 | | 160,186,080 | | | | | |
| 6 | Enter Medicare allowable cost | s of care relat | ing to payments | s on line 5 | | 6 | | 142,717,954 | | | | | |
| 7 | Subtract line 6 from line 5 Th | · · | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | 7 | | 17,468,126 | | | | | |
| 8 | Describe in Part VI the extent Also describe in Part VI the c Check the box that describes | osting method | ology or source | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Cost accounting system | c∘ | st to charge ra | tio Г | Other | | | | | | | | |
| Sect | ion C. Collection Practices | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9a | Did the organization have a wr | itten debt coll | ection policy di | ırıng the tax year? | | | | | 9a | Yes | | | |
| b | If "Yes," did the organization's contain provisions on the colle assistance? Describe in Part \ | ection practice | s to be followed | d for patients who a | re known | to qualif | y for f | inancial | 9b | Yes | | | |
| Pai | rt IV Management Com | panies and | Joint Ventu | res (see instruc | tions) | | | | _ | | | | |
| | (a) Name of entity | (t | Description of pi activity of entit | | (c) Organi profit % o ownersh | r stock | er |) Officers, directors, trustees, or key nployees' profit % stock ownership% | pro | e) Physi fit % oi wnershi | stock | | |
| 1 TH | IE CENTER FOR ORAL | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | |
| 2 & | MAXILLOFACIAL | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 SU | IRGERY & IMPLANT | ORAL SURGERY | | | Į. | 50 000 % | | | | 50 (| 00 % | | |
| | ALYSIS LIMITEDILC | DIALYSIS | | | Ţ | 50 000 % | | | | 50 (| 00 % | | |
| 5 ST | LUKE'S NORTH | | | | | | \perp | | \perp | | | | |
| 6 DI | ALYSIS CENTERLP | DIALYSIS | | | 2 | 9 500 % | | | | 49 5 | 00 % | | |
| 7 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 12 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 13 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Part | V Facility Information | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|---|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------|----------|------------------|
| Section | on A. Hospital Facilities | Licens | Genera | Childre | Teachi | Crtica | Resear | ER-24 hours | ER-other | |
| (list in | order of size from largest to smallest) | Licensed hospital | General medical & | Children's hospital | Teaching hospital | access | Research facility | hours | ् चि | |
| | nany hospital facilities did the organization operate during x year? 3 | oital | al & surgical | pital | ortal | Ortical access hospital | rty | | | |
| Name | and address | | | | | | | | | Other (Describe) |
| 1 | ST LUKE'S HOSPITAL - BETHLEHEM CAMPUS 801 OSTRUM STREET BETHLEHEM,PA 180151000 | × | х | | х | | х | х | | , , |
| 2 | ST LUKE'S HOSPITAL - ALLENTOWN CAMPUS 1736 W HAMILTON STREET ALLENTOWN,PA 18104 | х | х | | х | | | х | | |
| 3 | ST LUKE'S HOSPITAL - ANDERSON CAMPUS 1872 RIVERSIDE CIRCLE EASTON,PA 18045 | x | х | | | | | х | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| - | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | + | | | - | - | | | | |
| | | + | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

Part V Facility Information (continued) Section B. Facility Policies and Practices.

(Complete a separate Section B for each of the hospital facilities listed in Part V, Section A)

ST LUKE'S HOSPITAL - BETHLEHEM CAMPUS

| Name of Hospital Facility: | |
|---|---|
| ine Number of Hospital Facility (from Schedule H, Part V, Section A): | 1 |

| | | | Yes | No |
|-----|---|---|-----|----|
| | mmunity Health Needs Assessment (Lines 1 through 7 are optional for 2011) | | | |
| 1 | During the tax year or any prior tax year, did the hospital facility conduct a community health needs assessment ("Needs Assessment")? If "No," skip to question 8 | 1 | | |
| | If "Yes," indicate what the Needs Assessment describes (check all that apply) | | | |
| | a Γ A definition of the community served by the hospital facility | | | |
| | b Demographics of the community | | | |
| | Existing health care facilities and resources within the community that are available to respond to the health | | | |
| | needs of the community | | | |
| | d How data was obtained | | | |
| | e The health needs of the community | | | |
| | f Primary and chronic disease needs and other health issues of uninsured persons, low-income persons, and minority groups | | | |
| | g The process for identifying and prioritizing community health needs and services to meet those needs | | | |
| | h The process for consulting with persons representing the community's interests | | | |
| | i 「 Information gaps that limit the hospital facility's ability to assess the community's health needs | | | |
| | j Cher (describe in Part VI) | | | |
| 2 | Indicate the tax year the hospital facility last conducted a Needs Assessment 20 | | | |
| 3 | In conducting its most recent Needs Assessment, did the hospital facility take into account input from persons who represent the community served by the hospital facility? If "Yes," describe in Part VI how the hospital facility took into | | | |
| | account input from persons who represent the community, and identify the persons the hospital facility consulted | 3 | | |
| 4 | Was the hospital facility's Needs Assessment conducted with one or more other hospital facilities? If "Yes," list the other hospital facilities in Part VI | 4 | | |
| 5 | Did the hospital facility make its Needs Assessment widely available to the public? | 5 | | |
| | If "Yes," indicate how the Needs Assessment was made widely available (check all that apply) | | | |
| | a Hospital facility's website | | | |
| | b Available upon request from the hospital facility | | | |
| | c | | | |
| 6 | If the hospital facility addressed needs identified in its most recently conducted Needs Assessment, indicate how (check all that apply) | | | |
| | a 🔽 Adoption of an implementation strategy to address the health needs of the hospital facility's community | | | |
| | b Execution of the implementation strategy | | | |
| | c Development of a community-wide community benefit plan for the facility | | | |
| | d Participation in community-wide community benefit plan | | | |
| | e Inclusion of a community benefit section in operational plans | | | |
| | f Adoption of a budget for provision of services that address the needs identified in the CHNA | | | |
| | g Prioritization of health needs in the community | | | |
| | h Prioritization of services that the hospital facility will undertake to meet health needs in its community | | | |
| | i Cother (describe in Part VI) | | | |
| 7 | Did the hospital facility address all of the needs identified in its most recently conducted Needs Assessment? If "No," explain in Part VI which needs it has not addressed together with the reasons why it has not addressed such needs | 7 | | |
| Fin | ancial Assistance Policy | | | |
| | Did the hospital facility have in place during the tax year a written financial assistance policy that | | | |
| | Explains eligibility criteria for financial assistance, and whether such assistance includes free or discounted care? | 8 | Yes | |
| 9 | Used federal poverty guidelines (FPG) to determine eligibility for providing free care? | 9 | Yes | |
| | If "Yes," indicate the FPG family income limit for eligibility for free care 300 % | | | |
| | If "No," explain in Part VI the criteria the hospital facility used | | | |

| Pa | art V Facility Information (continued) | | | |
|-----|---|----|------------------|----|
| | | | Yes | No |
| 10 | Used FPG to determine eligibility for providing discounted care? | 10 | Yes | |
| | If "Yes," indicate the FPG family income limit for eligibility for discounted care 300 % | | | |
| | If "No," explain in Part VI the criteria the hospital facility used | | | |
| 11 | Explained the basis for calculating amounts charged to patients? | 11 | Yes | |
| | If "Yes," indicate the factors used in determining such amounts (check all that apply) | | | |
| | a V Income level | | | |
| | b Z Asset level | | | |
| | c Medical indigency | | | |
| | d / Insurance status | | | |
| | e V Uninsured discount | | | |
| | f Medicaid/Medicare | | | |
| | g State regulation | | | |
| | h Cother (describe in Part VI) | | | |
| | Explained the method for applying for financial assistance? | 12 | Yes | |
| 13 | Included measures to publicize the policy within the community served by the hospital facility? | 13 | Yes | |
| | If "Yes," indicate how the hospital facility publicized the policy (check all that apply) | | | |
| | a ▼ The policy was posted at all times on the hospital facility's web site | | | |
| | b The policy was attached to all billing invoices | | | |
| | ${f c}$ The policy was posted in the hospital facility's emergency rooms or waiting rooms | | | |
| | d ▼ The policy was posted in the hospital facility's admissions offices | | | |
| | e Γ The policy was provided, in writing, to patients upon admission to the hospital facility | | | |
| | f 🔽 The policy was available upon request | | | |
| | g 🔽 Other (describe in Part VI) | | | |
| Bil | ling and Collections | | | |
| 14 | Did the hospital facility have in place during the tax year a separate billing and collections policy, or a written financial | ١ | l ., | |
| 15 | assistance policy (FAP) that explained actions the hospital facility may take upon non-payment? | 14 | Yes | |
| 13 | policies during the tax year before making reasonable efforts to determine the patient's eligibility under the facility's | | | |
| | FAP | | | |
| | a Reporting to credit agency | | | |
| | b Lawsuits | | | |
| | c Liens on residences | | | |
| | d Body attachments or arrests | | | |
| | e C Other similar actions (describe in Part VI) | | | |
| 16 | Did the hospital facility or an authorized third party perform any of the following actions during the tax year before | 1. | _{v = -} | |
| | making reasonable efforts to determine the patient's eligibility under the facility's FAP? | 16 | Yes | |
| | Reporting to credit agency | | | |
| | b Lawsuits | | | |
| | c Liens on residences | | | |
| | d Body attachments | | | |
| | e Other similar actions (describe in Part VI) | | | |
| 17 | Indicate which efforts the hospital facility made before initiating any of the actions checked in question 16 (check all | | | |
| -, | that apply) | | | |
| | a Notified patients of the financial assistance policy upon admission | | | |
| | b Notified patients of the financial assistance policy prior to discharge | | | |
| | c Notified patients of the financial assistance policy in communications with the patients regarding the patients' | | | |
| | bills | | | |
| | d 🔽 Documented its determination of whether patients were eligible for financial assistance under the hospital | | | |
| | facility's financial assistance policy | | | |
| | e T Other (describe in Part VI) | | | |

If "Yes," explain in Part VI

provided to that patient?

.

20

21

Νo

Νo

Part V Facility Information (continued) Policy Relating to Emergency Medical Care Yes 18 Did the hospital facility have in place during the tax year a written policy relating to emergency medical care that requires the hospital facility to provide, without discrimination, care for emergency medical conditions to individuals **18** | Yes If "No," indicate why a ☐ The hospital facility did not provide care for any emergency medical conditions **b** The hospital facility's policy was not in writing The hospital facility limited who was eligible to receive care for emergency medical conditions (describe in Part VI **d** Other (describe in Part VI) Individuals Eligible for Financial Assistance 19 Indicate how the hospital facility determined, during the tax year, the maximum amounts that can be charged to FAPeligible individuals for emergency or other medically necessary care The hospital facility used its lowest negotiated commercial insurance rate when calculating the maximum amounts that can be charged b The hospital facility used the average of it's three lowest negotiated commercial insurance rates when calculating the maximum amounts that can be charged c The hospital facility used the Medicare rates when calculating the maximum amounts that can be charged d Other (describe in Part VI)

20 Did the hospital facility charge any of its patients who were eligible for assistance under the hospital facility's financial assistance policy, and to whom the hospital facility provided emergency or other medically necessary services, more than the amounts generally billed to individuals who had insurance covering such care?

21 Did the hospital facility charge any of its FAP-eligible patients an amount equal to the gross charge for services

If "Yes," explain in Part VI Schedule H (Form 990) 2011

Part V Facility Information (continued) Section B. Facility Policies and Practices.

(Complete a separate Section B for each of the hospital facilities listed in Part V , Section A)

ST LUKE'S HOSPITAL - ALLENTOWN CAMPUS

| Name of Hospital Facility: | |
|---|---|
| Line Number of Hospital Facility (from Schedule H, Part V, Section A):_ | 2 |

| | | | Yes | No |
|-----|---|---|-----|----|
| Col | mmunity Health Needs Assessment (Lines 1 through 7 are optional for 2011) | | | |
| 1 | During the tax year or any prior tax year, did the hospital facility conduct a community health needs assessment | | | |
| | ("Needs Assessment")? If "No," skip to question 8 | 1 | | |
| | If "Yes," indicate what the Needs Assessment describes (check all that apply) | | | |
| | a A definition of the community served by the hospital facility | | | |
| | b Demographics of the community | | | |
| | Existing health care facilities and resources within the community that are available to respond to the health | | | |
| | needs of the community | | | |
| | d How data was obtained | | | |
| | e The health needs of the community | | | |
| | Primary and chronic disease needs and other health issues of uninsured persons, low-income persons, and | | | |
| | minority groups | | | |
| | The process for identifying and prioritizing community health needs and services to meet those needs | | | |
| | h The process for consulting with persons representing the community's interests | | | |
| | i Information gaps that limit the hospital facility's ability to assess the community's health needs | | | |
| | j Cother (describe in Part VI) | | | |
| | Indicate the tax year the hospital facility last conducted a Needs Assessment 20 | | | |
| 3 | In conducting its most recent Needs Assessment, did the hospital facility take into account input from persons who | | | |
| | represent the community served by the hospital facility? If "Yes," describe in Part VI how the hospital facility took into account input from persons who represent the community, and identify the persons the hospital facility consulted | 3 | | |
| 4 | Was the hospital facility's Needs Assessment conducted with one or more other hospital facilities? If "Yes," list the | | | |
| | other hospital facilities in Part VI | 4 | | |
| 5 | Did the hospital facility make its Needs Assessment widely available to the public? | 5 | | |
| | If "Yes," indicate how the Needs Assessment was made widely available (check all that apply) | | | |
| | a Hospital facility's website | | | |
| | b Available upon request from the hospital facility | | | |
| | c | | | |
| 6 | If the hospital facility addressed needs identified in its most recently conducted Needs Assessment, indicate how (check all that apply) | | | |
| | a 🔽 Adoption of an implementation strategy to address the health needs of the hospital facility's community | | | |
| | b Execution of the implementation strategy | | | |
| | c Development of a community-wide community benefit plan for the facility | | | |
| | d Participation in community-wide community benefit plan | | | |
| | e Inclusion of a community benefit section in operational plans | | | |
| | f Adoption of a budget for provision of services that address the needs identified in the CHNA | | | |
| | g Prioritization of health needs in the community | | | |
| | h Prioritization of services that the hospital facility will undertake to meet health needs in its community | | | |
| | i Other (describe in Part VI) | | | |
| 7 | Did the hospital facility address all of the needs identified in its most recently conducted Needs Assessment? If "No," | | | |
| | explain in Part VI which needs it has not addressed together with the reasons why it has not addressed such needs | 7 | | |
| Fin | ancial Assistance Policy | | | |
| | Did the hospital facility have in place during the tax year a written financial assistance policy that | | | |
| 8 | Explains eligibility criteria for financial assistance, and whether such assistance includes free or discounted care? | 8 | Yes | |
| 9 | Used federal poverty guidelines (FPG) to determine eligibility for providing free care? | 9 | Yes | |
| | If "Yes," indicate the FPG family income limit for eligibility for free care 300 % | | | |
| | If "No," explain in Part VI the criteria the hospital facility used | | | |

| Pa | art V Facility Information (continued) | | | |
|-----|---|----|-----|----|
| | | | Yes | No |
| 10 | Used FPG to determine eligibility for providing discounted care? | 10 | Yes | |
| | If "Yes," indicate the FPG family income limit for eligibility for discounted care 300 % | | | |
| | If "No," explain in Part VI the criteria the hospital facility used | | | |
| 11 | Explained the basis for calculating amounts charged to patients? | 11 | Yes | |
| | If "Yes," indicate the factors used in determining such amounts (check all that apply) | | | |
| | a V Income level | | | |
| | b Z Asset level | | | |
| | c Medical indigency | | | |
| | d / Insurance status | | | |
| | e V Uninsured discount | | | |
| | f Medicaid/Medicare | | | |
| | g State regulation | | | |
| | h Cother (describe in Part VI) | | | |
| | Explained the method for applying for financial assistance? | 12 | Yes | |
| 13 | Included measures to publicize the policy within the community served by the hospital facility? | 13 | Yes | |
| | If "Yes," indicate how the hospital facility publicized the policy (check all that apply) | | | |
| | a ▼ The policy was posted at all times on the hospital facility's web site | | | |
| | b The policy was attached to all billing invoices | | | |
| | $oldsymbol{c}$ The policy was posted in the hospital facility's emergency rooms or waiting rooms | | | |
| | d ▼ The policy was posted in the hospital facility's admissions offices | | | |
| | e Γ The policy was provided, in writing, to patients upon admission to the hospital facility | | | |
| | f 🔽 The policy was available upon request | | | |
| | g 🔽 Other (describe in Part VI) | | | |
| Bil | ling and Collections | | | |
| 14 | Did the hospital facility have in place during the tax year a separate billing and collections policy, or a written financial | ١ | ļ., | |
| 15 | assistance policy (FAP) that explained actions the hospital facility may take upon non-payment? | 14 | Yes | |
| 13 | policies during the tax year before making reasonable efforts to determine the patient's eligibility under the facility's | | | |
| | FAP | | | |
| | a Reporting to credit agency | | | |
| | b Lawsuits | | | |
| | c Liens on residences | | | |
| | d Body attachments or arrests | | | |
| | e C Other similar actions (describe in Part VI) | | | |
| 16 | Did the hospital facility or an authorized third party perform any of the following actions during the tax year before | 1. | , | |
| | making reasonable efforts to determine the patient's eligibility under the facility's FAP? | 16 | Yes | |
| | Reporting to credit agency | | | |
| | b Lawsuits | | | |
| | c Liens on residences | | | |
| | d Body attachments | | | |
| | e Other similar actions (describe in Part VI) | | | |
| 17 | Indicate which efforts the hospital facility made before initiating any of the actions checked in question 16 (check all | | | |
| -, | that apply) | | | |
| | a Notified patients of the financial assistance policy upon admission | | | |
| | b 🔽 Notified patients of the financial assistance policy prior to discharge | | | |
| | c Notified patients of the financial assistance policy in communications with the patients regarding the patients' | | | |
| | bills | | | |
| | d 🔽 Documented its determination of whether patients were eligible for financial assistance under the hospital | | | |
| | facility's financial assistance policy | | | |
| | e T Other (describe in Part VI) | | | |

If "Yes," explain in Part VI

| ochedule ii | (101111 550) 2011 |
|-------------|----------------------------------|
| Part V | Facility Information (continued) |
| Policy Pel: | ating to Emergency Medical Care |

| | | | Yes | No |
|-----|--|----|-----|----|
| 18 | Did the hospital facility have in place during the tax year a written policy relating to emergency medical care that requires the hospital facility to provide, without discrimination, care for emergency medical conditions to individuals regardless of their eligibility under the hospital facility's financial assistance policy? | 18 | Yes | |
| | The hospital facility did not provide care for any emergency medical conditions | | | |
| | b The hospital facility's policy was not in writing | | | |
| | c The hospital facility limited who was eligible to receive care for emergency medical conditions (describe in Part VI) | | | |
| | d Other (describe in Part VI) | | | |
| Inc | lividuals Eligible for Financial Assistance | | | |
| 19 | Indicate how the hospital facility determined, during the tax year, the maximum amounts that can be charged to FAP-eligible individuals for emergency or other medically necessary care The hospital facility used its lowest negotiated commercial insurance rate when calculating the maximum | | | |
| | amounts that can be charged | | | |
| | b The hospital facility used the average of it's three lowest negotiated commercial insurance rates when calculating the maximum amounts that can be charged | | | |
| | c The hospital facility used the Medicare rates when calculating the maximum amounts that can be charged | | | |
| | d Cother (describe in Part VI) | | | |
| 20 | Did the hospital facility charge any of its patients who were eligible for assistance under the hospital facility's financial assistance policy, and to whom the hospital facility provided emergency or other medically necessary services, more than the amounts generally billed to individuals who had insurance covering such care? | 20 | Yes | |
| 21 | Did the hospital facility charge any of its FAP-eligible patients an amount equal to the gross charge for services provided to that patient? | | | |
| | | 21 | Yes | 1 |

Part V Facility Information (continued) Section B. Facility Policies and Practices.

(Complete a separate Section B for each of the hospital facilities listed in Part V, Section A)

ST LUKE'S HOSPITAL - ANDERSON CAMPUS

| Name of Hospital Facility: | |
|---|---|
| ine Number of Hospital Facility (from Schedule H, Part V, Section A): | 3 |

| | | | Yes | No |
|-----|---|---|-----|----|
| | mmunity Health Needs Assessment (Lines 1 through 7 are optional for 2011) | | | |
| 1 | During the tax year or any prior tax year, did the hospital facility conduct a community health needs assessment ("Needs Assessment")? If "No," skip to question 8 | 1 | | |
| | If "Yes," indicate what the Needs Assessment describes (check all that apply) | | | |
| | a Γ A definition of the community served by the hospital facility | | | |
| | b Demographics of the community | | | |
| | Existing health care facilities and resources within the community that are available to respond to the health | | | |
| | needs of the community d How data was obtained | | | |
| | · | | | |
| | The health needs of the community | | | |
| | f Primary and chronic disease needs and other health issues of uninsured persons, low-income persons, and minority groups | | | |
| | g The process for identifying and prioritizing community health needs and services to meet those needs | | | |
| | h The process for consulting with persons representing the community's interests | | | |
| | i 📘 Information gaps that limit the hospital facility's ability to assess the community's health needs | | | |
| | j Cother (describe in Part VI) | | | |
| | Indicate the tax year the hospital facility last conducted a Needs Assessment 20 | | | |
| 3 | In conducting its most recent Needs Assessment, did the hospital facility take into account input from persons who represent the community served by the hospital facility? If "Yes," describe in Part VI how the hospital facility took into | | | |
| | account input from persons who represent the community, and identify the persons the hospital facility consulted | 3 | | |
| 4 | Was the hospital facility's Needs Assessment conducted with one or more other hospital facilities? If "Yes," list the other hospital facilities in Part VI | 4 | | |
| 5 | Did the hospital facility make its Needs Assessment widely available to the public? | 5 | | |
| | If "Yes," indicate how the Needs Assessment was made widely available (check all that apply) | | | |
| | a Hospital facility's website | | | |
| | b Available upon request from the hospital facility | | | |
| | c Other (describe in Part VI) | | | |
| 6 | If the hospital facility addressed needs identified in its most recently conducted Needs Assessment, indicate how (check all that apply) | | | |
| | a Adoption of an implementation strategy to address the health needs of the hospital facility's community | | | |
| | b Execution of the implementation strategy | | | |
| | c Development of a community-wide community benefit plan for the facility | | | |
| | d Participation in community-wide community benefit plan | | | |
| | e Inclusion of a community benefit section in operational plans | | | |
| | f Adoption of a budget for provision of services that address the needs identified in the CHNA | | | |
| | g Prioritization of health needs in the community | | | |
| | h Prioritization of services that the hospital facility will undertake to meet health needs in its community | | | |
| | i Other (describe in Part VI) | | | |
| 7 | Did the hospital facility address all of the needs identified in its most recently conducted Needs Assessment? If "No," | | | |
| | explain in Part VI which needs it has not addressed together with the reasons why it has not addressed such needs | 7 | | |
| Fin | ancial Assistance Policy | | | |
| _ | Did the hospital facility have in place during the tax year a written financial assistance policy that | | | |
| | Explains eligibility criteria for financial assistance, and whether such assistance includes free or discounted care? | 8 | Yes | |
| 9 | Used federal poverty guidelines (FPG) to determine eligibility for providing free care? | 9 | Yes | |
| | If "Yes," indicate the FPG family income limit for eligibility for free care 300 % If "No," explain in Part VI the criteria the hospital facility used | | | |
| | 11 Ho, explain in that vi the effectia the hospital facility asea | | | |

| Pa | art V Facility Information (continued) | | | |
|-----|---|----|-----|----|
| | | | Yes | No |
| 10 | Used FPG to determine eligibility for providing discounted care? | 10 | Yes | |
| | If "Yes," indicate the FPG family income limit for eligibility for discounted care 300 % | | | |
| | If "No," explain in Part VI the criteria the hospital facility used | | | |
| 11 | Explained the basis for calculating amounts charged to patients? | 11 | Yes | |
| | If "Yes," indicate the factors used in determining such amounts (check all that apply) | | | |
| | a V Income level | | | |
| | b Z Asset level | | | |
| | c Medical indigency | | | |
| | d / Insurance status | | | |
| | e V Uninsured discount | | | |
| | f Medicaid/Medicare | | | |
| | g State regulation | | | |
| | h Cother (describe in Part VI) | | | |
| | Explained the method for applying for financial assistance? | 12 | Yes | |
| 13 | Included measures to publicize the policy within the community served by the hospital facility? | 13 | Yes | |
| | If "Yes," indicate how the hospital facility publicized the policy (check all that apply) | | | |
| | a ▼ The policy was posted at all times on the hospital facility's web site | | | |
| | b The policy was attached to all billing invoices | | | |
| | ${f c}$ The policy was posted in the hospital facility's emergency rooms or waiting rooms | | | |
| | d ▼ The policy was posted in the hospital facility's admissions offices | | | |
| | e Γ The policy was provided, in writing, to patients upon admission to the hospital facility | | | |
| | f 🔽 The policy was available upon request | | | |
| | g 🔽 Other (describe in Part VI) | | | |
| Bil | ling and Collections | | | |
| 14 | Did the hospital facility have in place during the tax year a separate billing and collections policy, or a written financial | ١ | ļ., | |
| 15 | assistance policy (FAP) that explained actions the hospital facility may take upon non-payment? | 14 | Yes | |
| 13 | policies during the tax year before making reasonable efforts to determine the patient's eligibility under the facility's | | | |
| | FAP | | | |
| | a Reporting to credit agency | | | |
| | b Lawsuits | | | |
| | c Liens on residences | | | |
| | d Body attachments or arrests | | | |
| | e C Other similar actions (describe in Part VI) | | | |
| 16 | Did the hospital facility or an authorized third party perform any of the following actions during the tax year before | 1. | , | |
| | making reasonable efforts to determine the patient's eligibility under the facility's FAP? | 16 | Yes | |
| | Reporting to credit agency | | | |
| | b Lawsuits | | | |
| | c Liens on residences | | | |
| | d Body attachments | | | |
| | e Other similar actions (describe in Part VI) | | | |
| 17 | Indicate which efforts the hospital facility made before initiating any of the actions checked in question 16 (check all | | | |
| -, | that apply) | | | |
| | a Notified patients of the financial assistance policy upon admission | | | |
| | b 🔽 Notified patients of the financial assistance policy prior to discharge | | | |
| | c Notified patients of the financial assistance policy in communications with the patients regarding the patients' | | | |
| | bills | | | |
| | d 🔽 Documented its determination of whether patients were eligible for financial assistance under the hospital | | | |
| | facility's financial assistance policy | | | |
| | e T Other (describe in Part VI) | | | |

Part V Facility Information (continued)

| Partv | racility | THIOLIN | ation | Continueu |
|-------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Policy Rela | ating to Em | ergency M | ledical C | are |

| | | | Yes | No |
|-----|--|----|-----|-----|
| 18 | Did the hospital facility have in place during the tax year a written policy relating to emergency medical care that requires the hospital facility to provide, without discrimination, care for emergency medical conditions to individuals regardless of their eligibility under the hospital facility's financial assistance policy? | 18 | Yes | |
| | If "No," indicate why | | | |
| | a The hospital facility did not provide care for any emergency medical conditions | | | |
| | b The hospital facility's policy was not in writing | | | |
| | c The hospital facility limited who was eligible to receive care for emergency medical conditions (describe in Part | | | |
| | VI) | | | |
| | d Other (describe in Part VI) | | | |
| Inc | lividuals Eligible for Financial Assistance | | | |
| 19 | Indicate how the hospital facility determined, during the tax year, the maximum amounts that can be charged to FAP-eligible individuals for emergency or other medically necessary care | | | |
| | The hospital facility used its lowest negotiated commercial insurance rate when calculating the maximum amounts that can be charged | | | |
| | b The hospital facility used the average of it's three lowest negotiated commercial insurance rates when calculating the maximum amounts that can be charged | | | |
| | c The hospital facility used the Medicare rates when calculating the maximum amounts that can be charged | | | |
| | d | | | |
| 20 | Did the hospital facility charge any of its patients who were eligible for assistance under the hospital facility's financial assistance policy, and to whom the hospital facility provided emergency or other medically necessary services, more than the amounts generally billed to individuals who had insurance covering such care? | 20 | | No |
| | If "Yes," explain in Part VI | | | 110 |
| 21 | Did the hospital facility charge any of its FAP-eligible patients an amount equal to the gross charge for services provided to that patient? | | | |
| | | 21 | | Νo |
| | If "Yes," explain in Part VI | | | |

Page **7**

| Part V Facility Information (continue |
|---------------------------------------|
|---------------------------------------|

Section C. Other Facilities That Are Not Licensed, Registered, or Similarly Recognized as a Hospital Facility (list in order of size from largest to smallest)

| low many non-hospital facilities did the organization operate during the tax year?43 | | |
|--|-----------------------------|--|
| Name and address | Type of Facility (Describe) | |
| 1 See Addition | al Data Table | |
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 7 | | |
| В | | |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | | |

Part VI Supplemental Information

Complete this part to provide the following information

- Required descriptions. Provide the descriptions required for Part I, lines 3c, 6a, and 7, Part III, Part III, lines 4, 8, and 9b, and Part V, Section B, lines 11, 3, 4, 5c, 61, 7, 9, 10, 11h, 13g, 15e, 16e, 17e, 18d, 19d, 20, and 21
- 2 **Community health needs assessment.** Describe how the organization assesses the health care needs of the communities it serves, in addition to any community health needs assessments reported in Part V, Section B
- Patient education of eligibility for assistance. Describe how the organization informs and educates patients and persons who may be billed for patient care about their eligibility for assistance under federal, state, or local government programs or under the organization's financial assistance policy
- 4 **Community information.** Describe the community the organization serves, taking into account the geographic area and demographic constituents it serves
- Promotion of community health. Provide any other information important to describing how the organization's hospital facilities or other health care facilities further its exempt purpose by promoting the health of the community (e.g., open medical staff, community board, use of surplus funds, etc.)
- Affiliated health care system. If the organization is part of an affiliated health care system, describe the respective roles of the organization and its affiliates in promoting the health of the communities served
- 7 **State filing of community benefit report.** If applicable, identify all states with which the organization, or a related organization, files a community benefit report

| Identifier | ReturnReference | Explanation |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|
| COMMUNITY BENEFIT REPORT | SCHEDULE H, PART I, LINE 6A | Not applicable |

| Identifier | ReturnReference | Explanation |
|---|-----------------|--|
| FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE AND CERTAIN OTHER COMMUNITY BENEFITS AT COST | | The Mckesson, Horizon performance management costing application was the tool utilized to determine the cost of financial assistance, unreimbursed Medicaid, Medicaid HMO, and subsidized health services. The entire activity was costed through the Mckesson HPM application, to include inpatient, outpatient, emergency room, and all payers. Costing consisted of allocating cost from the departmental level down to the service item level. Once costs were determined at the service item level, we then aggregated encounters into the defined targeted groups. For determination of the unreimbursed costs for Medicaid, Medicaid HMO, and subsidized services reported on Part I, Line 7, we excluded charity care, bad debt, and all overlapping cases reported elsewhere. We utilized the ratio of patient care cost to charges to determine the charity care and bad debt costs. The development of the ratio conforms to the Form 990 instructions. The Medicare shortfall/surplus was determined using the Medicare complex cost reporting form utilizing allowable Medicare costs. No costs relating to subsidized healthcare services are attributable to any physician clinics. |

| Identifier | ReturnReference | Explanation |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|---|
| COMMUNITY BUILDING ACTIVITIES | SCHEBULE II, FART II | St Luke's Hospital of Bethlehem, Pennsylvania has direct involvement in numerous community building activities that promote and improve the health status and general betterment of the communities served by the hospital. This is accomplished through service on state and regional advocacy committees and boards, volunteerism with local community-based non-profit advocacy groups, and participation in conferences and other educational activities to promote understanding of the root causes of health concerns. This organization provides educational materials, conducts community health fairs and holds health education seminars and outreach sessions for its patients and for community providers. Presentations are provided by physicians, nurses and other healthcare professionals. |

| Identifier | ReturnReference | Explanation |
|------------------|--------------------------------|---|
| BAD DEBT EXPENSE | SCHEDULE II, FAINT III, LINE T | Bad debt expense was calculated using the organization's bad debt expense from its audited financial statements, net of accounts written off at charges and multiplied by its cost to charge ratio. The organization and its affiliates prepare and issue audited consolidated financial statements. The system's allowance for doubtful accounts (bad debt expense) methodology and charity care policies are consistently applied across all hospital affiliates. The attached text was obtained from the footnotes to the audited financial statements of the organization Patient accounts receivable. The Network's patient accounts receivable consist of unsecured amounts due for patient services billed to patients and other third-party payors such as Medicare, Medical Assistance, Blue Cross and various commercial insurance companies and managed care companies. The primary service area of the Network is located in Lehigh, Northampton, Carbon, Schuylkill and Bucks Counties, Pennsylvania. The ability of these patients to pay is subject to changes in general economic conditions of the Network's service area. The Network performs ongoing credit evaluations and maintains reserves for potential credit losses. Charity care. The Network provides care to all patients who meet certain criteria under its charity care policy without charge or at amounts less than its established rates. Charges for services to patients who meet the Network's guidelines for charity care are not reflected in the accompanying consolidated financial statements. The charges associated with these services for charity care provided by the Network approximate \$118,310,000 and \$100,586,000 in 2012 and 2011, respectively. The costs incurred to provide such care is determined using a cost to charge ratio and were approximately \$98,440,000 and \$81,686,000 for 2012 and 2011, respectively. |

| of explicit statutory or regulatory requirements applying the term "charita ble" to hospitals must me et to qualify as IRC \$01(c)(2) charitable organizations The original standard was known as the charity care standard This standard was replaced by the IRS with the community bene fit standard which is the current standard Charity care standard In 1956, the IRS sisued Revenue Ruling 56-185, which addressed the requirements hospitals needed to meet in order to qualify for IRC \$01(c)(3) catus. One of these requirements is known as the "charity care standard" under the standard, a hospital had to provide, to the extent of its ever requirements is known as the "charity care standard" under the standard, a hospital had to provide, to the extent of its ever requirements is known as the "charity care standard". In the standard, a hospital had to provide, to the extent of its every five or reduced cost care to patients unable to pay for it. A hospital had to provide, to the extent of its every five or reduced cost care to patients unable to pay for it. A hospital had to provide, to the extent of its could reflect the velocity of charity care did not necessarily mean that a hospital had failed to meet the requirement is not that level of charity care did not necessarily mean that a hospital had failed to meet the requirement is not that level could reflect its financial ability to provide such care. The ruling also noted that publicly supported community shought as charitable organizations because they serve the entire community, and a low level of could reflect the financial ability to provide such care. The ruling also noted that publicly supported community to provide such care if he ruling any level of the ruling and the velocity of the requirements relating to care group the country of the community benefit standard in 1969, the IRS issued Revenue Ruling 60 not at affect a hospital six of charitable demands Community benefit standard in 1969, the IRS issued Revenue Ruling 60 not a field to the community of the rulin | | | |
|---|----------|------------------------------|--|
| by the organization Bad delt's community benefit and passeciated costs are included in on the Form 990, Schodule H, Part 1, As outland more laber 1990, Schodule H, Part 1, As outland more laber 1990, schodule H, Part 1, As outland more laber 1990, schodule H, Part 1, As outland more laber 1990, schodule H, Part 1, As outland more laber 1990, schodule H, Part 1, As outland more laber 1990, schodule H, Part 1, As outland more laber 1990, schodule H, Part 1, As outland more laber 1990, schodule H, Part 1, As outland more laber 1990, schodule H, Part 1, As outland more laber 1990, schodule H, Part 1, As outland more laber 1990, schodule H, Part 1, As outland the schodule H, Pa | | ReturnReference | · · |
| passociated costs are includable on the Form 990. Schedule if , Parl 1. The organization feels that said eith a community of the parl 1. As outlined the state is accommunity or state of the parl 1. As outlined more fully below the signature of the health of the community as a whole and are reddered in conjugation with the signature of the health of the community as a whole and are reddered in conjugation with the organization of the community as a whole and are reddered in conjugation with the organization of the community as a whole and are readered in conjugation of the community and the community and the conjugation of the community and the community and the conjugation of the conjugation | MEDICARE | SCHEDULE H, PART III, LINE 8 | • |
| Part I The organization feels that shall debt in community peneths and associated costs an enricibate on the form 200, shall be the provision of the costs of the costs of the costs of the community as a whole and are madered in conjunction with the organization's chartable tax-exempt purposes and mission in providing medically mecessary he above the penetral provision of the community and a whole and are madered in conjunction with the organization's chartable tax-exempt purposes and mission in providing medically mecessary he above without regard to rate, color, creed, sex, national organ, eleigion or ability for pay and consistent with the community penetral control organization or ability for pay and consistent with the community penetral control organization or ability for pay and consistent with the community penetral control organization or ability for pay and consistent with the community organization or ability organization organizati | | | 1 ' |
| Schedule H. Pert. I. As outlined more fully below the organization to Seleview shall these services and related costs promote the common terms of | | | |
| born believes that these services and related coats promote the health of the community as a whole and are rendered with our property and the provided of the | | | benefit and associated costs are includable on the Form 990, |
| health of the community as a whole and are rendered in compriction with the organization is charable tax e-event purposes and mission in providing medically nacessary healthcare without regard to make, order, recept, ask, antenda regime, religion or ability to pay and consistent with the community benefit standard prompligate by the IRS. The community benefit standard prompligate by the IRS. The community benefit standard prompligate by the IRS. This community is continuously and consistent with the community benefit standard prompligate by the IRS. This community is continuously and the IRS. This community is recipiously as a tax-exemple and the IRS. This community is continuously and the IRS. This community is used in 501 (23) in its organization is recipiously deliver to a continuously and the IRS. This continuously are continuously as the IRS. This continuously are continuously and the premative and the premative accept ted legal sense," and provide examples of Limitable properties of the IRS. The IRS. This continuously are continuously as a contin | | | |
| conjunction with the originaration's charitable lax-exempt purposes and maked ally necessary healthcare services to all individuals in a non-discommantory manner services to all individuals in a non-discommantory manner services and the commantory benefit standard permutated by the IRSS. The community benefit standard permutated with the community benefit standard permutated with the community benefit standard in a benefit standard or and a standard or a standard or and a standar | | | · · |
| poses and mission in provising medically necessary healthcare services to all individuals in an on-discriminatory manner without regard to race, color, cread, sex, natural crigin, which is a provision of the control | | | 1 |
| without regard to rece, color, creed, sex, national origin, religion or ability to pay and consistent with the community benefit standard promulgate 6 by the 18th. The community benefit standard promulgate 6 by the 18th. The community benefit standard promulgate 6 by the 18th. The community benefit standard promulgate 6 by the 18th. The community of the 18th of the 18th of 18th o | | | |
| religion or ability to pay and consistent with the community beenfelt standard promulgate by the IRS. The community beenfelt standard in the current standard for a hospital for a final standard in the current standard for a hospital for a final standard for | | | 1 |
| benefit standard is the current standard for in beoptial for recognition as a lake-exempt and charitable organization under to cognition as a lake-exempt and charitable organization under to cognition as a lake-exempt and charitable organization under to expone the common of the late of the la | | | |
| benefit standard is the current standard for a hospital former cognition as a stan-exemit and chantable organization under Internal Revenue Code (*1RC*) 501(c(3)*) The organization is seciginated as a fax-exemit and chantable organization in the tax code for the term "chantable", a regulation promoligated by the department of the treasury promotes some under the tax code for the term "chantable", a regulation promoligated by the department of the treasury promotes some under the tax code for the term "chantable is used in 501(c(3)*) in this generally accept editing all sense; and provides examples of chantable surposes, including the relief of the poor or advancement of adduction, religion, and science Note it does not explicitly address the activities of hospitals in the absence of explicit statutory or regulatory requirements effect that is a standard with the activities of hospitals. It is a standard with a farm chantable to hospitals, chas been felt to the 18th of 501(c(2)*) chantable magnitude into The standard with a farm chantable to hospitals, chas been felt to the 18th of 501(c(3)*) chantable magnitude into The standard with a farm chantable to hospitals, chas been felt to the 18th of 501(c(3)*) chantable magnitude into The standard with a farm chantable to hospitals, chas been felt to the 18th of 501(c(3)*) chantable magnitude into The standard with a standard vity of the 18th of the current standard Chanty care standard in 1956, the 18th of 501(c(3)*) chantable magnitude into The standard within a the current standard Chanty care standard in 1956, the 18th of 501(c(3)*) status. One of these requirements is known as the "chanty care standard chanty care standard in 1956, the 18th of 501(c(3)*) status. One of these requirements is known as the "chanty care standard chanty care standard unity of the company of the standard chantable cha | | | |
| Infernal Revenue Code (*IRC*) 501(42). The organization is excognized as a trave-eventy entity and charitable organization under 501(4) 3) in the IRC. Although there is no definition in which the IRC although t | | | |
| recognized as a tax-exempt entity and charitable organization under 501 (c)(3) of the ICA. Although these to definition in the tax code for the term "charitable", a regulation promulgated by the deportment of the transivity provides some gludinic and period of the term of charitable", a regulation promulgated by the deportment of the transivity provides some gludinic and period of the period | | | |
| under 501 (c)(3) of the IRC. Although there is no definition in the text code for the term "charitable", a regulation promulgated by the department of the treasury provides some guidance and states that ("the term charitable is used (c)(4)) in its order of the power of the common o | | | |
| the tax code for the term "chartable", a regulation promulgated by the department of the treasury provides examples of the term chartable is used in 501(c)(3) in its generally accep tell legals ensel," and proceed examples of chartable purposes, including the relief of the poor or advancement of deducation, religion, and science Note it does not explicitly address the activities of hospitals. In the absence of explicit statutory or regulatory requirement spelling in the term "chartable" to hospitals in the material spring the term "chartable" to hospitals in the material spring the term "chartable" to hospitals in the been left to the IRS to determine the criteria hospitals in the morphism spring the term "chartable organizations". The original standard was replaced by the IRS with the community best standard with the standard which is the current standard Chartry care standard in 1956, the IRS sizud Revenue Rulling 56-185, which addressed the requirements hospitals needed to meet in order to qualify for IRC S01(c)(3) about 56-185, which addressed the requirements hospitals needed to meet in order to qualify for IRC S01(c)(3) about 56-185, which addressed the requirements hospitals needed to meet in order to qualify for IRC S01(c)(3) about 56-185, which addressed the requirements hospitals needed to meet in order to qualify for IRC S01(c)(3) about 56-185, which addressed the requirements have been so the chartry care of an order to partners to make the property of the standard, a hospital had go cost care to patents to mable to pay for it of the standard and the standard and cost care to patents unable to pay for it of the standard and the standard of the standard and the standard of the standard and the standard standard of the requirements in cost that it was done to the requirements and the standard and the standard developed in Revenue Ruling of provides such care The ruling also for the standard developed in Revenue Ruling or provides and the standard and the standard and the standard and the standard and | | | |
| by the department of the treasury provides some guidance and states that "Ithe term chantable is used (1)(4)" in its generally accept bed legal sense," and provides examples of chantable by purposes, michaging upprocess, michaging with the poor or advancement of education, religion, and science Note it does not explicit statutory or regulatory requirements applying the term "chantable" to hospital, it has been to the IRS to determine the criteria bed in the posting, it has been to the IRS to determine the criteria hospitals must me et to qualify as IRC SOLICITA and the provides of the IRS to determine the criteria hospitals must me et to qualify as IRC SOLICITA and the provides of the IRS to determine the criteria hospitals must me et to qualify as IRC SOLICITA and the IRS to determine the criteria hospitals must me et to qualify for IRC SOLICITA and the IRS with the community bene fit standard which is the current standard chanty care standard which service the IRS as used Revenue Ruling 56-18, which addressed the requirements hospitals needed to meet in order to qualify for IRC SOLICITA (1) status. One of these requirements is known as the provided of the IRS as the IRS and IRS as the IRS and IRS as the IRS and IRS a | | | |
| generally accept ted legal sense," and provides examples of charitable purposes, including the right of the poor or unprivileged, the promotion of social welfare, and the advancement of aducation, religion, adicince. Note it does not explicitly address the activities of hospitals in the absence are micharitable or charitable for hospitals, it has leave the common of the common | | | by the department of the treasury provides some g uidance and |
| charitable purposes, including the relief of the poor or unprivileged, the promotion of social welfare, and the advancement of education, religion, and science. Note it does not explicitly address the activities objected. In the absence of explicitly address the activities objected in the absence of explicitly address the activities objected. In the absence of explicitly address the activities objected in the absence of explicitly address that the second of the absence of explicitly address that the second of the absence of the IRS with the community being that standard was replaced by the IRS with the community being that standard was replaced by the IRS with the community being that standard was replaced by the IRS with the community being that standard was replaced by the IRS saude Revenue Ruling 56-165, which addressed the requirements hospitals needed to meet standard In 1956, the IRS saude Revenue Ruling 56-165, which addressed the requirements hospitals needed to meet standard in 1956, the IRS saude Revenue Ruling 56-165, which addressed the requirements have the requirements have the standard that the requirements have the object of the second to the fact that of the standard that explicitly addressed the requirements in the standard that care did not necessarily mean that a hospital had failed to meet the requirements and the standard done, according to the ruling, provide such care. If he ruling also not distributed that publicitly absolute organizations because the system to make the requirements and the standard of high that publicitly absolute organizations because they serve the entire community, and a low level of charity care would not affect a hospital's exempt status in the saude and would public the public that the standard object of the top survival and the standard developed in Revenue A standard, hospital's exempt status in the advancements when the surviv | | | |
| unprivileged, the promotion of social welfare, science Note it does not explicitly address the activities of hospitals. In the absence of explicit statutory or regulatory requents applying the term "charte ble" to hospitals, it has been left to the IRS to determine the criterian hospitals must be to qualify as IRC. So the property of the IRS to determine the criterian hospitals must be to qualify as IRC. So the property of the IRS to determine the criterian hospitals must be to qualify as IRC. So the IRS to determine the criterian standard. This standard was replaced by the IRS with the community been fit standard was replaced by the IRS with the community being fit standard was replaced by the IRS with the community of the IRS to IRS saved Revenue Ruling 55-185, which efficiently are standard in order to qualify for IRC 501(c/3) status. One of these remembers to qualify for IRC 501(c/3) status. One of these remembers to qualify for IRC 501(c/3) status. One of these remembers the requirements is known as the "charty care standard" under the standard, a hospital had to provide, to the extend of its financial ability, free or reduced cost care to patients unable to pay for it. A hospital that expected in payment did not, according is a lability, free or reduced cost care to patients unable to pay for it. A hospital that expected in payment did not, according to Intilize the IRC and the IRC | | | |
| advancement of education, religion, and science. Note It does not explicitly address the activities opticals. In the absence of explicit statutory or regulatory requirements applying the term "charitable" to hospitals, it has playing the term "charitable" to hospitals; it has left to the IRS to determine the criteria hospitals must me et or qualify as IRC. Shown as the charity care standard. This standard was replaced by the IRS with the community benefit standard with it is the current standard. Charity care standard in 1956, the IRS issued Revenue Ruling 56-185, which addressed the requirements hospitals needed to ment order to qualify for IRC 501(c/I3) status. One of these requirements is known as the "charity care standard" under brandard; a hospital had to provide, to the extrant of its financial lability, free or reduced extend the provide of the standard standard and the reduced extended full payment did not, according the ruling, provide charity care based on the fact it that some patients ultimately falled to pay. The ruling emphasized that a low level of charity care did not necessarily mean that a hospital had failed to meet the requirements in entire that level color that publicly supported community hospitals would play a charitable organ matuross because they serve the entire of the color of the support of | | | |
| not explicitly address the activities of hospitals. In the absence of explicit statutory or regulatory requents applying the term "chanta ble" to hospitals, it has been left to the IRS to determine the criteria hospitals must be to qualify as IRC \$51(c)(3) charitable organizations. The organial standard was a since chairty care standard in the standard was a since chairty care standard in the standard was a since chairty care standard in 1956, the IRS saude Revenue Ruling \$5-185, which decises dithe requirements hospitals needed to meet in order qualify for IRC \$51(c)(3) status One of these requirements is known as the "charity care standard" under the standard, hospital had to provide, to the extend of its financial isblitty, free or reduced cost care to patients unable to pay for it. A hospital that exp ected full payment did not, according to the ruling, provide that year based on the fact that some patients ultimated to the payment of the ruling of the ruling provide charity care based on the fact that some patients ultimated to meet the requirement is not that level could reflect its financial ability to provide such as a subject of the ruling provide charity care based on the fact that some patients ultimated to meet the requirement is not that level could reflect its financial ability to provide such care The ruling as not add that publicly supported community hospitals would normally qualify as chartable organizations because the ruling of the ruling community is lack of charitable organizations because the Ruling 69-545, which is shown as the "community benefit standard," hospitals are just that some ruling or organization in 1969, it has been added to the surrounding community is lack of charitable demands. Community benefit standard," hospitals are just that only admitted the promote the health of a broad class of individuals in the community. The ruling involved a hospital that only admitted individuals who could pay for the services (by themselves, private insurance, or public programs such the squ | | | |
| term "chantrab lie" to hospitals, it has been left to the IRS to determine the criterian shopsitals me et to qualify as IRC \$101(c)(3) charitable organizations. The original standard was known as the charity care standard. This standard was replaced by the IRS with the community been fit standard was replaced by the IRS with the community been fit standard was replaced by the IRS with the community been fit standard was replaced by the IRS with the community been the standard charity care standard. The country of the standard charity care standard in 1956, the IRS is sued Revenue Ruling 56-185, which addressed the requirements by support the community of the country of the | | | not explicitly address the activities of hospitals. In the absence |
| determine the criteria hospitals must me et to qualify as IRC 501(c)(3) charable organization. The original standard was known as the charity care standard. This standard which is the current standard charity care standard. This standard which is the current standard charity care standard in 1956, the IRS study and recommendation of the commendation of the commend | | | |
| Sol1(c)(3) chantable organizations. The onginal standard was known as the charty care standard. This standard was replaced by the IRS with the community bean fit standard was replaced by the IRS with the community bean fit standard was replaced by the IRS with the community bean fit standard was replaced by the IRS with the community bean fit standard was replaced by the IRS size was revenue fluing 56-185, which addressed the requirements be provided to the community of IRS (501(c)(3) status.) One of these requirements is known as the "charty care standard" under the standard, a hospital had to provide, to the extent of its financial ability, free or reduced as the community of the community of the standard was replaced as the community of the | | | |
| known as the charty care standard This standard was replaced by the IRS with the community benefit is standard which is the current standard Charty care standard In 1956, the IRS issued Revenue Ruling 56-185, which addressed the requirements hospitalis needed to meet in order to qualify for IRC 501c(x)3 is tatus. O no of these requirements is known as the "charty care standard" under the standard, a hospital had to provide, to the extent of its coording to the ruling, provide charty care based on the fact that some patients ultimately failed to pay for it A hospital that expected full payment did not, according to the ruling, provide charty care based on the fact that some patients ultimately failed to pay for it and its payment of the ruling also noted that publicly to provide such care fulling also noted that publicly to provide such care fulling also noted that publicly to provide such care fulling also noted that publicly supported community hospitals would normally qualify as chartable organizations because they serve the entire community, and a low level of charty care would not affect a hospital's exempt satus if it ado the to the surrounding community's lack of chartable demands. Community benefit standard In 1969, the IRS issued Revenue Ruling 69-545, which "remove[q]" from Revenue Ruling 56-185" the requirements relating to camp patients without charge or a rates below cost." under the standard developed in Revenue Ruling 69-545, which is known for patients without charge or a rates below cost. "under the standard developed in Revenue Ruling 69-6185, which is known for patients without charge or a rates below cost." under the standard developed in Revenue Ruling 69-6185, which is known for patients without charge or a rates below cost. "under the standard developed in Revenue Ruling 69-6185, which is known the "community The IRS standard," hospitals are judged on whether they promote the health of produced and hospital that only admitted individuals who could pay for the services by themselves, privat | | | |
| is the current standard Charity care standard In 1956, the IRS issued Revenue Ruling 56-185, which addressed the requirements in sophulas needed to meet in order to qualify for IRC 501c(6)3 status. One of these requirements is known as the "charity care standard" under the standard, a hospital had to provide, to the extent of its manical ability, free or reduced cost care to patients unable to pay for it A hospital that exp ected full payment did not, according to the ruling, provide charity care based on the fac t that some patients ultimately failed to pay. The ruling emploid that is the requirement is not that less could reflect its financial ability to provide such care. The ruling also noted that publicly supported community hospital would normally qualify as charitable orga nizations because they serve the entire community, and a low level or that supported community and a low level or that supported community and a low level or that supported community benefit standard in 1969, the IRS issued Revenue Ruling 69-545, which "removed." For Ruling selection of the surrounding community size of charitable demands. Community benefit standard in 1969, the IRS issued Revenue Ruling 69-545, which "removed." For Ruling selection in Revenue Ruling 69-545, which standard in 1969, the IRS issued Revenue Ruling 69-545, which standard in 1969, the IRS issued Revenue Ruling 69-180 the requirements relating to caring for patients without charge or a tates below cost." Under the standard developed in Revenue Ruling 69-545, which is known as the "community benefit standard," hospitals are updated on whether they promote the health of a broad class of individuals in the community. The ruling involved a hospital standard developed in Revenue Ruling 69-545, which is known as the "community benefit standard," hospitals are updated on whether they promote the health of a broad class of individuals in the community. The ruling involved a hospital standard developed in Revenue Ruling 69-545, which is known as the "community benefi | | | known a s the charity care standard This standard was |
| issued Revenue Ruling 56-185, which addressed the requirements hospitals need to qualify for IRC 501(c)(3) status. One of these requirements is known as the "chartly care standard", a hospital had to provide, to the extent of its financial lability, free or reduced-cost care to patients unable by for it. A hospital that expected full payment did not, according to the ruling, provide chartly care based on the fact at some patients unable using the patients of the patients with the sum of the ruling provide chartly care based on the fact at some patients ultimately failed to pay. The ruling emphasized that a low level of chartly care did not necessarily ment at hospital had failed to meet the requirement si net that level could reflect its financial ability to provide such care fulling also noted that publicly supported community hospitals would normally qualify as chartable organizations because they serve the entire community, and a low level of chartly care would not affect a hospital's exempt status if it was due to the surrounding community is lack of charitable demands. Community benefit standard in 1864 the IRS is standard in 1865 the IRS is standard evenue Ruling 69-545, which is fact of charitable demands. Community benefit standard in 1865 the IRS is standard developed in Revenue Ruling 69-545, which is known as the "community benefit standard," hospitals are supposited to meet the reduced of the ruling and the ruling of the services (by the ruling of th | | | |
| requirements hospitals needed to meet in order to qualify for IRC 501 (c/3) status to of these requirements is known as the "charity car e standard" under the standard, a hospital had to provide, to the extent of its financial ability, free or reduced cost care to patients unable to pay for it. A hospital that exp ected full payment did not, according to the ruling, provide charity care based on the fact that some patients ultimately failed to pay. The ruling emphasized that a low level of c harity care did not necessarily mean that a hospital had failed to meet the requirements in ce the velo colud reflect its financial ability to provide community hospitals would normally qualify as charitable organizations because they serve the entire community, and a low level och charty care would not affect a hospital's exempt status if it was due to the surrounding community's lack of chartive care would not affect a hospital's exempt status if it was due to the surrounding community's lack of chartive demands. Community benefit standard In 1969, the IRS issued Revenue Ruling 69-545, which "remove[d]" from Revenue Ruling 69-548. The requirements relating to carno for patients without charge or at rates below cost "under the standard developed in Revenue Ruling 69-545, which is known as the "community The ruling involved a hospital than you admitted individuals who could pay for the services (by themselves, private insurance, or public programs such as Medicare), but operated a full-time emergency room that was open to everyone. The IRS ruled that the hospital that qualified as a chantable organization because it promoted the health of people in its community. The IRS reasoned that because the promotion of health was a chantable purpose according to the general law of charty, it fell within the "generally accepted legals" is sequired by Tress. Reg. 1 501(c)(3)-1(d)(2). The IRS ruling stated that the promotion is a chartable organization because it promoted the health of people in its community. The ruling stated that the | | | |
| IRC 501(c)(3) status O ne of these requirements is known as the "charity car es tandard, a hospital had to provide, to the extent of its financia I ability, free or reduced-cost care to patients unable to pay for it. A hospital that exp ected full payment did not, according to the ruling, provide charity care based on the fact that some patients ultimately failed to pay. The ruling emphasized that a low level of c harity care did not necessarily man that a hospital had failed to mee the requirements in cent that level could reflect its financial ability to provide such care. The ruling also noted that publicly supported community hospitals would normally qualify as charitable organ inzations because they serve the entire community, and a low level of charity care would not affect a hospital's exempt status if was due to the surrounding community's lack of charitable demands. Community benefit standard in 1969, the IRS issued Revenue Ruling 69-54 S, which sexempt status in provide without charge or at rates below cost." under the standard developed in Revenue R ulling 69-54 S, which shows a state of the requirements relating to community benefit standard, "hospitals are judged on whether they promote the health of a broad class of inviduals in the community benefit standard," hospitals are judged on whether they promote the health of a broad class of inviduals in the community The ruling involved a hospital that only admitted individuals who could pay for the services (by theselves, private insurance, or public programs such as Medicare), but operated a full-time emergency room that was correctly in the community. The ruling involved a hospital that only admitted individuals who could pay for the services (by the general law of charity, it fell within the general law of charity, it fell within the general law of charity. I fell within the general law of charity, it fell within the general law of charity, it fell within the general law of charity. I fell within the general law of charity, it fell within the gener | | | |
| to provide, to the extent of its financial ability, free or reduced cost care to patients unable to pay for it. A hospital that exp ected full payment did not, according to the ruling, provide charity care based on the fact that some patients uitimately failed to pay. The ruling emphasized that a low level of charity care did not necessary mean that a hospital had failed to meet the requirements in ce that level could reflect its financial ability to provide such cert The ruling also n oted that publicly supported community hospitals would normally qualify as charitable orga nization; it was due to the surrounding community, and a low level of charity care would not affect a hospital? sevempt stair it was due to the surrounding community? is lack of charitable demands. Community benefit standard in 1969, the IRS issued Revenue Ruling 65-165. The requirements relating for orang for patients without charge or at rates below cost: under the standard developed in Revenue Ruling 65-155. The requirements relating for one whether they promote the health of a broad class in dividuals in the community. The ruling involved a hospital sare judged on whether they promote the health of a broad class in dividuals in the community. The ruling involved a hospital that only admitted individuals who could pay for the services (by themselves, private insurance, or public programs such as Medicare), but operated a full-time emergency room that was open to everyone The IRS ruled that the hospital qualified as a charitable organization because it promoted the health of people in its community. The IRS reasoned that because the promotion of health was a charitable purpose according to health of people in its community. The IRS reasoned that because the promotion of health was a charitable, as required by Treas Reg length in the community and the advancement of the community. So no of the purposes in the general law of charity, it fell within the "generally accepted legal sense" of the community, such as indigent members of the commun | | | IRC 501(c)(3) status One of these requirements is known as |
| cost care to patients unable to pay for it. A hospital that exp ected full payment did not, according to the ruling, provide charity care based on the fac t that some patients ultimately failed to pay. The ruling approaches that a low level of c harity care did not necessarily mean that a low level of c harity care did not necessarily mean that a hospital had failed to meet the requirement si net level could reflect its financial ability to provide such care. The ruling also noted that publicly supported community hospitals would normally quality as charitable orga nizations because they serve the entire community, and a low level of charity care would not affect a hospital's exempt status if it was due to the surrounding community, and a low level of charity care would not affect a hospital's exempt status if it was due to the surrounding community, and a low let of charity care would not affect a hospital's exempt status if it was due to the surrounding community, and a low let let it is standard in 1969, the IRS issued Revenue Ruling 69-545, which is less sured Revenue Ruling 69-545, which is less sured revenues Ruling 69-545, which is favored in 1969. The requirements relating caring for patients without charge or at rates below cost 'under the standard developed in Revenue Ruling 69-545, which is known as the 'community benefit standard,' hospitals are judged on whether they promote the health of a broad class individuals in the community. The ruling involved a hospital that only admitted individuals who could pay for the services (by themselves, private insurance, or public programs such as Medicare), but operated a full-time emergency room that was open to everyone The IRS ruled that the hospital qualified as a charitable organization because it promoted the health of people in its community. The IRS reasoned that because the promotion of health was a charitable purpose according to the general law of charty, it fell within the 'generally accepted legal sense' of the community. The IRS required by the sa | | | |
| ected full payment did not, according to the ruling, provide charity care based on the fact that some patients ultimately failed to pay. The ruling emphasized that a low level of charity care did not necessary mean that a hospital had failed to meet the requirement is not that level could reflect its financial ability to provide such care. The ruling also noted that publicly supported community hospitals would normally qualify as charitable orga nizations because they serve the entire community, and a low level of charity care would not affect a hospital's exempt status if it was due to the surrounding community's lack of charitable demands. Community benefit standard In 1969, the IRS issued Revenue Ruling 69-5 45, which "remove[d]" from Revenue Ruling 69-5 45, which "remove[d]" from Revenue Ruling 69-5 45, which "remove[d]" from Revenue Ruling 69-5 45, which is shown as the "community benefit standard," hospitals a rulged on whether they promote the requirements relating to caring for patients without charge or at rates below cost" under the standard developed in Revenue Ruling 69-545, which is known as the "community benefit standard," hospitals a rulged on whether they promote the health of a broad class of individuals in the community. The ruling involved a hospital that only admitted individuals who could pay for the services (by themselves, private insurance, or public programs such addicare), but operated a full-time emergency room that was open to everyone. The IRS ruled that the hospital qualified as charitable organization because it promoted the health of people in its community. The IRS reasoned that because he promotion of health was a charitable purpose according to the general law of charity, it fell within the "generally accepted legal sense" of the term" charitable," as required by Treas. Reg. I 501(e)(3)-1(d)(2). The IRS ruling stated that the promotion of health, like the relief of poverty and the advancement of understand and region; is one of the purposes in the general law of charity, it f | | | 1 ' ' ' |
| charity care based on the fact that some patients ultimately failed to pay The ruling emphasized that a low level of charity care did not necessarily mean that a hospital had failed to meet the requirements in ethal level could reflect its financial ability to provide such care. The ruling also noted that publicly supported community hospitals would normally qualify as charitable organ auzations because they serve the entire community, and a low level of charity care would not affect a hospital's exempt status if it was due to the surrounding community's lack of charitable demands. Community benefit standard In 1969, the IRS issued Revenue Ruling 69-5 45, which "remove[d]" from Revenue Ruling 56-185. "the requirements relating to caring for patients without charge or at rates below cost." under the standard developed in Revenue Ruling 69-545, which is known as the "community benefit standard," hospitals are judged on whether they promote the health of a broad class of individuals in the community. The ruling involved a hospital standard, but operated a full-time health of a broad class of individuals in the community. The ruling involved a hospital that only admitted individuals who could pay for the services (by themselves, private insurance, or public programs such as Medicare), but operated a full-time emergency room that was open to everyone. The IRS ruled that the hospital qualified as a charitable organization because it promoted the health of people in its community. The IRS reasoned that because the promotion of health, was a charitable purpose according to the general law of charty, it fell within the "generally accepted legal sense" of the term "chartable," as required by Treas. Reg. 1501(2)(3)-1(d)(2) The IRS ruling stated that the promotion of health, like the relief of poverty and the advancement of education and religion, is one of the purposes in the general law of charty, it fell within the "generally accepted legal sense" of the term chartable," as required by Treas. Reg. 1501(c)(3)-1(d)(2) The | | | , |
| care did not necessarily mean that a hospital had failed to meet the requirement is that level could reflect its financial ability to provide such care. The ruling also noted that publicly supported community hospitals would normally qualify as charitable orga nizations because they serve the entire community, and a low level of charity care would not affect a hospitals' sexempt status if it was due to the surrounding community's lack of charitable demands. Community benefit standard In 1969, the IRS issued Revenue Ruling 69-545, which "remove[d]" from Revenue Ruling 56-185. "the requirements relating to caring for patients without charge or at rates below cost." under the standard developed in Revenue Ruling 69-545, which is known as the "community benefit standard," hospitals are judged on whether they promote the health of a broad class of individuals in the community. The ruling involved a hospital station of the surrounding pay for the services (by themselves, private insurance, or public programs such as Medicare), but operated a full-time emergency room that was open to everyone. The IRS ruled that the hospital qualified as a charitable organization because it promoted the health of people in its community. The IRS reasoned that because the promotion of health was a charitable purpose according to the general law of charity, it fell within the "generally accepted legal sense" of the term.' Charitable," as required by Treas. Reg. 1 501(c)(3)-1(d)(2). The IRS ruling stated that the promotion of health, like the relief of poverty and the advancement of education and religion, is one of the purposes in the general law of charity, that is deemed beneficial to the community as a whole even though the class of beneficiaries eligible to receive a direct the enformative, such as indigent members of the community, such as indigent members of the community, provided that the foom that its relief is not of benefit to the community. The IRS concluded that the hospital was "promoting the hea kind of a class of persony | | | |
| the requirement since that level could reflect its financial ability to provide such care. The ruling also noted that publicly supported community hospitals would normally qualify as charitable orga nizations because they serve the entire community, and only level of charitable demands. Community for charitable demands. Community benefit standard in 1969, the 18R sissued Revenue Ruling 69-5 45, which "removelfy" from Revenue Ruling 56-185. "the requirements relating to caring for patients without charge or at rates below cost." under the standard developed in Revenue Ruling 69-545, which "removelfy" in which is known as the "community benefit standard," hospitals are judged on whether they promote the health of a broad class of individuals in the community. The ruling involved a hospital that only admitted individuals who could pay for the services (by themselves, private insurance, or public programs such as Medicare), but operated a full-time emergency room that was open to everyone. The IRS ruled that the hospital qualified as a charitable organization because it promoted the health of people in its community. The IRS reasoned that because the promotion of health was a charitable purpose according to the general law of charity, it fell within the "generally accepted legal sense" of the term " charitable," as required by Treas. Reg. 1.50 (c)(3)-1.(3)(2). The IRS ruling stated that the general law of charity, it fell within the generally accepted legal sense of the term " charitable," as required by Treas. Reg. 1.50 (c)(3)-1.(3)(2). The IRS ruling stated that the general law of charity, it fell within the generally accepted legal sense of the term " charitable," as required by Treas. Reg. 1.50 (c)(3)-1.71 he IRS ruling stated that the general law of charity that is deemed beneficial to the community as a whole even though the class of beneficiaries eligible to receive a direct benefit from its activities does not include all members of the community, such as indigent members of the community, such as indigent | | | |
| ability to provide such care. The ruling also in oted that publicly supported community hospitals would normally qualify as chantable orga nizations because they serve the entire community, and a low level of chanty care would in ot affect a hospital's exempt status if it was due to the surrounding community's lack of chantable demands. Community benefit standard In 1969, the IRS issued Revenue Ruling 69-5 45, which "remove[d]" from Revenue Ruling 56-185. "the requirements relating to caring for patients without charge or at rates below cost." under the standard developed in Revenue Ruling 69-545, which is known as the "community benefit standard," nospitals are judged on whether they promote the health of a broad class of individuals in the community. The ruling involved a hospital that only admitted individuals who could pay for the services (by themselves, private insurance, or public programs such as Medicare), but operated a full-it me emergency room that was open to everyone. The IRS ruled that the hospital qualified as a chantable organization because it promoted the health of people in its community. The IRS reasoned that because the promotion of health was a chantable purpose according to the general law of charty, it fell within the "generally accepted legal sense" of the term. "chantable," as required by Treas. Reg. 1501(c)3-1(d)(2). The IRS ruling stated that the promotion of health, like the relief of poverty and the advancement of education and religion, is one of the purposes in the general law of charity that is deemed beneficial to the community as a whole even though the class of beneficiares eligible to receive a direct benefit from its activities does not include all members of the community, such as indigent members of the community, such single entire the community. The IRS concluded that the hospital was "promoting the hea lith of a class of persons that its relief is not of benefit the community." | | | ' |
| supported community hospitals would normally qualify as charitable organizations because they serve the entire community, and a low level of charity care would in or affect a hospital's exempt status if it was due to the surrounding community's lack of charitable demands. Community benefit standard In 1969, the IRS issued Revenue Ruling 69-5 45, which "removeld]" from Revenue Ruling 69-5 45, which "envolved god from Revenue Ruling 69-5 185" the requirements relating to carning for patients without charge or at rates below cost "under the standard developed in Revenue R uling 69-545, which is known as the "community benefit standard," hospitals are judged on whether they promote the health of a broad class of individuals in the community. The ruling involved a hospital that only admitted individuals who could pay for the services (by themselves, private insurance, or public programs such as Medicare), but operated a full-time emergency room that was open to everyone. The IRS ruled that the hospital qualified as a charitable organization because it promoted the health of people in its community. The IRS reasoned that because the promotion of health was a charitable purpose according to the general law of charity, it fell within the "generally accepted legal sense" of the term " charitable," as required by Treas Reg. 1 501(c)(3)-1(d)(2). The IRS ruling stated that the promotion of health, like the relief of poverty and the advancement of education and rel igion, is one of the purposes in the general law of chairty, that is deemed beneficial to the community as a whole even though the class of beneficiarres eligible to receive a direct benefit from its activities does not include all members of the community, such as indigent members of the community to benefit the community of the result of the commun | | | l ' |
| community, and a low level of charity care would not affect a hospital's exempt status if it was due to the surrounding community's lack of charitable demands. Community benefit standard In 1969, the IRS issued Revenue Ruling 69-5 45, which "removeld]" from Revenue Ruling 69-5 45, which "removeld]" from Revenue Ruling 69-5 45, which standard developed in Revenue R uling 69-545, which is known as the "community benefit standard," hospitals are judged on whether they promote the health of a broad class of individuals in the community The ruling involved a hospital that only admitted individuals who could pay for the services (by themselves, private insurance, or public programs such as Medicare), but operated a full-time emergency room that was open to everyone. The IRS ruled that the hospital qualified as a charitable organization because it promoted the health of people in its community. The IRS reasoned that because the promotion of health was a charitable purpose according to the general law of charity, it fell within the "generally accepted legal sense" of the term "charitable," as required by Treas. Reg. I 501(c)(3)-1(d)(2). The IRS ruling stated that the promotion of health, like the relief of poverty and the advancement of education and rel ignon, is one of the purposes in the general law of charity that is deemed beneficial to the community as a whole even though the class of beneficiaries eligible to receive a direct thenefit from its activities does not include all members of the community. Such as indig ent members of the community, provided that the class is not so small that its relief is not of benefit to the community. The IRS concluded that the hospital was "promoting the heal the of a class of persons that is broad enough to benefit the community. The IRS concluded that the hospital was "promoting the heal the factor of persons that is broad enough to benefit the community. The IRS concluded that the hospital was "promoting the heal the factor of persons that is broad enough to benefit the commu | | | |
| hospital's exempt status if it was due to the surrounding commity's lack of charitable demands. Community benefit standard in 1969, the IRS issued Revenue Ruling 69-5 45, which "remove[d]" from Revenue Ruling 56-185. "the requirement relating to caring for patients without charge or at rates below cost." under the standard developed in Revenue Ruling 69-545, which is known as the "community benefit standard," hospitals are judged on whether they promote the health of a broad class of individuals in the community. The ruling involved a hospital that only admitted individuals who could pay for the services (by themselves, private insurance, or public programs such as Medicare), but operated a full-time emergency room that was open to everyone. The IRS ruled that the hospital qualified as a charitable organization because it promoted the health of people in its community. The I RS reasoned that because the promotion of health was a charitable purpose according to the general law of charity, it fell within the "generally accepted legal sense" of the term "charitable," as required by Treas Reg. 1501(c)(3)-10(d)(2). The IRS ruling stated that the promotion of health, like the relief of poverty and the advancement of education and religion, is one of the purposes in the general law of charity that is deemed beneficial to the community as a whole even though the class of beneficianese eligible to receive a direct benefit from its activities does not include all members of the community, such as indigent members of the community, provided that the class is not so small that its relief is not of benefit to the community. The IRS concluded that the hospital was "promoting the health of a class of persons that is broad enough to benefit the community" because its emerge ency room was open to all and it provided care to everyone who could pay, whether directly or through third-party reimbursement. Other characteristics of the hospital that the IRS highlighted included the following its surplus full. | | | • |
| community's lack of charitable demands. Community benefit standard In 1909, the IRS issued Revenue Ruling 69-5 45, which "remove[d]" from Revenue Ruling 69-5 45, which "remove[d]" from Revenue Ruling 56-185" the requirements relating to caring for patients without charge or at rates below cost" under the standard developed in Revenue R uling 69-545, which is known as the "community benefit standard," hospitals are judged on whether they promote the health of a broad class of individuals in the community. The ruling involved a hospital that only admitted individuals who could pay for the services (by themselves, private insurance, or public programs such as Medicare), but operated a full-time emergency room that was open to everyone. The IRS ruled that the hospital qualified as a charitable organization because it promoted the health of people in its community. The IRS reasoned that because the promotion of health was a charitable purpose according to the general law of charity, it fell within the "generall's excepted legal sense." of the term "charitable," as required by Treas Reg. 1.501(c)(3)-1(d)(2). The IRS ruling stated that the promotion of health, like the relief of poverty and the advancement of education and religion, is one of the purposes in the general law of charity that is deemed beneficial to the community as a whole even though the class of beneficiares eligible to receive a direct benefit from its activities does not include all members of the community, such as indigent members of the community, provided that the class is not so small that its relief is not of benefit to the community. The IRS concluded that the hospital was "promoting the health of a class of persons that is broad enough to benefit the community" because its emergency room was open to all and it provided care to everyone who could pay, whether directly or through third-party reimbursement. Other characteristics of the hospital that the IRS highlighted included the following its surplus fu | | | 1 '' |
| standard In 1969, the IRS issued Revenue Ruling 69-5 45, which "remove[d]" from Revenue Ruling 56-185" the requirements relating to caring for patients without charge or at rates below cost." under the standard developed in Revenue R uling 69-545, which is known as the "community benefit standard," hospitals are judged on whether they promote the health of a broad class of individuals in the community. The ruling involved a hospital that only admitted individuals who could pay for the services (by themselves, private insurance, or public programs such as Medicare), but operated a full-time emergency room that was open to everyone. The IRS ruled that the hospital qualified as a charitable organization because it promoted the health of people in its community. The IRS reasoned that because the promotion of health was a charitable purpose according to the general law of charity, it fell within the "generally accepted legal sense" of the term "charitable," as required by Treas. Reg. 1.501(c)(3)-1(d)(2). The IRS ruling stated that the promotion of health, like the relief of poverty and the advancement of education and rel igion, is one of the purposes in the general law of charity that is deemed beneficial to the community as a whole even though the class of beneficiaries eligible to receive a direct benefit from its activities does not include all members of the community, such as indig ent members of the community. Provided that the class is not so roughly to benefit the community. The IRS concluded that the hospital was "promoting the health of a class of persons that is broad enough to benefit the community. The irst semere ency room was open to all and it provided care to everyone who could pay, whether directly or through third-party reimbursement. Other characteristics of the hospital that the | | | |
| requirements relating to carring for patients without charge or at rates below cost " under the standard developed in Revenue R uling 69-545, which is known as the "community benefit standard," hospitals are judged on whether they promote the health of a broad class of individuals in the community. The ruli ng involved a hospital that only admitted individuals who could pay for the services (by t hemselves, private insurance, or public programs such as Medicare), but operated a full-til me emergency room that was open to everyone. The IRS ruled that the hospital qualified as a chanitable organization because it promoted the health of people in its community. The IRS reasoned that because the promotion of health was a chanitable purpose according to the general law of charity, it fell within the "generally accepted legal sense" of the term "charitable," as required by Treas. Reg. 1.501(c)(3)-1(d)(2). The IRS ruling stated that the promotion of health, like the relief of poverty and the advancement of education and rel igion, is one of the purposes in the general law of charity that is deemed beneficial to the community as a whole even though the class of beneficiares eligible to receive a direct benefit from its activities does not include all members of the community, such as indigent members of the community, provided that the class is not so small that its relief is not of benefit the community. The IRS concluded that the hospital was "promoting the health of a class of persons that is broad enough to benefit the community." The IRS concluded that the hospital was "promoting the health of a class of persons that is broad enough to benefit the community." Decause its emerge ency room was open to all and it provided care to everyone who could pay, whether directly or through third-party reimbursement. Other characteristics of the hospital that the IRS highlighted included the following its surplus fu | | | |
| rates below cost " under the standard developed in Revenue R uling 69-545, which is known as the "community benefit standard," hospitals are judged on whether they promote the health of a broad class of individuals in the community. The ruling involved a hospital that only admitted individuals who could pay for the services (by themselves, private insurance, or public programs such as Medicare), but operated a full-time emergency room that was open to everyone. The IRS ruled that the hospital qualified as a charitable organization because it promoted the health of people in its community. The IRS reasoned that because the promotion of health was a charitable purpose according to the general law of charity, it fell within the "generally accepted legal sense" of the term " charitable," as required by Treas Reg 1 501(c)(3)-1(d)(2). The IRS ruling stated that the promotion of health, like the relief of poverty and the advancement of education and religion, is one of the purposes in the general law of charity that is deemed beneficial to the community as a whole even though the class of beneficiaries eligible to receive a direct benefit from its activities does not include all members of the community, such as indigent members of the community, such as indigent that its relief is not of benefit to the community. The IRS concluded that the hospital was "promoting the health of a class of persons that its broad enough to benefit the community The IRS concluded that the hospital was "promoting the health of a class of persons that its broad enough to benefit the community third-party reimbursement. Other characteristics of the hospital that the IRS highlighted included the following its surplus fu | | | |
| uling 69-545, which is known as the "community benefit standard," hospitals are judged on whether they promote the health of a broad class of individuals in the community. The ruling involved a hospital that only admitted individuals who could pay for the services (by themselves, private insurance, or public programs such as Medicare), but operated a full-time emergency room that was open to everyone. The IRS ruled that the hospital qualified as a charitable organization because it promoted the health of people in its community. The IRS reasoned that because the promotion of health was a charitable purpose according to the general law of charity, it fell within the "generally accepted legal sense" of the term "charitable," as required by Treas. Reg. 1.501(c)(3)-1(d)(2). The IRS ruling stated that the promotion of health, like the relief of poverty and the advancement of education and religion, is one of the purposes in the general law of charity that is deemed beneficial to the community as a whole even though the class of beneficiaries eligible to receive a direct benefit from its activities does not include all members of the community, such as indigent members of the community, provided that the class is not so small that its relief is not of benefit to the community. The IRS concluded that the hospital was "promoting the health of a class of persons that is broad enough to benefit the community" because its emergency room was open to all and it provided care to everyone who could pay, whether directly or through third-party reimbursement. Other characteristics of the hospital that the IRS highlighted included the following its surplus fu | | | 1 ' |
| standard," hospitals are judged on whether they promote the health of a broad class of individuals in the community. The ruling involved a hospital that only admitted individuals who could pay for the services (by themselves, private insurance, or public programs such as Medicare), but operated a full-time emergency room that was open to everyone. The IRS ruled that the hospital qualified as a charitable organization because it promoted the health of people in its community. The IRS reasoned that because the promotion of health was a charitable purpose according to the general law of charity, it fell within the "generally accepted legal sense" of the term" charitable," as required by Treas. Reg. 1.501(c)(3)-1(d)(2). The IRS ruling stated that the promotion of health, like the relief of poverty and the advancement of education and religion, is one of the purposes in the general law of charity that is deemed beneficial to the community as a whole even though the class of beneficiaries eligible to receive a direct benefit from its activities does not include all members of the community, such as indig ent members of the community, provided that the class is not so small that its relief is not of benefit the community. The IRS concluded that the hospital was "promoting the hea lith of a class of persons that is broad enough to benefit the community" because its emergency room was open to all and it provided care to everyone who could pay, whether directly or through third-party reimbursement. Other characteristics of the hospital that the IRS highlighted included the following its surplus fu | | | 1 |
| health of a broad class of individuals in the community. The ruli ng involved a hospital that only admitted individuals who could pay for the services (by t hemselves, private insurance, or public programs such as Medicare), but operated a full-til me emergency room that was open to everyone. The IRS ruled that the hospital qualified as a charitable organization because it promoted the health of people in its community. The IRS reasoned that because the promotion of health was a charitable purpose according to the general law of charity, it fell within the "generally accepted legal sense" of the term "charitable," as required by Treas. Reg. 1.501(c)(3)-1(d)(2). The IRS ruling stated that the promotion of health, like the relief of poverty and the advancement of education and religion, is one of the purposes in the general law of charity that is deemed beneficial to the community as a whole even though the class of beneficiaries eligible to receive a direct benefit from its activities does not include all members of the community, such as indigent members of the community, such as indigent members of the community. The IRS concluded that the hospital was "promoting the hea Ith of a class of porsons that is broad enough to benefit the community" because its emergency room was open to all and it provided care to everyone who could pay, whether directly or through third-party reimbursement. Other characteristics of the hospital that the IRS highlighted included the following its surplus fu | | | |
| pay for the services (by t hemselves, private insurance, or public programs such as Medicare), but operated a full-time emergency room that was open to everyone. The IRS ruled that the hospital qualified as a charitable organization because it promoted the health of people in its community. The IRS reasoned that because the promotion of health was a charitable purpose according to the general law of charity, it fell within the "generally accepted legal sense" of the term " charitable," as required by Treas. Reg. 1.501(c)(3)-1(d)(2). The IRS ruling stated that the promotion of health, like the relief of poverty and the advancement of education and rel igion, is one of the purposes in the general law of charity that is deemed beneficial to the community as a whole even though the class of beneficiaries eligible to receive a direct benefit from its activities does not include all members of the community, such as indigent members of the community, such as indigent members of the community, provided that the class is not so small that its relief is not of benefit to the community. The IRS concluded that the hospital was "promoting the health of a class of persons that is broad enough to benefit the community" because its emergency room was open to all and it provided care to everyone who could pay, whether directly or through third-party reimbursement. Other characteristics of the hospital that the IRS highlighted included the following its surplus fu | | | health of a broad class of individuals in the community. The ruli |
| public programs such as Medicare), but operated a full-ti me emergency room that was open to everyone The IRS ruled that the hospital qualified as a charitable organization because it promoted the health of people in its community. The IRS reasoned that because the promotion of health was a charitable purpose according to the general law of charity, it fell within the "generally accepted legal sense" of the term " charitable," as required by Treas. Reg. 1.501(c)(3)-1(d)(2). The IRS ruling stated that the promotion of health, like the relief of poverty and the advancement of education and religion, is one of the purposes in the general law of charity that is deemed beneficial to the community as a whole even though the class of beneficiaries eligible to receive a direct benefit from its activities does not include all members of the community, such as indigent members of the community, provided that the class is not so small that its relief is not of benefit to the community. The IRS concluded that the hospital was "promoting the health of a class of persons that is broad enough to benefit the community" because its emergency room was open to all and it provided care to everyone who could pay, whether directly or through third-party reimbursement. Other characteristics of the hospital that the IRS highlighted included the following its surplus fu | | | |
| emergency room that was open to everyone. The IRS ruled that the hospital qualified as a charitable organization because it promoted the health of people in its community. The IRS reasoned that because the promotion of health was a charitable purpose according to the general law of charity, it fell within the "generally accepted legal sense" of the term "charitable," as required by Treas. Reg. 1.501(c)(3)-1(d)(2). The IRS ruling stated that the promotion of health, like the relief of poverty and the advancement of education and religion, is one of the purposes in the general law of charity that is deemed beneficial to the community as a whole even though the class of beneficiaries eligible to receive a direct benefit from its activities does not include all members of the community, such as indigent members of the community, provided that the class is not so small that its relief is not of benefit to the community. The IRS concluded that the hospital was "promoting the health of a class of persons that is broad enough to benefit the community" because its emergency room was open to all and it provided care to everyone who could pay, whether directly or through third-party reimbursement. Other characteristics of the hospital that the IRS highlighted included the following its surplus fu | | | |
| the hospital qualified as a charitable organization because it promoted the health of people in its community. The I RS reasoned that because the promotion of health was a charitable purpose according to the general law of charity, it fell within the "generally accepted legal sense" of the term " charitable," as required by Treas. Reg. 1.501(c)(3)-1(d)(2). The IRS ruling stated that the promotion of health, like the relief of poverty and the advancement of education and religion, is one of the purposes in the general law of charity that is deemed beneficial to the community as a whole even though the class of beneficiaries eligible to receive a direct benefit from its activities does not include all members of the community, such as indigent members of the community, provided that the class is not so small that its relief is not of benefit to the community. The IRS concluded that the hospital was "promoting the health of a class of persons that is broad enough to benefit the community" because its emergency room was open to all and it provided care to everyone who could pay, whether directly or through third-party reimbursement. Other characteristics of the hospital that the IRS highlighted included the following its surplus fu | | | |
| reasoned that because the promotion of health was a charitable purpose according to the general law of charity, it fell within the "generally accepted legal sense" of the term " charitable," as required by Treas Reg 1 501(c)(3)-1(d)(2) The IRS ruling stated that the promotion of health, like the relief of poverty and the advancement of education and rel igion, is one of the purposes in the general law of charity that is deemed beneficial to the community as a whole even though the class of beneficiaries eligible to receive a direct benefit from its activities does not include all members of the community, such as indig ent members of the community, provided that the class is not so small that its relief is not of benefit to the community. The IRS concluded that the hospital was "promoting the health of a class of persons that is broad enough to benefit the community" because its emergency room was open to all and it provided care to everyone who could pay, whether directly or through third-party reimbursement. Other characteristics of the hospital that the IRS highlighted included the following its surplus fu | | | |
| purpose according to the general law of charity, it fell within the "generally accepted legal sense" of the term " charitable," as required by Treas Reg 1 501(2)(3)-1(d)(2) The IRS ruling stated that the promotion of health, like the relief of poverty and the advancement of education and rel igion, is one of the purposes in the general law of charity that is deemed beneficial to the community as a whole even though the class of beneficiaries eligible to receive a direct benefit from its activities does not include all members of the community, such as indig ent members of the community, provided that the class is not so small that its relief is not of benefit to the community The IRS concluded that the hospital was "promoting the health of a class of persons that is broad enough to benefit the community" because its emergency room was open to all and it provided care to everyone who could pay, whether directly or through third-party reimbursement. Other characteristics of the hospital that the IRS highlighted included the following its surplus fu | | | |
| "generally accepted legal sense" of the term "charitable," as required by Treas Reg 1 501(c)(3)-1(d)(2) The IRS ruling stated that the promotion of health, like the relief of poverty and the advancement of education and rel igion, is one of the purposes in the general law of charity that is deemed beneficial to the community as a whole even though the class of beneficiaries eligible to receive a direct benefit from its activities does not include all members of the community, such as indigent members of the community, provided that the class is not so small that its relief is not of benefit to the community The IRS concluded that the hospital was "promoting the health of a class of persons that is broad enough to benefit the community" because its emergency room was open to all and it provided care to everyone who could pay, whether directly or through third-party reimbursement. Other characteristics of the hospital that the IRS highlighted included the following its surplus fu | | | · |
| required by Treas Reg 1 501(c)(3)-1(d)(2) The IRS ruling stated that the promotion of health, like the relief of poverty and the advancement of education and religion, is one of the purposes in the general law of charity that is deemed beneficial to the community as a whole even though the class of beneficiaries eligible to receive a direct benefit from its activities does not include all members of the community, such as indig ent members of the community, provided that the class is not so small that its relief is not of benefit to the community. The IRS concluded that the hospital was "promoting the health of a class of persons that is broad enough to benefit the community" because its emergency room was open to all and it provided care to everyone who could pay, whether directly or through third-party reimbursement. Other characteristics of the hospital that the IRS highlighted included the following its surplus fu | | | |
| and the advancement of education and religion, is one of the purposes in the general law of charity that is deemed beneficial to the community as a whole even though the class of beneficiaries eligible to receive a direct benefit from its activities does not include all members of the community, such as indigent members of the community, provided that the class is not so small that its relief is not of benefit to the community. The IRS concluded that the hospital was "promoting the health of a class of persons that is broad enough to benefit the community" because its emergency room was open to all and it provided care to everyone who could pay, whether directly or through third-party reimbursement. Other characteristics of the hospital that the IRS highlighted included the following its surplus fu | | | required by Treas Reg 1 501(c)(3)-1(d)(2) The IRS ruling |
| purposes in the general law of charity that is deemed beneficial to the community as a whole even though the class of beneficiaries eligible to receive a direct benefit from its activities does not include all members of the community, such as indigent members of the community, provided that the class is not so small that its relief is not of benefit to the community. The IRS concluded that the hospital was "promoting the health of a class of persons that is broad enough to benefit the community" because its emergency room was open to all and it provided care to everyone who could pay, whether directly or through third-party reimbursement. Other characteristics of the hospital that the IRS highlighted included the following its surplus fu | | | |
| to the community as a whole even though the class of beneficiaries eligible to receive a direct benefit from its activities does not include all members of the community, such as indig ent members of the community, provided that the class is not so small that its relief is not of benefit to the community. The IRS concluded that the hospital was "promoting the health of a class of persons that is broad enough to benefit the community" because its emergency room was open to all and it provided care to everyone who could pay, whether directly or through third-party reimbursement. Other characteristics of the hospital that the IRS highlighted included the following its surplus fu | | | |
| beneficiaries eligible to receive a direct benefit from its activities does not include all members of the community, such as indig ent members of the community, provided that the class is not so small that its relief is not of benefit to the community. The IRS concluded that the hospital was "promoting the health of a class of persons that is broad enough to benefit the community" because its emergency room was open to all and it provided care to everyone who could pay, whether directly or through third-party reimbursement. Other characteristics of the hospital that the IRS highlighted included the following its surplus fu | | | |
| as indig ent members of the community, provided that the class is not so small that its relief is not of benefit to the community. The IRS concluded that the hospital was "promoting the hea Ith of a class of persons that is broad enough to benefit the community" because its emerg ency room was open to all and it provided care to everyone who could pay, whether directly or through third-party reimbursement. Other characteristics of the hospital that the IRS highlighted included the following its surplus fu | | | |
| is not so small that its relief is not of benefit to the community The IRS concluded that the hospital was "promoting the hea Ith of a class of persons that is broad enough to benefit the community" because its emerg ency room was open to all and it provided care to everyone who could pay, whether directly or through third-party reimbursement. Other characteristics of the hospital that the IRS highlighted included the following its surplus fu | | | · · |
| The IRS concluded that the hospital was "promoting the hea lth of a class of persons that is broad enough to benefit the community" because its emerg ency room was open to all and it provided care to everyone who could pay, whether directly or through third-party reimbursement. Other characteristics of the hospital that the IRS highlighted included the following its surplus fu | | | 1, - |
| of a class of persons that is broad enough to benefit the community" because its emerg ency room was open to all and it provided care to everyone who could pay, whether directly or through third-party reimbursement. Other characteristics of the hospital that the IRS highlighted included the following its surplus fu | | | |
| community" because its emerg ency room was open to all and it provided care to everyone who could pay, whether directly or through third-party reimbursement. Other characteristics of the hospital that the IRS highlighted included the following its surplus fu | | | |
| provided care to everyone who could pay, whether directly or through third-party reimbursement. Other characteristics of the hospital that the IRS highlighted included the following its surplus fu | | | community" because its emerg ency room was open to all and it |
| hospital that the IRS highlighted included the following its surplus fu | | | provided care to everyone who could pay, whether directly or |
| surplus fu | | | |
| | | | |
| | <u>I</u> | I | |

| MEDICARE SCHEDULE H, PART III, LINE 8 nds were used to improve patient care, expand hospital facilities, and advance medical ining, education, and research, it was controlled by a board of trustees that consisted of independent circle (leaders, and hospital medical staff privileges were available to all qualified physicians. Bad debt is community benefit and the hard of the form 990, Schedule H, Part I The American Hospital Association ("AHA") fleels that bad debt is community benefit and this includable on the Form 990, Schedule H, Part I This or ganization agrees with the AHA position As outlined in the hall letter to the IRS dated Al guist 21, 2007 with respect to the first published draft of the new Form 990 and Schedule H, the AHA felt that the IRS should incorporate the full value of the community benefit and this sincludable on the Form 990. Schedule H, Part I There are community benefit and the sincludable on the Form 990, Schedule H, Part I There are community benefit as follows - a significant major ty of bad debt is community benefit as follows - a significant major ty of bad debt at attributable to low-income patients, who, for many reasons, decline to complete the forms required to establish eligibility in a tributable to low-income patients, who, for many reasons, decline to complete the forms required to establish eligibility in a tributable to low-income patients, who, for many reasons, decline to complete the forms required to establish eligibility in a tributable to patients with incomes below 200% of the federal power was subtained to complete the forms required to be debt in separation and the forms and the forms of the federal power was subtained to the forms of the federal power was subtained to the forms of the federal power was subtained to the forms of the federal power was subtained to the federal power was subt | Identifier | ReturnReference | Explanation |
|--|------------|------------------------------|--|
| | MEDICARE | SCHEDULE H, PART III, LINE 8 | nds were used to improve patient care, expand hospital facilities, and advance medical tra ining, education, and research, it was controlled by a board of trustees that consisted of independent civic leaders, and hospital medical staff privileges were available to all qui alified physicians. Bad debt is community benefit and associated costs are includable on the Form 990, Schedule H, Part I. The American Hospital Association ("AHA") feels that bad debt is community benefit and thus includable on the Form 990, Schedule H, Part I. This or ganization agrees with the AHA position. As outlined in the aha letter to the IRS dated Au gust 21, 2007 with respect to the first published draft of the new Form 990 and Schedule H, the AHA felt that the IRS should incorporate the full value of the community benefit that the systals provide by counting bad debt as quantifiable community benefit Both the AHA and this organization also feel that patient bad debt is a community benefit and thus inclu dable on the Form 990, Schedule H, Part I. There are compelling reasons that patient bad ebt should be counted as quantifiable community benefit as follows: a significant majority of bad debt is attributable to low-income patients, who, for many reasons, decline to complete the forms required to establish eligibility for hospitals' charity care or financi al assistance programs. A 2006 congressional budget office ("cbo") report, nonprofit hospit tals and the provision of community benefits, cited two studies indicating that "the great majority of bad debt was attributable to patients with incomes below 200% of the federal poverty line": - the report also noted that a substantial portion of bad debt is pending c harity care. Unlike bad debt in other industries, hospital bad debt is complicated by the fact that hospitals follow their mission to the community and treat every patient that come sthrough their mergency department, regardless of ability to pay. Patients who have out standing bills are not turned away, unlike other industrie |

| Identifier | ReturnReference | Explanation |
|------------------------|--|--|
| DEBT COLLECTION POLICY | SCHEDULE H, PART III, LINE 9B | Accounts considered to be charity care are not included in the |
| | The section of the se | bad debt expense, but rathe r, are accounted for as an |
| | | allowance against the organization's patient service revenue S t Luke's financial assistance program St Luke's is a non-profit |
| | | organization dedicated t o the care and treatment of the sick |
| | | and the prevention of illness. The first consideration in the |
| | | admission and placement or treatment of a patient is the medical needs of the pat ient. Patients shall be provided and |
| | | encouraged to obtain medically necessary care regardl ess of |
| | | ability to pay or eligibility for financial assistance. However, all |
| | | patients will be required to pay for the care which they receive if they are financially able to do so Advance payment will not be |
| | | required for any medically necessary service. Some individuals |
| | | fail to obtain necessary care due to financial concerns. In order |
| | | to encourage such patie nts to obtain appropriate care, St Luke's shall operate a Financial Assistance program fo r the |
| | | uninsured indigent population and a discount program for all |
| | | other uninsured patients. All patients presenting for medically necessary services with no insurance will have the opportunity |
| | | to qualify for St Luke's Financial Assistance Program Services |
| | | excluded fr om the program include but are not limited to, |
| | | cosmetic, bariatric, IVF, IUDs, tubal ligat ions, sleep study St Luke's reserves the right to exclude services if upon review it is |
| | | determined that they are not medically necessary. In addition, |
| | | patients scheduled for elec tive procedures or studies will be |
| | | assessed for medical need and timing for the procedure along with ability to pay for a portion of the procedure. Eligibility for |
| | | the Pa Fair Care program will be reviewed and application |
| | | required if eligible Medical Assistance application may be |
| | | required and completed prior to service for elective cases Patients receiving inpatient or high dollar outpatient services |
| | | will be evaluated for Medical Assistance eli gibility in order to |
| | | qualify for the St Luke's Hospital Financial Assistance Program Individuals will not be eligible for financial assistance |
| | | If Medical Assistance coverage is d enied due to lack of |
| | | cooperation (i e , timeliness or failure to produce required |
| | | document ation) Any patient payments made for services that subsequently receive Medical Assistanc e approval will be |
| | | refunded to the patient Financial Assistance Individuals with |
| | | family I ncome at or below 300% of the current federal poverty |
| | | guidelines may be eligible for a 100 % financial assistance allowance on the cost of their medically necessary services, |
| | | after a minimum copay amount for certain outpatient services |
| | | as follows, Clinic visits, includin g the hospital family practice centers, rural health centers, women's and children's clinics = |
| | | \$10 Other programs within the clinics may establish a flat rate |
| | | minimum amount due for elective procedures that are at or |
| | | below the Medical Assistance fee schedule for patien ts not eligible for Medical Assistance but are under the 300% federal |
| | | poverty guidelines Urgent care center visits = \$15 Emergency |
| | | room visits = \$25 Patients with income exceeding 300% of |
| | | federal poverty guidelines will automatically receive an 80% discount on hospital charges. No proof of income is required for |
| | | this discount and this is not considered Finan cial Assistance |
| | | Patients with routine co-pays and deductibles from managed care and comme rcial insurances are not eligible for financial |
| | | assistance or a discount unless a financia I hardship can be |
| | | proven Patients having limited benefit coverage through |
| | | insurance and w ho demonstrate a financial hardship may be eligible for the Financial Assistance program. Patients who have |
| | | received financial assistance in the past but who are having |
| | | services that are elective or high dollar procedures, visits will |
| | | be required to comply with the proc ess of Medical Assistance eligibility or eligibility for programs such as Pa Fair Care Case |
| | | by case decisions will be made re financial liability in each |
| | | instance Determining Eli gibility for Financial Assistance |
| | | Designated business service department employees will utilize independent third party income estimation software information |
| | | as the determinant of eligibility. The income estimation software |
| | | application utilized by St Luke's is based u pon a statistically validated methodology to provide income and family size |
| | | determination This information is then automatically cross- |
| | | walked to St Luke's financial assistance el igibility matrix |
| | | ranging from 0% to 300% of the current federal poverty guidelines to determine the level of financial assistance to be |
| | | applied When insufficient information is re turned via the |
| | | software application, the manual process below will be utilized |
| | | to determin e eligibility. In determining family income and family size, a family unit will be defined as immediate family. |
| | | members /significant other/domestic partner living in the |
| | | household All income of occupants will be considered in dete |
| | | Schedule H (Form 990) 2011 |

| Identifier | ReturnReference | Explanation |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Identifier DEBT COLLECTION POLICY | SCHEDULE H, PART III, LINE 9B | rmining total household income. In determining income the following will be considered Wages - Pension - Annuities - Social Security - Interest, Dividend, and other Investment I ncome such as Capital Gains - Unemployment Compensation - Workers Comp Disability Benefit s - Child Support - Alimony - Public Assistance. Net Rental Income (Income Less Expenses) as calculated for Federal Tax purposes Assets may be considered in determining eligibility and the level of discount approved for financial assistance. Designated business service department employees may also discuss financial assistance with patients who upon receiving a billing statement express an inability to pay for services rendered Financial assistance applications may be supplied to these patients along with the information regarding required documentation or the income estimation software may be used to determine eligibility status. Medical Indigence Assessment If the patient does not qualify for any of the financial assistance categories identified above, but the medical expenses exceed an ability to pay, the patient will be encouraged to write a hardship letter to be submitted to the Associate Vice President of Finance for consideration of a hardship write-off of all or part of the outstanding medical liability. In the case of foreign visitors, the hospital will attempt to identify the person who sponsored the visitor's entry into the United States. If the sponsor is legally responsible for the visitor's medical bilis, the hospital will apply its normal collection efforts in attempting to collect from the sponsor. Application for financial assistance based upon lack of reasonably required documentation or the submission of fraudulent documentation. If information is not provided within 30 days of a request, an application may be denied unless the information was not provided for reasons beyond the application for financial assistance based upon lack of reasonably required documentation or the submission of fraudulent documentation or incomple |
| | | into account each individual's ability to co ntribute to the cost of his or her care Patients will be assisted in obtaining health ins urance coverage from privately and publicly funded sources whenever possible All Patient Business Service department representatives will be educated on all aspects of the Credit and Collection policy and are expected to administer the policy on a regular and consistent basis Patient Business Service |
| <u></u> | 1 | repres |

| Identifier | ReturnReference | Explanation |
|------------|--|----------------|
| | SCHEDULE H, PART V, SECTION B, QUESTIONS 1J, 3, 4, 5C, 6I & 7 | Not applicable |

| Identifier | ReturnReference | Explanation |
|------------|--|----------------|
| | SCHEDULE H, PART V, SECTION B,QUESTIONS 9,10,11H,15E,16E,17E,18D,20&21 | Not applicable |

| Identifier | ReturnReference | Explanation |
|------------|-----------------|---|
| | QUESTION 13G | Other measures to publicize the hospital's financial assistance policy include individual financial counseling meetings with patients without health insurance to review the financial assistance policy and to discuss payment options |

| Identifier | ReturnReference | Explanation |
|----------------------|-----------------|--|
| FACILITY INFORMATION | QUESTION 19D | Individuals with family income at or below 300% of the current federal poverty guidelines may be eligible for a 100% financial assistance allowance on the cost of their medically necessary services, after a minimum copay amount for certain outpatient services as follows, Clinic visits, including the hospital family practice centers, rural health centers, women's and children's clinics = \$10 Other programs within the clinics may establish a flat rate minimum amount due for elective procedures that are at or below the Medical Assistance fee schedule for patients not eligible for Medical Assistance but are under the 300% federal poverty guidelines. Urgent care center visits = \$15 Emergency room visits = \$25 Patients with income exceeding 300% of federal poverty guidelines will automatically receive an 80% discount on hospital charges. No proof of income is required for this discount and this is not considered Financial Assistance. |

| SCHEDULE H, PART VI, QUESTION SCHEDULE H, PART VI, QUESTION Munity health oversees assessment of the healthcare needs of the community health oversees assessment of the healthcare needs of the communities served by hospitals within the network, including this organization. The department is led by Dr. Bonne Coyle, board certified in preventative health Analysis of information from the following sources is part of the department's ongoing health needs assessment process vital statistics, Pennsylvania Department of Health data, hospital discharge data, the Robert Wood Johnson County health profiles and other county data available from various other state agencies. In addition, the department collects ongoing statistics from its comprehensive community outreach initiatives and from financial support for the Bethlehem partnership for a health community. Established in 1996 by the board of directors of \$t. Luke's University Health Network, the partnership for a health community. Established in 1996 by the board of directors of \$t. Luke's University Health Network, the partnership for a partnership for a health community community organizations are after partnership for a partnership programs which serve the greater Lehigh Valley. Through community organizations are after the partnership strives to enhance the physically involved in partnership strives to enhance the physical mental, emborial wellness of individuals and communities, thereby improving the quality of life for all. The departnership's various school-based programs, such as numbers of children not receively involved in partnership's various mobile van partnership's various school-based programs, such as numbers of children not receive programs, such as numbers of children not receive programs, such as numbers of children not receive programs, such as subsciences (gaps) in the Bethlehem partnership's various mobile van service programs to collect data on risk factors such as subsciences and to monitor community health problems For example, data has been | Identifier | ReturnReference | Explanation |
|--|------------|---------------------------------|--|
| | | SCHEDULE H, PART VI, QUESTION 2 | St Luke's University Health Network's department of community health oversees assessment of the healthcare needs of the communities served by hospitals within the network, including this organization. The department is led by Dr Bonnie Coyle, board certified in preventative medicine, with 16 years' experience in public and preventative health. Analysis of information from the following sources is part of the department's ongoing health needs assessment process vital statistics, Pennsylvania Department of Health data, hospital discharge data, the Robert Wood Johnson County health profiles and other county data available from various other state agencies. In addition, the department collects ongoing statistics from its comprehensive community outreach initiatives and from financial support for the Bethlehem partnership for a health community. Established in 1996 by the board of directors of St. Luke's University Health Network, the partnership is a national model for collaborative efforts to improve access to healthcare services. Currently more than 165 participating/funding agencies, representing local business, government, educational and community organizations are actively involved in partnership programs which serve the greater Lehigh Valley. Through community ownership and shared responsibility, the partnership strives to enhance the physical, mental, emotional and spiritual wellness of individuals and communities, thereby improving the quality of life for all. The department's healthcare needs assessment process is enhanced by data obtained through the Bethlehem partnership's various school-based programs, such as numbers of children not receiving medical examinations. The department also utilizes guidelines for adolescent preventive services (gaps) in the Bethlehem partnership's various mobile van service programs to collect data on risk factors for students and to monitor community health problems. For example, data has been tracked on risk factors such as smoking, obesity, seatbelt use and drug and alco |

| Identifier | ReturnReference | Explanation |
|--|-----------------|---|
| PATIENT EDUCATION OF ELIGIBILITY FOR ASSISTANCE | 3 | As a not for-profit entity, St Luke's Hospital of Bethlehem, Pennsylvania's first consideration in the admission and placement or treatment of any patient is the patient's medical needs. Some patients hesitate to obtain necessary care because of their financial concerns. In order to encourage such patients to obtain appropriate care, in December 2008, the network's board of directors redesigned the network's charity care program for patient system access to discounted hospital services. This policy is updated annually. The network also established a community benefit tracking system to comply with new IRS. Form 990 guidelines (effective 2009) to report community benefit activities/expenditures. The charity care program is widely communicated in both English and Spanish. A bilingual notice of the program is posted in all outpatient and inpatient registration areas. All patient statements include a number for patients to call if they are having difficulty paying their bills. St. Luke's website has extensive information regarding the financial assistance program, including eligibility guidelines and contact information. Additionally, St. Luke's financial counselors assess each patient for eligibility for coverage through medical assistance, chip, adult basic and other programs. Bilingual counselors are available. |

| Identifier | ReturnReference | Explanation |
|-----------------------|-----------------|--|
| COMMUNITY INFORMATION | 4 | St Luke's Hospital of Bethlehem, Pennsylvania's primary service area consists of an urban population in Lehigh and Northampton Counties in southeastern Pennsylvania with a total population of 647,232. The average household income is \$55,340 and 10.5 percent of the population has income below the poverty level. Seven hospitals serve the primary service area and 19 percent of hospital discharges are Medicaid patients and 3 percent are uninsured. As of the 2008 American community survey conducted by the U.S. Census Bureau, the Lehigh Valley consisted of the following groups. 87.1% of the population was Caucasian, 11.3% of the population were. Hispanics and Latinos of any race and 4.6% were black or African American. South Bethlehem, Easton and Tamaqua have been designated medically underserved areas. Population growth from 2000 to 2030, projected by the Lehigh Valley planning commission, is as follows. ages 0 to 54 years, 9%, ages 55 to 64 years, 49%, ages 65 to 74 years, 76% and ages 75+, 57% |

| Identifier | ReturnReference | Explanation |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|---|
| PROMOTION OF COMMUNITY HEALTH | 5 | The organization and the entire St Luke's University Health Network promote the health of the community on a daily basis throughout the year The network coordinates and offers numerous community benefit programs, activities and support groups to the community Please refer to schedule o for a detailed community benefit statement |

| Schedule H (Form 990) 2011 | | Page 8 |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| Identifier | ReturnReference | Explanation |
| AFFILIATED HEALTHCARE | SCHEDULE H, PART VI, QUESTION | OUTLINED BELOWIS A SUMMARY OF THE ENTITIES |
| SYSTEM | 6 | WHICH COMPRISE THE ST LUKE'S UNIVERSITY HEALT H NETWORK NOT FOR-PROFIT ST LUKE'S UNIVERSITY |
| | | HEALTH NETWORK ENTITIES ST LUKE'S HEALTH |
| | | NETWORK, INC ST LUKE'S HEALTH NETWORK, INC IS THE |
| | | TAX-EXEMPT PARENT OF THE ST LUKE'S UNIVERSITY HEALTH NETWORK ("ST LUKE'S") THIS INTEGRATED |
| | | HEALTHCARE DELIVERY SYSTEM CONSISTS OF A GROUP |
| | | OF AFFILIATED HEALTHCARE ORGANIZATIONS THIS |
| | | ORGANIZATION IS THE SOLE MEMBE R OR STOCKHOLDER OF EACH AFFILIATED ENTITY ST LUKE'S IS AN |
| | | INTEGRATED NETWORK OF HEALTHC ARE PROVIDERS |
| | | THROUGHOUT THE STATE OF PENNSYLVANIA ST LUKE'S HEALTH NETWORK, INC IS AN ORGANIZATION |
| | | RECOGNIZED BY THE INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE AS |
| | | TAX-EXEMPT PURSUANT TO INTERNAL REVENUE CODE |
| | | 501(C)(3) AND AS A NON-PRIVATE FOUNDATION PURSUANT TO INTERNAL REVENUE CODE 509(A)(3) AS |
| | | THE PARENT ORGANIZATION, ST LUKE'S HEALTH |
| | | NETWORK, INC STRIVES TO CONTINUA LLY DEVELOP |
| | | AND OPERATE A MULTI-HOSPITAL HEALTHCARE NETWORK WHICH PROVIDES SUBSTANTIAL COM MUNITY |
| | | BENEFIT THROUGH THE PROVISION OF A |
| | | COMPREHENSIVE SPECTRUM OF HEALTHCARE SERVICES |
| | | TO THE RESIDENTS OF PENNSYLVANIA AND SURROUNDING COMMUNITIES ST LUKE'S HEALTH |
| | | NETWORK, INC ENSURES THAT ITS NETWORK PROVIDES |
| | | MEDICALLY NECESSARY HEALTHCARE SERVICES TO ALL |
| | | INDIVID UALS REGARDLESS OF RACE, COLOR, CREED, SEX, NATIONAL ORIGIN, RELIGION OR ABILITY TO PAY N |
| | | O INDIVIDUALS ARE DENIED NECESSARY MEDICAL CARE, |
| | | TREATMENT OR SERVICES ST LUKE'S ACTIVE |
| | | HOSPITALS INCLUDE ST LUKE'S HOSPITAL OF BETHLEHEM, PA, ST LUKE'S QUAKERTOWN HOSPITAL, |
| | | CARBON-SCHUYLKILL COMMUNITY HOSPITAL, INC AND |
| | | ST LUKE'S WARREN HOSPITAL EACH OF THESE H |
| | | OSPITALS OPERATES CONSISTENTLY WITH THE FOLLOWING CRITERIA OUTLINED IN IRS REVENUE |
| | | RULING 69-545 1 EACH PROVIDES MEDICALLY |
| | | NECESSARY HEALTHCARE SERVICES TO ALL INDIVIDUALS REGARD LESS OF ABILITY TO PAY, |
| | | INCLUDING CHARITY CARE, SELF-PAY, MEDICARE AND |
| | | MEDICAID PATIENTS, 2 EACH OPERATES AN ACTIVE |
| | | EMERGENCY ROOM FOR ALL PERSONS, WHICH IS OPEN 24 HOURS A DAY, 7 DAYS A WEEK, 365 DAYS PER YEAR, 3 |
| | | EACH MAINTAINS AN OPEN MEDICAL STAFF, WITH |
| | | PRIVILEGES AVAILABLE TO ALL QUALIFIED PHYSICIANS, |
| | | 4 CONTROL OF EACH RESTS WITH ITS BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF ST |
| | | LUKE'S HEALTH NETWORK, INC BOTH BOARDS ARE |
| | | COMPRISED OF A MAJORITY OF INDEPENDENT CIVIC |
| | | LEADERS AND OTHER PROMINENT MEMBERS OF THE COMMUNITY, A ND 5 SURPLUS FUNDS ARE USED TO |
| | | IMPROVE THE QUALITY OF PATIENT CARE, EXPAND AND |
| | | RENOVATE FACILITIES AND ADVANCE MEDICAL CARE, |
| | | PROGRAMS AND ACTIVITIES ST LUKE'S QUAKERTOWN HOSPITA L ST LUKE'S QUAKERTOWN HOSPITAL IS A 62- |
| | | BED NON-PROFIT HOSPITAL LOCATED IN QUAKERTOWN, |
| | | PE NNSYLVANIA ST LUKE'S QUAKERTOWN HOSPITAL IS RECOGNIZED BY THE INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE A S |
| | | AN INTERNAL REVENUE CODE 501(C)(3) TAX-EXEMPT |
| | | ORGANIZATION PURSUANT TO ITS CHARITABLE P |
| | | URPOSES, THE ORGANIZATION PROVIDES MEDICALLY NECESSARY HEALTHCARE SERVICES TO ALL INDIVIDU |
| | | ALS REGARDLESS OF RACE, COLOR, CREED, SEX, |
| | | NATIONAL ORIGIN, RELIGION OR ABILITY TO PAY MO |
| | | REOVER, ST LUKE'S QUAKERTOWN HOSPITAL OPERATES CONSISTENTLY WITH THE CRITERIA OUTLINED IN IRS |
| | | REVENUE RULING 69-545 CARBON-SCHUYLKILL |
| | | COMMUNITY HOSPITAL, INC. CARBON-SCHUYLKILL C |
| | | OMMUNITY HOSPITAL, INC IS A 45-BED NON-PROFIT ACUTE CARE HOSPITAL LOCATED IN COALDALE, PE |
| | | NNSYLVANIA CARBON-SCHUYLKILL COMMUNITÝ |
| | | HOSPITAL, INC IS RECOGNIZED BY THE INTERNAL REVEN UE SERVICE AS AN INTERNAL REVENUE CODE 501(C)(3) |
| | | TAX-EXEMPT ORGANIZATION PURSUANT TO ITS |
| | | CHARITABLE PURPOSES, THE ORGANIZATION PROVIDES |
| | | MEDICALLY NECESSARY HEALTHCARE SERVICES TO ALL INDIVIDUALS REGARDLESS OF RACE, COLOR, CREED, SEX, |
| | | NATIONAL ORIGIN, RELIGION OR ABILITY TO PAY |
| | | MOREOVER, CARBON-SCHUYLKILL COMMUNITY |
| | | HOSPITAL, INC. OPERATES CONSISTENTLY WITH THE CRITERIA OUTLINED IN IRS REVENUE RULING 69-545 ST |
| | | LUKE'S WARREN HOSPITAL, INC ST LUKE'S WARREN |
| | | HOSPITAL, INC IS A 214-BED NON-PROFIT ACUTE CARE |
| | | HOSPITAL LOCATED IN PHILLIP SBURG, NEW JERSEY ST LUKE'S WARREN HOSPITAL, INC IS RECOGNIZED BY THE |
| | | INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE AS AN INTERNAL REVENUE |
| | | CODE 501(C)(3) TAX-EXEMPT ORGANIZATION PURSUANT |
| | | TO ITS CHA RITABLE PURPOSES, THE ORGANIZATION PROVIDES MEDICALLY NECESSARY HEALTHCARE |
| | | SERVICES TO ALL INDIVIDUALS REGARDLESS OF RACE, |
| | | COLOR, CREED, SEX, NATIONAL ORIGIN, RELIGION OR ABILITY TO PAY MOREOVER, ST LUKE'S WARREN |
| | | HOSPITAL, INC. OPERATES CONSISTENTLY WITH THE |
| | | CRITERIA OUTLINED IN IRS REVENUE RULING 69-545 ST |
| | | LUKE'S WARREN HEALTHCARE, INC ST LUKE'S WARRE N HEALTHCARE, INC IS AN ORGANIZATION RECOGNIZED |
| | | BY THE INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE AS TAX-EX EMPT |
| | | PURSUANT TO INTERNAL REVENUE CODE 501(C)(3) AND |
| | | AS A SUPPORTING ORGANIZATION PURSUANT TO INTERNAL REVENUE CODE 509(A)(3) THE |
| | | ORGANIZATION IS DESIGNED TO SUPPORT THE |
| | | CHARITABL E PURPOSES, PROGRAMS AND SERVICES OF |
| | | ST LUKE'S UNIVERSITY HEALTH NETWORK WARREN HOSPITAL FOUNDATION, INC ST LUKE'S WARREN |
| | | HOSPITAL FOUNDATION, INC. ST. LUKE'S WARREN HOSPITAL FOUNDATION, INC. IS AN ORGANIZATION |
| | | RECOGNIZE D BY THE INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE AS |
| | | TAX-EXEMPT PURSUANT TO INTERNAL REVENUE CODE |
| | | 501(C)(3) AND AS A NON-PRIVATE FOUNDATION PURSUANT TO INTE |
| | | |

| Identifier | ReturnReference | Explanation |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| AFFILIATED HEALTHCARE | | RNAL REVENUE CODE 509(A)(3) THE ORGANIZATION |
| SYSTEM | SCHEDULE H, PART VI, QUESTION | SUPPORTS ST LUKE'S WARREN HOSPITAL, A RELAT ED |
| | | INTERNAL REVENUE CODE SECTION 501(C)(3) TAX- |
| | | EXEMPT ORGANIZATION, AND ITS AFFILIATES IN PROVIDING MEDICALLY NECESSARY HEALTHCARE |
| | | SERVICES TO THE COMMUNITY IN A NON- |
| | | DISCRIMINATORY MANNER REGARDLESS OF RACE, |
| | | COLOR, CREED, SEX, NATIONAL ORIGIN, RELIGION OR ABILITY TO PAY ST LUKE'S PHYSICIAN GROUP, INC ST |
| | | LUKE'S PHYSICIAN GROUP, INC. IS AN ORGANIZATION |
| | | REC OGNIZED BY THE INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE AS |
| | | TAX-EXEMPT PURSUANT TO INTERNAL REVENUE CODE 50 |
| | | 1(C)(3) AND AS A NON-PRIVATE FOUNDATION PURSUANT TO INTERNAL REVENUE CODE 509(A)(3) THE O |
| | | RGANIZATION PROVIDES MEDICALLY NECESSARY |
| | | HEALTHCARE SERVICES TO ALL INDIVIDUALS |
| | | REGARDLESS OF RACE, COLOR, CREED, SEX, NATIONAL ORIGIN, RELIGION OR ABILITY TO PAY ST LUKE'S EMERG |
| | | ENCY & TRANSPORT SERVICES, INC ST LUKE'S |
| | | EMERGENCY & TRANSPORT SERVICES, INC IS AN ORGA |
| | | NIZATION RECOGNIZED BY THE INTERNAL REVENUE |
| | | SERVICE AS TAX-EXEMPT PURSUANT TO INTERNAL REV ENUE CODE 501(C)(3) AND AS A NON-PRIVATE |
| | | FOUNDATION PURSUANT TO INTERNAL REVENUE CODE |
| | | 170(B)(1)(A)(III) THE ORGANIZATION PROVIDES |
| | | MEDICALLY NECESSARY HEALTHCARE SERVICES TO ALL IN DIVIDUALS REGARDLESS OF RACE, COLOR, CREED, |
| | | SEX, NATIONAL ORIGIN, RELIGION OR ABILITY TO P AY |
| | | QUAKERTOWN REHABILITATION CENTER QUAKERTOWN |
| | | REHABILITATION CENTER IS AN ORGANIZATION R |
| | | ECOGNIZED BY THE INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE AS TAX-EXEMPT PURSUANT TO INTERNAL REVENUE CODE |
| | | 501(C)(3) AND AS A NON-PRIVATE FOUNDATION |
| | | PURSUANT TO INTERNAL REVENUE CODE 170(B)(1)(A)(I |
| | | II) THE ORGANIZATION PROVIDES MEDICALLY NECESSARY HEALTHCARE SERVICES TO ALL |
| | | INDIVIDUALS REGARDLESS OF RACE, COLOR, CREED, SEX, |
| | | NATIONAL ORIGIN, RELIGION OR ABILITY TO PAY NEW |
| | | VA LLEY REHAB, L L C A LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY DISREGARDED FOR FEDERAL INCOME TAX PURPOSES |
| | | OWNED BY QUAKERTOWN REHABILITATION CENTER THIS |
| | | ENTITY PROVIDES OUTPATIENT REHABILITATION |
| | | SERVICES IN NAZARETH, PENNSYLVANIA VISITING NURSE ASSOCIATION OF ST LUKE'S - HOME HEALT |
| | | H/HOSPICE, INC VISITING NURSE ASSOCIATION OF ST |
| | | LUKE'S - HOME HEALTH/HOSPICE, INC IS AN |
| | | ORGANIZATION RECOGNIZED BY THE INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE AS TAX-EXEMPT PURSUANT TO |
| | | INTERNA L REVENUE CODE 501(C)(3) AND AS A NON- |
| | | PRIVATE FOUNDATION PURSUANT TO INTERNAL |
| | | REVENUE CODE 509(A)(1) THE ORGANIZATION |
| | | PROVIDES MEDICALLY NECESSARY HEALTHCARE SERVICES TO ALL INDIV IDUALS REGARDLESS OF RACE, |
| | | COLOR, CREED, SEX, NATIONAL ORIGIN, RELIGION OR |
| | | ABILITY TO PAY HOMESTAR MEDICAL EQUIPMENT AND |
| | | INFUSION SERVICES, INC HOMESTAR MEDICAL EQUIPMENT AND INF USION SERVICES, INC IS AN |
| | | ORGANIZATION RECOGNIZED BY THE INTERNAL |
| | | REVENUE SERVICE AS TAX- EXEMPT PURSUANT TO |
| | | INTERNAL REVENUE CODE 501(C)(3) AND AS A NON- PRIVATE FOUNDATION PURSUAN T TO INTERNAL |
| | | REVENUE CODE 509(A)(2) THE ORGANIZATION |
| | | PROVIDES MEDICALLY NECESSARY HEALTH CARE |
| | | SERVICES TO ALL INDIVIDUALS REGARDLESS OF RACE, COLOR, CREED, SEX, NATIONAL ORIGIN, R ELIGION OR |
| | | ABILITY TO PAY ST LUKE'S HOSPITAL AND HEALTH |
| | | NETWORK AUXILIARY, INC ST LUKE 'S HOSPITAL AND |
| | | HEALTH NETWORK AUXILIARY, INC IS AN ORGANIZATION RECOGNIZED BY THE INTERN AL |
| | | REVENUE SERVICE AS TAX-EXEMPT PURSUANT TO |
| | | INTERNAL REVENUE CODE 501(C)(3) AND AS A NON- |
| | | PRIVATE FOUNDATION PURSUANT TO INTERNAL |
| | | REVENUE CODE 170(B)(1)(A)(III) THE ORGANIZATION I S DESIGNED TO SUPPORT THE CHARITABLE PURPOSES, |
| | | PROGRAMS AND SERVICES OF ST LUKE'S UNIVERS ITY |
| | | HEALTH NETWORK FOR-PROFIT ST LUKE'S UNIVERSITY |
| | | HEALTH NETWORK ENTITIES EIGHTH & EAT ON PROFESSIONAL BUILDING, L P A LIMITED PARTNERSHIP |
| | | WHOSE MAJORITY PARTNER IS ST LUKE'S HOSPITAL OF |
| | | BETHLEHEM, PENNSYLVANIA THIS ENTITY OWNS A |
| | | PROFESSIONAL MEDICAL ARTS BUILDING WIND GAP PROFESSIONAL CENTER PARTNERS A PARTNERSHIP |
| | | WHOSE MAJORITY PARTNER IS ST LUKE'S HOSPITAL OF |
| | | BETHLEHEM, PENNSYLVANIA THIS ENTITY SERVES AS |
| | | THE JOINT VENTURE VEHICLE FOR A MEDICAL OFFICE |
| | | BUILDING JOINTLY OWNED WITH OTHERS ST LUKE'S EIGHTH & EATON HOLDINGS, I NC AN ENTITY WHOSE |
| | | SOLE SHAREHOLDER IS ST LUKE'S HOSPITAL OF |
| | | BETHLEHEM, PENNSYLVANIA THE ENTITY WAS |
| <u> </u> | <u> </u> | INCORPORATED TO SERVE AS TH |
| | | Schedule H (Form 990) 2013 |

| Identifier | ReturnReference | Explanation |
|---|---------------------------------|--|
| State Filing of Community Benefit Report | Schedule H, Part VI, Question / | Not applicable The entity and related provider organizations are located in Pennsylvania No community benefit report is filed with the state of Pennsylvania |

Software ID: Software Version:

EIN: 23-1352213

Name: ST LUKE'S HOSPITAL OF BETHLEHEM PA

Form 990 Schedule H, Part V Section C. Other Facilities That Are Not Licensed, Registered, or Similarly Recognized as a Hospital Facility

| Recognized as a Hospital Facility | |
|--|-------------------------------|
| Section C. Other Facilities That Are Not Licensed, Registered, or Similarly Recognized as a Hospital Facility (list in order of size from largest to smallest) | |
| How many non-hospital facilities did the organization operate during the tax year? 43 | |
| | Type of Facility |
| Name and address | (Describe) |
| ST LUKE'S NORTH 153 BRODHEAD ROAD | OUTPATIENT SERVICES - VARIOUS |
| BETHLEHEM,PA 18017 ST LUKE'S NORTH | OUTPATIENT SERVICES - VARIOUS |
| 153 BRODHEAD ROAD BETHLEHEM, PA 18017 | OUTPATIENT SERVICES - VARIOUS |
| ST LUKE'S NORTH | OUTPATIENT SERVICES - VARIOUS |
| 153 BRODHEAD ROAD BETHLEHEM,PA 18017 | |
| ST LUKE'S NORTH 153 BRODHEAD ROAD BETHLEHEM,PA 18017 | OUTPATIENT SERVICES - VARIOUS |
| ST LUKE'S NORTH 153 BRODHEAD ROAD | OUTPATIENT SERVICES - VARIOUS |
| BETHLEHEM, PA 18017 | LOUIDATIENT CERVICES |
| ST LUKE'S NORTH 153 BRODHEAD ROAD BETHLEHEM,PA 18017 | OUTPATIENT SERVICES - VARIOUS |
| ST LUKE'S NORTH | OUTPATIENT SERVICES - VARIOUS |
| 153 BRODHEAD ROAD BETHLEHEM,PA 18017 | |
| ST LUKE'S NORTH 153 BRODHEAD ROAD | OUTPATIENT SERVICES - VARIOUS |
| BETHLEHEM, PA 18017 | |
| ST LUKE'S NORTH 153 BRODHEAD ROAD | OUTPATIENT SERVICES - VARIOUS |
| BETHLEHEM, PA 18017 | |
| ST LUKE'S NORTH 153 BRODHEAD ROAD | OUTPATIENT SERVICES - VARIOUS |
| BETHLEHEM, PA 18017 | |
| ST LUKE'S NORTH | OUTPATIENT SERVICES - VARIOUS |
| 153 BRODHEAD ROAD BETHLEHEM,PA 18017 | |
| ST LUKE'S NORTH | OUTPATIENT SERVICES - VARIOUS |
| 153 BRODHEAD ROAD BETHLEHEM,PA 18017 | |
| ST LUKE'S NORTH | OUTPATIENT SERVICES - VARIOUS |
| 153 BRODHEAD ROAD BETHLEHEM,PA 18017 | |
| ST LUKE'S NORTH 153 BRODHEAD ROAD | OUTPATIENT SERVICES - VARIOUS |
| BETHLEHEM, PA 18017 | |
| ST LUKE'S NORTH | OUTPATIENT SERVICES - VARIOUS |
| 153 BRODHEAD ROAD BETHLEHEM,PA 18017 | |
| ST LUKE'S NORTH | OUTPATIENT SERVICES - VARIOUS |
| 153 BRODHEAD ROAD BETHLEHEM,PA 18017 | |
| ST LUKE'S NORTH | OUTPATIENT SERVICES - VARIOUS |
| 153 BRODHEAD ROAD BETHLEHEM,PA 18017 | |
| ST LUKE'S NORTH | OUTPATIENT SERVICES - VARIOUS |
| 153 BRODHEAD ROAD BETHLEHEM,PA 18017 | |
| ST LUKE'S NORTH | OUTPATIENT SERVICES - VARIOUS |
| 153 BRODHEAD ROAD BETHLEHEM,PA 18017 | |
| ST LUKE'S NORTH | OUTPATIENT SERVICES - VARIOUS |
| 153 BRODHEAD ROAD BETHLEHEM,PA 18017 | |
| , | |

Form 990 Schedule H, Part V Section C. Other Facilities That Are Not Licensed, Registered, or Similarly Recognized as a Hospital Facility

| Recognized as a Hospital Facility | |
|--|--------------------------------|
| Section C. Other Facilities That Are Not Licensed, Registered, or Similarly Recognized as a Hospital Facility (list in order of size from largest to smallest) | |
| How many non-hospital facilities did the organization operate during the tax year?43 | |
| | Type of Facility (Describe) |
| Name and address ST LUKE'S NORTH | OUTPATIENT SERVICES - VARIOUS |
| 153 BRODHEAD ROAD BETHLEHEM, PA 18017 | OUTPATIENT SERVICES - VARIOUS |
| ST LUKE'S NORTH 153 BRODHEAD ROAD | OUTPATIENT SERVICES - VARIOUS |
| BETHLEHEM,PA 18017 | |
| ST LUKE'S NORTH 153 BRODHEAD ROAD BETHLEHEM, PA 18017 | OUTPATIENT SERVICES - VARIOUS |
| ST LUKE'S NORTH | OUTPATIENT SERVICES - VARIOUS |
| 153 BRODHEAD ROAD BETHLEHEM,PA 18017 | |
| ST LUKE'S NORTH 153 BRODHEAD ROAD BETHLEHEM, PA 18017 | OUTPATIENT SERVICES - VARIOUS |
| ST LUKE'S NORTH 153 BRODHEAD ROAD BETHLEHEM, PA 18017 | OUTPATIENT SERVICES - VARIOUS |
| ST LUKE'S NORTH 153 BRODHEAD ROAD BETHLEHEM, PA 18017 | OUTPATIENT SERVICES - VARIOUS |
| ST LUKE'S NORTH 153 BRODHEAD ROAD BETHLEHEM, PA 18017 | OUTPATIENT SERVICES - VARIOUS |
| ST LUKE'S NORTH 153 BRODHEAD ROAD BETHLEHEM, PA 18017 | OUTPATIENT SERVICES - VARIOUS |
| ST LUKE'S NORTH 153 BRODHEAD ROAD BETHLEHEM, PA 18017 | OUTPATIENT SERVICES - VARIOUS |
| ST LUKE'S NORTH 153 BRODHEAD ROAD BETHLEHEM, PA 18017 | OUTPATIENT SERVICES - VARIOUS |
| ST LUKE'S NORTH 153 BRODHEAD ROAD BETHLEHEM, PA 18017 | OUTPATIENT SERVICES - VARIOUS |
| ST LUKE'S NORTH 153 BRODHEAD ROAD BETHLEHEM, PA 18017 | OUTPATIENT SERVICES - VARIOUS |
| ST LUKE'S NORTH 153 BRODHEAD ROAD BETHLEHEM, PA 18017 | OUTPATIENT SERVICES - VARIOUS |
| ST LUKE'S NORTH 153 BRODHEAD ROAD BETHLEHEM, PA 18017 | OUTPATIENT SERVICES - VARIOUS |
| ST LUKE'S NORTH 153 BRODHEAD ROAD BETHLEHEM, PA 18017 | OUTPATIENT SERVICES - VARIOUS |
| ST LUKE'S NORTH 153 BRODHEAD ROAD BETHLEHEM, PA 18017 | OUTPATIENT SERVICES - VARIOUS |
| ST LUKE'S NORTH 153 BRODHEAD ROAD BETHLEHEM, PA 18017 | OUTPATIENT SERVICES - VARIOUS |
| ST LUKE'S NORTH 153 BRODHEAD ROAD BETHLEHEM, PA 18017 | OUTPATIENT SERVICES - VARIOUS |
| ST LUKE'S NORTH 153 BRODHEAD ROAD BETHLEHEM, PA 18017 | OUTPATIENT SERVICES - VARIOUS |
| , | |

Form 990 Schedule H, Part V Section C. Other Facilities That Are Not Licensed, Registered, or Similarly Recognized as a Hospital Facility

| Recognized as a nospital racinty | |
|--|--------------------------------|
| Section C. Other Facilities That Are Not Licensed, Registered, or Similarly Recognized as a Hospital Facility (list in order of size from largest to smallest) | |
| How many non-hospital facilities did the organization operate during the tax year?43 | |
| Name and address | Type of Facility (Describe) |
| ST LUKE'S NORTH 153 BRODHEAD ROAD BETHLEHEM,PA 18017 | OUTPATIENT SERVICES - VARIOUS |
| ST LUKE'S NORTH 153 BRODHEAD ROAD BETHLEHEM,PA 18017 | OUTPATIENT SERVICES - VARIOUS |
| ST LUKE'S NORTH 153 BRODHEAD ROAD BETHLEHEM,PA 18017 | OUTPATIENT SERVICES - VARIOUS |

efile GRAPHIC print - DO NOT PROCESS | As Filed Data -

Schedule I (Form 990)

Grants and Other Assistance to Organizations, Governments and Individuals in the United States

Complete if the organization answered "Yes," to Form 990, Part IV, line 21 or 22.

OMB No 1545-0047

DLN: 93493133004303

Inspection

Department of the Treasury

► Attach to Form 990 Internal Revenue Service Name of the organization

Employer identification number

| T LUKE'S HOSPITAL OF BETH | HLEHEM PA | | | | | 23-1352213 | |
|---|------------------------|---|-----------------------------|---|--|--|---------------------------------------|
| Part I General Inform | ation on Grants | and Assistance | | | | 1 | |
| Does the organization main the selection criteria used Describe in Part IV the org | to award the grants of | orassistance? | | | | | Г Yes Г N |
| | , line 21 for any r | Governments and ecipient that received if additional space i | more than \$5,000. | Check this box if n | o one recipient recei | ved more than \$5,0 | 00. Use |
| (a) Name and address of organization or government | (b) EIN | (c) IRC Code section if applicable | (d) Amount of cash grant | (e) A mount of non- cash assistance | (f) Method of valuation (book, FMV, appraisal, other) | (g) Description of non-cash assistance | (h) Purpose of grant or assistance |
| (1) EASTERN PENSYLVANIA EMS COUNCIL4801 KERNSVILLE ROAD SUITE 100 OREFIELD,PA 18069 | 23-1988814 | 501(C)(3) | 9,762 | | | | PROGRAM SUPPORT |
| (2) BOROUGH OF FOUNTAIN HILL941 LONG STREET FOUNTAIN HILL,PA 18015 | | | 76,597 | | | | PROGRAM SUPPORT |
| (3) BETHLEHEM TOWNSHIP 4225 EASTON AVENUE BETHLEHEM,PA 18020 | | | 90,000 | | | | PROGRAM SUPPORT |
| (4) LEHIGH VALLEY ROAD RUNNERS INCPO BOX 592 ALLENTOWN,PA 18105 | 23-2377635 | 501(C)(3) | 32,660 | | | | PROGRAM SUPPORT |
| (5) CITY OF BETHLEHEM10 EAST CHURCH STREET BETHLEHEM,PA 18018 | | | 7,408 | | | | PROGRAM SUPPORT |
| (6) COMMUNICATION SYSTEMS INC10900 RED CIRCLE DRIVE MINNETONKA,MN 55343 | | | 15,160 | | | | PROGRAM SUPPORT |
| (7) GREATER LEHIGH VALLEY CHAMBER OF COMMERCE561 MAIN STREET SUITE 200 BETHLEHEM,PA 18018 | 23-0337580 | 501(C)(3) | 8,135 | | | | PROGRAM SUPPORT |
| (8) LEHIGH VALLEY ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CORP2158 AVENUE C SUITE 200 BETHLEHEM,PA 18017 | 23-2798276 | 501(C)(3) | 13,000 | | | | PROGRAM SUPPORT |
| (9) LIBERTY HIGH SCHOOL GRENADIER BAND1115 LINDEN STREET BETHLEHEM,PA 18018 | | | 6,000 | | | | PROGRAM SUPPORT |
| (10) ZOELLNER ARTS CENTER420 E PACKER AVENUE BETHLEHEM,PA 18015 | | | 5,550 | | | | PROGRAM SUPPORT |
| (11) JD ECKMAN INC4781 LOWER VALLEY ROAD ATGLEN,PA 19310 | | | 350,000 | | | | PROGRAM SUPPORT |
| | | | | | | | |

Enter total number of section 501(c)(3) and government organizations listed in the line 1 table .

Enter total number of other organizations listed in the line 1 table

| (a)Type of grant or assistance | (b)Number of recipients | (c)A mount of cash grant | (d)A mount of non-cash assistance | (e)Method of valuation (book, FMV, appraisal, other) | (f)Description of non-cash assistance |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| Part IV | Supplemental Information. Complete | this part to provide the information required in Part I, line 2, and any other additional information. |
|------------|------------------------------------|--|
| Identifier | Return Reference | Explanation |
| CRANT FUND | SCHEDILLE I PART I | CRANTS ARE MONITORED BY THE ORGANIZATION'S FINANCE PERSONNEL THROUGH THE UTILIZATION OF COST |

GRANT FUND SCHEDULE I, PART I, GRANTS ARE MONITORED BY THE ORGANIZATION'S FINANCE PERSONNEL THROUGH THE UTILIZATION QUESTION 2 CENTERS AND OTHER INFORMATION, INCLUDING WRITTEN DOCUMENTATION AND RECEIPTS

Software ID:

Software Version:

EIN: 23-1352213

Name: ST LUKE'S HOSPITAL OF BETHLEHEM PA

| (a) Name and address of organization or government | (b) EIN | (c) IRC Code section if applicable | (d) A mount of cash grant | (e) A mount of non-cash assistance | (f) Method of valuation (book, FMV, appraisal, other) | (g) Description of non-cash assistance | (h) Purpose of grant or assistance |
|--|----------------|--|------------------------------|--|---|---|--|
| EASTERN PENSYLVANIA EMS COUNCIL4801 KERNSVILLE ROAD SUITE 100 OREFIELD, PA 18069 | 23- 1988814 | 501(C)(3) | 9,762 | | | | PRO GRAM SUPPORT |
| BOROUGH OF FOUNTAIN HILL941 LONG STREET FOUNTAIN HILL,PA 18015 | | | 76,597 | | | | PRO GRAM SUPPORT |

| (a) Name and address of organization or government | (b) EIN | (c) IRC Code section if applicable | (d) A mount of cash grant | (e) A mount of non-cash assistance | (f) Method of valuation (book, FMV, appraisal, other) | (g) Description of non-cash assistance | (h) Purpose of grant or assistance |
|---|----------------|--|------------------------------|--|---|---|------------------------------------|
| BETHLEHEM TOWNSHIP4225 EASTON AVENUE BETHLEHEM, PA 18020 | | | 90,000 | | | | PRO GRA M SUPPO RT |
| LEHIGH VALLEY ROAD RUNNERS INCPO BOX 592 ALLENTOWN,PA 18105 | 23- 2377635 | 501(C)(3) | 32,660 | | | | PRO GRA M SUPPO RT |

| (a) Name and address of organization or government | (b) EIN | (c) IRC Code section if applicable | (d) A mount of cash grant | (e) A mount of non-cash assistance | (f) Method of valuation (book, FMV, appraisal, other) | (g) Description of non-cash assistance | (h) Purpose of grant or assistance |
|--|---------|--|------------------------------|--|---|---|--|
| CITY OF BETHLEHEM 10 EAST CHURCH STREET BETHLEHEM,PA 18018 | | | 7,408 | | | | PRO GRAM SUPPORT |
| COMMUNICATION SYSTEMS INC10900 RED CIRCLE DRIVE MINNETONKA, MN 55343 | | | 15,160 | | | | PRO GRAM SUPPORT |

| (a) Name and address of organization or government | (b) EIN | (c) IRC Code section if applicable | (d) A mount of cash grant | (e) A mount of non-cash assistance | valuation (book, FMV, appraisal, | (g) Description of non-cash assistance | (h) Purpose of grant or assistance |
|--|----------------|--|------------------------------|--|--|---|------------------------------------|
| GREATER LEHIGH VALLEY CHAMBER OF COMMERCE561 MAIN STREET SUITE 200 BETHLEHEM, PA 18018 | 23- 0337580 | 501(C)(3) | 8,135 | | other) | | PRO GRA M SUPPORT |
| LEHIGH VALLEY ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CORP2158 AVENUE C SUITE 200 BETHLEHEM, PA 18017 | 23- 2798276 | 501(C)(3) | 13,000 | | | | PRO GRAM SUPPORT |

| (a) Name and address of organization or government | (b) EIN | (c) IRC Code section if applicable | (d) A mount of cash grant | (e) A mount of non-cash assistance | (f) Method of valuation (book, FMV, appraisal, other) | (g) Description of non-cash assistance | (h) Purpose of grant or assistance |
|--|----------------|--|------------------------------|--|---|---|--|
| LIBERTY HIGH SCHOOL GRENADIER BAND 1115 LINDEN STREET BETHLEHEM,PA 18018 | | | 6,000 | | | | PRO GRAM SUPPORT |
| ZOELLNER ARTS CENTER420 E PACKER AVENUE BETHLEHEM, PA | | | 5,550 | | | | PRO GRAM SUPPO RT |

| (a) Name and address of organization or government | (b) EIN | (c) IRC Code section if applicable | (d) A mount of cash grant | (e) A mount of non-cash assistance | (f) Method of valuation (book, FMV, appraisal, other) | (g) Description of non-cash assistance | (h) Purpose of grant or assistance |
|--|----------------|--|------------------------------|--|---|---|------------------------------------|
| JD ECKMAN INC 4781 LOWER VALLEY ROAD ATGLEN,PA 19310 | | | 350,000 | | | | PROGRAM SUPPORT |

DLN: 93493133004303

OMB No 1545-0047

Schedule J

Compensation Information For certain Officers, Directors, Trustees, Key Employees, and Highest

Compensated Employees ► Complete if the organization answered "Yes" to Form 990, Part IV, question 23.

► Attach to Form 990. ► See separate instructions.

Open to Public Inspection

Name of the organization ST LUKE'S HOSPITAL OF BETHLEHEM PA

(Form 990)

Department of the Treasury

Internal Revenue Service

Employer identification number

23-1352213

| Pa | Questions Regarding Compensatio | n | | | | |
|----|---|--|--|----|-----|----|
| | | | | | Yes | Νo |
| 1a | Check the appropriate box(es) if the organization pro 990, Part VII, Section A, line 1a Complete Part II | | | | | |
| | First-class or charter travel | Γ | Housing allowance or residence for personal use | | | |
| | Travel for companions | Γ | Payments for business use of personal residence | | | |
| | Tax idemnification and gross-up payments | 굣 | Health or social club dues or initiation fees | | | |
| | Discretionary spending account | Γ | Personal services (e g , maid, chauffeur, chef) | | | |
| b | If any of the boxes in line 1a are checked, did the o reimbursement orprovision of all the expenses desc | | | 1b | Yes | |
| 2 | Did the organization require substantiation prior to | | | | | |
| | officers, directors, trustees, and the CEO/Executive | Directo | or, regarding the items checked in line 147 | 2 | Yes | |
| 3 | Indicate which, if any, of the following the organizatiorganization's CEO/Executive Director Check all t | | | | | |
| | Compensation committee | <u> </u> | Written employment contract | | | |
| | ✓ Independent compensation consultant | 굣 | Compensation survey or study | | | |
| | Form 990 of other organizations | <u> - - - - - </u> | Approval by the board or compensation committee | | | |
| 4 | During the year, did any person listed in Form 990, or a related organization | Part VII | , Section A, line 1a with respect to the filing organization | | | |
| а | Receive a severance payment or change-of-control | paymen | t? | 4a | | No |
| b | Participate in, or receive payment from, a suppleme | ntal non | qualified retirement plan? | 4b | Yes | |
| c | Participate in, or receive payment from, an equity-b | ased co | mpensation arrangement? | 4c | | No |
| | If "Yes" to any of lines 4a-c, list the persons and pr | | | | | |
| | Only 501(c)(3) and 501(c)(4) organizations only m | ust comp | plete lines 5-9. | | | |
| 5 | For persons listed in form 990, Part VII, Section A, compensation contingent on the revenues of | line 1a, | did the organization pay or accrue any | | | |
| а | The organization? | | | 5a | | No |
| b | Any related organization? | | | 5b | | No |
| | If "Yes," to line 5a or 5b, describe in Part III | | | | | |
| 6 | For persons listed in form 990, Part VII, Section A, compensation contingent on the net earnings of | line 1a, | did the organization pay or accrue any | | | |
| а | The organization? | | | 6a | Yes | |
| b | Any related organization? | | | 6b | Yes | |
| | If "Yes," to line 6a or 6b, describe in Part III | | | | | |
| 7 | For persons listed in Form 990, Part VII, Section A payments not described in lines 5 and 6? If "Yes," | | | 7 | Yes | |
| 8 | Were any amounts reported in Form 990, Part VII, | paıd or a | ccured pursuant to a contract that was | | | |
| | subject to the initial contract exception described i | n Regs s | section 53 4958-4(a)(3)? If "Yes," describe | | | |
| | ın Part III | | | 8 | | Νo |
| 9 | If "Yes" to line 8, did the organization also follow th section $53 4958-6(c)$? | e rebutta | able presumption procedure described in Regulations | 9 | | |

Part II Officers, Directors, Trustees, Key Employees, and Highest Compensated Employees. Use Schedule J-1 if additional space needed.

For each individual whose compensation must be reported in Schedule J, report compensation from the organization on row (i) and from related organizations, described in the instructions on row (ii) Do not list any individuals that are not listed on Form 990, Part VII

Note. The sum of columns (B)(I)-(III) for each listed individual must equal the total amount of Form 990, Part VII, Section A, line 1a, columns (D) and (E) for that individual

| (A) Name | | (B) Breakdown of | W-2 and/or 1099-MI | SC compensation | (C) Retirement and | (D) Nontaxable | (E) Total of columns | (F) Compensation |
|-------------------------------|-------------|--------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|---|
| | | (i) Base compensation | | | other deferred compensation | benefits | (B)(ı)-(D) | reported in prior Form 990 or Form 990-EZ |
| (1) RICHARD A | (1) | 898,916 | 338,524 | 566,210 | 225,011 | 19,369 | 2,048,030 | 482,122 |
| ANDERSON | (11) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (2) JAN S HELLER | (1) (11) | 148,327 0 | 0 0 | 298 0 | 3,716 0 | 2,325 0 | 154,666 0 | 0 |
| (3) JOEL D | (1) | 490,918 | 143,406 | 24,802 | 7,376 | 29,336 | 695,838 | 0 |
| FAGERSTROM | (11) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| (4) THOMAS P | (1) | 437,319 | 126,188 | 24,705 | 153,612 | 9,142 | 750,966 | 0 |
| LICHTENWALNER | (11) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| (5) JEFFREY A JAHRE | (1) | 381,607 | 239,838 | 27,097 | 57,463 | 19,876 | 725,881 | 0 |
| MD | (11) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| (6) ROBERT P ZIMMEL | (I) | 326,114 | 93,046 | 761,339 | 84,430 | 8,642 | 1,273,571 | 745,718 |
| | (II) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (7) ROBERT E MARTIN | (I) (II) | 325,340 0 | 98,542 0 | 23,192 0 | 39,357 0 | 29,552 0 | 515,983 0 | 0 |
| (8) CAROLA KUPLEN | (ı) | 332,798 | 96,839 | 5,528 | 62,215 | 25,067 | 522,447 | 0 |
| RN MSN | (ıı) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| (9) ROBERT L WAX | (ı) | 295,829 | 9 4,1 57 | 5,425 | 11,384 | 26,488 | 433,283 | 0 |
| ESQ | (ıı) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| (10) EDWARD | (ı) | 310,033 | 95,077 | 453 | 17,892 | 27,552 | 451,007 | 0 |
| NAWROCKI | (ıı) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| (11) FRANK FORD | (ı) (ıı) | 259,054 0 | 72,758 0 | 22,414 0 | 43,246 0 | 18,361 0 | 415,833 0 | 0 |
| (12) JOSEPH C | (ı) | 460,175 | 137,837 | 146,173 | 147,177 | 17,989 | 909,351 | 126,515 |
| MEROLA MD | (ıı) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (13) MARC A | (ı) | 455,443 | 136,765 | 27,147 | 37,944 | 19,190 | 676,489 | 0 |
| GRANSON MD | (ıı) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | C |
| (14) MICHAEL D GROSSMAN MD | (ı) (ıı) | 521,407 0 | 0 | 1,953 0 | 24,366 0 | 26,791 0 | 574,517 0 | 0 |
| (15) WILLIAM S HOFF MD | (ı) (ıı) | 500,308 0 | 0 | 1,045 0 | 24,366 0 | 26,893 0 | 552,612 0 | 0 |
| (16) BRIAN A HOEY MD | (ı) (ıı) | 494,075 0 | 0 | 681 0 | 32,328 | 26,610 0 | 553,694 0 | 0 |

Part III Supplemental Information

Complete this part to provide the information, explanation, or descriptions required for Part I, lines 1a, 1b, 4c, 5a, 5b, 6a, 6b, 7, and 8 Also complete this part for any additional information

| Identifier | Return Reference | Explanation | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| COMPENSATION INFORMATION | PART VII AND SCHEDULE J | TAXABLE COMPENSATION REPORTED HEREIN IS DERIVED FROM 2011 FORMS W-2 | | | | | | | |
| COMPENSATION INFORMATION | PART I, | THE ORGANIZATION MAINTAINS A MEMBERSHIP IN A COUNTRY CLUB FOR BUSINESS PURPOSES THE COUNTRY CLUB REQUIRES THAT AN INDIVIDUAL IS NAMED AS THE MEMBER, ACCORDINGLY THE ORGANIZATION HAS DESIGNATED ITS PRESIDENT/CEO, CURRENTLY RICHARD A ANDERSON, AS THE MEMBER DURING 2011, MR ANDERSON DID NOT USE THE COUNTRY CLUB FOR ANY PERSONAL USE OR BENEFIT | | | | | | | |
| COMPENSATION INFORMATION | PART I, QUESTION 3 | Compensation Review Executive compensation for the health network consists of fixed salary, at-risk compensation and other deferred compensation arrangements. Total compensation for network executives is approved annually by the network's Board of directors. The recommended compensation is established through a multi-faceted approach including use of an independent consultant engaged on an ongoing basis by the Board of DIRECTORS and who works directly with the Executive Compensation Committee of the board. Also included is the review of forms 990 and compensation surveys of other comparable healthcare organizations. Bonus/Incentive The at-risk compensation is approved by the Executive Compensation Committee of the board and is based on several qualitative and quantitative components, including Joint Commission, Pennsylvania Department of Health and Pennsylvania Trauma Systems Foundation accreditations, evidence-based hospital process of care measures, outcome measures, such as patient satisfaction, mortality rate, and length of stay, efficiency measures as demonstrated by cost-per-adjusted discharge and net income. Other Reportable Compensation Other benefits include deferred compensation benefits that had accumulated over years of service and was reported and distributed in accordance with vesting requirements and Internal Revenue Service rules and regulations. Deferred Compensation. Deferred compensation represents retirement benefits earned during the reporting period, yet not recognized as compensation on the employee's 2011 form W-2. Nontaxable Benefits. Health and welfare benefits. Compensation Reported on prior 990 Total compensation reported on prior formS 990 represented recognition of deferred compensation benefits that had accumulated over years of service and was reported and distributed in accordance with vesting requirements and Internal Revenue Service rules and regulations. The amount was reported in Schedule J, column b(III)-other compensation. | | | | | | | |
| COMPENSATION INFORMATION | PART I, QUESTION 4B | THE AMOUNT REFLECTED IN COLUMN B(III) FOR THE FOLLOWING INDIVIDUALS INCLUDES AMOUNTS RELATING TO PARTICIPATION IN A SUPPLEMENTAL EXECUTIVE RETIREMENT PLAN ("SERP") THE INDIVIDUALS HAVE SATISFIED BOTH THE AGE AND THE YEARS OF SERVICE REQUIREMENTS SPECIFIED BY THE SERP THE AMOUNTS OUTLINED HEREIN WERE INCLUDED IN EACH INDIVIDUAL'S 2011 FORM W-2, BOX 5, AS TAXABLE MEDICARE WAGES RICHARD A ANDERSON, \$482,122, ROBERT P ZIMMEL, \$745,718 AND JOSEPH C MEROLA, M D \$126,515 THE DEFERRED COMPENSATION AMOUNT IN COLUMN C FOR THE FOLLOWING INDIVIDUALS INCLUDES UNVESTED BENEFITS IN AN INTERNAL REVENUE CODE SECTION 457(F) PLAN (NON-QUALIFIED DEFERRED COMPENSATION PLAN) WHICH ARE SUBJECT TO A SUBSTANTIAL RISK OF COMPLETE FORFEITURE ACCORDINGLY, THE INDIVIDUALS MAY NEVER ACTUALLY RECEIVE THIS UNVESTED BENEFIT AMOUNT THE AMOUNTS OUTLINED HEREIN WERE NOT INCLUDED IN THE INDIVIDUAL'S 2011 FORM W-2, BOX 5, AS TAXABLE MEDICARE WAGES RICHARD A ANDERSON, \$147,300, THOMAS P LICHTENWALNER, \$79,138, ROBERT P ZIMMEL, \$27,953, CAROL A KUPLEN, RN, MSN, \$26,936 AND JOSEPH C MEROLA, M D, \$84,759 | | | | | | | |
| COMPENSATION INFORMATION | PART I, QUESTIONS | The executive compensation package for the health network consists of both a fixed salary and additional at-risk compensation that is based on several qualitative and quantitative components. The components of the at-risk compensation plan includes JCAHO, Department of Health and Trauma Center accreditations, evidence based hospital process of care measures, outcome measures such as patient satisfaction, mortality rate, and length of stay, efficiency measures as demonstrated by cost per adjusted discharge and finally net income | | | | | | | |
| COMPENSATION INFORMATION | PART I, QUESTION 7 | CERTAIN INDIVIDUALS INCLUDED IN SCHEDULE J, PART II RECEIVED AT-RISK COMPENSATION DURING CALENDAR YEAR 2011 WHICH AMOUNTS WERE INCLUDED IN COLUMN B(II) HEREIN AND IN EACH INDIVIDUAL'S 2011 FORM W-2, BOX 5, AS TAXABLE MEDICARE WAGES PLEASE REFER TO THIS SECTION OF THE FORM 990, SCHEDULE J FOR THIS INFORMATION BY PERSON BY AMOUNT CERTAIN INDIVIDUALS INCLUDED IN THIS FORM 990 RECEIVED A VACATION SELL BACK AND/OR TERM PAY OUT DURING THE CALENDAR YEAR 2011 THIS AMOUNT WAS INCLUDED IN THEIR RESPECTIVE 2011 FORM W-2 AND INCLUDED IN SCHEDULE J, PART II, COLUMN B(III), WHERE APPLICABLE | | | | | | | |
| COMPENSATION INFORMATION | PART II, COLUMN F | THE AMOUNTS REPORTED IN SCHEDULE J, PART II, COLUMN F FOR THE FOLLOWING INDIVIDUALS INCLUDE VESTED BENEFITS IN A SUPPLEMENTAL EXECUTIVE RETIREMENT PLAN ("SERP") BECAUSE THE AMOUNTS WERE NO LONGER SUBJECT TO A SUBSTANTIAL RISK OF COMPLETE FORFEITURE, THUS A TAXABLE EVENT OCCURRED FOR TAX REPORTING PURPOSES THESE AMOUNTS WERE TREATED AS TAXABLE INCOME AND REPORTED ON EACH INDIVIDUAL'S 2011 FORM W-2, BOX 5, AS TAXABLE MEDICARE WAGES RICHARD A ANDERSON, \$482,122, ROBERT P ZIMMEL, \$745,718 AND JOSEPH C MEROLA, M D \$126,515, HOWEVER, THESE INDIVIDUALS DID NOT ACTUALLY RECEIVE ALL OF THESE FUNDS THESE AMOUNTS WERE REPORTED ON PRIOR YEAR FORMS 990 AS ACCRUED NON-TAXABLE DEFERRED COMPENSATION | | | | | | | |

Software ID: Software Version:

EIN: 23-1352213

Name: ST LUKE'S HOSPITAL OF BETHLEHEM PA

Form 990, Schedule J, Part II - Officers, Directors, Trustees, Key Employees, and Highest Compensated Employees

| (A) Name | | (B) Breakdown of | f W-2 and/or 1099-MI | SC compensation | (C) Deferred | (D) Nontaxable | (E) Total of columns | (F) Compensation |
|---------------------------|-------------|--------------------------|---|-----------------------------|--------------|----------------|----------------------|--|
| | | (i) Base Compensation | (ii) Bonus & ıncentive compensation | (iii) Other compensation | compensation | benefits | (B)(ı)-(D) | reported in prior Form 990 or Form 990-EZ |
| RICHARD A ANDERSON | (I) (II) | | / | 566,210 0 | 225,011 0 | 19,369 0 | 2,048,030 0 | 482,122 0 |
| JAN S HELLER | (I) (II) | | 0 | 298 0 | 3,716 0 | 2,325 0 | 154,666 0 | 0 |
| JOEL D FAGERSTROM | (1) (11) | | 143,406 0 | 24,802 0 | 7,376 0 | 29,336 0 | 695,838 0 | 0 |
| THOMAS P LICHTENWALNER | (I) (II) | | 126,188 0 | 24,705 0 | 153,612 0 | 9,142 | 750,966 0 | 0 |
| JEFFREY A JAHRE MD | (I) (II) | | 239,838 0 | 27,097 0 | 57,463 0 | 19,876 0 | 725,881 0 | 0 |
| ROBERT P ZIMMEL | (I) (II) | | 93,046 0 | 761,339 0 | 84,430 0 | 8,642 0 | 1,273,571 0 | 745,718 0 |
| ROBERT E MARTIN | (I) (II) | | 98,542 0 | 23,192 0 | 39,357 0 | 29,552 0 | 515,983 0 | 0 |
| CAROLA KUPLEN RN MSN | (1) (11) | | 96,839 0 | 5,528 0 | 62,215 0 | 25,067 0 | 522,447 0 | 0 |
| ROBERT L WAX ESQ | (I) (II) | 295,829 0 | 94,157 0 | 5,425 0 | 11,384 0 | 26,488 0 | 433,283 | 0 |
| EDWARD NAWROCKI | (I) (II) | | 95,077 0 | 453 0 | 17,892 0 | 27,552 0 | 451,007 0 | 0 |
| FRANK FORD | (I) (II) | | 72,758 0 | 22,414 0 | 43,246 0 | 18,361 0 | 415,833 | 0 |
| JOSEPH C MEROLA MD | (I) (II) | | 137,837 0 | 146,173 0 | 147,177 0 | 17,989 0 | 909,351 0 | 126,515 0 |
| MARC A GRANSON MD | (I) (II) | | 136,765 0 | 27,147 0 | 37,944 0 | 19,190 0 | 676,489 0 | 0 |
| MICHAEL D GROSSMAN MD | (I) (II) | | 0 | 1,953 0 | 24,366 0 | 26,791 0 | 574,517 0 | 0 |
| WILLIAM S HOFF MD | (I) (II) | | 0 | 1,045 0 | 24,366 0 | 26,893 0 | 552,612 0 | 0 |
| BRIAN A HOEY MD | (I) (II) | 494,075 0 | 0 | 681 0 | 32,328 0 | 26,610 0 | 553,694 0 | 0 0 |

Schedule K (Form 990)

Supplemental Information on Tax Exempt Bonds

Complete if the organization answered "Yes" to Form 990, Part IV, line 24a. Provide descriptions, explanations, and any additional information in Schedule O (Form 990).
 ► Attach to Form 990.
 ► See separate instructions.

OMB No 1545-0047

DLN: 93493133004303

2011

Open to Public Inspection

Department of the Treasury Internal Revenue Service Name of the organization

ST LUKE'S HOSPITAL OF BETHLEHEM PA

Employer identification number

23-1352213

| 311 | 23-1352213 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|----------------------------|------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------|--------------------------------|------------|---------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|------|-----------------------|--|
| Part I Bond Issues | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (a) Issuer Name | | (b) Issuer EIN (c) CUSIP # | | (d) Date Issued | (e) Issue Price | | (f) | (f) Description of Purpose | | (g) Defeased | | Beh | | | (i) Pool financing | |
| | | | | | | | | | | Yes | No | Yes | No | Yes | No | |
| A | LEHIGH COUNTY GENERAL PURPOSE AUTHORITY | 23-1352213 | 5248055C8 | 02-21-2007 | 266,31 | | | REFUND, ALLENTO WN & EQUIPMENT | | | X | | х | | Х | |
| В | NORTHAMPTON COUNTY GENERAL PURPOSE AUTHORITY 23-1352213 66353RAA | | | 06-11-2008 | 175,00 | | | ANDERSON, LAND & EQUIPMENT | | | Х | | х | | Х | |
| c | NORTHAMPTON COUNTY GENERAL PURPOSE AUTHORITY | 23-1352213 | 66353RBF0 | 05-13-2010 | 24,41 | | | REFUND, ANDERSON & EQUIPMENT | | | Х | | х | | Х | |
| D | NORTHAMPTON COUNTY GENERAL PURPOSE AUTHORITY | 23-1352213 | 66353RAA1 | 05-13-2010 | 10,39 | | | REFUND, ANDERSON & EQUIPMENT | | | Х | | х | | Х | |
| Part II Proceeds | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | A construction de making d | | | | <i>F</i> | Α | В | | С | | | D | | | | |
| 1_ | A mount of bonds retired | | | | | | 0 | | | | 0 | | | 0 | | |
| | A mount of bonds defeased | | | | | | | | 0 | | | 0 | <u> </u> | | | |
| 3 | Total proceeds of issue | | | | | 66,310, | | | | 24,415,000 | | | | | | |
| | 4 Gross proceeds in reserve funds | | | | | 10,340, | | | 2,531,969 | | | 1,077,500 | | | | |
| 5 | Capitalized interest from proce | | | | | 6,241, | | | 21.026.176 | | | 0 047 050 | | | | |
| | 6 Proceeds in refunding escrow | | | | | 2,663, | | | 21,026,176 | | | | | | | |
| | 7 Issuance costs from proceeds | | | | | | 0 2,053,609 | | 455,665 | | | 193,912 | | | | |
| | 8 Credit enhancement from proceeds | | | | | | | | 0 | 0 | | | 0 | | | |
| 9 | | | | | 1, | 20,616, | ,010,007 | | 0 | 2 1 5 0 2 7 0 | | | 1 244 022 | | | |
| 10 | Other spent proceeds | | | | + | 0 146,175,468 | | | | | | 1,344,032 | | | | |
| 11 12 | O ther unspent proceeds | + | | 0 | | 0 | | | 0 | | | 0 | | | | |
| 13 | Year of substantial completion | | | | + | 2011 | | 20: | - | 2011 | | 2011 | | | | |
| 13 | 1 ear of substantial completion | | | | Yes | No | | Yes | No | Yes | | No | Yes | 2011 | No | |
| 14 | Were the bonds issued as part | t of a current refund | ing issue? | | 100 | X | | 100 | X | 100 | \dashv | X | 1 | | X | |
| 15 | | | | | | | | X | | X | + | | X | | | |
| 16 | | | | | | | | X | | X | + | | X | | | |
| 17 | | | | | | | | X | | × | | | X | | | |
| Part III Private Business Use | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | A | | T I | В | | С | | | D | | |
| | | | | | | 1 8 | | | N- | V | | NI- | V | | NI- | |

property financed by tax-exempt bonds?

financed property?

Was the organization a partner in a partnership, or a member of an LLC, which owned

Are there any lease arrangements that may result in private business use of bond-

No

Χ

Χ

Yes

No

Х

Х

Yes

Yes

Yes

No

Χ

Χ

No

Х

Χ

| | edule K (Form 990) 2011 | | | | | | | | | Page 2 |
|----------|---|--------------|-------|----------|----------|-----|----------|---------|-----|---------------|
| Par | t III Private Business Use (Continued) | | | | | | | | 1 | <u> </u> |
| | | | Yes | No | Yes | No | Yes | C No | Yes | D No |
| 3a | Are there any management or service contracts that may result in privause? | te business | 103 | Х | 163 | X | 103 | X | 163 | X |
| b | If 'Yes' to line 3a, does the organization routinely engage bond counsel of counsel to review any management or service contracts relating to the foreproperty? | | | | | | | | | |
| С | Are there any research agreements that may result in private business financed property? | use of bond- | | х | | х | | × | | х |
| d | If 'Yes' to line 3c, does the organization routinely engage bond counsel counsel to review any research agreements relating to the financed prop | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | Enter the percentage of financed property used in a private business use other than a section 501(c)(3) organization or a state or local government. | | , | 0 % | • | 0 % | | 0 % | | 0 % |
| 5 | Enter the percentage of financed property used in a private business use unrelated trade or business activity carried on by your organization, and 501(c)(3) organization, or a state or local government | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | Total of lines 4 and 5 | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | Has the organization adopted management practices and procedures to post-issuance compliance of its tax-exempt bond liabilities? | ensure the | х | | Х | | Х | | Х | |
| Pai | rt IV Arbitrage | | | | | | | | | |
| | | A | | | <u>B</u> | | <u>C</u> | | D | |
| 1 | Has a Form 8038-T, Arbitrage Rebate, Yield Reduction and Penalty in Lieu of Arbitrage Rebate, been filed with respect to the bond issue? | Yes | No | Yes | No | Yes | i | X | Yes | No X |
| 2 | Is the bond issue a variable rate issue? | × | | | X | | | X | | X |
| 3a | Has the organization or the governmental issuer entered into a hedge with respect to the bond issue? | X | | | X | | | Х | | Х |
| | | BANK OF AM | EDICA | 0 | | 0 | | | | |
| <u>ь</u> | Name of provider | DANKOLAM | LNICA | <u> </u> | | | | | | |
| С | Term of hedge | | 8 9 | | | | | | | |
| d | Was the hedge superintegrated? | | x | | | | | | | |
| e | Was a hedge terminated? | | × | | | | | | | |

Part V Procedures To Undertake Corrective Action

Did the bond issue qualify for an exception to rebate?

Was the regulatory safe harbor for establishing the fair market

Were any gross proceeds invested beyond an available temporary

Χ

Χ

Х

Χ

Χ

Χ

Χ

Χ

Part VI Supplemental Information

Were gross proceeds invested in a GIC?

Name of provider

value of the GIC satisfied?

Term of GIC

period?

4a

C

5

Complete this part to provide additional information for responses to questions on Schedule K (see instructions)

| Ident if ier | Return Reference | Explanation |
|---------------------|---------------------|--|
| TAX-EXEMPT BONDS | | PLEASE REFER TO THE SCHEDULE O SUPPLEMENTAL NARRATIVE INFORMATION WITH RESPECT TO CORE FORM, PART X, LINE 20, TAX-EXEMPT BOND LIABILITIES |
| | | |

Χ

Χ

Χ

Χ

Schedule K (Form 990)

Supplemental Information on Tax Exempt Bonds ► Complete if the organization answered "Yes" to Form 990, Part IV, line 24a. Provide descriptions,

OMB No 1545-0047

DLN: 93493133004303

Open to Public **Inspection**

Department of the Treasury Internal Revenue Service

explanations, and any additional information in Schedule O (Form 990). ► Attach to Form 990. ► See separate instructions.

| Nan | ne of the organization | | | | | | | | | E | mployer | identific | ation nur | nber | |
|-----|--|------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|--------------------|------------|--------------|---------------------------------|------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------|----------------------|-----------------|----|
| ST | LUKE'S HOSPITAL OF BETHLEF | HEM PA | | | | | | | | 2 | 3-1352 | 2213 | | | |
| Р | art I Bond Issues | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | (a) Issuer Name | (b) Issuer EIN | (c) CUSIP # | (d) Date Issued | (e) Issue F | rice | (f) |) Description | of Purpose | (g) De |) Defeased Beha | | On alf of suer | olf of (1) Pool | |
| | | | | | | | | | | Yes | No | Yes | No | Yes | No |
| A | NORTHAMPTON COUNTY GENERAL PURPOSE AUTHORITY | 23-1352213 | 66353RBC7 | 05-13-2010 | 34,92 | 5,000 | REFU EQUI | IND, ANDER IPMENT | SON & | | x | | Х | | × |
| В | NEW JERSEY HEALTHCARE FINANCING AUTHORITY | 22-1987084 | 64579FX73 | 01-31-2012 | 42,15 | 0,000 | | CQUISITION OF WARREN OSPITAL | | | х | | Х | | х |
| Pa | rt III Proceeds | • | | | | | | | | • | | | | | |
| | A | | | | <u> </u> | 4 | | | В | | С | | | D | |
| 1 | A mount of bonds retired | | | | | | 0 | | 0 | | | | | | |
| | A mount of bonds defeased | | | 0 | | 0 | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Total proceeds of issue | | 34,925 | | | 42,150,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | Gross proceeds in reserve fun | | 3,621 | 1,913 | | 4,545,676 | | | | | | | | | |
| _5 | Capitalized interest from proc | | | | | | 0 | | 0 | | | | | | |
| 6 | Proceeds in refunding escrow | | | | | 30,077 | 7,377 | | 42,150,000 | | | | | | |
| 7 | Issuance costs from proceeds | | | | | 651 | 1,817 | 817 201,248 | | | | | | | |
| 8 | Credit enhancement from proc | | | | | | 0 | 0 0 | | | | | | | |
| 9 | Working capital expenditures | <u> </u> | | | | | 0 | | 0 | | | | | | |
| 10 | Capital expenditures from pro | ceeds | | | | 4,517 | 7,835 | | 0 | | | | | | |
| 11 | Other spent proceeds | | | | | | 0 | | 0 | | | | | | |
| 12 | Other unspent proceeds | | | | | | 0 | | 0 | | | | | | |
| 13 | Year of substantial completion | n | | | 20 | 11 | | 20 | 12 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | Yes | N | 0 | Yes | No | Yes | | No | Yes | | No |
| 14 | Were the bonds issued as part | t of a current refundi | ing issue? | | | Х | (| | Х | | | | | | |
| 15 | 15 Were the bonds issued as part of an advance refunding issue? | | | | | | | X | | | | | | | |
| 16 | 6 Has the final allocation of proceeds been made? | | | | | | | Х | | _ | | | | | |
| 17 | Does the organization maintain adequate books and records to support the final allocation of proceeds? | | | | | | | х | | _ | | _ | | | |
| Pa | rt IIII Private Business U | Jse | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 4 | Α | | l | 3 | | С | | | D | |

property financed by tax-exempt bonds?

Was the organization a partner in a partnership, or a member of an LLC, which owned

Are there any lease arrangements that may result in private business use of bond-

No

Χ

Χ

No

Χ

Χ

Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes

No

No

| sche | dule K (Form 990) 2011 | | | | | | | | Page ∠ |
|------|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|-----|---------------|
| Par | Private Business Use (Continued) | | | | | | | | |
| | | | A | | В | | С | | D |
| | | Yes | No | Yes | No | Yes | No | Yes | No |
| 3a | Are there any management or service contracts that may result in private business use? | | х | | х | | | | |
| b | If 'Yes' to line 3a, does the organization routinely engage bond counsel or other outside counsel to review any management or service contracts relating to the financed property? | | | | | | | | |
| С | Are there any research agreements that may result in private business use of bond-financed property? | | х | | х | | | | |
| d | If 'Yes' to line 3c, does the organization routinely engage bond counsel or other outside counsel to review any research agreements relating to the financed property? | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | Enter the percentage of financed property used in a private business use by entities other than a section 501(c)(3) organization or a state or local government | | 0 % | | 0 % | | • | | |
| 5 | Enter the percentage of financed property used in a private business use as a result of unrelated trade or business activity carried on by your organization, another section 501(c)(3) organization, or a state or local government | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | Total of lines 4 and 5 | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | Has the organization adopted management practices and procedures to ensure the post-issuance compliance of its tax-exempt bond liabilities? | Х | | Х | | | | | |

Part IV Arbitrage

| | | | Α | | В | | С | | |
|--------|--|---------------|----|-----|----|-----|----|-----|----|
| | | Yes | No | Yes | No | Yes | No | Yes | No |
| 1 | Has a Form 8038-T, Arbitrage Rebate, Yield Reduction and Penalty in Lieu of Arbitrage Rebate, been filed with respect to the | | | | | | | | |
| | bond issue? | | × | | x | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | Is the bond issue a variable rate issue? | | X | X | | | | | |
| 3a | Has the organization or the governmental issuer entered | | | | | | | | |
| | into a hedge with respect to the bond issue? | | | | | | | | |
| | | X | | | Х | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| b | Name of provider | BANKOFAMERICA | | 0 | | | | | |
| С | Term of hedge | | 5 | | | | | | |
| d | Was the hedge superintegrated? | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Х | | | | | | |
| е | Was a hedge terminated? | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Х | | | | | | |
| 4a | Were gross proceeds invested in a GIC? | | Х | | Х | | | | |
| b | Name of provider | 0 | | 0 | | | | | |
| С | Term of GIC | | | | | | | | |
| d | Was the regulatory safe harbor for establishing the fair market | | | | | | | | |
| | value of the GIC satisfied? | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | Were any gross proceeds invested beyond an available temporary period? | X | | X | | | | | |
| | po | ^ | | _ ^ | | | | | |
| 6 | 6 Did the bond issue qualify for an exception to rebate? | | Х | | Х | | | | |

Part V **Procedures To Undertake Corrective Action**

Check the box if the organization established written procedures to ensure that violations of federal tax requirements are timely identified and corrected through the voluntary

Part VI **Supplemental Information**

Complete this part to provide additional information for responses to questions on Schedule K (see instructions)

Identifier Return Reference Explanation efile GRAPHIC print - DO NOT PROCESS

As Filed Data -

DLN: 93493133004303

Schedule L

Department of the Treasury

Internal Revenue Service

(Form 990 or 990-EZ)

Transactions with Interested Persons

► Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, lines 25a, 25b, 26, 27, 28a, 28b, or 28c, or Form 990-EZ, Part V lines 38a or 40b.

► Attach to Form 990 or Form 990-EZ. ► See separate instructions.

OMB No 1545-0047

2011

Open to Public Inspection

| | of the organization 'S HOSPITAL OF BETHLEHEM PA | | | | | | | E | mployer id | dent if ica | tion numbe | er | | | | | |
|--------------------------|---|-----------|----------|----------------------|--|--|-----------|-------------------------|------------|-------------|-------------|--------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 31 LOILE | o noor incorporation | | | | | | | 2 | 3-13522 | 13 | | | | | | | |
| Part I | | | | | (c)Original rincipal amount (d)Balance due (e) In default? Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Complete if the organizat | ion ans | wered " | Yes" on Forr | m 990, F T | Part IV, line 25a d | or 25b, c | or Form | 990-EZ, | Part V , I | ine 40b | (a) | | | | | |
| 1 | (a) Name of disq | ualıfıed | person | | | (b) Desc | cription | of trans | action | | Cor | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | . , | • | | | | Yes | No | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 F | | | | | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | iter the amount of tax imposi ection 4958 | ea on ti | ne orgai | | | | | _ | ear under | r · \$ | | | | | | | |
| 3 Er | nter the amount of tax, if any | , on line | 2,abo | ve, reımburs | ed by th | ie organization . | | | 🕨 | \$ | | | | | | | |
| Part 1 | Loans to and/or F | rom | Intere | sted Dere | eons | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| i di c | | | | | |), Part IV, line 26 | , or Forr | n 990-E | Z, Part V | , lıne 38 | a | | | | | | |
| | | (b) ∟ | oan to | | | | | () \ \ () \ \ () + + | | | | | | | | | |
| (a) Nai | me of interested person and | 1 | m the | | | (d)Balance due | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | purpose | | zation? | principal a | amount | (3.72 3.33.33 3.33.3 | | | | | - J | | | | | | |
| | | То | From | | | | Yes | No | Yes | No | Yes | No | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| T - t - l | | | | | . . | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Part I i | | | nefitt | | | 23-1352213 (3) and section 501 (c)(4) organizations only). (6) (6) (7) (8) (9) (9) (9) (9) (1) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| rait I. | | | | | | | , line 2 | 27. | | | | | | | | | |
| | (a) Name of interested pers | | | b) Relationsh | np betwe | een interested per | | | nount of a | rant or ty | ne of assis | stance | | | | | |
| | (a) traine of interested pore | | | an | id the or | ganızatıon | | (-) | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | _ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |

Part IV Business Transactions Involving Interested Persons.

Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 28a, 28b, or 28c.

| Complete if the organization answered Tes off Form 350, Fart IV, line 200, 200, of 200. | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|----------------------------|--------------------------------|---|----|--|--|--|
| (a) Name of interested person | (b) Relationship between interested person and the | (c) A mount of transaction | (d) Description of transaction | (e) Sharing of organization's revenues? | | | | |
| | organization | | | Yes | No | | | |
| (1) PARK AVE QUAKERTOWN LP | DIRECTOR - WARNER | 859,643 | LEASE OF OFFICE SPACE | | No | | | |
| (2) STEVENS LEE | DIRECTOR - WIEAND, JR | 98,024 | LEGAL SERVICES | | No | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

Part V **Supplemental Information**

Complete this part to provide additional information for responses to questions on Schedule L (see instructions)

| Identifier | Return Reference | Explanation |
|--|---------------------|--|
| BUSINESS TRANSACTIONS INVOLVING INTERESTED PERSONS | SCHEDULE L, PART IV | ANDREWF S WARNER IS A DIRECTOR OF THIS ORGANIZATION THIS ORGANIZATION UTILIZED THE SERVICES OF HIS COMPANY, PARK AVE QUAKERTOWN, LP, DURING THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2012 TOTAL FEES PAID TO PARK AVE QUAKERTOWN, LP WERE \$859,643 SERVICES WERE RENDERED AT FAIR MARKET VALUE RATES PURSUANT TO ARM'S LENGTH NEGOTIATIONS DONALD E WIEAND, ESQ IS A DIRECTOR OF THIS ORGANIZATION THIS ORGANIZATION UTILIZED THE SERVICES OF HIS COMPANY, STEVENS & LEE, DURING THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2012 TOTAL FEES PAID TO STEVENS & LEE WERE \$98,024 SERVICES WERE RENDERED AT FAIR MARKET VALUE RATES PURSUANT TO ARM'S LENGTH NEGOTIATIONS |

Schedule L (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2011

efile GRAPHIC print - DO NOT PROCESS

As Filed Data -

DLN: 93493133004303

SCHEDULE O (Form 990 or 990-EZ)

Department of the Treasury
Internal Revenue Service

Supplemental Information to Form 990 or 990-EZ

Complete to provide information for responses to specific questions on Form 990 or to provide any additional information.

▶ Attach to Form 990 or 990-EZ.

OMB No 1545-0047

Open to Public Inspection

| Name of the organization ST LUKE'S HOSPITAL OF BETHLEHEM PA | Employer identific | cation number |
|---|--------------------|---------------|
| | 23-1352213 | |

| ldentifier | Return Reference | Explanation |
|-----------------------------|--|--|
| COMMUNITY BENEFIT STATEMENT | CORE FORM, PART III, STATEMENT OF PROGRAM SERVICE ACCOMPLISHMENTS | St Luke's University Hospital of Bethlehem, Pennsylvania is comprised of three campuses in Lehigh County, Pennsylvania one in Bethlehem("SL-Bethlehem") d ba as St Luke's University Hospital, one in Allentown ("SL-Allentown"), d ba St Luke's Allentown", do a in Bethlehem Township, d ba as St Luke's Anderson Hospital ("SL-Anderson) St Luke's University Hospital is recognized by the IRS as an internal revenue code section 50 1(c)(3) tax-exempt organization. Pursuant to its charitable purposes, St Luke's University Hospital provides medically necessary healthcare services to all individuals in a non-discriminatory manner regardless of race, color, creed, sex, national origin, religion or ability to pay. Moreover, St Luke's University Hospital operates consistently with the foll owing criteria outlined in IRS revenue ruling 69-545. 1 St Luke's University Hospital porates consistently with the foll owing religion or ability to pay. Medicare and Medicard patients, 2. St Luke's University Hospital operates consistently with the foll owing or ability to pay including charity care, self-pay, Medicare and Medicard patients, 2. St Luke's University Hospital operates an active emergency room for all persons, which is open 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, 365 days per year, 3. St Luke's University Hospital instants an open medical staff, with privileges available to all qualified physicians, 4. Control of St Luke's University Hospital rests with its board of trustees and the board of trustees of St Luke's Health Network, linc., d. b. a. St. Luke's University Hospital store the self-patient care, expand and renovate facilities and davance medical care, programs and activities. The operations of St. Luke's University Hospital, as shown through the factors outlined above and other information contained herein, clearly demonstrate that the use and control of St. Luke's University Hospital is for the benefit of the public and that no part of the income or net earnings of the organization inverse to the benefit of any private indivi |

| ldentifier | Return Reference | Explanation |
|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| COMMUNITY BENEFIT STATEMENT | CORE FORM, PART III, STATEMENT OF PROGRAM SERVICE ACCOMPLISHMENTS | f two certified for stroke programs, comprehensive surgical services excluding heart trans plants, established St Luke's Heart Valve Center, St Luke's Atrial Fibrillation Center, St Luke's Vascular Center and St Luke's Women's Heart Center, offering multidisciplinary approaches to diagnosis and treatment options. St Luke's was selected as one of the few hospitals in the U.S. to offer Transaortic Valve Replacement (TAVR), a catheter-based valve replacement procedure, the first institution in the region approved to perform the TAVR procedure independently. St Luke's is also one of the region's busiest sites for thoracic stent graft repair of thoracic aortic diseases resulting from trauma or aneurysms. In FY '12, St Luke's was named one of the 50 Top Cardiovascular Hospitals in the nation by Thomson Reuters. St Luke's Heart & Vascular Center has offices in Allentown, Bethlehem, Coald ale, Easton, East Stroudsburg, Quakertown and Wind Gap - neuroscience coordinated care is provided for neurology, neurosurgery, neuro rehabilitation, stroke, pain management, psy chology and sleep services accredited stroke center (Allentown/Bethlehem), additional centers of excellence include balance center, headache center, epilepsy center (including an Epilepsy Monitoring Unit), memory disorders center, movement disorders center, normal pre-ssure hydrocephalus center, brain and spine tumor center, movement and re-construction, computer-assisted minimally invasive surgery, primary and reconstructive surgery of the spine, sports injuries, diseases and conditions of the shoulder, hand and elbo w, traumatic injuries, comprehensive sports medicine - radiology/interventional services enterprise agreement with GE healthcare, making St Luke's one of only a few healthcare ne tworks in the country partnering with GE to develop new imaging technology through the use of all-digital systems. In addition, St Luke's is an international show site for GE, bri nging physicians from all of the world to visit the Network and observe procedures being p |

| ldentifier | Return Reference | Explanation |
|--|---|---|
| COMMUNITY BENEFIT STATEMENT CONTINUED | CORE FORM, PART III, STATEMENT OF PROGRAM SERVICE ACCOMPLISHMENTS | St Luke's Allentown Hospital — St Luke's Allentown Hospital (SL-Allentown) was founded in 1945 as the Allentown Osteopathic Medical Center and is loca ted in the west end of the city of Allentow in 1997, the Medical Center entered into a merger with St Luke's Since jorning St Luke's, the 158-bed SL-Allentown has experienced triple digit increases in admissions and St Luke's has invested more than \$150 million in technologic and facility improvements. A five-story addition, opened in June 2003, included a 10,000-square-foot emergency department expansion, five state-of-the-art operating in ordinary and the state-of-the-art operating in the state |

| Identifier | Return Reference | Explanation |
|--|---|---|
| COMMUNITY BENEFIT STATEMENT CONTINUED | CORE FORM, PART III, STATEMENT OF PROGRAM SERVICE ACCOMPLISHMENTS | services The Medical Office Building provides imaging, physical therapy, laboratory and o ther outpatient testing, a health and fitness center and offices for a wide range of physic can specialists. SL-Anderson is service oriented with a goal to reduce patient and famly stress and anxiety and to provide a calm and reassuring environment by meeting, and often exceeding, their personal needs. Softer lighting is used in the hallways and the door is done in relaxing earth tones, available amenities include plush robes, iPads to connect to the internet, a recliner and comfortable sofa bed in every room and an afternoon tea ser vice SL-Anderson also focuses on making its services easy to access. For example, MRI app ointments are available on Saturdays and all-digital mammography is offered at 6.30 a.m. to accommodate working women. Areas of advanced clinical expertise include. Advanced imagin g technologies such as a wide-bore MRI that offers uncompromised image quality, and a high-definition, low-dose CT that reduces radiation exposure up to 50 percent—fewer than 50 of these CTs have been installed in the U.S. Normal Pressure Hydrocephalus (NPH) Center—the first of its kind in the region, the center provides a unique multi-disciplinary appro ach for early diagnosis and treatment for NPH, an increase in intracranial pressure due to an abnormal accumulation of cerebrospinal fluid in the ventricles of the brain. Additionally, patients have access to tertiary and specialty care provided on site by physicions based at \$1 Luke's University Hospital as noted elsewhere in this document. Background and statistical information accessing a service and the services and provides a services in Bethlehem Today, \$1 Luke's has grown into one of Pennsylvania's largest integrated healthcare networks and enjoys a national reputation for clinical excellence. \$1 Luke's provides services in Bethlehem Today, \$1 Luke's has grown into one of Pennsylvania's largest integrated healthcare networks and enjoys a national reputation for clinical |

| ldentifier | Return Reference | Explanation |
|--|---|--|
| COMMUNITY BENEFIT STATEMENT CONTINUED | CORE FORM, PART III, STATEMENT OF PROGRAM SERVICE ACCOMPLISHMENTS | - St Luke's Allentown/Bethlehem received Joint Commission's Disease Specific Care Certification for Stroke - Designated Center of Excellence by American Society for Metabolic and Barratric Surgery and Surgical Review corporation, Medicare patients are required to full ze Center of Excellence barratric programs, zero mortality, top decile performance length of stay - Only hospital in region named one of the nation's 100 Top Hospitals (Truven), St. Luke's honored twice - Received seven HAP Achievement Association Stroke Quality Achievement Award State Awards - Received seven HAP Achievement Awards (2010) most ever by one health care organization. Community Support |

| ldentifier | Return Reference | Explanation |
|--|--|--|
| COMMUNITY BENEFIT STATEMENT CONTINUED | CORE FORM, PART III, STATEMENT OF PROGRAM SERVICE ACCOMPLISHMENTS | one of only 400 members of the prestigious Council of Teaching Hospitals St Luke's is diedicated to quality medical education coupled with compassionate patient-centered, technol ogically sophisticated care. The goal of St Luke's graduate medical education program is to train young physicians who will have the knowledge and skills to enter private practice and/or go into fellowships for further training Each year, more than 180 interns/residen ts/fellows train at Luke's 23 fully accredited programs. Medical education programs are con ducted primarily at the Bethlehem and Allentown campuses. St Luke's residency programs in clude dental, emergency medicine, family medicine, internal medicine, general surgery, ob stetrics and gynecology, orthopaedic surgery, pharmacy, podiatry, fellowships include sports medicine, hospice and palliative care, geriatrics, cardiology, surgical critical care, podiatric dermatology. St Luke's offers the following advanced practitioner programs. Certified Registered Nurse Anesthetist Program (enrollees from La Salle University), Certifi ed Registered Nurse Practitioner Programs (enrollees from DeSales University, Drexel University), Emergency Medicine PA/NP Fellowships, Trauma/Surgical Critical Care PA/NP Fellowships, Physician Assistant Program (enrollees from Clemson University, DeSales University, King's College, Penn State University) and Physician Assistant Observer Programs. St Luke's serves as a major training site for allied health professions, Yearly, more than 200 all ied health students spend more than 55,000 hours at St Luke's - an average of 250 hours per student. Allied health professionals work in teams to facilitate functionality of the healthcare systemthrough provision of a range of diagnostic, technical, therapeutic and direct patient care and support services. Allied health professionals train in many disciplines including lab, medical assistants, MRI, nuclear medicine, phlebotomy, physical/occupational therapy, athletic trainers, radiology and respiratory care. Stu |

| Identifier | Return Reference | Explanation |
|---------------------------------------|---|---|
| COMMUNITY BENEFIT STATEMENT CONTINUED | CORE FORM, PART III, STATEMENT OF PROGRAM SERVICE ACCOMPLISHMENTS | St Luke's Community Health Department's mission is to improve the health status and quality of life of the community, especially for individuals with limited resources. St Luke's provides the administrative leadership, staff and financial support for the Bethlehem Part trenship for a Healthy Community. Established in 1996 by the Board of Trustees of St Luke 's University Health Network, the Partnership is a national model for collaborative effort is to improve access to health care severices. Currently more than 200 participation or collaborative effort is to improve access to health care severices. Currently more than a community or ganizations, are actively involved in Partnership programs. The Partnership philosophy is through community participation with shared responsibility, the physical, mental, emotional and community or accession individuals and the quality of life in the community can be en hanced. Services are provided primarily to at-risk and underserved children and adults through St. Luke's four mobile health/dental vans. Under St. Luke's leadership, Partnership a chievements for FY 121 included Mobile Youth Health Centers traveled to area high schools, middle schools and adolescent shelters and provided nearly 2,000 patient visits to 238 individual uninsured students, Mobile Dental Center visited more than 30 schools and agencies throughout the region and provided dental care to for 2,811 patient visits for low-income, at-risk children, provided specialized pediatric dental care and adult care at the Eas ton Dental Center for 3,995 patient visits, the Dental Health Center of the Sub-Health Health Center of the Sub-Health Health Center of the Sub-Health Health Center and adult care at the Eas ton Dental Center for 3,995 patient visits, the Dental Health Center of the Visits and provided primary and preventive care for low-income families through 2,882 patient visits at the Donegan Fowler Family Center at Donegan Bemen trany School in South Bethlehem foxicity and the Sub-Health Patient Patientship |

| ldentifier | Return Reference | Explanation |
|--|--|---|
| COMMUNITY BENEFIT STATEMENT CONTINUED | CORE FORM, PART III, STATEMENT OF PROGRAM SERVICE ACCOMPLISHMENTS | clinic patient visits were provided. In December 2008, the Board of Trustees of St. Luke's University Health Network redesigned the Network's Charity Care Program for patient acce sis to discounted hospital services. The Network has established a community benefit tracking system to comply with new IRS Form 990 Guidelines (effective 2009) to report community benefit activities / expenditures. Additional community supported included, but is not limited to, the following -Opening of St. Luke's Anderson Hospital community event 3,500 pe ople attended the two-day Hospital Open House Weekend on Oct 15, 16, 2011, Hospital tours provided, screenings included blood pressure, bone density and children's height and weight checks, health and safety. Hospital technology and specialist service information provided, event services and programs provided through 120 hours of clinical service information provided, event services and programs provided through 120 hours of clinical service hours and 71 hours of non-clinical volunteer hours, 120 hours of nutritional services to prepare/se rive food, 16 hours of security/housekeeping services. Total cost \$32,000 -St. Luke's And erson Campus Community Day, June 2, 2012, 1,300 people attended, activities included Hospital and St. Luke's Health & Fitness Center tours, five sortings (dexa heel scans, chole sterol, gip strength testing, balance/fall, vascular, skin cancer, blood pressure, body c omposition analysis, sun safe information, family and friends CPR), children' activities fo coused primarily on safety, event services and programs provided through 64 hours of clinical service hours, 132 hours non-clinical volunteer hours, 78 hours nutritional services to prepare/serve \$3,500 in food, 8 hours of security/housekeeping services, \$21,500 costs, excluding food - St. Luke's hospitals in Allentown, Bethlehem and Bethlehem Tow nship offer ed a full range of free health screenings, immunizations, educational programs. These programs served 178,695 people -St. Luke's hospitals in nine r |

| ldentifier | Return Reference | Explanation |
|------------------------------|--|---|
| OTHER PROGRAM SERVICES | CORE FORM, PART III, QUESTION 4D | EXPENSES INCURRED IN PROVIDING VARIOUS OTHER MEDICALLY NECESSARY HEALTHCARE SERVICES TO ALL INDIVIDUALS IN A NON-DISCRIMINATORY MANNER REGARDLESS OF RACE, COLOR, CREED, SEX, NATIONAL ORIGIN, RELIGION OR ABILITY TO PAY PLEASE REFER TO THE ORGANIZATION'S COMMUNITY BENEFIT STATEMENT INCLUDED IN SCHEDULE O |

| Identifier Return Reference | Explanation |
|---|--|
| DISCLOSURE CORE FORM PART VI, SECTION A, QUESTION 4 | ON JANUARY 31, 2012, ST LUKE'S UNIVERSITY HEALTH NETWORK, INC. PURCHASED WARREN HEALTHCARE, INC., A NOT FOR-PROFIT HOLDING COMPANY. WARREN HEALTHCARE, INC. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES BECAME A PART OF THE ST. LUKE'S CORPORATE SYSTEM ON THIS DATE THE ORGANIZATION BECAME THE SOLE MEMBER OF WARREN HEALTHCARE, INC. AND THE SOLE MEMBER OF WARREN HOSPITAL BECAME ST. LUKE'S UNIVERSITY HOSPITAL. THE SUBSIDIARIES INCLUDE (A) WARREN HOSPITAL, A NOT FOR-PROFIT ACUTE CARE FACILITY LOCATED IN PHILLIPSBURG, NEW JERSEY, (B) WARREN RISK RETENTION GROUP, (C) WARREN PA PROFESSIONAL ALLIANCE, INC., (D) WARREN HEALTH CARE ALLIANCE, P.C., AND (E) HILLCREST EMERGENCY SERVICES, P.C. ALSO INCLUDED IN THE ACQUISITION WAS (A) THE WARREN HOSPITAL FOUNDATION, INC., A NOT FOR-PROFIT CHARITABLE FOUNDATION, (B) HILLCREST MANAGEMENT SERVICES ORGANIZATION, INC., AND (C) TWO RIVERS ENTERPRISES, INC. IN CONNECTION WITH THE ACQUISITION, WARREN HOSPITAL'S NAME WAS CHANGED TO ST. LUKE'S WARREN HOSPITAL, INC., THE PARENT'S NAME WAS CHANGED TO ST. LUKE'S WARREN HEALTHCARE, INC. AND THE FOUNDATION WAS RENAMED ST. LUKE'S WARREN HOSPITAL FOUNDATION, INC. |

| ldentifier | Return Reference | Explanation |
|---------------------------|--|--|
| DISCLOSURE INFORMATION | CORE FORM, PART VI, SECTION A, QUESTIONS 6 & 7 | ST LUKE'S HEALTH NETWORK, INC IS THE SOLE MEMBER OF THIS ORGANIZATION ST LUKE'S HEALTH NETWORK, INC HAS THE RIGHT TO ELECT THE MEMBERS OF THIS ORGANIZATION'S BOARD OF TRUSTEES AND HAS CERTAIN RESERVED POWERS AS DEFINED IN THIS ORGANIZATION'S BYLAWS |

| ldentifier | Return Reference | Explanation |
|---------------------------|---|--|
| DISCLOSURE INFORMATION | CORE FORM, PART VI, SECTION B, QUESTION 11b | THE ORGANIZATION IS AN AFFILIATE IN THE ST LUKE'S UNIVERSITY HEALTH NETWORK ("NETWORK"), A TAX-EXEMPT INTEGRATED HEALTH-CARE DELIVERY NETWORK ST LUKE'S HEALTH NETWORK, INC IS THE PARENT ENTITY OF THE NETWORK. THE ORGANIZATION'S FEDERAL FORM 990 WAS PROVIDED TO EACH VOTING MEMBER OF THE ORGANIZATIONS GOVERNING BODY (IT'S BOARD OF DIRECTORS) PRIOR TO THE FILING WITH THE IRS IN ADDITION, THE ST LUKE'S UNIVERSITY HEALTH NETWORK FINANCE COMMITTEE WAS UPDATED AS TO THIS ORGANIZATION'S CURRENT YEAR FORM 990 PRIOR TO FILING ST LUKE'S HEALTH NETWORK, INC BOARD OF DIRECTORS HAS DELEGATED TO THE FINANCE COMMITTEE THE RESPONSIBILITY TO OVERSEE AND COORDINATE THE FEDERAL FORM 990 PREPARATION AND FILING PROCESS FOR THE TAX-EXEMPT AFFILIATES OF THE NETWORK AS PART OF THE ORGANIZATION'S FEDERAL FORM 990 TAX RETURN PREPARATION PROCESS THE ORGANIZATION HIRED A PROFESSIONAL CPA FIRM WITH EXPERIENCE AND EXPERTISE IN BOTH HEALTHCARE AND NOT-FOR-PROFIT TAX RETURN PREPARATION TO PREPARE THE FEDERAL FORM 990 THE CPA FIRM'S TAX PROFESSIONALS WORKED CLOSELY WITH THE ORGANIZATION'S VICE PRESIDENT FINANCE AND SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT FINANCE AND VARIOUS OTHER INDIVIDUALS OF THE NETWORK TO OBTAIN THE INFORMATION NEEDED IN ORDER TO PREPARE A COMPLETE AND ACCURATE TAX RETURN THE CPA FIRM PREPARED A DRAFT FEDERAL FORM 990 AND FURNISHED IT TO THE ORGANIZATION'S INTERNAL WORKING GROUP AND OTHER INDIVIDUALS REVIEWED THE DRAFT FEDERAL FORM 990 AND DISCUSSED QUESTIONS AND COMMENTS WITH THE CPA FIRM PREPARED A DRAFT FEDERAL FORM 990 AND DISCUSSED QUESTIONS AND COMMENTS WITH THE CPA FIRM PREPARED A DRAFT FEDERAL FORM 990 WHERE NECESSARY AND A FINAL DRAFT WAS FURNISHED BY THE CPA FIRM TO THE ORGANIZATION'S INTERNAL WORKING GROUP AND OTHER INDIVIDUALS FOR THEIR REVIEW THE ORGANIZATION'S INTERNAL WORKING GROUP AND VARIOUS OTHER INDIVIDUALS FOR FINAL FEDERAL FORM 990 WHERE NECESSARY AND A FINAL DRAFT WAS FURNISHED BY THE CPA FIRM TO THE ORGANIZATION'S INTERNAL WORKING GROUP AND OTHER FEDERAL FORM 990 TO THE MEMBERS OF THE ST LUKE'S HEALTH NETWORK, INC FINA |

| ldentifier | Return Reference | Explanation |
|---------------------------|---|--|
| DISCLOSURE INFORMATION | CORE FORM, PART VI, SECTION B, QUESTION 12 | THE ORGANIZATION HAS A WRITTEN CONFLICT OF INTEREST POLICY AND REGULARLY MONITORS AND ENFORCES COMPLIANCE WITH THAT POLICY THE POLICY REQUIRES THAT A CONFLICT OF INTEREST DISCLOSURE FORM CONSISTENT WITH BEST GOVERNANCE PRACTICES AND INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE GUIDELINES BE CIRCULATED TO OFFICERS, DIRECTORS, AND KEY EMPLOYEES ANNUALLY THE NETWORK'S CORPORATE COMPLIANCE OFFICER AND SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT/GENERAL COUNSEL ASSUME RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE COMPLETION OF THE CONFLICT OF INTEREST QUESTIONNAIRES AND ENFORCEMENT WITH THE POLICY IF A DIRECTOR DISCLOSES AN INTEREST THAT COULD GIVE RISE TO A CONFLICT, THE DIRECTOR'S POTENTIAL CONFLICT MAY BE DISCLOSED TO THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS, WHICH EVALUATES THE CONFLICT AND ITS POTENTIAL IMPACT ON THE DIRECTOR'S PARTICIPATION ON THE BOARD AFTER CONSULTATION AND DISCUSSION, THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS MAY TAKE ACTION, IF APPROPRIATE AND NECESSARY, TO ADDRESS ANY SUCH CONFLICT IN A MANNER CONSISTENT WITH THE ORGANIZATION'S CONFLICT OF INTEREST POLICY |

| ldentifier | Return Reference | Explanation |
|---------------------------|---|--|
| DISCLOSURE INFORMATION | CORE FORM, PART VI, SECTION B, QUESTION 15 | Compensation Review Executive compensation for the health network consists of fixed salary, at-risk compensation and other deferred compensation arrangements. Total compensation for network executives is approved annually by the network's Board of directors. The recommended compensation is established through a multi-faceted approach including use of an independent consultant engaged on an ongoing basis by the Board of DIRECTORS and who works directly with the Executive Compensation Committee of the board. Also included is the review of forms 990 and compensation surveys of other comparable healthcare organizations. Please refer to the schedule J, part III response to Schedule J, Part I, Question 3 for a more detailed description. |

| ldentifier | Return Reference | Explanation |
|---------------------------|---|--|
| DISCLOSURE INFORMATION | CORE FORM, PART VI, SECTION C, QUESTION 19 | ST LUKE'S HEALTH NETWORK, INC, WHICH IS THE PARENT ENTITY OF THIS AFFILIATE, HAS ISSUED TAX-EXEMPT BONDS TO FINANCE VARIOUS CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS, RENOVATIONS AND EQUIPMENT IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE ISSUANCE OF THESE TAX-EXEMPT BONDS, THE ORGANIZATION'S FINANCIAL STATEMENTS WERE INCLUDED WITH THE TAX-EXEMPT BOND PROSPECTUS WHICH WAS MADE AVAILABLE TO THE GENERAL PUBLIC FOR REVIEW IN ADDITION, THE ORGANIZATION'S FILED CERTIFICATE OF INCORPORATION AND ANY AMENDMENTS CAN BE OBTAINED AND REVIEWED THROUGH THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA SECRETARY OF STATE |

| ldentifier | Return Reference | Explanation |
|---|--|--|
| COMPENSATION INFORMATION DISCLOSURE | CORE FORM, PART VII AND SCHEDULE J | PART VII AND SCHEDULE J REFLECT CERTAIN BOARD MEMBERS AND OFFICERS RECEIVING COMPENSATION AND BENEFITS FROM A RELATED ORGANIZATION PLEASE NOTE THIS REMUNERATION WAS FOR SERVICES RENDERED AS FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES OF THIS ORGANIZATION AND RELATED ORGANIZATIONS AND NOT FOR SERVICES RENDERED AS A VOTING MEMBER OR OFFICER OF THIS ORGANIZATION'S BOARD OF DIRECTORS |

| ldentifier | Return Reference | Explanation |
|--------------------------------|---|--|
| RELATED HOURS DISCLOSURE | CORE FORM, PART VII, SECTION A, COLUMN B | THE ORGANIZATION IS AN AFFILIATE IN THE ST LUKE'S UNIVERSITY HEALTH NETWORK ("NETWORK"), A TAX-EXEMPT INTEGRATED HEALTHCARE DELIVERY NETWORK THE NETWORK INCLUDES BOTH FOR-PROFIT AND NOT FOR-PROFIT ORGANIZATIONS CERTAIN BOARD OF DIRECTOR MEMBERS, OFFICERS AND/OR DIRECTORS LISTED ON CORE FORM, PART VII AND SCHEDULE J OF THIS FORM 990 MAY HOLD SIMILAR POSITIONS WITH BOTH THIS ORGANIZATION AND OTHER AFFILIATES WITHIN THE NETWORK. THE HOURS SHOWN ON THIS FORM 990 FOR BOARD MEMBERS WHO RECEIVE NO COMPENSATION FOR SERVICES RENDERED IN A NON-BOARD CAPACITY, REPRESENTS THE ESTIMATED HOURS DEVOTED PER WEEK FOR THIS ORGANIZATION. TO THE EXTENT THESE INDIVIDUALS SERVE AS A MEMBER OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF OTHER RELATED ORGANIZATIONS IN THE NETWORK, THEIR RESPECTIVE HOURS PER WEEK PER ORGANIZATION ARE APPROXIMATELY ONE HOUR. THE HOURS REFLECTED ON PART VII OF THIS FORM 990, FOR BOARD MEMBERS WHO RECEIVE COMPENSATION FOR SERVICES RENDERED IN A NON-BOARD CAPACITY, PAID OFFICERS AND KEY EMPLOYEES, REFLECT TOTAL HOURS WORKED PER WEEK ON BEHALF OF THE NETWORK, NOT SOLELY THIS ORGANIZATION |

| ldentifier | Return Reference | Explanation |
|---|--------------------------------------|---|
| INDEPENDENT CONTRACTORS INFORMATION DISCLOSURE | CORE FORM, PART VII, SECTION B | This organization is an affiliate within the St. Luke's UNIVERSITY Health Network, a tax-exempt integrated healthcare delivery NETWORK. This organization pays all outstanding accounts payable invoices on behalf of MOST other affiliates within the St. Luke's UNIVERSITY Health Network. In conjunction with this service, this organization also prepares and issues Forms 1099 to these vendors receiving payments where applicable and also files these Forms 1099 with the Internal Revenue Service. This organization allocates these payments to the other affiliates within the St Luke's Hospital & Health Network via an intercompany account. |

| ldentifier | Return Reference | Explanation |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------|---|
| BALANCE SHEET RESTATEMENT | CORE FORM, PART X | In 2012, St Luke's determined that the estimated fair value of the total return interest rate swaps were not correct in that they did not reflect the estimated current market prices that would be considered in a termination payment as of the balance sheet date if St Luke's were to elect to terminate the swaps without redeeming the bonds. Although St Luke's has no intention to do so, the agreements allow for this possibility and, as a result, must be taken into consideration in determining the estimated fair value of the swaps on a standalone basis. Management has evaluated this error and concluded that it was not material to any prior period. As a result, a revision has been made to correct the previously issued financial statements to account for a related unrealized loss on the value of the swaps. This change has also been reflected in Part X of the Core Form of this Form 990. |

| ldentifier | Return Reference | Explanation |
|------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| BALANCE SHEET | CORE FORM, PART X, LINE 20 | THE 2007 SERIES TAX-EXEMPT BOND ISSUANCE INCLUDED IN SCHEDULE K, PART I INCLUDES A CUSIP NUMBER IN ADDITION TO THE ONE DISCLOSED IN SCHEDULE K, PART I, LINE (A), COLUMN (C) THIS IS THE FOLLOWING 5248055D6 THE 2008A SERIES TAX-EXEMPT BOND ISSUANCE INCLUDED IN SCHEDULE K, PART I INCLUDES CUSIP NUMBERS IN ADDITION TO THE ONE DISCLOSED IN SCHEDULE K, PART I, LINE (A), COLUMN (C) THESE ARE THE FOLLOWING 66353RABO, 66353RAC8, 66353RADG, 66353RAE4, 66353RAF1, 66353RAG9, 66353RAH7 AND 66353RAJ3 THE 2010A SERIES TAX-EXEMPT BOND ISSUANCE INCLUDED IN SCHEDULE K, PART I INCLUDES CUSIP NUMBERS IN ADDITION TO THE ONE DISCLOSED IN SCHEDULE K, PART I, LINE (A), COLUMN (C) THESE ARE THE FOLLOWING 66353RABD, 66353RABG, 66353RAKO, 66353RAU8, 66353RAWG, 66353RAW4, 66353RAV2, 66353RAY0 AND 66353RAZ7 THE 2010B SERIES TAX-EXEMPT BOND ISSUANCE INCLUDED IN SCHEDULE K, PART I, LINE (A), COLUMN (C) THIS IS THE FOLLOWING 66353RBB9 |

| ldentifier | Return Reference | Explanation |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| OTHER CHANGES IN NET ASSETS | CORE FORM, PART XI, QUESTION 5 | OTHER CHANGES IN NET ASSETS OR FUND BALANCES INCLUDE NET ASSETS RELEASED FROM RESTRICTIONS USED FOR PURCHASES OF PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT, \$1,345,013, - NET UNREALIZED losses ON INVESTMENTS, (\$10,493,598), - CHANGE IN FAIR MARKET VALUE OF 2007 DERIVATIVE, (\$31,184,808), - CHANGE IN ADDITIONAL PENSION LIABILITY, (\$45,611,898), - TRANSFER BETWEEN ENTITIES, (\$19,390,280), - PLEDGES RECEIVED - TEMPORARILY RESTRICTED, (\$2,295,204), - NEW PLEDGES - TEMPORARILY RESTRICTED, \$1,602,992, - NET UNREALIZED LOSSES ON INVESTMENTS - TEMPORARILY RESTRICTED, (\$28,840), - NET ASSETS RELEASED FROM RESTRICTIONS USED FOR PURCHASES OF PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT - TEMPORARILY RESTRICTED, (\$489,692), - NET ASSETS RELEASED FROM RESTRICTIONS USED FOR OPERATIONS - TEMPORARILY RESTRICTED, (\$1,012,121), - NET ASSETS RELEASED FROM RESTRICTIONS USED FOR CAPITAL CAMPAIGN - TEMPORARILY RESTRICTED, (\$1,012,121), - NET ASSETS RELEASED FROM RESTRICTIONS - TEMPORARILY RESTRICTED, (\$43,885), - ALLOWANCE FOR PLEDGES WRITTEN OFF AND ACTUAL WRITE-OFFS - TEMPORARILY RESTRICTED, (\$65,080), - ALLOWANCE FOR PLEDGES WRITTEN OFF AND ACTUAL WRITE-OFFS - TEMPORARILY RESTRICTED, (\$43,885), - APPRECIATION TRANSFER FROM ENDOWMENT - TEMPORARILY RESTRICTED, \$168,888, - NET UNREALIZED IOSSES ON SALE OF INVESTMENTS - PERMANENTLY RESTRICTED, (\$2,178,095), - INCOME RELEASED AND TRANSFERRED TO GENERAL FUND FOR OPERATIONS - PERMANENTLY RESTRICTED, \$4,876, - APPRECIATION TRANSFER TO TEMPORARILY RESTRICTED, \$4,876, - APPRECIATION TRANSFER TO GENERAL FUND - PERMANENTLY RESTRICTED, \$543,098, AND - INCOME TRANSFER TO TEMPORARILY RESTRICTED, \$6,888) |

| ldentifier | Return Reference | Explanation |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| AUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS | CORE FORM, PART XII, QUESTION 2 | THE ORGANIZATION IS AN AFFILIATE WITHIN THE ST LUKE'S UNIVERSITY HEALTH NETWORK ("NETWORK"), A TAX-EXEMPT INTEGRATED HEALTHCARE DELIVERY NETWORK THE NETWORK'S PARENT ENTITY IS ST LUKE'S HEALTH NETWORK, INC AN INDEPENDENT CPA FIRM AUDITED THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS OF THE TAXPAYER AND ALL AFFILIATES FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2012 AND JUNE 30, 2011, RESPECTIVELY AND ISSUED A CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENT WITH CONSOLIDATING SCHEDULES BY ENTITY AN UNQUALIFIED OPINION WAS ISSUED EACH YEAR BY THE INDEPENDENT CPA FIRM THE NETWORK'S FINANCE COMMITTEE ASSUMES RESPONSIBILITY FOR OVERSIGHT OF THE AUDIT OF THE NETWORK'S CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND THE SELECTION OF AN INDEPENDENT AUDITOR |

| ldentifier | Return Reference | Explanation |
|--|---------------------------------------|---|
| FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND REPORTING | CORE FORM, PART XII, QUESTION 3 | THIS ORGANIZATION IS AN AFFILIATE IN THE ST LUKE'S UNIVERSITY HEALTH NETWORK ("NETWORK") THE NETWORK'S FINANCE COMMITTEE ENGAGED AN INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTING FIRM TO PREPARE AND ISSUE A NETWORK WIDE CONSOLIDATED A-133 AUDIT THIS ORGANIZATION WAS INCLUDED IN THE NETWORK WIDE A-133 AUDIT |

For Privacy Act and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the Instructions for Form 990.

DLN: 93493133004303

OMB No 1545-0047 2011

Related Organizations and Unrelated Partnerships

Identification of Disregarded Entities (Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 33.)

Department of the Treasury Internal Revenue Service

Name of the organization

ST LUKE'S HOSPITAL OF BETHLEHEM PA

(Form 990)

SCHEDULE R

▶ Complete if the organization answered "Yes" to Form 990, Part IV, line 33, 34, 35, 36, or 37. ► Attach to Form 990. ► See separate instructions.

Open to Public Inspection

Schedule R (Form 990) 2011

Employer identification number

23-1352213

| (a) Name, address, and EIN of disregarded entity | (b) Primary activity | (c) Legal domicile (state or foreign country) | (d) Total income | (e) End-of-year assets | (f) Direct controlling entity | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|---|----------------------------|---|--|--|-------|
| (1) CANCER IMMUNOTHERAPIES LLC 801 OSTRUM STREET BETHLEHEM, PA 18015 20-8783508 | INACTIVE | PA | 0 | 0 | BETHLEHEM | | |
| (2) ST LUKE'S AIRMED LLC 801 OSTRUM STREET BETHLEHEM, PA 18015 27-4643964 | INACTIVE | PA | 0 | 0 | BETHLEHEM | | |
| (3) ST LUKE'S HOMESTAR SERVICES LLC 801 OSTRUM STREET BETHLEHEM, PA 18015 26-0369246 | HEALTH SVCS | РА | 16,069,116 | 7,420,229 | BETHLEHEM | | |
| (4) ST LUKE'S WINDGAP PROPERTY LLC 801 OSTRUM STREET BETHLEHEM, PA 18015 23-2641715 | INACTIVE | РА | 0 | 0 | BETHLEHEM | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Part II Identification of Related Tax-Exempt Organi or more related tax-exempt organizations during to | zations (Complete the tax year.) | e if the organizatio | on answered "Y | es" on Form 990 |), Part IV, line 34 bec | ause it had | l one |
| (a) Name, address, and EIN of related organization | (b) Primary activity | (c) Legal domicile (state or foreign country) | (d) Exempt Code sect | (e) tion Public charity s (if section 501(d | tatus (f) Direct controlling c)(3)) entity | Section 512(b)(1 controlled organization | |
| | | | | | | Yes | No |
| See Additional Data Table | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Cat No 50135Y

| Part III | Identification of Related Organizations Taxable as a Partnership (Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, I | line 34 |
|----------|---|---------|
| | because it had one or more related organizations treated as a partnership during the tax year.) | |

| (a) Name, address, and EIN of related organization | (b) Primary activity | (c) Legal domicile (state or foreign country) | (d) Direct controlling entity | (e) Predominant income (related, unrelated, excluded from tax under sections 512- 514) | (f) Share of total Income | (g) Share of end-of- year assets | (h) Disproprtionate allocations? | | (i) Code V—UBI amount in box 20 of Schedule K-1 (Form 1065) | (j Gene mana parti | ral or aging | (k) Percentage ownership |
|--|--------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|---|--|----|---|------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|
| . <u>.</u> | | | | | | | Yes | No | | Yes | No | |
| (1) EIGHTH & EATON 801 OSTRUM STREET BETHLEHEM, PA 180151000 26-3017143 | FINANCIAL VEHICLE | PA | BETHLEHEM | RELATED | 4,379,623 | 0 | | No | 0 | | No | 99 990 % |
| (2) NEW VALLEY REHAB 2301 CHERRY LANE BETHLEHEM, PA 18015 13-4257049 | HEALTHCARE SVCS | PA | QUAKERTWN REHAB | | | | | No | | | No | |
| (3) WIND GAP PROF 3435 WINCHESTER ROAD SUITE 300 ALLENTOWN, PA 181042284 23-2641715 | HEALTHCARE SVCS | PA | BETHLEHEM | RELATED | 0 | 0 | | No | 0 | | No | 67 000 % |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Part IV

Identification of Related Organizations Taxable as a Corporation or Trust (Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 34 because it had one or more related organizations treated as a corporation or trust during the tax year.)

| (b) Primary activity | (c) Legal domicile (state or foreign country) | (d) Direct controlling entity | (e) Type of entity (C corp, S corp, or trust) | (f) Share of total Income | (g) Share of end-of-year assets | (h) Percentage ownership |
|--------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | (b) Primary activity | (state or foreign | (state or entity foreign | (state or entity (C corp, S corp, foreign or trust) | Primary activity Legal domicile (state or foreign) Legal domicile (state or foreign) Direct controlling type of entity (C corp, S corp, or trust) Share of total income | Primary activity Legal domicile (state or foreign Cy Direct controlling entity (C corp., S corp., or trust) Share of total income end-of-year assets |

| Part v | Transactions with Related Organizations (Complete if the organization answered "Yes | s" on Form 990, Par | τ IV, line 34, 35, 3 | 5A, or 36.) | | |
|-----------------|--|--|------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------|--|
| Not | e. Complete line 1 if any entity is listed in Parts II, III or IV | | | | Yes | No |
| 1 During | , the tax year, did the orgranization engage in any of the following transactions with one or more related organ | nizations listed in Parts | s II-IV? | | | |
| a Red | ceipt of (i) interest (ii) annuities (iii) royalties (iv) rent from a controlled entity | | | 1a | | No |
| b Gıf | t, grant, or capital contribution to related organization(s) | | | 1b | | No |
| c Giff | ;, grant, or capital contribution from related organization(s) | | | 1c | Yes | |
| d Loa | ns or loan guarantees to or for related organization(s) | | | 1d | Yes | |
| e Loa | ns or loan guarantees by related organization(s) | | | 1e | Yes | \blacksquare |
| f Sal | e of assets to related organization(s) | | | 1f | | No |
| g Pui | chase of assets from related organization(s) | | | 1 g | | No |
| h Ex | change of assets with related organization(s) | | | 1h | | No |
| i Lea | se of facilities, equipment, or other assets to related organization(s) | | | 1i | | No |
| j Lea | se of facilities, equipment, or other assets from related organization(s) | | | 1j | _ | No |
| k Pei | formance of services or membership or fundraising solicitations for related organization(s) | | | 1k | | No |
| I Per | formance of services or membership or fundraising solicitations by related organization(s) | | | 11 | | No |
| m Sha | iring of facilities, equipment, mailing lists, or other assets with related organization(s) | | | 1n | n Yes | |
| n Sha | aring of paid employees with related organization(s) | | | <u>1n</u> | Yes | \blacksquare |
| o Rei | mbursement paid to related organization(s) for expenses | | | 10 | Yes | |
| p Rei | mbursement paid by related organization(s) for expenses | | | 1р | Yes | \blacksquare |
| q Otl | ner transfer of cash or property to related organization(s) | | | 1q | Yes | |
| r Oth | er transfer of cash or property from related organization(s) | | | 1r | Yes | <u></u> |
| 2 If the | ne answer to any of the above is "Yes," see the instructions for information on who must complete this line, in | ncluding covered relati | onships and transact | tion thresholds | | |
| | (a) Name of other organization | (b) Transaction type(a-r) | (c) Amount involved | (d) Method of determi involve | | ount |
| (1) | | | | | | |
| (2) | | | | | | |
| (3) | | | | | | |
| (4) | | | | | | |
| (5) | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| (6) | | 1 | | 1 | | |

Part VI Unrelated Organizations Taxable as a Partnership (Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 37.)

Provide the following information for each entity taxed as a partnership through which the organization conducted more than five percent of its activities (measured by total assets or gross revenue) that was not a related organization. See instructions regarding exclusion for certain investment partnerships

| (a) Name, address, and EIN of entity | (b) Primary activity | (c) Legal domicile (state or foreign country) | (d) Predominant income(related, unrelated, excluded from tax under sections 512- 514) | partners Share of end-of-year a section total income assets 20 of the section assets | | partners section 501(c)(3) organizations? | | partners section 501(c)(3) organizations? | | partners section to 501(c)(3) organizations? | | Share of | Share of | Share of | Disproprtionate allocations? | | (i) Code V—UBI amount in box 20 of Schedule K-1 (Form 1065) | Gene man part | j) eral or aging ener? | (k) Percentage ownership |
|---|--------------------------------|---|---|--|----|--|--|--|----|---|-----|----------|----------|----------|------------------------------|--|---|---------------------|--|---------------------------------------|
| | | | , | Yes | No | | | Yes | No | | Yes | No | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Schedule R (Form 990) 2011

Part VII Supplemental Information

Complete this part to provide additional information for responses to questions on Schedule R (see instructions)

| Identifier | Return Reference | Explanation |
|---------------|---------------------|--|
| TRANSACTIONS | SCHEDULE R, | AS OUTLINED IN SCHEDULE O, THIS ORGANIZATION ROUTINELY PAYS EXPENSES FOR VARIOUS AFFILIATES WITHIN THE ST LUKE'S |
| WITH RELATED | PART V | HOSPITAL & HEALTH NETWORK IN THE ORDINARY COURSE OF BUSINESS THESE RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS ARE RECORDED ON THE |
| ORGANIZATIONS | | REVENUE/EXPENSE AND BALANCE SHEET STATEMENTS OF THIS ORGANIZATION AND ITS AFFILIATES THESE ENTITIES WORK TOGETHER TO |
| | | DELIVER HIGH QUALITY HEALTHCARE AND WELLNESS SERVICES TO THE COMMUNITIES IN WHICH THEY ARE SITUATED |

Schedule R (Form 990) 2011

Software ID: Software Version:

EIN: 23-1352213

Name: ST LUKE'S HOSPITAL OF BETHLEHEM PA

| Form 990, Schedule R, Part II - | Identification of | Related Tax | -Exempt O | rganizations | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|--|--|----------------------------|--|--|
| (a) Name, address, and EIN of related organization | (b) Primary Activity | (c) Legal Domicile (State or Foreign Country) | (d) Exempt Code section | (e) Public charity status (if 501(c)(3)) | (f) Direct Controlling Entity | Section (b)(: contro | g Section 512 (b)(13) controlled organization | |
| ST LUKE'S HEALTH NETWORK INC | | | | | | | | |
| 801 OSTRUM STREET BETHLEHEM, PA 18015 23-2384282 | HEALTH SVCS | PA | 501(C) (3) | 509(A)(3) | NA | | No | |
| ST LUKE'S QUAKERTOWN HOSPITAL | | | | | | | | |
| 801 OSTRUM STREET BETHLEHEM, PA 18015 23-1352203 | HEALTH SVCS | PA | 501(C) (3) | HOSPITAL | SLHN INC | | No | |
| CARBON-SCHUYLKILL COMMUNITY HOSPITAL 801 OSTRUM STREET | HEALTH SVCS | PA | 501(C) | HOSPITAL | SLHN INC | | No | |
| BETHLEHEM, PA 18015 25-1550350 | | | (3) | | | | | |
| QUAKERTOWN REHABILITATION | | | | | | | | |
| CENTER 801 OSTRUM STREET BETHLEHEM, PA 18015 23-2543924 | HEALTH SVCS | PA | 501(C) (3) | 170B1AIII | SLHN INC | | No | |
| ST LUKE'S EMERGENCY & | | | | | | | | |
| TRANSPORT SVCS 801 OSTRUM STREET BETHLEHEM, PA 18015 23-2179542 | HEALTH SVCS | PA | 501(C) (3) | 170B1AIII | SLHN INC | | Νo | |
| ST LUKE'S PHYSICIAN GROUP INC | | | | | | | | |
| 801 OSTRUM STREET BETHLEHEM, PA 18015 23-2380812 | HEALTH SVCS | PA | 501(C) (3) | 509(A)(3) | SLHN INC | | No | |
| VNA OF ST LUKE'S - HOME HEALTHHOSPICE | | | | | | | | |
| 801 OSTRUM STREET BETHLEHEM, PA 18015 24-0795497 | HEALTH SVCS | PA | 501(C) (3) | 509(A)(1) | BETHLEHEM | Yes | | |
| HOMESTAR MEDICAL EQUIP & INFUSION SVCS | INACTIVE | PA | 501(C) | 509(A)(2) | VNA | | No | |
| 801 OSTRUM STREET BETHLEHEM, PA 18015 | | | (3) | | | | | |
| 23-2418254 ST LUKE'S HHN AUXILIARY INC | | | | | | | | |
| 801 OSTRUM STREET BETHLEHEM, PA 18015 23-2134479 | FUNDRAISING | PA | 501(C) (3) | 170B1AIII | NA | | No | |
| ST LUKE'S WARREN HOSPITAL INC | | | | | | | | |
| 185 ROSEBERRY STREET PHILLIPSBURG, NJ 08865 22-1494454 | HEALTH SVCS | NJ | 501(C) (3) | HOSPITAL | BETHLEHEM | Yes | | |
| ST LUKE'S WARREN HEALTHCARE INC | | | | | | | | |
| 185 ROSEBERRY STREET PHILLIPSBURG, NJ 08865 22-2522478 | HOLDING CO | NJ | 501(C) (3) | 509(A)(3) | SLHN INC | | No | |
| ST LUKE'S WARREN HOSPITAL FDN | | | | | | | | |
| 185 ROSEBERRY STREET PHILLIPSBURG, NJ 08865 22-2522476 | SUPPORT SLWH | NJ | 501(C) (3) | 509(A)(3) | SLWH INC | | No | |

Form 990, Schedule R, Part IV - Identification of Related Organizations Taxable as a Corporation or Trust

| Form 990, Schedule R, | Ture ruce | itiiituu tioii | OI ICIACCA C | gamzacı | Olio Tuxubic u | o a corporation | |
|---|-------------------------|--|--|---|--|--|--------------------------------|
| (a) Name, address, and EIN of related organization | (b) Primary activity | (c) Legal Domicile (State or Foreign Country) | (d) Direct Controlling Entity | (e) Type of entity (C corp, S corp, or trust) | (f) Share of total Income (\$) | (g) Share of end-of-year assets (\$) | (h) Percentage ownership |
| BETHLEHEM, PA 180151000 23-7192801 | HEALTHCARE SVCS | PA | BETHLEHEM | C CORP | 8 | 17 | 100 000 % |
| ST LUKE'S HEALTH NETWORK INSURANCE COMP 801 OSTRUM STREET BETHLEHEM, PA 180151000 75-2993150 | FINANCIAL VEHICLE | VT | BETHLEHEM | C CORP | 8,780,607 | 42,642,130 | 88 000 % |
| ST LUKE'S HOSP OF BETH PA AMBUL SURGERY 801 OSTRUM STREET BETHLEHEM, PA 180151000 23-3018850 | HEALTHCARE SVCS | PA | BETHLEHEM | C CORP | 0 | 0 | 100 000 |
| ST LUKE'S PHYSICIAN HOSPITAL ORG 801 OSTRUM STREET BETHLEHEM, PA 180151000 23-2786818 | HEALTHCARE SVCS | PA | BETHLEHEM | C CORP | 8,861 | 737,446 | 50 000 % |
| HILLCREST EMERGENCY SERVICES PC 185 ROSEBERRY STREET PHILLIPSBURG, NJ 08865 20-4429976 | HEALTHCARE SVCS | NJ | N/A | C CORP | | | |
| HILLCREST MANAGEMENT SERVICES ORG INC 185 ROSEBERRY STREET PHILLIPSBURG, NJ 08865 22-2591084 | BILLING & MGMT | ιN | N/A | C CORP | | | |
| TWO RIVERS ENTERPRISES INC 185 ROSEBERRY STREET PHILLIPSBURG, NJ 08865 52-1552606 | REAL ESTATE | U | N/A | C CORP | | | |
| WARREN PA PROFESSIONAL ALLIANCE INC 185 ROSEBERRY STREET PHILLIPSBURG, NJ 08865 20-2652788 | HEALTHCARE SVCS | NJ | N/A | C CORP | | | |
| ST LUKE'S WARREN PHYSICIAN GROUP PC 185 ROSEBERRY STREET PHILLIPSBURG, NJ 08865 22-3837316 | HEALTHCARE SVCS | NJ | N/A | C CORP | | | |
| WARREN RISK RETENTION GROUP INC 865 MEMORIAL PARKWAY PHILLIPSBURG, NJ 08865 20-0250315 | FINANCIAL VEHICLE | VT | N/A | C CORP | | | |
| HILLCREST PSYCHIATRIC SERVICES PC 185 ROSEBERRY STREET PHILLIPSBURG, NJ 08865 27-0634298 | | NJ | N/A | C CORP | | | |

St. Luke's University Health Network, Inc. and Controlled Entities

Consolidated Financial Statements and Supplemental Information June 30, 2012 and 2011

St. Luke's University Health Network, Inc. and Controlled Entities Index

June 30, 2012 and 2011

| | Page(s) |
|--|---------|
| Report of Independent Auditors | 1 |
| Consolidated Financial Statements | |
| Balance Sheets | 2 |
| Statements of Operations | 3 |
| Statements of Changes in Net Assets | 4 |
| Statements of Cash Flows | 5 |
| Notes to Financial Statements | 6–35 |
| Consolidating Supplemental Information | |
| Balance Sheets | 36–43 |
| Statements of Operations | 44–47 |



Report of Independent Auditors

The Board of Trustees St Luke's University Health Network, Inc

In our opinion, the accompanying consolidated balance sheets and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in net assets, and cash flows present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of St. Luke's University Health Network, Inc. and its controlled entities (collectively, the "Network") at June 30, 2012 and 2011 and the results of their operations, changes in net assets, and their cash flows for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Network's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits of these statements in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the consolidated financial statements taken as a whole. The consolidating information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the consolidated financial statements. The consolidating information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves and other additional procedures, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the consolidating information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the consolidated financial statements taken as a whole. The consolidating information is presented for purposes of additional analysis of the consolidated financial statements rather than to present the financial position, results of operations and cash flows of the individual companies and is not a required part of the consolidated financial statements. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the financial position, results of operations and cash flows of the individual companies.

Pricewaterhouse Coopers LLP

December 20, 2012

St. Luke's University Health Network, Inc. and Controlled Entities Consolidated Balance Sheet June 30, 2012 and 2011

| | | 2012 | | 2011 |
|---|----|-----------------------------|----|-----------------------------|
| Assets | | | | |
| Current | • | 04 540 000 | • | 00 705 004 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | 81,549,369 | \$ | 82,725,894 |
| Patient accounts receivable, net of allowance for uncollectible | | 405 000 400 | | 404 050 450 |
| accounts of \$60,292,531 and \$40,188,273, respectively | | 165,802,428 | | 131,253,158 |
| Other accounts receivable | | 10,427,918 | | 3,997,531 |
| Investments | | 61,346,350 | | 63,541,536 |
| Inventories | | 16,949,596 | | 12,709,033 |
| Prepaid expenses | _ | 15,276,686 | | 10,249,610 |
| Total current assets | | 351,352,347 | | 304,476,762 |
| Assets limited as to use, net of current portion | | 10.000.004 | | 64 00E 446 |
| Funds held by trustee | | 10,069,834 | | 64,005,116 |
| Under bond indenture | | 39,252,267 | | 34,289,421 |
| Board designated funds | | 218,160,446 | | 223,074,954 |
| Drawark, and assumment not | | 267,482,547 596,251,082 | | 321,369,491 484,848,300 |
| Property and equipment, net | | 24,237,907 | | 7,336,712 |
| Goodwill, net | | | | |
| Investments temporarily restricted as to use | | 18,187,034 | | 17,525,676 |
| Investments permanently restricted as to use | | 26,447,637 | | 22,979,652 5,541,791 |
| Deferred financing costs | | 5,697,560 | | |
| Annuity contracts | | 8,999,001 | | 7,881,693 |
| Other assets Total assets | \$ | 20,809,412 1,319,464,527 | \$ | 16,477,781 1,188,437,858 |
| 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 | φ | 1,319,404,327 | Ψ | 1,100,437,030 |
| Liabilities and Net Assets | | | | |
| Current | ¢ | E0 40E 640 | φ | 40 044 004 |
| Accounts payable | \$ | 52,485,612 | \$ | 42,841,824 |
| Accrued salaries, wages and taxes | | 23,409,080 | | 20,420,712 |
| Accrued vacation and bonus | | 28,257,911 | | 24,199,639 |
| Current portion of self insurance reserves | | 17,864,694 | | 14,129,862 |
| Deferred income | | 3,288,309 | | 3,945,113 |
| Advance from third-party payors | | 2,398,101 | | 2,398,101 |
| Patient credit balances | | 12,231,386 | | 10,576,785 |
| Current portion of long-term debt | | 13,234,513 | | 11,186,172 |
| Current portion of accrued compensation payable | | 7,071,410 | | 4,184,995 |
| Accrued interest on long-term debt | | 8,474,597 | | 7,649,511 |
| Other Current Liabilities | | 7,297,817 | | 10.010.010 |
| Estimated third-party payor settlements | _ | 18,156,932 | _ | 13,249,913 |
| Total current liabilities | _ | 194,170,362 | | 154,782,627 |
| Long-term debt, net of current portion | | 445,614,337 | | 400,413,367 |
| Asset retirement obligation | | 3,559,761 | | 3,559,036 |
| Accrued compensation payable | | 169,713,844 | | 100,439,924 |
| Self insurance reserves | | 36,127,358 | | 28,687,901 |
| Sw ap contracts | | 83,210,652 | | 58,546,276 |
| Other noncurrent liabilities | | 10,262,555 | | 589,363 |
| Total liabilities | | 942,658,869 | | 747,018,494 |
| Net assets | | 700 | | 205 740 405 |
| Unrestricted net assets | | 330,004,739 | | 395,746,165 |
| Warren Beginning Unrestricted Net Assets | | (808,846) | | |
| Temporarily restricted net assets | | 20,830,852 | | 22,693,547 |
| Warren beginning Temporary restricted Net Assets | | 331,278 | | |
| Permanently restricted net assets | | 25,970,067 | | 22,979,652 |
| Warren beginning permanently Unrestricted Net Assets | | 477,568 | | |
| Total net assets | _ | 376,805,658 | _ | 441,419,364 |
| Total liabilities and net assets | \$ | 1,319,464,527 | \$ | 1,188,437,858 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements

St. Luke's University Health Network, Inc. and Controlled Entities Consolidated Statement of Operations Years Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011

| | 2012 | 2011 |
|--|-----------------|----------------|
| Revenues and gains | | |
| Net patient service revenue | \$ 928,776,933 | \$ 817,946,601 |
| Other operating revenue and gains | 21,929,666 | 21,525,632 |
| Net assets released from restrictions used for operations | 1,760,679 | 2,023,726 |
| Total revenue and gains | 952,467,278 | 841,495,959 |
| Operating expenses | | |
| Salaries and employee benefits | 534,238,267 | 478,993,571 |
| Supplies and other | 328,122,687 | 278,907,024 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 55,370,780 | 45,916,921 |
| Interest | 17,652,258 | 13,784,086 |
| Total expenses | 935,383,992 | 817,601,602 |
| Income from operations | 17,083,286 | 23,894,357 |
| Nonoperating gains (losses) | | |
| Unrestricted investment income from assets limited as to use | 7,789,884 | 6,243,542 |
| Realized gains/(losses) on unrestricted investment | 8,153,281 | (1,169,790) |
| Gifts, grants and bequests | (30,046) | 692,335 |
| Unrestricted investment income from restricted net assets | 101,579 | 464,561 |
| Unrestricted investment income, other | 3,522,806 | 4,049,134 |
| Loss on disposal of property and equipment | (625,066) | (60,428) |
| Restructuring Costs | (573,476) | (273, 129) |
| Pre-Acquisition/Merger Costs | (846,067) | (1,364,005) |
| Donations to Other Organizations | (711,695) | (422, 120) |
| Change in fair value of derivative instruments | 6,520,432 | (4,842,548) |
| Goodwill Impairment | (3,609,478) | - |
| Gain/(Loss) on Retirement/Purchase of Bonds | (2,955,427) | 153,950 |
| Nonoperating gains | 16,736,727 | 3,471,502 |
| Excess of revenue and gains over expenses | 33,820,013 | 27,365,859 |
| Net assets released from restrictions used for purchase of | | |
| property and equipment | 1,596,651 | 7,045,643 |
| Gain on sale of Joint Venture | 431,656 | 13,058,999 |
| Net Assets Released to Specific Purpose Fund | (35,676) | - |
| Pension adjustment | (59,803,582) | 33,678,542 |
| Net effect of change in accounting principle - Goodwill Impairment | - | (2,782,024) |
| Warren Beginning Unrestricted Net Assets | (808,846) | |
| Change in unrealized gains on investments | (10,565,680) | 29,816,316 |
| Change in fair market value of interest rate swaps | (31,184,808) | 9,127,758 |
| Increase (decrease) in unrestricted net assets | \$ (66,550,272) | \$ 117,311,093 |

St. Luke's University Health Network, Inc. and Controlled Entities Consolidated Statements of Changes in Net Assets Years Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011

| | | 2012 | | 2011 |
|--|----|--------------|----|-------------|
| Unrestricted net assets | | | | |
| Excess of revenue and gains over expenses | \$ | 33,820,013 | \$ | 27,365,859 |
| Warren Beginning Unrestricted Net Assets | | (808,846) | | - |
| Net assets contributed and released from restrictions used for | | | | |
| purchase of property and equipment | | 1,596,651 | | 7,045,643 |
| Gain on sale of Joint Venture | | 431,656 | | 13,058,999 |
| Net Assets Released to Specific Purpose Fund | | (35,676) | | - |
| Pension adjustment | | (59,803,582) | | 33,678,542 |
| Net effect of change in accounting principle-Goodwill Impairment | | - | | (2,782,024) |
| Change in unrealized gains on investments | | (10,565,680) | | 29,816,316 |
| Change in fair market value of derivatives | | (31,184,808) | | 9,127,758 |
| (Decrease) Increase in unrestricted net assets | | (66,550,272) | | 117,311,093 |
| Temporarily restricted net assets | | | | |
| Contributions received | | 440,546 | | 5,951,261 |
| Warren beginning Temporary restricted Net Assets | | 331,278 | | - |
| Net realized gains on investments | | - | | 192 |
| Net unrealized (losses) gains on investments | | (429,597) | | 5,894,750 |
| Net assets released from restrictions | _ | (1,873,644) | _ | (3,136,484) |
| (Decrease) Increase in temporarily restricted net assets | | (1,531,417) | | 8,709,719 |
| Permanently restricted net assets | | | | |
| Contributions received | | 3,465,567 | | 1,221,252 |
| Warren beginning permanentlyUnrestricted Net Assets | | 477,568 | | - |
| Net realized/unrealized (losses) gains on investments | | (475, 152) | | 228,243 |
| Increase in permanently restricted net assets | | 3,467,983 | | 1,449,495 |
| (Decrease) Increase in net assets | | (64,613,706) | | 127,470,307 |
| Net assets | | | | |
| Beginning of year | | 441,419,364 | | 313,949,057 |
| End of year | \$ | 376,805,658 | \$ | 441,419,364 |

St. Luke's University Health Network, Inc. and Controlled Entities Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows Years Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011

| | | 2012 | | 2011 |
|---|----|---------------|----------|---------------|
| Cash flows provided by operating activities | _ | | _ | |
| Change in net assets | \$ | (64,613,706) | \$ | 127,470,307 |
| Adjustments to reconcile change in net assets to net cash | | | | |
| from operating activities | | 005.000 | | 00.400 |
| Loss (Gain) on disposal of equipment | | 625,066 | | 60,428 |
| Depreciation and amortization | | 55,370,780 | | 45,916,921 |
| Equity losses from joint ventures and partnerships | | (2,555,743) | | (634,265) |
| Change in unrealized losses on unrestricted investments | | 10,565,680 | | (29,816,316) |
| Net realized gain on restricted investments | | (1,776,785) | | 223,479 |
| Net unrealized (gain) on restricted investments | | 2,359,553 | | (6,820,515) |
| Net realized loss on unrestricted investments | | (8,021,278) | | 1,181,492 |
| Pension adjustments | | (59,803,582) | | 33,678,542 |
| Swap contracts | | 24,664,376 | | (4,285,210) |
| Cumulative net effect of change in accounting | | - | | (2,782,024) |
| Restricted contributions received | | (3,906,114) | | (6,698,662) |
| Change in cash due to changes in operating assets and liabilities | | | | |
| Patient accounts receivable | | (34,549,270) | | 5,080,987 |
| Other receivables | | (6,430,386) | | 2,603,732 |
| Inventories | | (4,240,563) | | 469,289 |
| Prepaid expenses | | (5,027,076) | | 506,438 |
| Other assets | | (21,548,594) | | (3,085,893) |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | | 176,529,917 | | (81,135,469) |
| Patient credit balances | | 1,654,601 | | (653,825) |
| Net estimated third-party payor settlements | | 4,907,019 | | (10,997,401) |
| Deferred income | | (656,804) | | (210,929) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | | 63,547,091 | | 70,071,106 |
| Cash flows used by investing activities | | | | |
| Purchases of property, plant and equipment | | (165,013,682) | | (89,814,486) |
| Proceeds from sale of equipment | | 309,023 | | 10,747 |
| Increase/(Decrease) in assets whose use is limited, net | | 61,908,222 | | (39,252,329) |
| Sales of investments, net | | (13,082,605) | | (618,090) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | | (115,879,042) | | (129,674,158) |
| Cash flows provided by (used in) financing activities | | | | |
| Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt | | 51,281,490 | | 1,024,944 |
| Repayments of long-term debt | | (3,727,177) | | (4,445,490) |
| Repurchase of St Luke's 2007 Series Bonds | | (305,000) | | (545,000) |
| Proceeds from restricted contributions | | 3,906,113 | | 6,698,662 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | | 51,155,426 | | 2,733,116 |
| Increase (decrease) in cash | | (1,176,525) | | (56,869,936) |
| Cash and cash equivalents | | , , , | | , , , |
| Beginning of year | | 82,725,894 | | 139,595,830 |
| End of year | \$ | 81,549,369 | \$ | 82,725,894 |
| Construction in progress included in accounts payable | \$ | 1,094,811 | \$ | 12,817,160 |
| Interest Paid | \$ | 17,318,509 | \$ | 14,449,660 |
| | | ,5.5,550 | <u> </u> | , |

1. Organization, Mission and Basis of Presentation

St Luke's University Health Network, Inc ("Parent") is a not-for-profit, tax-exempt corporation which controls the following acute care hospitals, organization of physician practices, and other health care related organizations serving the western New Jersey and Eastern Pennsylvania regions

- St Luke's Hospital of Bethlehem, Pennsylvania ("St Luke's Hospital"), which includes the following entities
 - St Luke's University Health Network Insurance Company ("SLRRG")
 - St Luke's Hospital of Bethlehem, Pennsylvania Ambulatory Surgery, Ltd
 - Cancer Immunotherapies, LLC
 - St Luke's Eighth & Eaton Holding's, Inc
 - Eighth & Eaton Professional Building, L P
 - St Luke's HomeStar Services, LLC
 - St Luke's AirMed, LLC
 - St Luke's VNA ("VNA"), which includes the following two entities
 - VNA Home Health and Hospice
 - HomeStar Medical Equipment and Infusion Services
- St Luke's Quakertown Hospital
- St Luke's Physician Group, Inc.
- St Luke's Emergency and Transport Services
- Quakertown Rehabilitation Center DBA St Luke's Rehabilitation Center
 - New Valley Rehab, LLC
- Carbon-Schuylkill Community Hospital, Inc DBA St Luke's Miners Memorial Medical Center ("MMMC")
- St Luke's Warren Hospital Inc
 - · Warren PA Professional Alliance, Inc.
 - St Luke's Warren Hospital Foundation, Inc
 - Warren Risk Retention Group, Inc
 - Warren Healthcare Alliance, P C

- Hillcrest Emergency Services, Inc.
- Two Rivers Enterprises, Inc.
- Hillcrest Management Services Organization, Inc.

The Parent and controlled entities are referred to collectively as the St Luke's University Health Network, Inc. (the "Network")

The Network also participates in various joint ventures and partnerships. These arrangements enable the Network to provide healthcare services to the broader community through involvement in other healthcare organizations.

Merger

On January 31, 2012, St Luke's University Health Network, Inc. purchased Warren Healthcare, Inc., a not-for-profit holding company Warren Healthcare, Inc and its subsidiaries became a part of the St Luke's corporate system (the "Merger") The subsidiaries include (a) Warren Hospital, a not-for-profit acute care facility located in Phillipsburg, New Jersey, (b) Warren Risk Retention Group, the Company's for profit captive insurance company, (c) Warren PA Professional Alliance, Inc ("PA Alliance", a for profit professional corporation), (d) Warren Health Care Alliance, P C ("WHCA", a for profit professional corporation), and (e) Hillcrest Emergency Services, P C ("Hillcrest ER", a for profit professional corporation) PA Alliance and WHCA provide outpatient health care services in Pennsylvania and New Jersey Hillcrest ER was organized as a separate legal entity and provides all the emergency services within the Hospital These entities, in the aggregate, are considered members of the St Luke's Warren consolidated group and their related financial data is presented in the Supplemental Schedules pages 36 through 47 Also, included in the accompanying consolidated financial statements are (a) the Warren Hospital Foundation, Inc (the "Foundation"), a not-for-profit charitable foundation, (b) Hillcrest Management Services Organization, Inc ("MSO"), a for-profit company that provides administrative services and support to affiliates and other third parties, and (c) Two Rivers Enterprises, Inc ("Two Rivers"), a for-profit company that holds real property and interests in real estate entities

In connection with the Merger (a) St Luke's committed to provide \$25 million of equity funding to Warren, (b) the Warren hospital name was changed to St Luke's Warren Hospital, Inc , the parent's name was changed to St Luke's Warren Healthcare, Inc and the Foundation was renamed St Luke's Warren Hospital Foundation, Inc The equity funding will be periodically provided to Warren in the future for working capital purposes, funding of defined benefit plan obligations and capital expenditures

St Luke's University Health Network had \$2,210,000 (\$846,000 in FY 2012 and \$1,364,000 in FY 11) and Warren Healthcare, Inc. had \$421,000 in costs prior to the acquisition

There were no transactions recognized separately from the acquisition of assets and assumptions of liabilities in the business combination. As a result of revaluing assets and liabilities at the time of purchase, Warren recognized \$22,360,680 in asset valuation changes. Warren Healthcare, Inc. had a Net Asset deficit of \$13,156,778 as of the acquisition date, which was recorded as Goodwill on January 31, 2012.

Revenues attributable to Warren Healthcare, Inc. since the acquisition date that are included in the statement of activities for the reporting period

FY 12
Patient Revenue \$ 52,522,025
Other Operating Revenue \$ 1,335,026
Nonoperating Revenue \$ (3,124,145)

Changes in unrestricted net assets, changes in temporarily restricted net assets, and changes in permanently restricted net assets attributable to the acquisition of Warren Healthcare, Inc. since the acquisition date that are included in the statement of activities for the reporting period

| | FY IZ |
|-----------------------------------|------------------|
| unrestricted net assets | \$ 16,445,820 |
| temporarily restricted net assets | \$ 331,278 |
| permanently restricted net assets | \$ 477 568 |

Comparative revenues of the combined entity as though the acquisition of Warren Healthcare, Inc. occurred at the beginning of the annual reporting period

| | | FY 12 | FY 11 |
|-------------------------|-----|--------------|-------------------|
| Patient Revenue | \$1 | ,002,307,767 | \$ 937,696,817 |
| Other Operating Revenue | \$ | 25,559,382 | \$ 26,593,217 |
| Nonoperating Revenue | \$ | 12,362,930 | \$ (3,229,434) |

Comparative changes in unrestricted net assets, changes in temporarily restricted net assets, and changes in permanently restricted net assets as though the acquisition date for Warren Healthcare, Inc. occurred at the beginning of the annual reporting period

| | FY 12 | FY 11 |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| unrestricted net assets | \$ 329,195,893 | \$ 395,746,158 |
| temporarily restricted net assets | \$ 21,162,130 | \$ 22,693,547 |
| permanently restricted net assets | \$ 26,447,635 | \$ 22,979,652 |

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Accounting

The consolidated financial statements have been prepared on the accrual basis of accounting in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' Audit and Accounting Guide, Health Care Organizations, and other pronouncements applicable to nor-for-profit healthcare organizations

Basis of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Parent and its controlled entities. The Parent exercises control over its controlled entities through the appointment of members to the controlled entities' Board of Trustees ("Board"). The accounts of the controlled entities have been included in the consolidated financial statements to reflect the results of operations of entities under common control. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated.

June 30, 2012 and 2011

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period Actual results could differ from those estimates

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents include cash management funds with original maturities of three months or less, excluding amounts whose use is limited by Board designation or other arrangements under trust agreements. The carrying value of cash and cash equivalents approximates market value

Investments and Investment Income

Investments in equity securities with readily determinable fair values and all investments in debt securities are measured at fair value in the balance sheet. Investment income or loss (including interest, dividends and realized gains and losses on unrestricted investments), is included in the excess of revenues over expenses unless the income or loss is restricted by donor or law. Unrealized gains and losses on unrestricted investments are reported below the excess of revenues over expenses within net asset changes. Realized and unrealized gains and losses on donor restricted investments are reported as changes in temporarily and permanently restricted net assets as stipulated by the donor.

The Network conducted an analysis and evaluation of securities for any potential "other than temporary impairment" of investments. If the decline in the market value of an investment below cost was deemed to be other than temporary, an adjustment would be made to reduce the cost basis of the investment(s) and a realized loss would be recorded in the excess of revenue over expenses. The Network did not have an "other than temporary impairment" adjustment for the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011.

Investment income and the change in unrealized gains (losses) on investments was comprised of the following for the years ended June 30

| | 2012 | 2011 |
|---|----------------|----------------|
| Interest and dividend income | \$ 9,544,811 | \$ 8,034,975 |
| Realized gains (losses) on sales of investments | \$ 11,337,892 | \$ (1,517,665) |
| Net unrealized (losses) gains on investments | \$(10,565,680) | \$29,816,316 |

Assets Limited as to Use

Assets limited as to use primarily include assets held by trustees under indenture agreements and designated assets set aside by the board of trustees for future capital improvements, over which the board retains control and may at its discretion subsequently use for other purposes

Inventories

Inventory is valued at average cost, net of reserves for obsolescence Nonstock or nonstoreroom inventory is valued at the last purchase price or last in first out (LIFO)

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment acquisitions are recorded at cost for purchased items and fair value at the date of contribution for contributed items. Depreciation is expensed over the estimated useful life of each

class of depreciable asset and is computed using the straight-line method. Equipment under capital lease obligations is amortized on the straight-line method over the shorter period of the lease term or the estimated useful life of the equipment. Such amortization is included in depreciation and amortization in the consolidated statements of operations. Interest costs incurred on borrowed funds during the period of construction of capital assets is capitalized as a component of the cost of constructing those assets. Upon sale or retirement, the cost and related accumulated depreciation of such assets are removed from the accounts and any resulting gain or loss realized is credited or charged to income for the period Expenditures for maintenance and repairs are expensed as incurred. Significant renewals, improvements and betterments are capitalized.

Long Lived Assets

The Network evaluates the carrying value of its long lived assets for impairment when impairment indicators are identified. In the event that the carrying value of a long-lived asset is not supported by the fair value, the network will recognize an impairment loss for the difference. Fair value is based on available market prices or discounted cash flows.

Gifts of long-lived assets such as land, building, or equipment are reported as unrestricted support, and are excluded from the excess of revenue and gains over expenses, unless explicit donor stipulations specify how the donated assets must be used. Gifts of long-lived assets with explicit restrictions that specify how the assets are to be used and gifts of cash or other assets that must be used to acquire long-lived assets are reported as restricted support. Absent explicit donor stipulations about how long these long-lived assets must be maintained, expirations of donor restrictions are reported when the donated or acquired long-lived assets are placed in service.

Goodwill

Goodwill recorded in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets represents the excess of the fair market value of assets acquired over the purchase price. Management reviews goodwill for impairment annually or when events and circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable.

In accordance with recently issued guidance, the Network has ceased amortizing previously recognized goodwill and performs annual impairment tests

Deferred Financing Costs

Deferred financing costs represent costs incurred in connection with the issuance of bonds and are amortized over the life of the related debt using the effective interest method

Annuity Contracts and Accrued Compensation Payable

The Network maintains a deferred compensation plan which provides supplemental retirement benefits for former officers and key management personnel. The Network has purchased annuity contracts to fund these benefits. These annuity contracts are included in the consolidated balance sheets at their current surrender value.

Self Insurance Reserves

Accrued insurance costs consist of discounted reserves for reported and incurred-but-not-reported (IBNR) claims related to medical malpractice incidents and the Network's self-insured retention for workers' compensation and employee health insurance incidents. For the years ended June 30, malpractice reserves and workers compensation reserves are discounted using a discount rate of 4 60% and 4 60%, respectively, in 2012 and 6 07% and 6 07%, respectively, in 2011

Gift Annuities

The Hospital receives assets from donors in exchange for the promise to make fixed payments, over a specified period of time, to a recipient as designated by the donor. The Hospital discounts (this past year the discount rate averaged 1.25%) the liability for annuity contracts based on the annuitant's estimated life expectancy. These amounts are included in other noncurrent liabilities on the balance sheets. Any restricted assets remaining at the end of the annuity contract are placed into an endowment fund and the earnings on those funds are available for the general operations of the Network. Any unrestricted assets remaining at the end of the annuity contract are available for the general operations of the Network. The Network revalues the liability for annuity contracts quarterly and the related change is included as a change in net assets.

Temporarily and Permanently Restricted Net Assets

Temporarily restricted net assets include assets whose use by the Network has been limited by donors to a specific time period or purpose. Also included in temporarily restricted net assets are unrecognized gains and losses on permanently restricted net assets. Permanently restricted net assets have been restricted by donors to be maintained by the Network in perpetuity.

Donor Restricted Gifts

Unconditional promises to give cash and other assets to the Network are reported at fair value at the date the promise is received. Conditional promises to give and indications of intentions to give are reported at fair value at the date the gift is received. The gifts are reported as either temporarily or permanently restricted support if they are received with donor stipulations that limit the use of the donated assets. When a donor restriction expires, that is, when a stipulated time restriction ends or the purpose restriction is accomplished, temporarily restricted net assets are reclassified as unrestricted net assets and reported in the consolidated statements of operations as net assets released from restrictions. Donor-restricted contributions whose restrictions are met within the same year as received are reported as unrestricted gifts, grants and bequests in the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

Net Patient Service Revenue

The Network has agreements with third-party payors who provide payments to the Network at amounts different from its established rates. Payment arrangements include prospectively determined rates per discharge, reimbursed costs, discounted from established charges and per diem payments. Net patient service revenue is reported at the estimated net realizable amounts from patients, third-party payors, and others for services rendered, including estimated retroactive adjustments due to future audits, reviews and investigations. Retroactive adjustments are accrued on an estimated basis in the period the related services are rendered and adjusted in future periods as final settlements are determined or as years are no longer subject to such audits, reviews and investigations.

Included in net patient service revenue are changes in liability adjustments from third party payors with an overall net unfavorable adjustment of \$4,907,019 for 2012 as compared to favorable adjustment of \$10,997,400 for 2011

In July 2010 the Pennsylvania General Assembly passed the Public Welfare Code amendment (Act 49) which was signed into law by the Governor, establishing a new program referred to as Medicaid Modernization. The program was subsequently approved by the federal Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. The program is designed to provide additional funding to Pennsylvania hospitals for the purpose of enhancing access to quality healthcare for qualifying Medicaid beneficiaries, helping to partially mitigate the losses incurred by hospitals resulting from low reimbursement rates. To accomplish this objective, for fiscal years 2011 through 2013, the program provides participating hospitals with improved inpatient fee-for-service hospital payments, establishes enhanced hospital payments through Medicaid managed care organizations (MCOs), and secures additional federal matching Medicaid funds through a Quality Care Assessment, under which hospitals pay the state a percentage of their net

inpatient revenue for fiscal year 2011 During 2012, after deducting the cost of the assessment due to the state, the Network recognized additional net patient service revenue of \$4,175,651 from the Pennsylvania Medicaid Modernization program

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

The Network records an allowance for doubtful accounts for estimated losses resulting from the unwillingness of patients to make payments for services. The allowance is determined by analyzing historical data and trends. Accounts receivable are written off against the allowance for doubtful accounts when management determines that recovery is unlikely and collection efforts cease.

Charity Care

The Network provides care to all patients who meet certain criteria under its charity care policy without charge or at amounts less than its established rates. Charges for services to patients who meet the Network's guidelines for charity care are not reflected in the accompanying consolidated financial statements. The charges associated with these services for charity care provided by the Network approximate \$118,310,000 and \$100,586,000 in 2012 and 2011, respectively. The costs incurred to provide such care is determined using a cost to charge ratio and were approximately \$98,440,000 and \$81,686,000 for 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Excess of Revenue and Gains over Expenses

The consolidated statements of operations include the excess of revenue and gains over expenses. Changes in unrestricted net assets which are excluded from the excess of revenue and gains over expenses, consistent with industry practice, include assets released from donor restrictions to be used for purchases of property and equipment, donations of long-lived assets, net unrealized gains and losses on available for sale investments, pension adjustments, and change in fair market value of interest rate swaps meeting hedging criteria.

Income Taxes

The Parent and its controlled hospital entities, are Pennsylvania and New Jersey not-for-profit corporations and have been recognized as tax-exempt pursuant to Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code On such basis, the Parent and its controlled hospital entities will not incur any liability for federal income taxes, except for possible unrelated business income

St Luke's University Health Network Insurance Company and Warren Risk Retention Group are taxable reciprocal insurers

St Luke's HomeStar Services, LLC is a taxable distributor of medical equipment and pharmacy services

Warren Health Care Alliance, P C PA Alliance, Hillcrest Emergency Services, P C and Two Rivers are for profit entities providing outpatient health care services in Pennsylvania and New Jersey Prior to January 1, 2009, Warren Health Care Alliance, P C and Hillcrest Emergency Services, P C elected, under the applicable provisions of the Internal Revenue Code and applicable state codes, to have the physician owner recognize their respective share of net income or loss on their individual tax returns Accordingly, Warren Health Care Alliance, P C and Hillcrest Emergency Services, P C did not record a liability for Federal and state income taxes Effective January 1, 2009, Warren Health Care Alliance, P C and Hillcrest Emergency Services, P C commenced operating as a for profit "C" corporation. The deferred tax assets arising from net operating losses and other temporary items generated by for profit entities, approximately \$9.6 million at June 30, 2012, are subject to a full valuation allowance as the realization of such deferred tax assets cannot be considered more likely than not at June 30, 2012.

Interest Rate Swaps

The Network recognizes all derivative financial instruments in the balance sheet at fair value. The change in the fair value of derivatives that do not qualify for hedge accounting is recognized as a component of excess of revenues over expenses for the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011. The change in the fair value of derivatives that qualify for hedge accounting are recognized through changes in unrestricted net assets on the statement of operations for the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011.

Revision

In 2012, St Luke's determined that the estimated fair value of the total return interest rate swaps discussed in note 11 were not correct in that they did not reflect the estimated current market prices that would be considered in a termination payment as of the balance sheet date if St Luke's were to elect to terminate the swaps without redeeming the bonds. Although St Luke's has no intention to do so, the agreements allow for this possibility and as a result must be taken into consideration in determining the estimated fair value of the swaps on a standalone basis. Management has evaluated this error and concluded that it was not material to any prior period. As a result, a revision has been made to correct the previously issued financial statements to account for a related unrealized loss on the value of the swaps as follows.

| \$ in thousands Consolidated Balance Sheet as of 6/30/2011 | As reported | Change | As revised |
|--|----------------|----------|---------------|
| Swap Contract liability | 34,084 | 24,462 | 58,546 |
| Total Liabilities | 722,557 | 24,462 | 747,019 |
| Unrestricted Net Assets | 420,208 | (24,462) | 395,746 |
| Consolidated Statement of Changes in Operations a | s of 6/30/2011 | | |
| Change in fair value of derivative instruments | 3,518 | (8,360) | (4,842) |
| Non-operating gains | 12,253 | (8,360) | 3,893 |
| Excess of revenues over expenses | 35,726 | (8,360) | 27,366 |
| Increase in unrestricted net assets | 125,671 | (8,360) | 117,311 |
| Increase in net assets | 135,830 | (8,360) | 127,470 |
| Net assets, beginning of the year | 330,051 | (16,102) | 313,949 |
| Net assets, end of year | 465,881 | (24,462) | 441,419 |

3. Risks and Uncertainties

The health care industry is subject to numerous laws and regulations of federal, state and local governments. Compliance with these laws and regulations can be subject to future government review and interpretation as well as regulatory actions unknown or unasserted at the time. Recently,

government activity has increased with respect to investigations and allegations concerning possible violations by health care providers of fraud and abuse statutes and regulations, which could result in the imposition of significant fines and penalties as well as significant repayments for patient services previously billed. Compliance with such laws and regulations are subject to future government review and interpretations as well as regulatory actions unknown or unasserted at this time.

4. Concentrations of Credit Risk

Financial instruments which subject the Network to concentrations of credit risk consist primarily of cash and cash equivalents, investments, assets limited as to use, and patient accounts receivable

The Network maintains cash, investments and assets limited as to use in banks, which include cash equivalents consisting of overnight repurchase agreements. Amounts are invested in a variety of financial instruments. The related values, as presented in the consolidated financial statements, are subject to various market fluctuations which include changes in the equity markets, interest rate environment and the general economic conditions. The FDIC insures funds up to \$250,000 per depositor.

The Network's patient accounts receivable consist of unsecured amounts due for patient services billed to patients and other third-party payors such as Medicare, Medical Assistance, Blue Cross and various commercial insurance companies and managed care companies. The primary service area of the Network is located in Lehigh, Northampton, Carbon, Schuylkill and Bucks Counties, Pennsylvania. The ability of these patients to pay is subject to changes in general economic conditions of the Network's service area. The Network performs ongoing credit evaluations and maintains reserves for potential credit losses.

The mix of receivables from patients and third-party payors at June 30, 2012 and 2011 was as follows

| | 2012 | 2011 |
|--|--------------|-------|
| Medicare | 23 5% | 20 1% |
| Medical Assistance | 5 5% | 6 3% |
| Blue Cross/Highmark Blue Shield | 14 4% | 18 1% |
| Commercial/HMO/Other (includes Medicare HMO) | 30 9% | 26 2% |
| Self pay (includes balances of patients filing for Medicaid eligibility, | | |
| but not yet approved) | <u>25 7%</u> | 29 3% |
| | 100% | 100% |

5. Charity Care and Community Service

The Network maintains records to identify and monitor the level of charity care and community service it provides. These records include the amount of charges foregone based on established rates for services and the estimated cost of those services furnished under its charity care policy. Additionally, the Network sponsors certain other service programs and charity services which provide substantial benefit to the broader community. Such programs include services to needy populations and support including. HIV treatments, medical and dental mobile vans, health fairs, community-based medical clinics, and teen pregnancy counseling.

The Network also participates in the Medical Assistance program which makes payment for services provided to financially needy patients at rates which are less than the cost of such services Additionally, the Network provides services through the emergency room and clinics at a substantial loss

6. Third-Party Agreements

For the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, payment arrangements with the Network and third-party payors were as follows

Medicare

Payments to St Luke's Hospital, St Luke's Quakertown Hospital, MMMC and St Luke's Warren from the Medicare program for inpatient acute care services are made on a prospective basis. Under this program, payments are made at a predetermined specific rate for each discharge based on the patient's diagnosis.

Outpatient services are reimbursed under the Ambulatory Payment Classification System except for clinical lab which is paid on a fee schedule and a prospective predetermined rate for renal

Capital Blue Cross/Highmark Blue Shield

Inpatient services rendered to Capital Blue Cross/Highmark Blue Shield subscribers are reimbursed at prospectively determined per case rates. St. Luke's Quakertown Hospital is subject to a retroactive adjustment under the current Capital Blue Cross contract. Outpatient services for Capital Blue Cross are reimbursed on an interim basis on a percentage of charges for St. Luke's Quakertown Hospital, MMMC's and payments are later reconciled using a cost report device. There is no final settlement process for St. Luke's Hospital.

Inpatient services rendered to Blue Cross/Highmark Blue Shield subscribers are reimbursed at a per case basis. Outpatient services are reimbursed based upon established fee schedules

Medicaid

The Pennsylvania Medical Assistance program ("PMA") reimburses St. Luke's Hospital, St. Luke's Quakertown Hospital, MMMC and St. Luke's Warren for inpatient services and capital costs on a prospective basis. Payments for inpatient services are made at a predetermined specific rate for each discharge based on the patient's diagnosis. Outpatient services are reimbursed on the basis of an established fee schedule.

Other

The Network has also entered into payment agreements with certain commercial insurance carriers, health maintenance organizations and preferred provider organizations. The basis for payment to the Network under these agreements includes prospectively determined rates per discharge, discounts from established charges, prospectively determined daily rates and capitated payment arrangements.

7. Fair Value Measurements

Fair value is defined as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date

The accounting guidance establishes a hierarchy of valuation inputs based on the extent to which the inputs are observable in the marketplace. A financial instrument's categorization within the valuation hierarchy is based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. Financial instruments measured at fair value are based on valuation techniques noted below consistent with fair value guidance. The following describes the hierarchy of inputs used to measure fair value and the primary valuation methodologies used by the Network for financial instruments measured at fair value on a recurring basis. The three levels of inputs are as follows

- Level 1 Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities Market price data is generally obtained from exchange or dealer markets. The Network does not adjust the quoted price for such assets and liabilities.
- Level 2 Inputs other than Level 1 that are observable, either directly or indirectly, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities, quoted prices in markets that are not active, or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the same term of the assets or liabilities. Inputs are obtained from various sources including market participants, dealers, and brokers
- Level 3 Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities

Interest rate swaps are valued using both observable and unobservable inputs, such as quotations received from the counterparty, dealers or brokers, whenever available and considered reliable. In instances where models are used, the value of the interest rate swap depends upon the contractual terms of, and specific risks inherent in, the instrument as well as the availability and reliability of observable inputs. Such inputs include market prices for reference securities, yield curves, credit curves, measures of volatility, prepayment rates, assumptions for nonperformance risk, and correlations of such inputs. Certain interest rate swap arrangements have inputs which can generally be corroborated by market data and are therefore classified within level 2.

The total return swaps are less liquid and inputs are unobservable and as such are classified within level 3. While the valuation of these less liquid derivatives may utilize some level 1 and/or level 2 inputs, they also include other unobservable inputs which are considered significant to the fair value determination. At each measurement date, the Network updates the level 1 and level 2 inputs to reflect observable inputs, though the resulting gains and losses are reflected within level 3 due to the significance of the unobservable inputs.

The methods described above may produce a fair value calculation that may not be indicative of net realizable value or reflective of future fair values. Furthermore, while the Network believes its valuation methods are appropriate and consistent with other market participants, the use of different methodologies or assumptions to determine the fair value of certain financial instruments could result in a different estimate of fair value at the reporting date

Financial instruments carried at fair value as of June 30, 2012, and 2011 are as follows

| | June 30, 2012 | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------|---|---|-------------|----------------|---------------------|----|--|
| | Acti | ted Prices in ive Markets 'Level 1) | Significant Other Significant ces in Observable rkets Inputs Inputs | | ervable uts | Total Fair Value | | |
| Investments Money Market Funds Government Securities | \$ | 25,694,780 9,743,751 | \$ | - | \$ | - - | \$ | 25,694,780 9,743,751 |
| Corporate Bonds Common & Preferred Stock Mutual Funds | | 38,081,521 521,656 299,392,938 | | - - - | | - - - | | 38,081,521 521,656 299,392,938 |
| Total Investments | \$ | 373,434,646 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 373,434,646 |
| Derivative Financial Instruments Cost of Funds Hedge - Floating to Fixed Rate Fixed Receiver Swaps Total Return Swap Interest Rate Swaps- | \$ | - - - | \$ 87,052, (18,256,1 | | \$ | - - 1,414,058 | \$ | 87,052,790 (18,256,196) 14,414,058 |
| liability | \$ | _ | \$ 68,796, | 594 | \$ 14 | 1,414,058 | \$ | 83,210,652 |

| | June 30, 2011 | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------|---|---------------------------------|----|---|---------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| | Act | Significant Other Quoted Prices in Active Markets (Level 1) Significant Observable Inputs (Level 2) | | | Significant nobservable Inputs (Level 3) | Total Fair Value | | |
| Investments Money Market Funds Government Securities Corporate Bonds Common & Preferred | \$ | 34,438,169 88,721,968 1,060,000 | \$ - - - | \$ | - - - | \$ | 34,438,169 88,721,968 1,060,000 | |
| Stock Mutual Funds | | 521,656 300,674,561 | - - | | - - | | 521,656 300,674,561 | |
| Total Investments | \$ | 425,416,354 | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ | 425,416,354 | |
| Derivative Financial Instruments Cost of Funds Hedge - Floating to Fixed Rate Fixed Receiver Swaps Total Return Swap Interest Rate Swaps- | \$ | - - - | \$ 35,845,669 1,766,117 - | \$ | - - 20,934,490 | \$ | 35,845,669 1,766,117 20,934,490 | |
| liability | \$ | - | \$ 37,611,786 | (| \$ 20,934,490 | \$ | 58,546,276 | |

The following table is a roll-forward for those financial instruments classified by the Network as Level 3 within the fair value hierarchy defined above

| | Rate Swaps | | | |
|---------------------------|------------|-----------------|--|--|
| Fair Value, June 30, 2010 | \$_ | (16,091,942) | | |
| Gains/(losses) | | (4,842,548) | | |
| Fair Value, June 30, 2011 | \$ | (20,934,490) | | |
| Gains/(losses) | | 6,520,432 | | |
| Fair Value, June 30, 2012 | \$ | \$ (14,414,058) | | |

. . .

All unrealized gains (losses) in the table above are reflected in the accompanying statement of operations

8. Investments in Joint Ventures and Partnerships

St Luke's Hospital is a Class B member of the St Luke's Physician Hospital Organization, Inc ("PHO") The PHO has two classes of members Class A members consist of primary care and specialist physicians and Class B members consist of member hospitals, St Luke's being the only Class B member hospital

St Luke's University Health Network holds a 50% interest in the Center for Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery and Implantology at St Luke's, LLC, which was organized on May 12, 2005 and provides oral surgery services which enables the joint venture to respond to community needs

St Luke's Hospital holds a 50% interest in Pocono MRI Imaging & Diagnostic Center, LLC, which was organized on June 15, 2010 and provides imaging and diagnostic services in the Pocono area

The Network also maintains other investments in partnerships that provide various clinical and nonclinical services. The Network's investments in the partnerships are accounted for under the equity method. The total investments in joint ventures and partnerships of approximately \$7,035,907 and \$8,203,293 for the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, are included in other assets on the consolidated balance sheets.

9. Property and Equipment

Property and equipment and related accumulated depreciation at June 30, 2012 and 2011 consisted of the following

| | 2012 | 2011 |
|---|---------------|---------------|
| Land | \$ 85,716,102 | \$ 81,801,364 |
| Buildings and improvements | 594,405,094 | 396,720,655 |
| Equipment | 516,145,394 | 408,193,467 |
| Parking garage | 16,054,980 | 16,054,980 |
| Total Property, Plant, & Equipment, gross | 1,212,321,570 | 902,770,466 |
| Less Accumulated depreciation | 671,938,339 | 531,273,585 |
| Total Property, Plant & Equipment, net | 540,383,231 | 371,496,881 |

| Construction-in-progress (CIP) | 55,867,851 | 113,351,419 |
|--|----------------|-------------------|
| Total Property, Plant & Equipment, net and CIP | \$ 596,251,082 | \$ 484,848,300 |

Depreciation expense was approximately \$52,963,636 and \$43,931,075 for the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively Interest that was capitalized was approximately \$1,446,045 and \$3,805,000 for the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively

Capital Leases

Included in fixed and movable equipment as of June 30, 2012 is approximately \$9,691,544 of assets purchased under capital lease agreements. Accumulated amortization of such assets approximates \$8,834,787 as of June 30, 2012.

10. Long-Term Debt

Long-term debt at June 30, 2012 and 2011 consisted of the following

| | 2012 | 2011 | | |
|--|----------------|----------------|--|--|
| Quakertown General Authority - 1996A Pooled Financing Loan | | | | |
| issued by the Quakertown General Authority at a variable rate | \$ 6,691,746 | \$ 8,092,321 | | |
| Hospital Revenue Bonds, Series 2007, issued by the Lehigh County General Purpose Authority | 128,500,000 | 128,805,000 | | |
| Hospital Revenue Bonds, Series 2008A, issued by Northampton County General Purpose Authority, net of unamortized discount | 172,537,877 | 172,449,786 | | |
| Hospital Revenue Bonds, Series 2010A, 2010B, 2010C, issued by Northampton County General Purpose Authority Hospital Revenue Bonds, Series 2012, issued by New Jersey | 63,825,491 | 66,879,861 | | |
| Health Care Facilities Financing Authority-Warren Hospital | 42,150,000 | - | | |
| TD Bank, N A Note Payable | 27,505,583 | 29,049,134 | | |
| Wells Fargo Equipment Finance, Inc | 8,282,091 | - | | |
| Various notes and mortgage notes payable at various | | | | |
| interest rates | 9,356,062 | 6,323,437 | | |
| | 458,848,850 | 411,599,539 | | |
| Less Current portion | 13,234,513 | 11,186,172 | | |
| | \$ 445,614,337 | \$ 400,413,367 | | |

Scheduled maturities, assuming no amounts are tendered that cannot be remarketed, for the years ending June 30 are as follows

| | Long-Term |
|---|----------------|
| Fiscal Year | Debt |
| 2013 | 13,234,513 |
| 2014 | 8,175,903 |
| 2015 | 8,384,223 |
| 2016 | 9,761,667 |
| 2017 | 8,363,114 |
| Thereafter | 413,096,061 |
| | 461,015,481 |
| Less Amount of unamortized bond discount/imputed interest | 2,166,631 |
| | \$ 458,848,850 |

The fair market value of outstanding debt as of June 30, 2012 is as follows

| | Par Amount | Fair Market Value |
|--|----------------|-------------------------|
| Quakertown General Authority 1996A Pooled Financing Loan | 6,691,746 | 6,691,746 |
| Series 2007 | 128,500,000 | 84,954,941 |
| Series 2008 | 175,000,000 | 184,266,030 |
| Series 2010 | 63,530,000 | 68,915,327 |
| Series 2012 | 42,150,000 | 42,160,538 |
| | \$ 415,871,746 | \$ 386,988,582 |

The fair value of long-term debt is estimated using market prices, where available If quoted market prices are not available, fair values are based on quoted market prices of comparable instruments

Quakertown General Authority – 1996A Pooled Financing Loan

On March 1, 1997, St Luke's Hospital borrowed approximately \$21,700,000 from the Quakertown General Authority—St Luke's represents one of many borrowers who participated in the \$77,900,000 Quakertown General Authority Variable Demand Revenue Bonds (Pooled Financing Program) Series of 1996A—For security of the bondholders of the 1996A Bonds, the Quakertown General Authority entered into a Letter of Credit with PNC Bank National Association—The Bonds were offered on the basis of the financial strength of PNC Bank National Association, not the individual borrowers of the 1996A Pooled Financing Program—The Bonds are seven day variable rate demand bonds that are remarketed by the remarketing agent (PNC Capital Markets) and if for some reason the Bonds could not be remarketed, then the Bonds would become Bank Bonds and held by the Bank until they were remarketed—During the time the Bonds are held by the Bank, St Luke's would continue with the loan according to the Loan agreement between St Luke's and the Quakertown General Authority

The proceeds from the borrowings on the 1996A Bond Pool were used to refinance previous borrowings from the Quakertown Variable Rate Demand Bond Pool Series 1995 and to finance certain capital

improvement projects. The term of the Loan is 238 months, payable in full by January 1, 2017 and will bear interest at a variable rate. At June 30, 2012 and 2011, the rate (including bond and obligated group expense) was 2 0% and 2 0%, respectively

The obligation of St Luke's to repay the Loan is evidenced by a note issued under and pursuant to the term of the amended 1987 Master Trust Indenture. The original trust indenture, lease agreement and all subsequent supplemental agreements contain certain covenants of the Obligated Group and the Quakertown Obligated Group including, but not limited to, covenants requiring that the Obligated Group and the Quakertown Obligated Group will generate net revenues, as defined, equal to at least 150% of annual debt service

Hospital Revenue Bonds, Series 2007

On February 21, 2007, the Lehigh County General Purpose Authority issued \$266,310,000 of its Hospital Revenue Bonds, Series 2007 (the "2007 Bonds") The proceeds of the 2007 Bonds were used by the Hospital to undertake various construction projects (primarily the expansion of St. Luke's Hospital in Allentown), purchase of capital equipment, refunding of previous bond offerings and to pay for certain costs and expenses related to the issuance of the bonds

The Hospital entered into the Master Trust Indenture with the Master Trustee and is currently the sole member of the Obligated Group—Under the Master Trust Indenture, all of the Bonds previously issued by the Authority under the indenture are collateralized by notes issued by the Hospital—The 2007 Bonds are collateralized by a note issued by the Hospital under the Master Trust Indenture

The 2007 Bonds were issued in a quarterly reset variable rate mode. Each maturity will bear interest from the date of their delivery (February 21, 2007) or from the most recent Interest Payment Date to the next succeeding Interest Payment Date, at a per annum rate equal to (a) 67% of the Three-Month LIBOR Rate for such period plus (b) the per annum spread specified in the following table, except that the 2007 Bonds may not bear interest in any interest period at more than a maximum rate of 15% per annum

Term Bonds Spread above 67% of the Three-Month LIBOR Rate

\$110,420,000 Variable, due 8/15/2033 original \$49,905,000 due 8/15/2033 after redemption – see note below

\$155,890,000 Variable, due 8/15/2042 original \$78,900,000 due 8/15/2039 after redemption – see note below 102 basis points

92 basis points

Interest is computed on the basis of a 365- or 366-day year, as applicable, for the actual number of days elapsed. The 2007 Bonds bear interest at the Index Rate until maturity or the earlier redemption thereof, and are not subject to conversion to another interest rate.

Redemption of Series 2007 Bonds

A total of \$305,000 of the Lehigh County General Purpose Authority Hospital Revenue Bonds, Series 2007 Term Bonds were cancelled as a result of St Luke's purchasing and then redeeming them with payments credited against the sinking fund installments

The Network recorded a Nonoperating realized gain of \$88,334 on the bond purchases which is included as a Nonoperating gain in the FY 2012 Statement of Operations

Hospital Revenue Bonds, Series 2008

On June 11, 2008, the Northampton County General Purpose Authority issued \$175,000,000 of its Hospital Revenue Bonds, Series A of 2008 (the "2008 Bonds") under a Loan and Trust Agreement by and among the Northampton County General Purpose Authority, Saint Luke's Hospital and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as trustee

The 2008 Bonds were issued to provide funds for various construction projects (primarily the construction of the St Luke's Anderson Campus), purchase of capital equipment, purchase of land in Bethlehem Township and to pay for certain costs and expenses related to the issuance of the bonds

The Hospital entered into the Master Trust Indenture with the Master Trustee and is currently the sole member of the Obligated Group Under the Master Trust Indenture all of the Bonds previously issued by the Lehigh County General Authority and the Quakertown General Authority are secured by notes issued by the Hospital The 2008 Bonds will also be secured by a note issued by the Hospital under the Master Trust Indenture

The 2008 Bonds of each maturity will bear interest from the date of their initial delivery or from the most recent Interest Payment Date to which interest thereon has been paid or duly provided for to the next succeeding Interest Payment Date, at a fixed rate

| | Interest | |
|--------------|---|--|
| Amount | Rate | Yield |
| \$ 4,260,000 | 5 000 % | 5 010 % |
| 4,480,000 | 5 000 % | 5 110 % |
| 4,715,000 | 5 125 % | 5 190 % |
| 4,965,000 | 5 250 % | 5 260 % |
| 5,235,000 | 5 250 % | 5 320 % |
| 5,520,000 | 5 250 % | 5 370 % |
| 25,270,000 | 5 375 % | 5 490 % |
| 59,960,000 | 5 500 % | 5 600 % |
| 60,595,000 | 5 500 % | 5 660 % |
| | \$ 4,260,000 4,480,000 4,715,000 4,965,000 5,235,000 5,520,000 25,270,000 59,960,000 | Amount Rate \$ 4,260,000 5 000 % 4,480,000 5 000 % 4,715,000 5 125 % 4,965,000 5 250 % 5,235,000 5 250 % 5,520,000 5 250 % 25,270,000 5 375 % 59,960,000 5 500 % |

Interest on the 2008 Bonds will be payable on each August 15 and February 15, commencing on August 15, 2008 Interest on the 2008 Bonds will be computed on the basis of 360-day year of twelve 30 day months

Hospital Revenue Bonds, Series 2010

On May 13, 2010, the Northampton County General Purpose Authority issued \$69,730,000 of its Hospital Revenue Bonds. The \$69,730,000 Northampton County General Purpose Authority Hospital Revenue Bonds, consisting of \$24,415,000 aggregate principal amount of Hospital Revenue Bonds Series 2010A (Saint Luke's Hospital Project), \$10,390,000 aggregate principal amount of Hospital Revenue Bonds Series 2010B (Saint Luke's Hospital Project) and \$34,925,000 aggregate principal amount of Hospital Revenue Bonds Series 2010C (Saint Luke's Hospital Project). The 2010 Bonds are issued under that certain Loan and Trust Agreement, dated as of June 1, 2008 by and among the Northampton County General Purpose Authority, Saint Luke's Hospital of Bethlehem, Pennsylvania and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as trustee, as amended and supplemented by a First Supplemental Loan and Trust Agreement, dated as of May 1, 2010

The 2010 Bonds were issued to provide funds for the refunding of previous bond offerings, the construction and equipping of a medical office building on the Anderson Campus, and to pay for certain costs and expenses related to the issuance of the bonds

The Hospital requested that the Authority issue approximately \$29,300,000 of its bonds (the "Private Placement Bonds") to TD Bank, N A in a private placement (the "Private Placement") to finance capital projects and reimburse the Hospital for expenditures previously made. The Private Placement Bonds are secured by a master note on a parity basis with the 2010 Bonds under the Master Trust Indenture.

Hospital Revenue Bonds, Series 2012

On January 31, 2012, the New Jersey Healthcare Facilities Financing Authority issued 42,150,000 "Series 2012" of its Revenue Bonds. In connection with the issuance, the borrower has entered into a Loan Agreement with the Authority dated January 1, 2012, pursuant to which the Borrower is obligated, among other things to make payments to the Trusteed sufficient to pay the principle or Redemption Price of and interest on the Series 2012 Bonds when due. As a security of its obligation to pay the principal and Redemption Price of interest on the Series 2012 Bonds when due, pursuant to the Trust Agreement, the Authority has assigned among other things, all its rights, tittle and interest in the Loan Agreement to the Trusteed for the benefits of the Registered Owners of the Series 2012 Bonds, subject to the reservation of certain rights by the Authority as described in the Trust Agreement

The Series 2012 Bonds are being issued for the purpose of financing a portion of the cost of completing the acquisition of all of the ownership interests of St Luke's Warren Hospital, Inc , d/b/a St Luke's Warren Hospital

The Series 2012 Bonds are being issued and delivered to Allstate Insurance Company in exchange for the Series 2008A Bonds and Series 2008B Bonds, which will be cancelled and extinguished. The Network recorded a Nonoperating realized loss of \$3,043,761 on the bond purchases which is included as a Nonoperating loss in the FY 2012 Statement of Operations.

11. Derivative Financial Instruments

In March 2008, the FASB issued new guidance intended to enhance the current disclosure framework for derivative instruments. This guidance requires disclosure of the underlying risk and accounting designation of derivative instruments. Specific required disclosures include (i) the organization's objective relative to the use of derivative instruments and the associated risk, (ii) a tabular presentation of derivative instruments' fair values and gain or loss position, and (iii) credit-risk-related contingent features. The new standard is effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008. The Network has adopted this standard as of June 30, 2010.

At June 30, 2012, two floating to fixed interest rate swaps were outstanding with notional amounts totaling \$265.61 million and maturity dates ranging from August, 2033 to August, 2042. The bonds, issued in a quarterly reset LIBOR-based variable rate mode, will be swapped to a 4.60% fixed rate. The nature of the risk is to eliminate unpredictable variability of interest rate payments due to changes in the 3 month LIBOR rate as well as limit exposure to increases in short-term interest rates as well as significantly decrease borrowing costs (relative to a traditional fixed rate issuance). Based on the identical characteristics of the payment based index of the hedged item and the receipt based index on the interest rate swap, the hedge will exactly offset the variability of interest rates on the underlying bonds over the term of the swap transaction.

At June 30, 2012, three total return swaps were outstanding. In December 2007, St. Luke's executed a total return swap on a \$76 million portion of the outstanding Series 2007, 2042 term bond. In

September 2009, St Luke's executed a total return swap on a \$24 million portion of the outstanding Series 2007, 2033 term bond. Pursuant to these agreements, St Luke's has guaranteed a price of 95 50% and 99 5%, respectively, on the underlying Bonds. St Luke's has the right to call and redeem these bonds at any time as fully described in the Series 2007 Fifth Supplemental Trust Indenture. The swaps are recorded at the fair market value on the balance sheet and changes in value are posted through the excess of revenues and gains over expenses. In April 2010, St Luke's executed a total return swap on a \$34 93 million portion of the outstanding Series 2010, 2032 term bond.

At June 30, 2012, ten fixed receiver swaps were outstanding with notional amounts totaling \$91 17 million and maturity dates ranging from August, 2032 to August, 2042 with fixed rates ranging from 3 28% to 3 48% St Luke's pays a rate based on the SIFMA index for each of these swaps

The nature of the risk is to convert certain amounts of the two floating to fixed interest rate swaps to floating to floating interest rate obligations and to offset the negative periodic cash flows currently associated with the two floating to fixed interest rate swaps

12. Temporarily and Permanently Restricted Net Assets

Temporarily restricted net assets were available for the following purposes at June 30, 2012 and 2011

| | 2012 | 2011 |
|---|---------------|---------------|
| Purchase of property and equipment | \$ 1,373,915 | \$ 2,737,567 |
| Health education | 8,334,962 | 7,585,303 |
| Research and development | 152,088 | 136,710 |
| Unrealized gains on restricted net assets | | |
| for health care services | 11,301,165_ | 12,233,967 |
| | \$ 21,162,130 | \$ 22,693,547 |

Permanently restricted net assets at June 30, 2012 and 2011 were restricted to

| | 2012 | | 2011 |
|---|------------------|---|------------------|
| Investments to be held in perpetuity, the income from which is expendable to support health care services (reported as other operating and nonoperating | | | |
| income) | \$ 26,447,635 | _ | \$ 22,979,652 |

Endowments

The Network's endowment consists of approximately \$26,447,635 individual donor restricted endowment funds and \$56,680,951 board-designated endowment funds for a variety of purposes plus the following where the assets have been designated for endowment split interest agreements, and other net assets. The endowment includes both donor-restricted endowment funds and funds designated by the Board of Trustees to function as endowments. The net assets associated with endowment funds including funds.

designated by the Board of Trustees to function as endowments, are classified and reported based on the existence or absence of donor imposed restrictions

The Board of Trustees of the Network has interpreted the relevant PA state law as requiring the preservation of the original gift as of the gift date of the donor-restricted endowment funds absent explicit donor stipulations to the contrary. As a result of this interpretation, the Network classifies as permanently restricted net assets, (a) the original value of gifts donated to the permanent endowment, (b) the original value of subsequent gifts to the permanent endowment, and (c) accumulations to the permanent endowment made in accordance with the direction of the applicable donor gift instrument at the time the accumulation is added to the fund. The funds remain in the donor-restricted endowment fund until those amounts are appropriated for expenditure of the Network in a manner consistent with the standard of prudence prescribed by relevant PA state law. In accordance with relevant PA state law, the Network considers the following factors in making a determination to appropriate or accumulate endowment funds

- (1) The duration and preservation of the fund
- (2) The purposes of the Network and the donor restricted endowment fund
- (3) General economic conditions
- (4) The possible effect of inflation and deflation
- (5) The expected total return from income and the appreciation of investments
- (6) Other resources of the Network
- (7) The investment policies of the Network

The Network had the following endowment activities during the year ended June 30, 2012 delineated by net asset class and donor-restricted versus Board-designated funds

Endowment net asset composition by type of fund as of June 30, 2012

| Daman mastrustad | Unrestricted | Temporarily Restricted | Permanently Restricted | Total |
|---|--------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|
| Donor-restricted endowment funds Board-designated | | \$ 21,162,130 | \$ 26,447,635 | \$ 47,609,765 |
| endowment funds | \$56,680,951 | | | 56,680,951 |
| | \$56,680,951 | \$ 21,162,130 | \$ 26,447,635 | \$104,290,716 |

June 30, 2012 and 2011

| Changes in | endowment | net | assets | for | the | vear | ended | June. | 30 | 2012 |
|------------|------------------|------|--------|-----|-----|-------|--------|-------|---------|------|
| Change in | Olido III liolik | 1101 | accoic | 101 | | , oui | Ullaua | ound | · · · · | 2012 |

| | Unre stricte d | Temporarily Restricted | Permanently Restricted | Total |
|--|----------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|
| Endowment net assets, beginning of | | | | |
| year | \$ 56,245,052 | \$ 22,693,547 | \$ 22,979,652 | \$101,918,251 |
| Investment return | , , | . , , | . , , | . , , |
| Investment income | 1,484,883 | 65,080 | 1,051,888 | 2,601,851 |
| | | | | |
| Net depreciation (realized and unrealized) | (1,008,622) | (28,840) | (553,928) | (1,591,390) |
| Total Investment return | 476,261 | 36,240 | 497,960 | 1,010,461 |
| Gıfts | 159,638 | 771,823 | 3,743,135 | 4,674,596 |
| Income released to General Fund for | | | | |
| operations | - | (1,760,050) | (1,552,542) | (3,312,592) |
| Transfer balance of net depreciation to | | | | |
| unrestricted | - | (579,430) | 579,430 | - |
| Other Miscellaneous Transfers | (200,000) | | 200,000 | |
| Endowment net assets, end of year | \$ 56,680,951 | \$ 21,162,130 | \$ 26,447,635 | \$104,290,716 |

Changes in endowment net assets for the year ended June 30, 2011

| | Unre stricte d | Temporarily Restricted | Permanently Restricted | Total |
|--|----------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|
| Endowment net assets, beginning of | | | | |
| year | \$ 45,133,182 | \$ 13,983,828 | \$ 21,530,157 | \$ 80,647,167 |
| Investment return | 1 000 100 | 50.000 | 750.000 | 4 000 500 |
| Investment income | 1,093,438 | 59,992 | 756,096 | 1,909,526 |
| Net depreciation (realized and unrealized) | 9,204,802 | (15,254) | 6,031,533 | 15,221,081 |
| Total Investment return | 10,298,240 | 44,738 | 6,787,629 | 17,130,607 |
| Gifts | 681,790 | 5,951,261 | 1,221,253 | 7,854,304 |
| Income released to General Fund for | | | | |
| operations | (43,500) | (3,130,201) | (540,126) | (3,713,827) |
| Transfer balance of net depreciation to | | | | |
| unrestricted | 175,340 | 5,843,921 | (6,019,261) | - |
| Endowment net assets, end of year | \$ 56,245,052 | \$ 22,693,547 | \$ 22,979,652 | \$101,918,251 |

Description of Amounts Classified as Permanently Restricted Net Assets and Temporarily Restricted Net Assets (Endowments Only)

Permanently restricted net assets

The portion of perpetual endowment funds that is required to be retained permanently either by explicit donor stipulation or by relevant PA State law

| Permanent Endowment | \$ 26,257,468 |
|--|------------------|
| Mortgage Endowment | 190,167 |
| Total endowment assets classified as permanently restricted net assets | \$ 26,447,635 |

June 30, 2012 and 2011

Endowment Funds with Deficits

From time to time, the fair value of assets associated with individual donor-restricted endowment funds may fall below the value of the initial and subsequent donor gift amounts (deficit). When donor endowment deficits exist, they are classified as a reduction of unrestricted net assets. Deficits of this nature reported in unrestricted net assets were \$0 as of June 30, 2012 and 2011.

Return Objectives and Risk Parameters

The Network has adopted endowment investment and spending policies that attempt to provide a predictable stream of funding to programs supported by its endowment while seeking to maintain the purchasing power of endowment assets. Under this policy, the return objective for the endowment assets, measured over a full market cycle, shall be to maximize the return against a blended index, based on the endowment's target allocation applied to the appropriate individual benchmarks. The Network expects its endowment funds over time, to provide an average rate of return approximating the S&P 500 Stock Index (domestic portion), MSCI EAFE Index (international portion) and Lehman Brothers Intermediate Government/Corporate Index (bond portion). Actual returns in any given year may vary from the index return amounts

Strategies Employed for Achieving Investment Objectives

To achieve its long-term rate of return objectives, the Network relies on a total return strategy in which investment returns are achieved through both capital appreciation (realized and unrealized gains) and current yield (interest and dividends). The Network targets a diversified asset allocation that places greater emphasis on equity-based investments to achieve its long-term objectives within prudent risk constraints.

Endowment Spending Allocation and Relationship of Spending Policy to Investment Objectives

The Board of Trustees of the Network determines the method to be used to appropriate endowment funds for expenditure. Calculations are performed for individual endowment funds at a rate of 4.5 percent of a three-year moving average market value with a minimum increase of 0% and a maximum increase of 10% per year over the previous year's spending amount. The total is reduced by the income distributed from the endowment fund in accordance with the preferences/restrictions made by the donors. The corresponding calculated spending allocations are distributed annually by June 30. In establishing this policy, the Board considered the expected long term rate of return on its endowment. Accordingly, over the long term, the Network expects the current spending policy to allow it's endowment to grow at an average of 8% percent annually, consistent with its intention to maintain the purchasing power of the endowment assets as well as to provide additional real growth through new gifts.

13. Contingencies

Operating Leases

The Network leases certain medical, office and information technology equipment used in its operations Total rental expense for all operating leases for the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 was approximately \$11,250,548 and \$12,345,884, respectively

At June 30, 2012, the annual and total future minimum lease payments under noncancelable operating leases were as follows

| | | Operating |
|-------------|------------------------|------------|
| 2013 | | 11,374,923 |
| 2014 | | 10,286,745 |
| 2015 | | 9,577,752 |
| 2016 | | 8,395,526 |
| 2017 | | 8,263,857 |
| Later Years | _ | 16,970,752 |
| | Total minimum payments | 64,869,555 |

Litigation

The Network and its controlled entities are involved in certain litigation which involves professional and general liability. In the opinion of management and in-house counsel, the ultimate liability, if any, will not have a material effect on the consolidated financial condition of the Network and its controlled entities

14. Functional Expenses

The Network provides general health care services to residents within its geographic location. Expenses related to providing these services for the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 are approximately as follows

| | 2012 | 2011 |
|---|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Health care services General and administrative | \$ 841,891,867 93,492,125 | \$ 615,481,048 202,542,674 |
| | \$ 935,383,992 | \$ 818,023,722 |

15. Pension Plan

Defined Benefit Plan

The Network entities, excluding St Luke's Warren employees who have a separate plan (see Defined Benefit Plan St Luke's Warren Employees note below), have a noncontributory defined benefit pension plan ("Plan") covering substantially all employees of the Network who were hired prior to January 1, 2009 (see New Pension Plan 401(a) note below) Plan benefits are based on years of service and the employee's average annual earned income during the highest 60 consecutive months in the last ten years of credited service prior to retirement or termination. The Network's policy is to fund annually at least the minimum amount required by the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974.

In connection with a redesign of the network's (excluding St Luke's Warren employees) retirement plans, the Finance Committee of the Board approved amendments to end benefit accruals in the qualified defined benefit pension plan after December 31, 2014 Benefits to be earned by participants under this plan prior to January 1, 2015 are not anticipated to be affected. The network recorded a curtailment loss of \$361,116 for the fiscal year end June 30, 2012. The network estimates that pension liabilities will decrease by about \$56 million as a result of this change.

St. Luke's University Health Network, Inc. and Controlled Entities

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

June 30, 2012 and 2011

Defined Benefit Plan St. Luke's Warren Employees

St Luke's Warren sponsors a noncontributory defined benefit plan covering substantially all employees of St Luke's Warren Hospital Benefits are generally based on the employee's compensation and years of service. The Hospital adopted a formal plan to cease the accrual of service benefits for Plan participants effective May 31, 2007. The Hospital's funding policy provides that payments to the Plan shall be equal to the minimum funding requirements of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, plus any additional amounts which may be approved by the Network.

Savings Plan

In 2007, St Luke's Warren established a 401(k) plan for qualified employees Contributions to this plan are based on a defined formula of 3% of an employee's contribution St Luke's Warren has recorded a reserve of \$711,140 for the year ended June 30, 2012 This liability is included in accrued compensation payable on the 2012 consolidated balance sheet

401(a) Plan

All (non-St Luke's Warren) employees hired before January 1, 2009 remain participants in the noncontributory defined benefit pension plan (see Pension Plan, above)

All employees hired after January 1, 2009, are provided with a defined contribution plan 401(a) of which St Luke's will contribute a percentage of the employee's salary based on the employee's years of service as follows

| Years of Service | % of Annual Salary |
|------------------|-----------------------|
| 1 through 5 | 2 5% |
| 6 through 10 | 4 0% |
| 11 through 15 | 5 5% |
| 16+ years | 7 0% |

The Network has recorded a reserve of \$868,789 for the year ended June 30, 2012 This liability is included in accrued compensation payable on the 2012 consolidated balance sheet

Retirement Plan 401(k)

As of January 1, 2009, a 401(k) retirement savings plan replaces the 403(b) retirement savings plan for employees of St Luke's HomeStar Services, LLC, a for-profit organization

Pension Plan Financial Components

The net pension cost for all Plans and Plan participants (including St. Luke's Warren for the period January 31, 2012 through June 30, 2012), during the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, includes the following components

| Change in benefit obligation | 2012 | 2011 |
|---|----------------|----------------|
| Benefit obligation at beginning of year | \$ 320,228,197 | \$ 292,696,550 |
| Warren obligation at beginning of year | 58 606 215 | _ |

| Service cost | 16,916,986 | 17,144,457 |
|---|------------------|-----------------|
| Interest cost | 20,517,526 | 17,620,584 |
| Benefits paid | (8,803,724) | (4,859,198) |
| Actuarial loss (gain) | 51,234,348 | (2,374,196) |
| Plan amendment | (1,167,040) | |
| Benefit obligation at end of year | \$ 457,532,508 | \$ 320,228,197 |
| Change in plan assets | 2012 | 2011 |
| Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year | \$ 224,569,725 | \$ 158,542,226 |
| Warren fair value of plan assets at beginning of year | 35,894,490 | - |
| Actual return on plan assets | 6,555,118 | 37,568,633 |
| Employer contributions | 34,177,486 | 33,318,064 |
| Benefits paid | (8,803,724) | (4,859,198) |
| Fair value of plan assets at end of year | \$ 292,393,095 | \$ 224,569,725 |
| Funded status at end of year | \$ (165,139,413) | \$ (95,658,472) |
| Amounts recognized in the statement of financial position | consist of | |
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Noncurrent assets | \$ - | \$ - |
| Current liabilities | (320,000) | (2,235,000) |
| Noncurrent liabilities | (164,819,413) | (93,423,472) |
| Net amount recognized in statement of financial position | \$ (165,139,413) | \$ (95,658,472) |

Amounts recognized in unrestricted net assets consist of

| Amounts recognized in unrestricted het assets consist of | 2012 | 2011 |
|--|------------------------|------------------|
| Unrecognized Net loss (gain) Transition obligation (asset) | \$ 144,245,154 - | \$ 62,728,940 |
| Prior service cost (credit) | | 591,965 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | \$ 144,245,154 | \$ 63,320,905 |

The accumulated benefit obligation for all defined benefit pension plans was \$443,452,135 and \$272,009,702 at June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively

Information for pension plans with an accumulated benefit obligation in excess of plan assets

| | 2012 | 2011 |
|--------------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| Projected benefit obligation | \$ 457,532,508 | \$ 320,228,197 |
| Accumulated benefit obligation | 443,452,135 | 272,009,702 |
| Fair value of plan assets | 292,393,095 | 224,569,725 |

Components of net periodic benefit cost and other amounts recognized in unrestricted net assets

| T () | 0040 | 0044 |
|----------------------------|------|------|
| Total pension benefit cost | 2012 | 2011 |

| Service cost | \$ 16,916,986 | \$ 17,144,457 |
|---|---------------|---------------|
| Interest cost | 20,517,525 | 17,620,584 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (20,387,261) | (13,215,056) |
| Amortization of net (gain) loss | 4,016,828 | 6,640,135 |
| Amortization of transition obligation (asset) | - | - |
| Amortization of prior service cost (credit) | 230,849 | 310,635 |
| Net periodic benefit cost | \$ 21,294,927 | \$ 28,500,755 |
| Curtailment loss | 361,116 | - |
| Settlement loss | 1,270,005 | |
| Total pension cost | \$ 22,926,048 | \$ 28,500,755 |

Other changes in plan assets and benefit obligations recognized in unrestricted net assets

| Net loss (gain) | \$ 65,682,380 | \$ | (26,727,773) |
|---|------------------|-----|--------------|
| Amortization of loss (gain) | (5,286,833) | | (6,640,135) |
| Amortization of transition obligation | - | | - |
| Amortization of prior service cost | (591,965) | | (310,635) |
| Total recognized in unrestricted net assets | \$ 59,803,582 | \$ | (33,678,543) |
| Total recognized in net periodic benefit cost and unrestricted net assets | \$ 82,729,630 | \$_ | (5,177,788) |

The estimated net loss, transition obligation and prior service cost for the defined benefit pension plans that will be amortized from unrestricted net assets into net periodic benefit cost over the next fiscal year are \$10,640,789, \$0 and \$0, respectively

Weighted-average assumptions used to determine benefit obligations at June 30, 2012

| Discount rate | 4 60% |
|-------------------------------|-------|
| Discount rate - Warren | 4 64% |
| Rate of compensation increase | 3 50% |

Weighted-average assumptions used to determine net periodic benefit cost for years ended June 30, 2012

| Expected long-term return on plan assets | 8 00% |
|--|-------|
| Rate of compensation increase | 3 50% |
| Discount rate | 6 13% |
| Discount rate - Warren | 4 64% |

Plan Assets

The St Luke's Pension Plans weighted-average asset allocations at June 30, 2012 and 2011, by asset category, are as follows

| | Plan Assets at June 30 | | |
|-------------------|---------------------------|-------|--|
| | 2012 | 2011 | |
| Asset category | | | |
| Equity securities | 69 % | 69 % | |
| Debt securities | 31 %_ | 31 % | |
| | 100 % | 100 % | |

The Investments are broadly diversified in assets which over time provide the opportunity for appreciation and rising levels of income. The precise mix of assets is determined jointly by the Investment Committee and recommended to the Board of Trustees. The Investment Committee has discretion over the selection of individual securities and the weighting of the investments.

Equities

The Investment Committee directs that a reasonable diversification be maintained and in no case shall any single investment represent more than 5% of market value of the portfolios. At no time will less than 60% nor more than 80% of the combined market value of the funds be invested in equity or equivalent securities. This total equity will be weighted with a minimum of 20% and maximum of 70% U.S. Stocks and a minimum of 10% and maximum of 40% in International Stocks. Any exceptions are mutually agreed upon by the Manager and the Investment Committee either prior to the event or as soon as is practical.

Fixed Income

All fixed income securities purchased or retained by the Fund Manager are rated by at least one of the two recognized credit rating agencies (Moody's or Standard & Poor's) as "BBB" or better. Other "investment grade" issues, as defined by the rating agencies, but which may be unrated, may be purchased or retained so long as they represent no more than 5% of the market value of the portfolios. Other than obligations of the United States Government or its agencies and instrumentalities, no fixed income obligation shall represent more than 5% of the total market value of the portfolios. The Investment Committee directs that at no time will less than 10% nor more than 40% of the combined market value of the funds be invested in fixed income securities. Any exceptions are mutually agreed upon by the Fund Manager and the Investment Committee either prior to the event or as soon as practical.

Cash Equivalent, Money Market and Other Holdings

All cash is invested in short term interest bearing securities or other cash equivalent investments. Other than obligations of the United States Government and its Agencies or instrumentalities all such investments in corporate obligations, commonly known as commercial paper, shall both carry an investment rating by a recognized rating agency of A-1 or P-1. Any exceptions to the above guidelines are mutually agreed upon by the Fund Manager and the Investment Committee either prior to the event or as soon as is practical. The Finance and Investment Committees direct that at no time more than 10% of the combined market value of the funds be invested in cash or cash equivalents.

Other investments not covered in the above guidelines (i.e., real estate), may be purchased and/or held at the request of the Investment Committee with the concurrence of the Investment Manager or at the recommendation of the Investment Manager with the concurrence of the Investment Committee

Cash Flows

Contributions

The Network expects to contribute \$29,636,363 to its pension plans in the 2013 fiscal year

Estimated Future Benefit Payments

The following benefit payments, which reflect expected future service, as appropriate, are expected to be paid

| 2013 | \$ 9,786,435 |
|-----------|----------------|
| 2014 | 12,081,413 |
| 2015 | 13,505,858 |
| 2016 | 15,486,113 |
| 2017-2022 | 125,297,945_ |
| | \$ 176,157,764 |

The following table presents the Plan's financial instruments as of June 30, 2012, measured at fair value on a recurring basis using the fair value hierarchy defined in note 7

| June 30, 2012 | Quoted Prices in Active Markets (Level 1) | Total Fair Value | |
|--------------------------|---|---------------------|--|
| Investments | | | |
| Money Market Funds | \$ 906,434 | \$ 906,434 | |
| Government Securities | 90,154,286 | 90,154,286 | |
| Common & Preferred Stock | 201,332,375 | 201,332,375 | |
| Total Investments | \$ 292,393,095 | \$ 292,393,095 | |

16. Insurance Coverage

Effective December 13, 2001, the Parent, established St. Luke's Health Network Insurance Company, a wholly-owned captive insurance company licensed by the State of Vermont providing claims-made coverage for professional and general liability coverages for the Network St Luke's converted its fronted captive to a risk retention group ("RRG") effective July 1, 2003 On January 1, 2005, the RRG was converted from a nonprofit risk retention group to a reciprocal risk retention group. As a reciprocal risk retention group, the St Luke's Health Network Insurance Company is also permitted to provide primary medical professional liability coverage on an occurrence basis to independent physicians and physician's practices Under this structure, each insured is a subscriber of the St. Luke's Health Network Insurance Company, a Reciprocal Risk Retention Group ("SLHNIC") Only subscribers of the St Luke's University Health Network will be issued Class A subscriber units
Class A Subscribers of SLHNIC maintain control over SLHNIC At June 30, 2012 and June 30, 2011, the Network was covered through the SLHNIC by a Hospital Professional Liability policy, with primary limits of \$500,000 for each medical incident and \$2,500,000 in the aggregate and with Physicians Professional Liability coverage with primary limits of \$500,000 for each occurrence, and \$1,500,000 in the aggregate SLHNIC also provides institutional subscribers with excess layer professional liability coverage on a claims-made basis. This coverage is provided for losses limited to \$4 million for each medical incident in excess of \$1 million with an annual aggregate of \$4 million The reserve for malpractice claims maintained at June 30, 2012 and 2011 was approximately \$33,595,578 and \$28,341,585, and was estimated using a discount rate of 3 75% and 3 75%, respectively The discount for Class A and Class B subscribers was \$4,314,809 and \$3,205,204 for June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively

Warren Risk Retention Group, Inc provided St Luke's Warren hospital professional liability and general liability coverage up to a limit of \$1 million per claim with an annual aggregate limit of \$3 million on a claims-made basis. Warren Risk Retention Group, Inc. also provides physicians' professional liability insurance on a claims-made basis to voluntary attending physicians working with the Hospital. Limits offered were \$500,000 per each claim with a \$1.5 million annual aggregate per physician in Pennsylvania or \$1 million per each claim with a \$3 million annual aggregate per physician in New Jersey. Losses from unasserted claims and incidents that may have occurred but have not been identified are accrued as of June 30, 2012 in the amount of \$3,305,776 and are included in self-insurance reserves in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

In August 2010, the FASB issued ASU No 2010-24, *Presentation of Insurance Claims and Related Insurance Recoveries* This ASU requires that healthcare entities do not offset insurance recoveries against any related claim liabilities. This specifically applies to malpractice claims or similar contingent liabilities and is effective for the Hospital's year ended June 30, 2012. As a result of adopting this accounting pronouncement, St. Luke's University Health Network has recorded a receivable of approximately \$8,030,614 for expected insurance indemnifications and a related liability for the accrued claims in the individual financial statements of the hospitals. As permitted, the St. Luke's University Health Network balance sheet as of June 30, 2011 has not been restated to reflect the adoption of this accounting pronouncement.

The Network participates in the Medical Care Availability and Reduction of Error ("Mcare") Fund, which is a Pennsylvania governmentally authorized entity that for fiscal year 2012 covers claims above \$500,000 per medical incident up to \$1,500,000 aggregate per hospital/insured physician subject to Mcare Fund coverage, as applicable. The assessment for the Mcare Fund payable by the Network is based on the schedule of occurrence rates approved by the Insurance Commissioner of Pennsylvania for the Pennsylvania Professional Liability Joint Underwriting Association ("JUA") multiplied by the annual assessment percentage. The Network recognizes its assessment as expense in the period incurred

St. Luke's University Health Network, Inc. and Controlled Entities Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements June 30, 2012 and 2011

The Network maintains accrued insurance reserves for its self-insured portion of expected workers' compensation claims of approximately \$5,881,595 and \$5,494,218 for the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively The impact of the discount was \$1,650,294 and \$1,173,570 for the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively

17. Cullen Litigation and Regulatory Proceedings

St Luke's Hospital was originally named in 28 Pennsylvania lawsuits alleging that patients were harmed or killed by Charles Cullen, a nurse who was employed by St Luke's from June 2000 to June 2002. Twenty-two of those lawsuits were discontinued or dismissed without any payments to the plaintiffs. An additional lawsuit was dismissed by the plaintiffs without a trial. The plaintiffs in the five remaining lawsuits agreed to discontinue their cases against St Luke's without a trial. With respect to all these cases, the Hospital denied any responsibility and vigorously defended itself. These matters are completed

18. Asset Retirement Obligations

In the year ended June 30, 2006, the Network adopted accounting guidance on Accounting for Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations If a legal obligation to perform an asset retirement obligation exists but performance is conditional upon a future event, and the obligation can be reasonably estimated, then a liability should be recognized Upon initial application of accounting regulatory guidance, the Network recognized \$3,486,249 of conditional asset retirement obligation included within our liabilities in the consolidated balance sheet. For the year ended June 30, 2012, the Network recognized \$7,805 in accretion and depreciation expense.

19. Subsequent Events

The Network has adopted FASB subsequent events guidelines
The objective of FASB is to establish general standards of accounting for and disclosures of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued or are available to be issued
In particular, this Statement sets forth

- (1) The period after the balance sheet date during which management of a reporting entity should evaluate events or transactions that may occur for potential recognition or disclosure in the financial statements
- (2) The circumstances under which an entity should recognize events or transactions occurring after the balance sheet date in its financial statements
- (3) The disclosures that an entity should make about events or transactions that occurred after the balance sheet date

The Network has performed an evaluation of subsequent events through December 20, 2012, which is the date the financial statements were available to be issued

St. Luke's University Health Network, Inc. and Controlled Entities Consolidating Balance Sheet June 30, 2012

| | St Luke's University Health Network, Inc | St Luke's Hospital of Bethlehem, Pennsylvania | St Luke's Physician Group | St Luke's Quakertown Hospital | Emergency and Transport Services | Quakertown Rehabilitation Center | Miners Memorial Medical Center | St Luke's Warren Hospital | New Valley Rehab LLC |
|--|--|--|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|--|---|---------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Assets Current | | | | | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | | \$ 60.620.063 | \$ 23,544 | \$ 26,676 | \$ 65 | | \$ 3,139,218 | \$ 11,277,367 | \$ 112,666 |
| Investment in controlled entities | 371,457,606 | 00,020,000 | 20,044 | Ψ 20,070 | • 00 | | Ψ 0,100,210 | 0 11,211,001 | 0 112,000 |
| Patient accounts receivable, net | 01 1,101,000 | 126.545.429 | 8.261.017 | 12.090,525 | 666,736 | | 5,594,783 | 11,122,446 | 13,017 |
| Other accounts receivable | | 6,418,969 | 1,101,065 | 41,111 | 23,748 | | 30,190 | 4,158,535 | 562,473 |
| Investments | | 59,330,152 | | 16,454 | | | | 2,159 | |
| Inventories | | 13,768,109 | | 851,812 | | | 780,093 | 1,549,582 | |
| Prepaid expenses | | 11,788,418 | 1,239,106 | 309,836 | 25,062 | | 302,958 | 1,371,673 | 7,686 |
| Total current assets | 371,457,606 | 278,471,140 | 10,624,732 | 13,336,414 | 715,611 | - | 9,847,242 | 29,481,762 | 695,842 |
| Assets limited as to use | | | | | | | | | |
| Funds held by trustee | | 8,982,865 | | | | | | 1,086,969 | |
| Under bond indenture | | 35,767,541 | | | | | | 3,484,726 | |
| Board designated funds | | 216,789,627 | | 1,361,605 | | | | 9,214 | |
| | | 261,540,033 | _ | 1,361,605 | | _ | | 4,580,909 | |
| Property and equipment, net | | 479,798,460 | 12,442,530 | 22,904,951 | 375,653 | | 17,383,027 | 60,901,420 | 710,345 |
| Goodw III, net | | 43,466 | 3,105,913 | | • | | | 13,251,400 | 7,657,419 |
| Due from affiliates | | 54,084,225 | | | | 2,958,591 | | | |
| Investments temporarily restricted as to use | | 16,661,027 | | 178,509 | 27,204 | | 776,976 | 543,318 | |
| Investments permanently restricted as to use | | 23,066,932 | | 2,893,132 | | | 10,005 | 477,568 | |
| Deferred financing costs | | 5,439,851 | | | | | | 257,709 | |
| Annuity contracts | | 8,999,001 | | | | | | | |
| Other assets | | 19,656,539 | | 232,739 | | | 212,078 | 2,672,245 | 35,821 |
| Total assets | \$ 371,457,606 | \$1,147,760,674 | \$ 26,173,175 | \$ 40,907,350 | \$ 1,118,468 | \$ 2,958,591 | \$ 28,229,328 | \$112,166,331 | \$ 9,099,427 |

274 032

Total assets

\$

588 813

\$ 1441634

St Luke's Warren St Luke's Warren Warren Warren PA Two Hillcreast Rısk Phys ician **Hospital** Healthcare Emergency Retention Hillcrest Rivers Consolidated Professional Group NJ Foundation Alliance PC Services PC Alliance PC Group, Inc MSO, Inc Enterprises Inc Elminations Totals Assets Current 357 089 \$ 37 997 \$ 109 157 \$ 5 136 \$ 5 645 689 190 083 4 619 \$ 81 549 369 Cash and cash equivalents \$ (371 457 606) Investment in controlled entities Patient accounts receivable net 776 168 724 165 8 142 165 802 428 5 475 631 934 Other accounts receivable 232 365 261 961 213 872 248 619 2 272 310 180 821 (5 955 530) 10 427 918 Investments 225 399 1 772 186 61 346 350 Inventories 16 949 596 Prepaid expenses 41 667 850 134 534 209 54 587 100 15 276 686 274 032 588 813 1 210 660 1 047 194 262 106 8 104 396 2 462 393 185 540 (377 413 136) 351 352 347 Total current assets Assets limited as to use Funds held by trustee 10 069 834 Under bond indenture 39 252 267 Board designated funds 218 160 446 267 482 547 51 265 6 334 19 644 1 657 453 596 251 082 Property and equipment net 179 709 Goodwill net 24 237 907 Due from affiliates (57 042 816) Investments temporarily restricted as to use 18 187 034 26 447 637 Investments permanently restricted as to use 5 697 560 Deferred financing costs Annuity contracts 8 999 001 Other assets (2 000 010) 20 809 412

\$ 1 047 194

268 440

\$ 8 104 396

\$ 2482037

\$

1 842 993

\$ (436 455 962) \$ 1 319 464 527

St. Luke's University Health Network, Inc. and Controlled Entities Consolidating Balance Sheet June 30, 2012

| | St Luke's Health Network, Inc | Hos pital of Bethlehem, Penns ylvania | St Luke's Physician Group | St Luke's Quakertown Hospital | Emergency and Transport Services | Quakertown Rehabilitation Center | Miners Memorial Medical Center | St Luke's Warren Hospital | New Valley Rehab LLC |
|---|-------------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|--|---|---------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Liabilities and Net Assets Current | | | | | | | | | |
| Accounts payable Accrued salaries, wages and taxes | | \$ 38,228,228 9,161,812 | \$ 2,013,378 10,180,482 | \$ 652,477 450,099 | \$ 20,900 | | \$ 655,418 422,961 | \$ 10,581,037 1,938,297 | \$ 20,357 43,969 |
| Accrued vacation | | 18,976,382 | 4,091,154 | 1,072,101 | 105,205 | | 1,010,949 | 2,997,168 | 10,000 |
| Current portion of self insurance reserves | | 16,680,545 | | | | | | 93,243 | |
| Deferred income | | 2,930,323 | | 00.400 | 12,534 | | 345,452 | | |
| Advance from third-party payors Patient credit balances | | 2,099,500 9,957,861 | | 38,400 1,647,565 | | | 260,201 625,960 | | |
| Current portion of long-term debt | | 12,583,360 | 55,853 | 1,047,303 | | | 5,390 | 275,604 | 184,969 |
| Current portion of pension costs | | 5,043,745 | 676,106 | 251,871 | 101 | | 51,279 | 1,048,308 | , |
| Accrued interest on long-term debt | | 7,413,822 | | | | | | 1,060,775 | |
| Other Current Liabilities | | 359,817 | | 365,353 | | | 47,052 | 2,819,690 | |
| Estimated third-party payor settlements | | 10,598,585 | | 1,777,437 | | | 3,848,215 | 1,932,695 | |
| Total current liabilities | = | 134,033,980 | 17,016,973 | 6,255,303 | 138,740 | = | 7,272,877 | 22,746,817 | 249,295 |
| Due to affiliates | | | 64,666,669 | 6,785,039 | 4,716,525 | | 5,847,626 | 81,945 | 8,376,162 |
| Long-term debt, net of current portion | | 400,635,252 | 34,562 | | | | 17,997 | 42,494,634 | 404,458 |
| Asset retirement obligation | | 3,247,932 121,049,880 | 16 226 527 | 65,030 6,044,916 | 2,415 | | 246,799 1,230,701 | 25 450 205 | |
| Accrued compensation payable Self insurance reserves | | 33,866,562 | 16,226,537 | 6,044,916 | 2,415 | | 1,230,701 | 25,159,395 45,926 | |
| Other noncurrent liabilities | | 7,879,660 | | 46,375 | | | 36,308 | 1,443,179 | |
| Sw ap Contracts | | 83,210,652 | | , | | | , | .,, | |
| Total liabilities | | 783,923,918 | 97,944,741 | 19,196,663 | 4,857,680 | | 14,652,308 | 91,971,896 | 9,029,915 |
| Net assets | | | | | | | | | |
| Unrestricted net assets (liabilities) | 324,113,369 | 354,932,075 | (71,771,566) | 18,522,453 | (3,766,416) | 2,958,591 | 12,614,269 | 19,982,395 | 69,512 |
| Warren Beginning Unrestricted net assets | (808,846) | (00.000.070) | | | | | | (808,846) | |
| Less Amounts due from affiliates | | (33,682,373) | | | | | | | |
| Net unrestricted net assets (liabilities) | 323,304,523 | 321,249,702 | (71,771,566) | 18,522,453 | (3,766,416) | 2,958,591 | 12,614,269 | 19,173,549 | 69,512 |
| Temporarily restricted net assets | 20,830,852 | 19,520,123 | | 295,103 | 27,204 | | 952,746 | | |
| Warren Beginning Temporary Restricted net assets | | | | | | | | 543,318 | |
| Permanently restricted net assets | 25,970,067 | 23,066,931 | | 2,893,131 | | | 10,005 | 477 500 | |
| Warren Beginning Permanent Restricted net assets | | | | | | | | 477,568 | |
| Total net assets (liabilities) | 371,457,606 | 363,836,756 | (71,771,566) | 21,710,687 | (3,739,212) | 2,958,591 | 13,577,020 | 20,194,435 | 69,512 |
| Total liabilities and net assets | \$ 371,457,606 | \$1,147,760,674 | \$ 26,173,175 | \$ 40,907,350 | \$ 1,118,468 | \$ 2,958,591 | \$ 28,229,328 | \$112,166,331 | \$ 9,099,427 |

St Luke's Warren St Luke's Warren Warren PA Rısk Two Warren Hillcreast Hillcrest Physician Hospital Healthcare Retention Rivers Consolidated Emergency Professional Alliance PC Group NJ Foundation Services PC Alliance PC Group, Inc MSO, Inc Totals Liabilities and Net Assets Current \$ 2349478 \$ 168 066 45 818 \$ 2885730 \$ (5 135 275) \$ 52 485 612 \$ Accounts payable 17 857 314 475 879 128 23 409 080 Accrued salaries wages and taxes Accrued vacation 4 952 28 257 911 1 090 906 17 864 694 Current portion of self insurance reserves Deferred income 3 288 309 2 398 101 Advance from third-party payors 12 231 386 Patient credit balances Current portion of long-term debt 107 642 21 695 13 234 513 Current portion of pension costs 7 071 410 Accrued interest on long-term debt 8 474 597 Other Current Liabilities 45 496 598 380 13 511 378 214 6 359 430 (3 689 126) 7 297 817 18 156 932 Estimated third-party payor settlements 194 170 362 Total current liabilities 22 809 45 496 3 262 333 1 047 194 166 971 1 469 120 2 885 730 6 381 125 (8 824 401) 4 479 191 Due to affiliates 251 223 (95 204 380) 445 614 337 Long-term debt net of current portion 1 002 919 1 024 515 Asset retirement obligation 3 559 761 Accrued compensation payable 169 713 844 Self insurance reserves 2 214 870 36 127 358 Other noncurrent liabilities 240 000 617 033 10 262 555 Sw ap Contracts 83 210 652 Total liabilities 274 032 45 496 3 262 333 1 047 194 5 889 081 4 301 023 2 885 730 7 405 640 (104 028 781) 942 658 869 Net assets (5 562 647) Unrestricted net assets (liabilities) 176 363 (1820699) (5 620 641) 3 803 373 (403 693) (318 221 999) 330 004 739 Warren Beginning Unrestricted net assets 808 846 (808 846) Less Amounts due from affiliates 33 682 373 Net unrestricted net assets (liabilities) 176 363 (1 820 699) (5 620 641) 3 803 373 (403 693) (5 562 647) (283 730 780) 329 195 893 Temporarily restricted net assets 35 676 (20 830 852) 20 830 852 331 278 (1 417 914) 331 278 Warren Beginning Temporary Restricted net assets Permanently restricted net assets (25 970 067) 25 970 067 Warren Beginning Permanent Restricted net assets (477 568) 477 568 Total net assets (liabilities) 543 317 (1 820 699) (5 620 641) 3 803 373 (403 693) (5 562 647) (332 427 181) 376 805 658 Total liabilities and net assets 274 032 \$ 588 813 \$ 1441634 \$ 1047194 \$ 268 440 \$ 8 104 396 \$ 2482037 1 842 993 \$ (436 455 962) \$ 1 319 464 527

| | St Luke's Health Network, Inc | St Luke's Hospital of Bethlehem, Pennsylvania | St Luke's Physician Group | St Luke's Quakertown Hospital | Emergency and Transport Services | Quakertown Rehabilitation Center | Miners Memorial Medical Center | St Luke's Warren Hospital | New Valley Rehab LLC |
|--|-------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|--|---|---------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Assets | | | | | | | | | |
| Current | _ | | | | | _ | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ - | \$ 79,601,001 | \$ 200,293 | \$ 797,386 | \$ 1,065 | \$ - | \$ 2,126,149 | | |
| Investment in controlled entities | 441,419,364 | | | | | | | | |
| Patient accounts receivable, net | | 106,639,906 | 8,443,769 | 11,095,525 | 693,026 | | 4,380,932 | | |
| Other accounts receivable | | 3,654,223 | 198,370 | 40,384 | 23,086 | | 81,468 | | |
| Investments | | 63,525,474 | | 16,062 | | | 770.050 | | |
| Inventories | | 11,106,608 | 055 570 | 832,067 | 44 400 | | 770,358 | | |
| Prepaid expenses | | 8,571,264 | 955,579 | 317,568 | 41,182 | | 364,017 | | |
| Total current assets | 441,419,364 | 273,098,476 | 9,798,011 | 13,098,992 | 758,359 | - | 7,722,924 | - | - |
| Assets limited as to use | | | | | | | | | |
| Funds held by trustee | | 64,005,116 | | | | | | | |
| Under bond indenture | | 34,289,421 | | | | | | | |
| Board designated funds | | 221,541,294 | | 1,533,660 | | | | | |
| J | | 319,835,831 | | 1,533,660 | | | - | | |
| Property and equipment, net | | 432.241.885 | 10.860.428 | 24.426.965 | 483,089 | | 16,835,933 | | |
| Goodwill, net | | 68,466 | 7,268,246 | 24,420,903 | 400,009 | | 10,000,300 | | |
| Due from affiliates | | 46.850.481 | 7,200,240 | | | 1,013,198 | | | |
| Investments temporarily restricted as to use | | 16,686,303 | | 153,837 | 1,698 | 1,010,130 | 683,838 | | |
| Investments permanently restricted as to use | | 21.279.548 | | 1.690.199 | 1,000 | | 9.905 | | |
| Deferred financing costs | | 5,541,791 | | 1,000,100 | | | 3,500 | | |
| Annuity contracts | | 7,881,693 | | | | | | | |
| Other assets | | 14,053,851 | | 1,230,385 | | 756,266 | 437,279 | | |
| Total assets | \$ 441,419,364 | \$1,137,538,325 | \$ 27.926.685 | \$ 42,134,038 | \$ 1,243,146 | \$ 1,769,464 | \$ 25,689,879 | <u> </u> | s - |
| 10101 00010 | \$ 1.1.,110,001 | \$ 1,101,000,020 | \$ 2.1,020,000 | \$ 12,101,000 | ψ ., <u>L</u> 10,140 | - 1,100,101 | \$ 20,000,010 | | |

St Luke's Warren St Luke's Warren Warren Warren PA Two Hillcreast Rısk Hillcrest Consolidated Phys ician **Hospital** Healthcare Emergency Retention Rivers Professional Group NJ Foundation Alliance PC Services PC Alliance PC Group, Inc MSO, Inc Enterprises Inc Biminations Totals Assets Current \$ - \$ 82 725 894 Cash and cash equivalents (441 419 364) Investment in controlled entities Patient accounts receivable net 131 253 158 Other accounts receivable 3 997 531 Investments 63 541 536 Inventories 12 709 033 Prepaid expenses 10 249 610 Total current assets (441 419 364) 304 476 762 Assets limited as to use Funds held by trustee 64 005 116 34 289 421 Under bond indenture Board designated funds 223 074 954 321 369 491 484 848 300 Property and equipment net Goodwill net 7 336 712 Due from affiliates (47 863 679) Investments temporarily restricted as to use 17 525 676 22 979 652 Investments permanently restricted as to use 5 541 791 Deferred financing costs Annuity contracts 7 881 693 Other assets 16 477 781 Total assets \$ (489 283 043) \$ 1 188 437 858

St. Luke's University Health Network, Inc. and Controlled Entities Consolidating Balance Sheet June 30, 2011

| | St Luke's Health Network, Inc | Hos pital of Bethlehem, Penns ylvania | St Luke's Physician Group | St Luke's Quakertown Hospital | Emergency and Transport Services | Quakertown Rehabilitation Center | Miners Memorial Medical Center | St Luke's Warren Hospital | New Valley Rehab LLC |
|--|-------------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|--|---|---------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Liabilities and Net Assets | | | | | | | | | |
| Current | | | | | | | | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ - | \$ 40,114,383 | \$ 1,641,890 | \$ 483,905 | \$ 16,354 | \$ - | \$ 585,292 | | |
| Accrued salaries, wages and taxes | | 10,744,720 | 8,979,475 | 356,429 | | | 340,088 | | |
| Accrued vacation | | 18,478,546 | 3,551,231 | 1,015,437 | 99,198 | - | 1,055,227 | | |
| Current portion of self insurance reserves | | 14,129,862 | | | | | | | |
| Deferred income | | 3,937,579 | | | 7,534 | | | | |
| Advance from third-party payors | | 2,099,500 | | 38,400 | | | 260,201 | | |
| Patient credit balances | | 8,974,276 | | 1,275,136 | | | 327,373 | | |
| Due to affiliates | | | | | | | | | |
| Current portion of long-term debt | | 11,129,292 | 51,829 | | | | 5,051 | | |
| Current portion of pension costs | | 3,435,597 | 511,202 | 197,881 | 84 | | 40,231 | | |
| Accrued interest on long-term debt | | 7,649,511 | | | | | | | |
| Estimated third-party payor settlements | | 10,929,695 | | 870,590 | | | 1,449,628 | | |
| Total current liabilities | - | 131,622,961 | 14,735,627 | 4,237,778 | 123,170 | - | 4,063,091 | - | - |
| Due to affiliates | | 84,558 | 56,480,411 | 12,684,202 | 4,414,752 | | 7,882,129 | | |
| Long-term debt, net of current portion | | 400,299,518 | 90,435 | | | | 23,414 | | |
| Asset retirement obligation | | 3,247,932 | | 65,030 | | | 246,074 | | |
| Accrued compensation payable | | 82,454,333 | 12,268,859 | 4,749,156 | 2,023 | | 965,553 | | |
| Self insurance reserves | | 28,687,901 | | | | | | | |
| Other noncurrent liabilities | | 532,153 | | 47,689 | | | 9,521 | | |
| Sw ap Contracts | | 58,546,276 | | | | | | | |
| Total liabilities | | 705,475,632 | 83,575,332 | 21,783,855 | 4,539,945 | · | 13,189,782 | | <u>-</u> |
| Net assets | | | | | | | | | |
| Unrestricted net assets (liabilities) | 395,746,165 | 424,152,277 | (55,648,647) | 17,392,973 | (3,298,497) | 1.769.464 | 11.378.595 | | |
| Less Amounts due from affiliates | ,- | (33,682,373) | (,,-,-,, | ,, | (-,, | .,, | , , | | |
| Net unrestricted net assets (liabilities) | 395,746,165 | 390,469,904 | (55,648,647) | 17,392,973 | (3,298,497) | 1,769,464 | 11,378,595 | - | |
| Temporarily restricted net assets | 22.693.547 | 20.313.241 | | 1.267.011 | 1.698 | | 1,111,597 | | |
| Permanently restricted net assets | 22,979,652 | 21,279,548 | | 1,690,199 | 1,030 | | 9,905 | | |
| · | | | | | | | | | |
| Total net assets (liabilities) | 441,419,364 | 432,062,693 | (55,648,647) | 20,350,183 | (3,296,799) | 1,769,464 | 12,500,097 | · | |
| Total liabilities and net assets | \$ 441,419,364 | \$1,137,538,325 | \$ 27,926,685 | \$ 42,134,038 | \$ 1,243,146 | \$ 1,769,464 | \$ 25,689,879 | \$ - | <u>\$ -</u> |

| | St Luke's Physician Group NJ | St Luke's Warren Hospital Foundation | Warren Healthcare Alliance PC | Hillcreast Emergency Services PC | Warren PA Professional Alliance PC | Warren Risk Retention Group, Inc | Hillcrest MSO, Inc | Two Rivers Enterprises Inc | : ⊟ımınatıons | Consolidated Totals |
|--|------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|---|-----------------------|----------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|
| Liabilities and Net Assets | | | | | | | | | | |
| Current | | | | | | | | | | |
| Accounts payable | | | | | | | | | \$ - | \$ 42 841 824 |
| Accrued salaries wages and taxes | | | | | | | | | | 20 420 712 |
| Accrued vacation | | | | | | | | | - | 24 199 639 |
| Current portion of self insurance reserves | | | | | | | | | | 14 129 862 |
| Deferred income | | | | | | | | | | 3 945 113 |
| Advance from third-party payors | | | | | | | | | | 2 398 101 |
| Patient credit balances | | | | | | | | | | 10 576 785 |
| Due to affiliates | | | | | | | | | | - |
| Current portion of long-term debt | | | | | | | | | | 11 186 172 |
| Current portion of pension costs | | | | | | | | | | 4 184 995 |
| Accrued interest on long-term debt | | | | | | | | | | 7 649 511 |
| Estimated third-party payor settlements | | | | | | | | | | 13 249 913 |
| Total current liabilities | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 154 782 627 |
| Due to affiliates | | | | | | | | | (81 546 052) | - |
| Long-term debt net of current portion | | | | | | | | | | 400 413 367 |
| Asset retirement obligation | | | | | | | | | | 3 559 036 |
| Accrued compensation payable | | | | | | | | | | 100 439 924 |
| Self insurance reserves | | | | | | | | | | 28 687 901 |
| Other noncurrent liabilities | | | | | | | | | | 589 363 |
| Sw ap Contracts | | | | | | | | | | 58 546 276 |
| Total liabilities | | - | - | | | | _ | | (81 546 052) | 747 018 494 |
| Net assets | | | | | | | | | | |
| Unrestricted net assets (liabilities) | | | | | | | | | (395 746 165) | 395 746 165 |
| Less Amounts due from affiliates | | | | | | | | | 33 682 373 | - |
| | | - | | | | | | | . ——— | F. 005.210.105 |
| Net unrestricted net assets (liabilities) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | (362 063 792) | 395 746 165 |
| Temporarily restricted net assets | | | | | | | | | (22 693 547) | 22 693 547 |
| Permanently restricted net assets | | | | | | | | | (22 979 652) | 22 979 652 |
| Total net assets (liabilities) | - | | - | | - | | - | | (407 736 991) | 441 419 364 |
| Total liabilities and net assets | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ (489 283 043) | \$ 1 188 437 858 |

St. Luke's University Health Network, Inc. and Controlled Entities Consolidating Statement of Operations Year Ended June 30, 2012

| | St Luke's Health Network, Inc | St Luke's Hospital of Bethlehem, Pennsylvania | St Luke's Physician Group | St Luke's Quakertown Hospital | Emergency and Transport Services | Quakertown Rehabilitation Center | Miners Memorial Medical Center | St Luke's Warren Hospital | New Valley Rehab LLC |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|---|--|---|--|--------------------------------------|
| Net patient service revenue Other operating revenue and gains | | \$ 666 355 245 17 581 092 | \$112 805 709 776 902 | \$ 53 870 162 1 681 093 | \$ 2 782 074 78 603 | \$ 13 514 | \$ 40 565 933 476 950 | \$ 48 146 045 766 891 | \$ 334 275 |
| Net assets released from restrictions used for operations Equity in net income from controlled entities | 35 388 991 | 1 517 026 | 224 364 | 9 469 | | | 9 820 | | |
| Total revenue | 35 388 991 | 685 453 363 | 113 806 975 | 55 560 724 | 2 860 677 | 13 514 | 41 052 703 | 48 912 936 | 334 275 |
| Operating expenses Salaries and employee benefits Supplies and other Depreciation and amortization Interest | | 330 989 804 243 120 200 44 480 192 16 290 850 | 121 132 130 33 832 043 2 310 931 17 853 | 27 438 123 19 370 545 3 606 842 137 947 | 2 585 012 589 415 153 615 3 734 | | 22 616 414 13 275 926 2 462 335 | 24 556 049 16 941 620 2 228 139 1 141 724 | 160 324 32 953 69 641 1 845 |
| Total expenses | - | 634 881 046 | 157 292 957 | 50 553 457 | 3 331 776 | - | 38 354 675 | 44 867 532 | 264 763 |
| Income (loss) from operations | 35 388 991 | 50 572 317 | (43 485 982) | 5 007 267 | (471 099) | 13 514 | 2 698 028 | 4 045 404 | 69 512 |
| Nonoperating gains (losses) Support of Physician Practices Unrestricted investment income from assets | | (35 954 579) | 37 875 365 | (1 092 186) | | | (828 600) | | |
| Immted as to use Realized gain (loss) on unrestricted investment Unrestricted gifts grants and bequests Unrestricted investment income from | | 7 791 697 8 103 256 107 599 | | (1 813) 50 025 (147 960) | 4 225 | | 5 850 | 240 | |
| restricted net assets Unrestricted investment (loss) income Gain (loss) on disposal of property and | | 276 602 2 664 807 | | (9 484) | | 743 957 | (2 538) 1 196 | (337 922) | |
| equipment Restructuring Costs Pre-Acquisition/Merger Costs Donations to Other Organizations | | (570 038) (369 820) (846 067) (674 195) | 31 316 (8 785) | (86 844) (489) (20 500) | (9) | | (163 401) (17 000) | 500 (30 972) | |
| Unrealized gain (loss) on derivative Goodwill Impairment | | 6 520 432 | (3 609 478) | (20 300) | | | (17 000) | (0.040.704) | |
| Gain on Retirement/Purchase of Bonds Nonoperating gains | | (12 861 972) | 34 288 418 | (1 309 251) | 4 216 | 743 957 | (1 004 493) | (3 043 761) | |
| Excess (deficiency) of revenue and gains in excess of expenses | 35 388 991 | 37 710 345 | (9 197 564) | 3 698 016 | (466 883) | 757 471 | 1 693 535 | 633 489 | 69 512 |
| Net assets released from restrictions used for purchase of property and equipment Net Asset Transfer To/From Affiliate Net assets released to Specific Purpose Fund | | 1 345 310 (19 390 280) | 3 651 | 84 411 | | | 67 671 | 95 608 19 390 280 | |
| Change in additional pension liability Warren Physician Practice Support | | (47 207 172) | (6 929 006) | (2 581 281) | (1 031) | | (525 530) | (2 559 562) (1 464 806) | |
| Change in fair market value of total return SWAP Warren Beginning Unrestricted net assets Net unrealized gains on investments Extraordinary Gains/(Losses) principles | | (31 184 808) | | (71 665) | | 431 656 | | (808 846) (2 359) | |
| | 35 388 991 | (69 220 203) | (16 122 919) | 1 129 481 | (467 914) | 1 189 127 | 1 235 676 | 15 283 804 | 69 512 |
| Increase (decrease) in unrestricted net assets | \$ 35 388 991 | \$ (69 220 203) | \$(16 122 919) | \$ 1129481 | \$ (467 914) | \$ 1 189 127 | \$ 1 235 676 | \$ 15 283 804 | \$ 69 512 |

St. Luke's University Health Network, Inc. and Controlled Entities Consolidating Statement of Operations

Year Ended June 30, 2012 Schedule II

| | St Luke's Physician Group NJ | St Luke's Warren Hospital Foundation | Warren Healthcare Alliance PC | Hillcreast Emergency Services PC | Warren PA Professional Alliance PC | Warren Risk Retention Group, Inc | Hillcrest MSO, Inc | Two Rivers Enterprises Inc | Eliminations | Consolidated Totals |
|---|------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|---|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|
| Net patient service revenue Other operating revenue and gains Net assets released from restrictions used for | | 150 202 | \$ 1817 529 5 495 | \$ 2674106 | \$ (5 342) 9 499 | 488 255 | \$ (6 147) 1 078 800 | 31 500 | \$ (576 170) (1 195 616) | \$ 928 776 933 21 929 666 |
| operations Equity in net income from controlled entities | | | | | | | | | (35 388 991) | 1 760 679 - |
| Total revenue | - | 150 202 | 1 823 024 | 2 674 106 | 4 157 | 488 255 | 1 072 653 | 31 500 | (37 160 777) | 952 467 278 |
| Operating expenses Salaries and employee benefits Supplies and other Depreciation and amortization Interest | | 13 894 82 555 | 2 644 513 1 068 245 18 988 | 1 661 236 1 012 870 | 164 66 038 3 598 22 204 | 284 853 | 440 604 239 366 6 584 | 82 006 29 915 36 101 | (1 875 948) | 534 238 267 328 122 687 55 370 780 17 652 258 |
| Total expenses | | 96 449 | 3 731 746 | 2 674 106 | 92 004 | 284 853 | 686 554 | 148 022 | (1 875 948) | 935 383 992 |
| Income (loss) from operations | | 53 753 | (1 908 722) | | (87 847) | 203 402 | 386 099 | (116 522) | (35 284 829) | 17 083 286 |
| Nonoperating gains (losses) Support of Physician Practices Unrestricted investment income from assets Imited as to use Realized gain (loss) on unrestricted investment Unrestricted gifts grants and bequests Unrestricted investment income from restricted net assets Unrestricted investment (loss) income Gain (loss) on disposal of property and equipment Restructuring Costs Pre-Acquisition/Merger Costs Donations to Other Organizations Unrealized gain (loss) on derivative Goodwill Impairment Gain on Retirement/Purchase of Bonds | | 1 431 | 2 073 537 | | 152 019 | (64 102) | (214 498) 12 519 | | (2 174 059) 500 920 | 7 789 884 8 153 281 (30 046) 101 579 3 522 806 (625 066) (573 476) (846 067) (711 695) 6 520 432 (3 609 478) (2 955 427) |
| Nonoperating gains | | 1 431 | 2 073 537 | | 152 019 | (64 102) | (201 979) | | (1 673 139) | 16 736 727 |
| Excess (deficiency) of revenue and gains in excess of expenses Net assets released from restrictions used for | - | 55 184 | 164 815 | - | 64 172 | 139 300 | 184 120 | (116 522) | (36 957 968) | 33 820 013 |
| purchase of property and equipment Net Asset Transfer To/From Affiliate Net assets released to Specfic Purpose Fund Change in additional pension liability Warren Physician Practice Support Change in fair market value of total return SWAP Warren Beginning Unrestricted net assets Net unrealized gains on investments Extraordinary Gains/(Losses) principles | | (35 676) 1 942 | | | | | | | 1 464 806 | 1 596 651 - (35 676) (59 803 582) - (31 184 808) (808 846) (10 565 680) 431 656 |
| | | 21 450 | 164 815 | | 64 172 | 139 300 | 184 120 | (116 522) | (35 493 162) | (66 550 272) |
| Increase (decrease) in unrestricted net assets | \$ - | \$ 21 450 | \$ 164 815 | \$ - | \$ 64 172 | \$ 139 300 | \$ 184 120 | \$ (116 522) | \$ (35 493 162) | \$ (66 550 272) |

St. Luke's University Health Network, Inc. and Controlled Entities Consolidating Statement of Operations Year Ended June 30, 2011

| | St Luke's Health Network, Inc | St Luke's Hospital of Bethlehem, Pennsylvania | St Luke's Physician Group | St Luke's Quakertown Hospital | Emergency and Transport Services | Quakertown Rehabilitation Center | Miners Memorial Medical Center | St Luke's Warren Hospital | New Valley Rehab LLC |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|---|--|---|---------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Net patient service revenue Other operating revenue and gains Net assets released from restrictions used for | \$ - | \$ 624 054 053 17 855 185 | \$100 715 516 1 301 345 | \$ 50 045 092 1 736 024 | \$ 2785 922 90 759 | \$ 7 268 | \$ 40 713 742 542 319 | | |
| operations Equity in net income from controlled entities | 27 365 859 | 1 812 693 | 201 663 | 7 500 | | | 1 870 | | |
| Total revenue | 27 365 859 | 643 721 931 | 102 218 524 | 51 788 616 | 2 876 681 | 7 268 | 41 257 931 | | - |
| Operating expenses Salaries and employee benefits Supplies and other Depreciation and amortization Interest | | 325 090 171 213 821 349 37 233 755 13 598 666 | 103 331 134 32 439 096 1 964 666 23 011 | 26 310 850 18 294 716 3 776 499 158 090 | 2 427 911 576 904 177 459 4 319 | | 21 833 505 14 149 949 2 764 542 | | |
| Total expenses | | 589 743 941 | 137 757 907 | 48 540 155 | 3 186 593 | _ | 38 747 996 | _ | - |
| Income (loss) from operations | 27 365 859 | 53 977 990 | (35 539 383) | 3 248 461 | (309 912) | 7 268 | 2 509 935 | - | |
| Nonoperating gains (losses) Support of Physician Practices Unrestricted investment income from assets limited as to use | | (29 205 691) 6 168 315 | 30 496 740 | (940 444) 75 227 | | | (350 605) | | |
| Realized gain (loss) on unrestricted investment Unrestricted gifts grants and bequests Unrestricted investment income from | | (1 135 881) 646 607 | | (33 909) 38 133 | 4 925 | | 2 670 | | |
| restricted net assets Unrestricted investment (loss) income Gain (loss) on disposal of property and | | 279 392 2 743 889 | | 186 489 | | 1 300 570 | (1 320) 4 675 | | |
| equipment Restructuring Costs Pre-Acquisition/Merger Costs Donations to Other Organizations | | (60 428) (53 363) (1 364 005) (367 420) | (200) | (397) (54 500) | | | (219 369) | | |
| Unrealized gain (loss) on derivative Gain on Retirement/Purchase of Bonds | | (4 842 548) 153 950 | | | | | | | |
| Nonoperating gains | | (27 037 183) | 30 496 540 | (729 401) | 4 925 | 1 300 570 | (563 949) | | <u> </u> |
| Excess (deficiency) of revenue and gains in excess of expenses Net assets released from restrictions used for | 27 365 859 | 26 940 807 | (5 042 843) | 2 519 060 | (304 987) | 1 307 838 | 1 945 986 | - | - |
| purchase of property and equipment | | 6 330 380 13 058 999 | | 105 915 | 34 000 | | 575 348 | | |
| Extraordinary Gain on Sale of Ambulatory Surgery Change in additional pension liability Eighth & Eaton Professional Building LP Beginning N | et Assets | 26 666 896 | 4 917 101 | 1 707 544 | 697 | | 386 304 | | |
| Change in fair market value of total return SWAP Net unrealized gains on investments Cumulative net effect of change in accounting | | 9 127 758 29 529 898 | | 286 418 | | | | | |
| principles | | (72 643) | (2 706 407) | | (2 974) | | | | |
| | 27 365 859 | 111 582 095 | (2 832 149) | 4 618 937 | (273 264) | 1 307 838 | 2 907 638 | | |
| Increase (decrease) in unrestricted net assets | \$ 27 365 859 | \$ 111 582 095 | \$ (2832149) | \$ 4 618 937 | \$ (273 264) | \$ 1 307 838 | \$ 2 907 638 | \$ - | \$ - |

St. Luke's University Health Network, Inc. and Controlled Entities Consolidating Statement of Operations Year Ended June 30, 2011

| | St Luke's Physician Group NJ | St Luke's Warren Hospital Foundation | Warren Healthcare Alliance PC | Hillcreast Emergency Services PC | Warren PA Professional Alliance PC | Warren Risk Retention Group, Inc | Hillcrest MSO, Inc | Two Rivers Enterprises Inc | ⊟ımınatıons | Consolidated Totals |
|---|------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|---|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------|---|
| Net patient service revenue Other operating revenue and gains Net assets released from restrictions used for | | | | | | | | | \$ (374 992) | \$ 817 946 601 21 525 632 |
| operations Equity in net income from controlled entities | | | | | | | | | (27 365 859) | 2 023 726 |
| Total revenue | - | - | | _ | | | - | | (27 740 851) | 841 495 959 |
| Operating expenses Salaries and employee benefits Supplies and other Depreciation and amortization Interest | | | | | | | | | (374 990) | 478 993 571 278 907 024 45 916 921 13 784 086 |
| Total expenses | | - | - | | | | - | | (374 990) | 817 601 602 |
| Income (loss) from operations | - | - | | | | - | - | | (27 365 861) | 23 894 357 |
| Nonoperating gains (losses) Support of Physician Practices Unrestricted investment income from assets limited as to use | | | | | | | | | | - 6 243 542 |
| Realized gain (loss) on unrestricted investment Unrestricted gifts grants and bequests Unrestricted investment income from restricted net assets | | | | | | | | | | (1 169 790) 692 335 464 561 |
| Unrestricted investment (loss) income Gain (loss) on disposal of property and | | | | | | | | | | 4 049 134 |
| equipment Restructuring Costs Pre-Acquisition/Merger Costs Donations to Other Organizations Unrealized gain (loss) on derivative Gain on Retirement/Purchase of Bonds | | | | | | | | | | (60 428) (273 129) (1 364 005) (422 120) (4 842 548) 153 950 |
| Nonoperating gains | | - | | | | | | <u> </u> | - | 3 471 502 |
| Excess (deficiency) of revenue and gains in excess of expenses Net assets released from restrictions used for | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | (27 365 861) | 27 365 859 |
| purchase of property and equipment Extraordinary Gain on Sale of Ambulatory Surgery Change in additional pension liability Eighth & Eaton Professional Building L P Beginning N | Jet Assets | | | | | | | | | 7 045 643 13 058 999 33 678 542 |
| Change in fair market value of total return SWAP Net unrealized gains on investments Cumulative net effect of change in accounting | | | | | | | | | | 9 127 758 29 816 316 |
| principles | | · — - | · ——_ | | | | | · | (27 365 861) | (2 782 024) 117 311 093 |
| Increase (decrease) in unrestricted net assets | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | s - | \$ (27 365 861) | |

Software ID: Software Version:

EIN: 23-1352213

Name: ST LUKE'S HOSPITAL OF BETHLEHEM PA

Form 990, Part VII - Compensation of Officers, Directors, Trustees, Key Employees, Highest Compensated Employees, and Independent Contractors

| Compensated Employees, and I | - - | ent Ct | | | JES | | $\overline{}$ | <u>, </u> | | |
|--|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|---|-----|------------------------------|---------------|--|---|--|
| (A) Name and Title | (B) Average hours | | ition (that a | | | Ш | | (D) Reportable compensation | (E) Reportable compensation | (F) Estimated amount of other |
| | per | Individual trustee or director | Institutional Trustee | | | Highest compensated employee | Former | from the organization (W- 2/1099-MISC) | from related organizations (W- 2/1099- MISC) | compensation from the organization and related organizations |
| DAVID M LOBACH JR CHAIRMAN - DIRECTOR | 1 0 | Х | | Х | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CHARLES D SAUNDERS MD VICE CHAIRMAN - DIRECTOR | 1 0 | Х | | Х | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| RICHARD A ANDERSON DIRECTOR - PRESIDENT/CEO | 55 0 | Х | | Х | | | | 1,803,650 | 0 | 244,380 |
| REVEREND DR DOUGLAS W CALDWELL DIRECTOR | 1 0 | Х | | | Ш | | Ш | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FAUST CAPOBIANCO DIRECTOR | 1 0 | Х | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CHRISTINA CONNAR DIRECTOR | 1 0 | Х | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| JOHN M DALY MD DIRECTOR | 1 0 | Х | | Ш | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| JAMES G GALLAGHER MD DIRECTOR | 1 0 | Х | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SAMUEL R GIAMBER MD DIRECTOR | 1 0 | Х | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| JAN S HELLER DIRECTOR-VP FINANCE(EFF 9/11) | 55 0 | Х | | х | | | | 148,625 | 0 | 6,041 |
| KOSTAS KALOGEROPOULOS DIRECTOR | 1 0 | Х | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| THOMAS J MCGINLEY DIRECTOR | 1 0 | Х | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DOUGLAS A MICHELS DIRECTOR | 1 0 | Х | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ROBERT A OSTER DIRECTOR | 1 0 | Х | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ROBERT RUMFIELD DIRECTOR | 1 0 | Х | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ARTHUR SCOTT EDD DIRECTOR | 1 0 | Х | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| KENNETH R SMITH DIRECTOR | 1 0 | Х | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| REVEREND DR CHRISTOPHER M THOMFORDE DIRECTOR | 1 0 | Х | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ANDREW F S WARNER DIRECTOR | 1 0 | Х | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DONALD E WIEAND ESQ DIRECTOR | 1 0 | Х | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| JOEL D FAGERSTROM EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT/COO | 55 0 | | | х | | | | 659,126 | 0 | 36,712 |
| THOMAS P LICHTENWALNER SVP FINANCE/CFO | 55 0 | | | Х | | | | 588,212 | 0 | 162,754 |
| JEFFREY A JAHRE MD SVP MEDICAL & ACADEMIC AFFAIRS | 55 0 | | | Х | | | | 648,542 | 0 | 77,339 |
| ROBERT P ZIMMEL SVP HUMAN RESOURCES | 55 0 | | | | х | | | 1,180,499 | 0 | 93,072 |
| ROBERT E MARTIN SVP PLANNING | 55 0 | | | | Х | | | 447,074 | 0 | 68,909 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

Form 990, Part VII - Compensation of Officers, Directors, Trustees, Key Employees, Highest Compensated Employees, and Independent Contractors

| Compensated Employees, and | Independ | CIIC C | Onci | act | .013 | , | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|---------|--------------|------------------------------|--------|--|---|--|
| (A) Name and Title | (B) Average hours | | (ition that a | • | | II | | (D) Reportable compensation | (E) Reportable compensation | (F) Estimated amount of other |
| | per week | Individual trustae or director | Institutional Trustee | Officei | Key employee | Highest compensated employee | Former | from the organization (W- 2/1099-MISC) | from related organizations (W- 2/1099- MISC) | compensation from the organization and related organizations |
| CAROL A KUPLEN RN MSN SVP & CHIEF NURSING OFFICER | 55 0 | | | | х | | | 435,165 | 0 | 87,282 |
| ROBERT L WAX ESQ SVP & GENERAL COUNSEL | 55 0 | | | | х | | | 395,411 | 0 | 37,872 |
| EDWARD NAWROCKI PRESIDENT ANDERSON CAMPUS | 55 0 | | | | х | | | 405,563 | 0 | 45,444 |
| FRANK FORD PRESIDENT ALLENTOWN CAMPUS | 55 0 | | | | х | | | 354,226 | 0 | 61,607 |
| JOSEPH C MEROLA MD CHIEF OF OB/GYN | 55 0 | | | | | Х | | 744,185 | 0 | 165,166 |
| MARC A GRANSON MD CHIEF OF SURGERY | 55 0 | | | | | Х | | 619,355 | 0 | 57,134 |
| MICHAEL D GROSSMAN MD TRAUMA SURGEON | 55 0 | | | | | Х | | 523,360 | 0 | 51,157 |
| WILLIAM S HOFF MD TRAUMA SURGEON | 55 0 | | | | | Х | | 501,353 | 0 | 51,259 |
| BRIAN A HOEY MD TRAUMA SURGEON | 55 0 | | | | | Х | | 494,756 | 0 | 58,938 |

Additional Data

Software ID: Software Version:

EIN: 23-1352213

Name: ST LUKE'S HOSPITAL OF BETHLEHEM PA

Form 990, Schedule D, Part X, - Other Liabilities (a) Description of Liability

| 1 (a) Description of Elability | (b) A mount |
|--------------------------------|-------------|
| ADVANCE FROM THIRD PARTY PAYOR | 2,099,500 |
| DUE TO THIRD PARTIES | 10,598,585 |
| CURRENT PORTION OF PENSION COS | 4,888,084 |
| DUE TO AFFILIATES | 187,312,265 |
| ASSET RETIREMENT OBLIGATION | 3,247,932 |
| CHARITABLE GIFT ANNUITIES | 7,858,399 |
| SWAP CONTRACT LIABILITY | 83,210,652 |
| SELF INSURANCE COSTS | 11,357,524 |
| OTHER LIABILITIES | 357,634 |